

**BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**In the Matter of the Second Amended
Accusation Against:**

Michael Solomon Katz, M.D.

Case No. 800-2019-054353

**Physician's & Surgeon's
Certificate No. A 131463**

Respondent.

DECISION

**The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby
adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department
of Consumer Affairs, State of California.**

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 9, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED: August 11, 2022.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA



**Laurie Rose Lubiano, J.D., Chair
Panel A**

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 231195
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, California 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, California 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9417
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9 **BEFORE THE**
10 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12 In the Matter of the Second Amended
Accusation Against:

13 **MICHAEL SOLOMON KATZ, M.D.**
14 **838 Nordahl Road, Suite 270**
San Marcos, California 92069-3596
15 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
16 **No. A 131463,**

17 Respondent.

Case No. 800-2019-054353

OAH No. 2021060426

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

18
19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of
23 California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this
24 matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, and by Joseph F. McKenna III,
25 Deputy Attorney General.

26 2. Respondent Michael Solomon Katz, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this
27 proceeding by attorney Barton H. Hegeler, Esq., whose address is: 4660 La Jolla Village Drive,
28 Suite 670, San Diego, California, 92122.

3. On or about June 28, 2014, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 131463 to Michael Solomon Katz, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-054353, and will expire on December 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. On March 16, 2021, Accusation No. 800-2019-054353 was filed before the Board. A true and correct copy of the Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 16, 2021. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

5. On January 31, 2022, First Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-054353 was filed before the Board. A true and correct copy of the First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on January 31, 2022.

6. On March 8, 2022, Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-054353 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. A true and correct copy of the Second Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 8, 2022. A true and correct copy of the Second Amended Accusation is attached hereto as Exhibit A and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

7. Respondent has carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understands the charges and allegations in Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-054353. Respondent has also carefully read, discussed with his counsel, and fully understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

8. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in the Second Amended Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse

1 decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other
2 applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by his counsel.

3 9. Having the benefit of counsel, Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently
4 waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

5 **CULPABILITY**

6 10. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations contained in
7 Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-054353, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for
8 imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 131463.

9 11. Respondent stipulates that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie*
10 case or factual basis for the charges and allegations contained in the Second Amended
11 Accusation; that he gives up his right to contest those charges and allegations contained in the
12 Second Amended Accusation; and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's
13 Certificate to disciplinary action.

14 **CONTINGENCY**

15 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands
16 and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly
17 with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by
18 Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he
19 may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board
20 considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order,
21 the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this
22 paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not
23 be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

24 13. Respondent agrees that if an accusation is ever filed against him before the Board, all
25 of the charges and allegations contained in Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-054353
26 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such
27 proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

28 ////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

15. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Michael Solomon Katz, M.D.'s Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 131463 shall be and is hereby Publicly Reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a), subsection (4). This Public Reprimand, which is issued in connection with the charges and allegations contained in Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-054353, is as follows:

1. PUBLIC REPRIMAND. On November 19, 2015, Respondent failed to perform an intraoperative cholangiogram during a laparoscopic cholecystectomy despite the patient's unclear anatomy, and injured the patient's portal vein.

2. EDUCATION COURSE. Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval forty (40) hours of educational program(s) or course(s) which shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after successfully completing the educational program(s) or course(s).

3. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of enforcement, including legal review and expert review, as applicable, in the amount of eight thousand eight hundred seventy-seven dollars and fifty cents (\$8,877.50). Costs shall be payable to the Board. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of this agreement and shall be deemed an act of unprofessional conduct and a separate and distinct basis for discipline.

Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs, including expert review costs (if applicable).

4. **FAILURE TO COMPLY.** Any failure by Respondent to comply with the terms and conditions of the Disciplinary Order set forth above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Barton H. Hegeler, Esq. I fully understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 131463. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 3-10-2022 Electronic Signature Attached

MICHAEL SOLOMON KATZ, M.D.
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Michael Solomon Katz, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED:

BARTON H. HEGELER, ESQ.
Attorney for Respondent

3. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of enforcement, including legal review and expert review, as applicable, in the amount of eight thousand eight hundred seventy-seven dollars and fifty cents (\$8,877.50). Costs shall be payable to the Board. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of this agreement and shall be deemed an act of unprofessional conduct and a separate and distinct basis for discipline.

Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs, including expert review costs (if applicable).

4. **FAILURE TO COMPLY.** Any failure by Respondent to comply with the terms and conditions of the Disciplinary Order set forth above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Barton H. Hegeler, Esq. I fully understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 131463. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED:

MICHAEL SOLOMON KATZ, M.D.
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Michael Solomon Katz, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED:

BARTON H. HEGELER, ESQ.
Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED: March 10, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General


JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A

Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-054353

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 231195
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, California 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, California 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9417
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9
10 **BEFORE THE**
11 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

13 In the Matter of the Second Amended Accusation
14 Against:

Case No. 800-2019-054353
OAH No. 2021060426

15 **MICHAEL SOLOMON KATZ, M.D.**
838 Nordahl Road, Suite 270
16 San Marcos, California 92069-3596

SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION

17 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
18 **No. A 131463,**

Respondent.

19
20 Complainant alleges:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Second Amended Accusation solely in his
23 official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board),
24 Department of Consumer Affairs.

25 2. On or about June 28, 2014, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
26 No. A 131463 to Michael Solomon Katz, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's
27 Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
28 expire on December 31, 2023, unless renewed.

1
2
3
4
5
6
JURISDICTION

7 3. This Second Amended Accusation which supersedes the First Amended Accusation
8 No. 800-2019-054353, filed on January 31, 2022, in the above-entitled matter, is brought before
9 the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business
10 and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

11
12
13
14
15
16
STATUTORY PROVISIONS

17 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:

18 (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of
19 the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government
20 Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered
21 into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the
22 provisions of this chapter:

23 (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.

24 (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one
25 year upon order of the board.

26 (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation
27 monitoring upon order of the board.

28 (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a
requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the
board.

(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of
probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters,
medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations,
continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are
agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters
made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made
available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

5. Section 2234 of the Code states, in relevant part:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with
unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional
conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or
abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

...

(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more
negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a
separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute
repeated negligent acts.

...

6. Unprofessional conduct under section 2234 of the Code is conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)

COST RECOVERY

7. Section 125.3 of the Code states:

(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

(b) In the case of a disciplined licentiate that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.

(c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

(d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).

(e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.

(f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

(g)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.

1 (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement
2 for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs
to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

3 (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of
4 the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

5 (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in
6 that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative
disciplinary proceeding.

7 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

8 (Repeated Negligent Acts)

9 8. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 131463
10 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined in section 2234, subdivision (c),
11 of the Code, in that Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of
12 Patient A,¹ as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

13 9. Patient A

14 (a) On or about October 13, 2015, Patient A, a then-34-year-old male,
15 presented to the Emergency Department (ED) at Palomar Medical Center (PMC)
16 with right upper quadrant pain and chills. Patient A complained of abdominal pain
17 starting that same day, and he also related a previous episode of abdominal pain
18 occurring approximately one week earlier.

19 (b) Based upon Patient A's presenting complaints and symptoms, the ED
20 physician treating Patient A ordered labs and imaging studies including an
21 ultrasound, CT scan, and a hepatobiliary iminodiacetic acid (HIDA) scan². The
22 ED physician also contacted Respondent to consult on Patient A.³

23
24 ¹ To protect the privacy of the patient involved in this matter, the patient's name has not
been included in this pleading. Respondent is aware of the identity of Patient A.

25 ² HIDA is an imaging procedure used to diagnose problems of the liver, gallbladder and
26 bile ducts. The results of the HIDA scan performed on Patient A showed cystic duct obstruction
and common duct obstruction.

27 ³ Respondent, a surgeon, was on-call at PMC on October 13, 2015.
28

1 (c) Respondent examined Patient A and evaluated the results of the labs and
2 imaging studies previously ordered by the ED physician. Based upon the imaging
3 studies, labs, and physical examination, Respondent diagnosed Patient A with
4 acute cholecystitis⁴. Respondent recommended to Patient A that a laparoscopic
5 cholecystectomy⁵ with an intraoperative cholangiogram (IOC)⁶ be performed.
6 With Patient A's consent, he was admitted at PMC and Respondent performed the
7 surgical procedure that same day.

8 (d) As stated in Respondent's October 13, 2015 operative report, at the time
9 of surgery, due to the thickened wall and distention of the gallbladder, the
10 gallbladder needed to be "aspirated" (drained) with a needle to assist in retracting
11 it. Respondent identified a large amount of "edematous adhesions" (scarring)
12 around the gallbladder that were taken down with blunt dissection and hook
13 electrocautery. Respondent aborted the procedure after determining that "[d]espite
14 careful dissection, the infundibulum of the gallbladder as well as the cystic duct
15 could not be safely identified and dissected." A cholecystostomy tube⁷ was placed
16 in Patient A's gallbladder with a plan for an elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy
17 in one month.

18 (e) On the first postoperative day, Patient A's liver function tests continued
19 to rise and a gastroenterologist (Dr. R.F.) was consulted to evaluate Patient A. Dr.

20 ////

21 ⁴ Acute cholecystitis is sudden inflammation and irritation of the gallbladder.

22 ⁵ A laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the surgical removal of the gallbladder.

23 ⁶ An IOC is an X-ray of the bile ducts performed during surgery to remove a gall bladder.
24 An IOC looks for gallstones that may be in the common bile duct, and it also allows a surgeon to
25 see the anatomy of the bile duct system from the liver to the small intestine. Viewing the bile
ducts before removal of the gallbladder may help ensure that the surgeon does not accidentally
cut or damage the common bile duct.

26 ⁷ A cholecystostomy tube is a thin tube placed into the gallbladder, for the purpose of
27 draining blocked and infected gallbladder fluid. The gallbladder fluid drains into a collection bag
28 outside of the body.

1 R.F. performed an endoscopic retrograde cholangio pancreatography (ERCP)⁸ and
2 extracted a stone from the common bile duct.

3 (f) On the second postoperative day, Patient A continued to have right
4 upper quadrant pain and it was noted that he was leaking bile fluid around the
5 gallbladder drain. Upon further evaluation, it was determined that the
6 cholecystostomy tube placed by Respondent was dislodged from the gallbladder.
7 The drain was removed and a percutaneous cholecystostomy tube was placed on
8 the patient. On the third postoperative day, Patient A was discharged from PMC.

9 (g) On or about November 19, 2015, Patient A presented to the ED at PMC
10 complaining of abdominal pain. Patient A was readmitted with worsening pain
11 and was taken to the operating room where Respondent attempted a second
12 laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

13 (h) As stated in Respondent's November 19, 2015 operative report, at the
14 time of surgery, Patient A's gallbladder was noted to be "severely scarred in." The
15 scar tissue was again taken down with blunt dissection and hook electrocautery.
16 Respondent had extensive difficulty in dissecting the gallbladder from the liver
17 bed which produced profuse bleeding around the gallbladder. Respondent had to
18 cauterize multiple dilated vessels during the dissection to control the bleeding.
19 The gallbladder was dissected in a dome-down fashion.⁹ According to the
20 operative report, the cystic artery was clipped both proximally and distally, and the
21 gallbladder was dissected down to the cystic duct and removed. Respondent also
22 documented that the actual procedure took three (3) hours, which was much longer

23 ⁸ ERCP is a procedure to diagnose and treat problems in the liver, gallbladder, bile ducts,
24 and pancreas. It combines X-ray and the use of an endoscope (long, flexible, lighted tube) which
can locate and remove gallstones from blocked bile ducts.

25 ⁹ The dome-down technique is an alternative gall bladder dissection sequence used to
26 reduce the risk for surgical complications in a cholecystectomy. Dissecting the gall bladder from
27 the gall bladder bed first ("dome-down"), and subsequently following the gall bladder to the
28 cystic duct, enables utilization of the preferred surgical principle of dissecting from known
anatomy (gall bladder wall) to unknown anatomy (potentially difficult anatomy in the triangle of
safety.)

1 than the normal amount of time required (1 hour), and that was due to the severe
2 scarring of the gallbladder and profuse bleeding.

3 (i) During the second laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Respondent injured
4 Patient A by fully transecting his common bile duct, and also injured his right portal
5 vein.

6 (j) On or about June 24, 2020, at the interview that was part of the Medical
7 Board investigation, Respondent stated that it was not standard of care "to proceed
8 directly to an open cholecystectomy"¹⁰ when a patient is ... indicated for a laparoscopic
9 cholecystectomy ..." Respondent then added, "in my practice, that's not something in
10 my experience that I do ... especially since the majority of times when you go back in
11 after drainage, the gallbladder is amenable to ... laparoscopic resection." Notably,
12 Respondent, after aborting the first laparoscopic cholecystectomy due to complications
13 involving severe scarring, inflammation, and aberrant anatomy – and despite
14 encountering similar complications during the second procedure – still did not convert
15 the second procedure to an open cholecystectomy. Significantly, when asked whether
16 he was able to identify the "critical triangle of safety" (prior to transection of any
17 ductal structures), Respondent admitted, "No, I was not." Another surgeon at PMC,
18 Dr. J.S., consulted with Respondent during the surgery and assisted Respondent with
19 the rest of the surgery. Respondent stated that the final decision was to convert the
20 procedure to a laparoscopic dome-down approach to remove the gallbladder.
21 Respondent stated that the initial plan was to have an IOC performed, but one was not
22 performed during the surgery. According to Respondent, he considered doing a partial
23 cholecystectomy¹¹ but decided against it due to complications.

24 ¹⁰ In an open cholecystectomy, the surgeon removes the gallbladder through a single, large
25 incision in the abdomen. Surgeons do most open cholecystectomy surgeries after trying first to
26 remove the gallbladder with a simple laparoscopic cholecystectomy; and especially with patients
who have scar tissue or other anatomical complications from prior abdominal surgeries.

27 ¹¹ Partial cholecystectomy removes the majority of the gallbladder, leaving a portion of
28 the neck, and occasionally the posterior wall of the gallbladder, in place. Partial cholecystectomy,
whether performed open or laparoscopic, has been described as a safe and effective operation. It

10. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A including, but not limited to, the following:

(a) On November 19, 2015, during the surgery Respondent failed to perform an intraoperative cholangiogram despite Patient A's unclear anatomy; and

(b) On November 19, 2015, during the surgery Respondent injured Patient A's portal vein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision: .

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 131463, issued to Respondent Michael Solomon Katz, M.D.;

2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Michael Solomon Katz, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and advanced practice nurses;

3. Ordering Respondent Michael Solomon Katz, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: MAR 08 2022

WILLIAM PRASIFKA
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SD2020800847
Doc.No.83266462

Continuation of Footnote 11:

is indicated in cases of severe acute cholecystitis. When a surgeon faces difficulty in dissecting the critical triangle of safety ("Calot triangle"), in fear of right hepatic ductal injury or troublesome vascular tearing or fragmental loss of cystic duct by severe inflammatory change, partial cholecystectomy becomes an inevitable option during cholecystectomy.