BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 800-2019-056632

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

DUSTIN ZIEROLD, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85833

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 14, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED: June 14, 2023.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair

Panel B

	·	
1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California STEVE DIEHL	•
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RYAN J. YATES	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 279257	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-6329	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	STATE OF C.	ALIFURNIA
12		1
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2019-056632
14	DUSTIN ZIEROLD, M.D. 2 Medical Plaza Drive, Suite 275	OAH No. 2022070340
15	Roseville, CA 95661-3043	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85833	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19		PED by and between the continue of the state
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-	
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	
22	PART	
23	. ` •	Executive Director of the Medical Board of
24	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this	
25	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Ryan J. Yates, Deputy	
26	Attorney General.	
27	2. Respondent Dustin Zierold, M.D. (Respondent) is representing himself in this	
28	proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his righ	nt to be represented by counsel.
l		

3. On or about January 30, 2004, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85833 to Dustin Zierold, M.D. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2019-056632, and will expire on March 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2019-056632 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 5, 2022. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2019-056632 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2019-056632. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2019-056632, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2019-056632, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, No. A 85833 to disciplinary action.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California.

 Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation,

 Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-056632 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85833 issued to Respondent Dustin Zierold, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions:

- Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 2. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1.

 Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the

Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION</u>. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on whatever periodic basis thereafter may be required by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall undergo and complete a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist, who shall consider any information provided by the Board or designee and any other information the psychiatrist deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Psychiatric evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychiatric evaluations and psychological testing.

Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating psychiatrist within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

4. MONITORING - PRACTICE/BILLING. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice, monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s)

and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice, shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely, billing appropriately or both. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a

 replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 6. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 7. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, including, but not limited to, expert review, amended accusations, legal reviews, investigation(s), and subpoena enforcement, as applicable, in the amount of \$8,386.25 (eight thousand three hundred and eighty-six dollars and twenty-five cents). Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

Payment must be made in full within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board of California. Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by respondent to the Board. Failure to comply with the payment plan shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs, including expert review costs (if applicable).

8. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

9. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice

Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 10. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve

Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the

 exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing..

- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. This term does not include cost recovery, which is due within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board and timely satisfied. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 13. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.

 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
 to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 15. <u>PROBATION MONITORING COSTS</u>. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which

may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

16. <u>FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE</u>. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-056632 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 12/21/22

DUSTRY ZIEROLD M.D

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

	2/15/22
1	DATED: 3/15/23 Respectfully submitted,
2	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California STEVE DIEHL
3	STEVE DIEHL Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4	Ryan Gates
5	DUAN I VATES
6	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	
9	
10	SA2021306063 36793733.docx
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	12
-	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2019-056632)

-	
acity.	
1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs	
ificate	
ate	
on	
a	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

COST RECOVERY

6. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Respondent is a physician and surgeon who is board-certified in general surgery and surgical critical care by the American Board of Surgery. At all times relevant to this action, Respondent worked at Sutter Roseville Medical Center (SRMC) and Roseville Trauma Medical Group. In the SRMC Emergency Room (ER), there are four trauma rooms (Trauma Rooms 1, 2, 3, and 4) all housed in one moderate sized room and separated by a curtain, which is only partially effective for visual separation but not at all for sound isolation. Loud voices or activity in one trauma room can be heard in all other trauma rooms.
- 8. On or about May 25, 2019, Respondent was working at the SRMC ER when a 24-year-old male patient was brought to the SRMC ER by the Roseville Police Department for medical clearance prior to being transferred to the local jail. This patient (referred to herein as Patient A)¹ suffered puncture wounds to his posterior right thigh and abrasions to his right hand. The patient was brought into the SRMC ER in an ambulatory bed with a full spit mask on, hands handcuffed behind his back, and a full restriction of his lower extremity movement with the use¹ of a WRAP restraining device. The patient was very agitated and combative and shouting foul language, profanities, and racial slurs at staff and others in the SRMC ER. The patient was taken to Trauma Room 3 where he was evaluated by an ER physician, Dr. D.W., who believed that Patient A was stable with no life-threatening problems; however, he believed Patient A had suffered multiple superficial dog bites. Dr. D.W. believed that the patient's main issue was an

¹ The patient's identity is omitted to protect privacy. It will be provided to Respondent in discovery.

2.7

acute psychosis of unclear etiology, but believed it could also be related to an unknown substance abuse. Dr. D.W.'s plan was to clean the dog bites with soap and water, give the patient a broad spectrum antibiotic, and discharge the patient into the custody of the Roseville Police

Department. Dr. D.W. left the Trauma Room shortly after assessing Patient A.

- 9. At just about the same time that Patient A arrived in SRMC EC Trauma Room 3, another patient was brought into Trauma Room 1 as a full trauma alert with life-threatening injuries from a mangled lower extremity injury from a chainsaw accident. Respondent was assigned to treat Patient B. Respondent believed that the patient needed a very quick assessment to determine if the leg could be saved (rather than amputated). This required, among other things, an assessment of the blood flow in the distal arteries of the extremity using a vascular Doppler device.
- 10. As Respondent initially assessed Patient B, Patient A was being very loud and verbally abusive to those around him. In order to assess the lower extremity blood flow, Respondent needed to loosen the tourniquet so that blood flow could be restored and assessed with the Doppler. Releasing the tourniquet, however, also resulted in significant bleeding.

 Respondent could not hear any signals from the Doppler as Patient A had created a ruckus in the trauma rooms. Respondent reapplied the tourniquet to the bleeding extremity so that he could find a way to quiet down and control Patient A.
- 11. Respondent attempted to reach Patient A's physician, but Dr. D.W. was no longer in the immediate area. Respondent requested that the anesthesiologist intubate Patient A. And he asked Roseville Police Department Officers—who were standing near Patient A—to quiet him down. When these attempts failed, Respondent entered Trauma Room 3 and approached Patient A from the side of his bed. At this point, Patient A had on a spit mask, his hands were cuffed behind his back, and his lower body was in a WRAP restraint. The WRAP did not allow his legs to bend at the knees, meaning he could not walk or run. He could, however, sit partially up in bed, which was the position he was in as Respondent approached him. Respondent shouted at Patient A to "shut up" several times while using profanities. Patient A did not comply with Respondent's demands, and he lurched forward again. Respondent then grabbed Patient A's head with both

///

hands and placed direct pressure with his thumbs at both of Patient A's supraorbital notches, pushing him down to the bed. This unexpected maneuver seemed to quiet Patient A temporarily. According to Patient A's primary nurse, Respondent brought his face close to Patient A's face and shouted at Patient A to "shut up"—again using many profanities. Patient A continued to be verbally abusive and disruptive but also mentioned that he was in the military. Respondent, who had served in the Air Force with tours in both Iraq and Afghanistan, responded that he was also in the military and asked if Patient A wanted to see his tags, which Respondent then showed to Patient A. This seemed to temporarily change Patient A's disposition as he thanked Respondent for his service. At some point, Patient A continued his loud and disruptive behavior, and he was sultimately moved to a more isolated holding area where he could no longer disrupt the other trauma rooms.

12. When Respondent returned to Patient B in Trauma Room 1, he was able to use a vascular Doppler to hear and to confirm that both the critical arteries to the distal aspect of Patient B's traumatized leg were intact. According to Respondent, he was able to perform surgery without amputating Patient B's leg.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 13. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he committed gross negligence during his interactions with Patient A, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 7 through 12 above, which are hereby incorporated by at reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein. Additional circumstances are as follows: Was s
 - 14. Respondent committed grossly negligent acts, including but not limited to:
- A. Using unacceptable and profane language during his verbal interaction with Patient A; and
- B. Using an unacceptable and inappropriate physical maneuver during his physical interaction with Patient A.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

15. Respondent's license is also subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, was subdivision (c), of the Code, in that he committed repeated negligent acts during his interactions with Patient A, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 7 through 14 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

16. Respondent's license is also subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 of the Code in that he engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrate an unfitness to practice medicine.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 17. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
 Complainant alleges that on or about September 19, 2012, in a prior criminal proceeding in
 Solano County Superior Court, Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code sections 246.3
 (grossly negligent discharge of a firearm) and 417(a)(2) (brandishing a firearm), both
 misdemeanors. Respondent was sentenced to 3 years' formal probation, directed to continue in
 counseling until released by his therapist, and ordered to perform 50 hours of community service.
 The court also ordered that Respondent possess no firearms while on probation, but made
 allowance for modification of that condition should Respondent, an active duty officer in the U.S.
 Air Force, be again deployed in a combat zone. The record of the criminal proceeding is
 incorporated as if fully set forth herein
- 18. In addition, Complainant alleges that on January 9, 2014, in a prior disciplinary action titled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against Dustin Zierold, M.D.* before the Medical Board of California, in Case Number No. 12-2012-221352, Respondent's license was revoked; however, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's license was placed on probation for a period of three years with certain terms and conditions. The underlying Accusation was based on the above

1	criminal matter, alleging that Respondent was convicted of criminal charges substantially relate	
2	to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon. That Decision is now final	
3	and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.	
4	PRAYER	
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged	
6	and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:	
7	1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 85833,	
8	issued to Respondent Dustin Zierold, M.D.;	
9	2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Dustin Zierold, M.D.'s	
10	authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;	
11	3. Ordering Respondent Dustin Zierold, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the	
12	investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation	
13	monitoring; and	
14	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
15	man and miles	
16	DATED: MAY 0 5 2022 WILLIAM PRASTEKA	
17	Executive Director/ Medical Board of California	
18	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
19	Complainant	
20		
21	SA2021306063	
22	2 35827456.docx	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	7	