

BEFORE THE  
PODIATRIC MEDICAL BOARD  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation )  
against: )  
LEONARD ROBERT WAGNER, D.P.M.)  
Doctor of Podiatric Medicine )  
License No. E-1949 )  
Respondent )

File No: 500-2017-000597

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision and Order by the Podiatric Medical Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on OCT 28 2021

IT IS SO ORDERED SEP 28 2021

PODIATRIC MEDICAL BOARD



Judith Manzi, D.P.M., President

1 MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ  
Acting Attorney General of California  
2 E. A. JONES III  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 JOSHUA M. TEMPLET  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 267098  
California Department of Justice  
5 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
6 Telephone: (213) 269-6688  
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
**PODIATRIC MEDICAL BOARD**  
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

13 **LEONARD ROBERT WAGNER, D.P.M.**  
14 **4955 Van Nuys Blvd., Suite 107**  
15 **Sherman Oaks, CA 91403**

16 **Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License**  
**No. DPM 1949,**

17 Respondent.

Case No. 500-2017-000597

OAH No. 2020100665

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND**  
**DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

18  
19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-  
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Brian Naslund (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Podiatric Medical Board  
23 (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this matter by  
24 Matthew Rodriguez, Attorney General of the State of California, via Joshua M. Templet, Deputy  
25 Attorney General.

26 2. Respondent Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M. (Respondent) is represented in this  
27 proceeding by attorney Raymond J. McMahon, Doyle Schafer McMahon, LLP, 5440 Trabuco  
28 Road, Irvine, CA 92620.

3. On or about June 1, 1976, the Board issued Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License No. DPM 1949 to Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M. (Respondent). The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 500-2017-000597 and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless renewed.

## JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 500-2017-000597 (“Accusation”) was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 15, 2020. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

5. A copy of the Accusation is attached as **exhibit A** and is incorporated by reference.

## ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the Accusation. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

## CULPABILITY

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in the Accusation, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Podiatrist License.

///

///

10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.

11. Respondent agrees that his Podiatrist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

## CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph; it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties; and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

## DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Podiatrist License No. DPM 1949 issued to Respondent Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for four years with the following terms and conditions:

1. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - PARTIAL RESTRICTION Respondent shall not order, prescribe, dispense, administer, or possess any controlled substances as defined by the

1 California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, except for those drugs listed in Schedules III, IV,  
2 and V of the Act, until the Board confirms receipt of proof of Respondent's successful  
3 completion of the Prescribing Practices Course described below.

4 2. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - MAINTAIN RECORDS AND ACCESS TO  
5 RECORDS AND INVENTORIES Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled  
6 substances ordered, prescribed, dispensed, administered, or possessed by Respondent during  
7 probation showing all the following: 1) the name and address of the patient; 2) the date; 3) the  
8 character and quantity of controlled substances involved; and 4) the indications and diagnosis for  
9 which the controlled substance was furnished.

10 Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger in chronological order. All  
11 records and any inventories of controlled substances shall be available for immediate inspection  
12 and copying on the premises by the Board or its designee at all times during business hours and  
13 shall be retained for the entire term of probation.

14 Failure to maintain all records, to provide immediate access to the inventory, or to make all  
15 records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises is a violation of  
16 probation.

17 3. EDUCATION COURSE Within 60 days of the effective date of this Decision, and  
18 on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior  
19 approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 25 hours per year, for  
20 each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any  
21 areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I-certified or Board approved and  
22 limited to classroom, conference, or seminar settings. The educational program(s) or course(s)  
23 shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education  
24 requirements, which must be scientific in nature, for renewal of licensure. Following the  
25 completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test  
26 Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance.

27 4. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE Within 60 days of the effective date of this  
28 Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices, at Respondent's expense,

1 approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course  
2 during the first six months of probation is a violation of probation.

3 A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the  
4 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board  
5 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have  
6 been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of  
7 this Decision.

8 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its  
9 designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than  
10 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

11 5. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE Within 60 calendar days of the effective  
12 date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping, at  
13 Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully  
14 complete the course during the first six months of probation is a violation of probation.

15 A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the  
16 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board  
17 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have  
18 been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of  
19 this Decision.

20 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its  
21 designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than  
22 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

23 6. ETHICS COURSE Within 60 days of the effective date of this Decision,  
24 Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by  
25 the Board or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first year is a  
26 violation of probation.

27 An ethics course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but  
28 prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee,

1 be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by  
2 the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

3 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its  
4 designee not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision.

5 7. EXAMINATION Within 90 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision,  
6 Respondent shall arrange to take and pass a written examination approved by the Board. Failure  
7 to pass the examination within one year of the effective date of this Decision is a violation of  
8 probation. Respondent shall pay the costs of all examinations. For purposes of this condition, the  
9 exam shall be a passing score of the National Board of Podiatric Medical Examiners Part III  
10 examination consistent with Business and Professions Code section 2493.

11 If Respondent fails to pass the first examination, Respondent shall be suspended from the  
12 practice of podiatric medicine. Respondent shall cease the practice of podiatric medicine within  
13 72 hours after being notified by the Board or its designee that Respondent has failed the  
14 examination. Respondent shall remain suspended from the practice of medicine until Respondent  
15 successfully passes a follow-up examination, as evidenced by written notice to Respondent from  
16 the Board or its designee.

17 8. PRACTICE MONITORING Within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision,  
18 Respondent's practice shall be monitored, including, but not limited to the following: medical  
19 records, charting, pre- and postoperative evaluations, all surgical procedures, and billing records.

20 The Board shall immediately, within the exercise of reasonable discretion, appoint a doctor  
21 of podiatric medicine from its panel of medical consultants or panel of expert reviewers as the  
22 monitor.

23 The monitor shall provide quarterly reports to the Board or its designee which include an  
24 evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practice is within the  
25 standards of practice of podiatric medicine or billing, or both, and whether Respondent is  
26 practicing podiatric medicine safely.

27 The Board or its designee shall determine the frequency and practice areas to be monitored.  
28 Such monitoring shall be required during the entire period of probation. The Board or its designee

1 may at its sole discretion also require prior approval by the monitor of any medical or surgical  
2 procedures engaged in by Respondent. Respondent shall pay all costs of such monitoring and  
3 shall otherwise comply with all requirements of his contract with the monitor. If the monitor  
4 terminates the contract, or is no longer available, the Board or its designee shall appoint a new  
5 monitor immediately. Respondent shall not practice at any time during the probation until  
6 Respondent provides a copy of the contract with the current monitor to the probation investigator  
7 and such contract is approved by the Board.

8 Respondent shall provide access to the practice monitor of Respondent's patient records  
9 and such monitor shall be permitted to make direct contact with any patients treated or cared for  
10 by Respondent and to discuss any matters related to Respondent's care and treatment of those  
11 patients. Respondent shall obtain any necessary patient releases to enable the monitor to review  
12 records and to make direct contact with patients. Respondent shall execute a release authorizing  
13 the monitor to provide to the Board or its designee any relevant information. If the practice  
14 monitor deems it necessary to directly contact any patient, and thus require the disclosure of such  
15 patient's identity, Respondent shall notify the patient that the patient's identity has been requested  
16 pursuant to the Decision. This notification shall be signed and dated by each patient prior to the  
17 commencement or continuation of any examination or treatment of each patient by Respondent  
18 and a copy of such notification shall be maintained in each patient's file. The notifications signed  
19 by Respondent's patients shall be subject to inspection and copying by the Board or its designee  
20 at any time during the period of probation that Respondent is required to comply with this  
21 condition. The practice monitor will sign a confidentiality agreement requiring him or her to  
22 keep all patient information regarding Respondent's patients in complete confidence, except as  
23 otherwise required by the Board or its designee.

24 Failure to maintain all records, or to make all appropriate records available for immediate  
25 inspection and copying on the premises, or to comply with this condition as outlined above, is a  
26 violation of probation.

27 In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in the professional enhancement program  
28 offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of



1 California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review,  
2 semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education.  
3 Participation in the professional enhancement program is at Respondent's expense.

4 9. PROHIBITED PRACTICE During probation, Respondent is prohibited from  
5 performing surgical procedures of longer than an hour in duration or that require him to remain  
6 standing during the procedure.

7 10. PATIENT DISCLOSURE Before a patient's first visit following the effective date  
8 of this order and while Respondent is on probation, Respondent must provide all patients, or the  
9 patient's guardian or health care surrogate, with a separate disclosure that includes Respondent's  
10 probation status, the length of the probation, the probation end date, all practice restrictions  
11 placed on Respondent by the Board, the Board's telephone number, and an explanation of how  
12 the patient can find further information on Respondent's probation on Respondent's profile page  
13 on the Board's website. Respondent shall obtain from the patient, or the patient's guardian or  
14 health care surrogate, a separate, signed copy of that disclosure. Respondent shall not be required  
15 to provide a disclosure if any of the following applies: (1) The patient is unconscious or otherwise  
16 unable to comprehend the disclosure and sign the copy of the disclosure and a guardian or health  
17 care surrogate is unavailable to comprehend the disclosure and sign the copy; (2) the visit occurs  
18 in an emergency room or an urgent care facility or the visit is unscheduled, including  
19 consultations in inpatient facilities; (3) Respondent is not known to the patient until immediately  
20 prior to the start of the visit; or (4) Respondent does not have a direct treatment relationship with  
21 the patient.

22 11. NOTIFICATION Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine, Respondent shall  
23 provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief  
24 Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent;  
25 at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of podiatric medicine, including all  
26 physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies; and to the Chief Executive

27 ///

28 ///

1 Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent.  
2 Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar  
3 days.

4 This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities, or insurance carrier.

5 12. PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS Prior to receiving assistance from a physician assistant,  
6 Respondent must notify the supervising physician of the terms and conditions of his probation.

7 13. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and all  
8 rules governing the practice of podiatric medicine in California. Respondent shall remain in full  
9 compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

10 14. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations  
11 under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been  
12 compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations  
13 not later than ten calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

14 15. PROBATION COMPLIANCE UNIT Respondent shall comply with the Board's  
15 probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business  
16 and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in  
17 writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an  
18 address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

19 Respondent shall not engage in the practice of podiatric medicine in Respondent's place of  
20 residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California Doctor of Podiatric  
21 Medicine License.

22 Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any  
23 areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30  
24 calendar days.

25 16. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE Respondent shall be  
26 available in person for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit  
27 office with the Board or its designee, upon request, at various intervals and either with or without  
28 notice throughout the term of probation.

1           17. RESIDING OR PRACTICING OUT-OF-STATE In the event Respondent should  
2 leave the State of California to reside or to practice, Respondent shall notify the Board or its  
3 designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is  
4 defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in  
5 any activities defined in section 2472 of the Business and Professions Code.

6           All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has  
7 been approved by the Board or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of  
8 medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a  
9 period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside  
10 California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or  
11 permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to  
12 comply with the probationary terms and conditions, with the exception of this condition, and the  
13 following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and  
14 Cost Recovery.

15           Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent's periods of temporary  
16 or permanent residence or practice outside California totals two years. However, Respondent's  
17 license shall not be cancelled as long as Respondent is residing and practicing podiatric medicine  
18 in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing  
19 authority of that state, in which case the two-year period shall begin on the date probation is  
20 completed or terminated in that state.

21           18. FAILURE TO PRACTICE PODIATRIC MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT

22 In the event Respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason Respondent stops  
23 practicing podiatric medicine in California, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in  
24 writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any  
25 period of non-practice within California as defined in this condition will not apply to the  
26 reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to

27 ///

28 ///

1 comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time  
2 exceeding thirty calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in  
3 section 2472 of the Business and Professions Code.

4 All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its  
5 designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this  
6 condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other  
7 condition of probation shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

8 Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if Respondent resides in California  
9 and, for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in  
10 Business and Professions Code section 2472.

11 19. COMPLETION OF PROBATION Respondent shall comply with all financial  
12 obligations (e.g., cost recovery, restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior  
13 to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's  
14 certificate will be fully restored.

15 20. VIOLATION OF PROBATION If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the  
16 Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and  
17 carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is  
18 filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the  
19 matter is final, the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final, and no petition  
20 for modification of penalty shall be considered while there is an accusation or petition to revoke  
21 probation pending against Respondent.

22 21. COST RECOVERY Within 90 calendar days from the effective date of the Decision  
23 or other period agreed to by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall reimburse the Board the  
24 amount of \$15,000.00 for its investigative and prosecution costs. The filing of bankruptcy or  
25 period of non-practice by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of his obligation to reimburse  
26 the Board for its costs.

27 ///

28 ///

1       22. LICENSE SURRENDER Following the effective date of this Decision, if  
2 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons, or is otherwise unable to satisfy  
3 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request the voluntary surrender of  
4 Respondent's license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to  
5 exercise its discretion whether to grant the request or to take any other action deemed appropriate  
6 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent  
7 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its  
8 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice podiatric medicine. Respondent will no longer  
9 be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of Respondent's license  
10 shall be deemed disciplinary action. If Respondent re-applies for a Doctor of Podiatric Medicine  
11 License, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

12       23. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated  
13 with probation monitoring each and every year of probation as designated by the Board, which  
14 may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Podiatric  
15 Medicine and delivered to the Board or its designee within 60 days after the start of the new fiscal  
16 year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of this date is a violation of probation.

17       24. NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES Respondent shall, upon or before the effective date of  
18 this Decision, post or circulate a notice which actually recites the offenses for which Respondent  
19 has been disciplined and the terms and conditions of probation to all employees involved in his  
20 practice. Within 15 days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall cause his  
21 employees to report to the Board in writing, acknowledging the employees have read the  
22 Accusation and Decision in the case and understand Respondent's terms and conditions of  
23 probation.

24       25. CHANGES OF EMPLOYMENT Respondent shall notify the Board in writing,  
25 through the assigned probation officer, of any and all changes of employment, location, and  
26 address within 30 days of such change.

27 ///

28 ///

26. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIRED CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION

Respondent shall submit satisfactory proof biennially to the Board of compliance with the requirement to complete 50 hours of approved Continuing Medical Education, and shall meet continuing competence requirements for re-licensure during each two-year renewal period.

## ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Raymond J. McMahon. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Podiatrist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Podiatric Medical Board.

DATED:

4/2/21

LEONARD ROBERT WAGNER, D.P.M.  
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED:

April 3, 2021

RAYMOND J. MCMAHON  
Doyle Schaffer McMahon, LLP  
Attorney for Respondent

iii

///

111

11

III

III

111

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board.

DATED: April 5, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ  
Acting Attorney General of California  
E. A. JONES III  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

*Joshua M. Templet*

JOSHUA M. TEMPLET  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Complainant*

LA2020601867  
34949000

**Exhibit A**

**Accusation No. 500-2017-000597**



1 XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of California  
2 E. A. JONES III  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 JOSHUA M. TEMPLET  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 267098  
California Department of Justice  
5 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
6 Telephone: (213) 269-6688  
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117  
7 E-mail: Joshua.Templet@doj.ca.gov  
*Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9  
10 **BEFORE THE**  
**PODIATRIC MEDICAL BOARD**  
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
12

13 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 500-2017-000597

14 **Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M.**  
4955 Van Nuys Blvd., Suite 107  
15 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

**A C C U S A T I O N**

16 **Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License**  
**No. DPM 1949,**

17 Respondent.  
18

19  
20 **PARTIES**

21 1. Brian Naslund (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as  
22 the Executive Officer of the Podiatric Medical Board, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).

23 2. On June 1, 1976, the Board issued Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License Number  
24 DPM 1949 to Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M. (Respondent). The license was in full force and  
25 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless  
26 renewed.

27 ///

28 ///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

4. Section 2222 of the Code states:

The California Board of Podiatric Medicine may order the denial of an application or issue a certificate subject to conditions as set forth in Section 2221, or order the revocation, suspension, or other restriction of, or the modification of that penalty, and the reinstatement of any certificate of a doctor of podiatric medicine within its authority as granted by this chapter and in conjunction with the administrative hearing procedures established pursuant to Sections 11371, 11372, 11373, and 11529 of the Government Code. For these purposes, the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall exercise the powers granted and be governed by the procedures set forth in this chapter.

(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:

- (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made

confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

6. Section 2228.5 of the Code states:

(a) On and after July 1, 2019, except as otherwise provided in subdivision (c), the board shall require a licensee to provide a separate disclosure that includes the licensee's probation status, the length of the probation, the probation end date, all practice restrictions placed on the licensee by the board, the board's telephone number, and an explanation of how the patient can find further information on the licensee's probation on the licensee's profile page on the board's online license information Internet Web site, to a patient or the patient's guardian or health care surrogate before the patient's first visit following the probationary order while the licensee is on probation pursuant to a probationary order made on and after July 1, 2019.

(b) A licensee required to provide a disclosure pursuant to subdivision (a) shall obtain from the patient, or the patient's guardian or health care surrogate, a separate, signed copy of that disclosure.

(c) A licensee shall not be required to provide a disclosure pursuant to subdivision (a) if any of the following applies:

(1) The patient is unconscious or otherwise unable to comprehend the disclosure and sign the copy of the disclosure pursuant to subdivision (b) and a guardian or health care surrogate is unavailable to comprehend the disclosure and sign the copy.

(2) The visit occurs in an emergency room or an urgent care facility or the visit is unscheduled, including consultations in inpatient facilities.

(3) The licensee who will be treating the patient during the visit is not known to the patient until immediately prior to the start of the visit.

(4) The licensee does not have a direct treatment relationship with the patient.

(d) On and after July 1, 2019, the board shall provide the following information, with respect to licensees on probation and licensees practicing under probationary licenses, in plain view on the licensee's profile page on the board's online license information Internet Web site.

(1) For probation imposed pursuant to stipulated settlement, the causes alleged in the operative accusation along with a designation identifying those causes by which the licensee has expressly admitted guilt and a statement that acceptance of the settlement is not an admission of guilt.

(2) For probation imposed by an adjudicated decision of the board, the causes for probation stated in the final probationary order.

(3) For a licensee granted a probationary license, the causes by which the probationary license was imposed.

(4) The length of the probation and end date.

(5) All practice restrictions placed on the license by the board.

(e) Section 2314 shall not apply to this section.

1 (f) For purposes of this section:

2 (1) "Board" means the California Board of Podiatric Medicine.

3 (2) "Licensee" means a person licensed by the California Board of Podiatric  
4 Medicine.

5 7. Section 2497 of the Code states:

6 (a) The board may order the denial of an application for, or the suspension of, or the  
7 revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a certificate to  
8 practice podiatric medicine for any of the causes set forth in Article 12 (commencing  
9 with Section 2220) in accordance with Section 2222.

10 (b) The board may hear all matters, including but not limited to, any contested case  
11 or may assign any such matters to an administrative law judge. The proceedings shall  
12 be held in accordance with Section 2230. If a contested case is heard by the board  
13 itself, the administrative law judge who presided at the hearing shall be present during  
14 the board's consideration of the case and shall assist and advise the board.

### 11 STATUTORY PROVISIONS

12 8. Section 2234 of the Code states:

13 The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional  
14 conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct  
15 includes, but is not limited to, the following:

16 (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the  
17 violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

18 (b) Gross negligence.

19 (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts  
20 or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct  
21 departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

22 (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically  
23 appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single  
24 negligent act.

25 (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission  
26 that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not  
27 limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the  
28 licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure  
constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

(d) Incompetence.

9. Section 2242 states, in pertinent part, that “[p]rescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct.”

10. Section 2266 of the Code states that the failure of a physician to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to his patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

11. Section 822 states:

If a licensing agency determines that its licensee's ability to practice his or her profession safely is impaired because the licensee is mentally ill, or physically ill affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by any one of the following methods:

- (a) Revoking the licensee's certificate or license.
- (b) Suspending the licensee's right to practice.
- (c) Placing the licensee on probation.
- (d) Taking such other action in relation to the licensee as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper.

The licensing agency shall not reinstate a revoked or suspended certificate or license until it has received competent evidence of the absence or control of the condition which caused its action and until it is satisfied that with due regard for the public health and safety the person's right to practice his or her profession may be safely reinstated.

## COST RECOVERY

12. Section 2497.5 of the Code states:

- (a) The board may request the administrative law judge, under his or her proposed decision in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, to direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.
- (b) The costs to be assessed shall be fixed by the administrative law judge and shall not be increased by the board unless the board does not adopt a proposed decision and in making its own decision finds grounds for increasing the costs to be assessed, not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.
- (c) When the payment directed in the board's order for payment of costs is not made by the licensee, the board may enforce the order for payment by bringing an action in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee directed to pay costs.

1 (d) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall  
2 be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

3 (e)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the  
4 license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this  
5 section.

6 (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally  
7 renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who  
8 demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the  
9 board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for those unpaid costs.

10 (f) All costs recovered under this section shall be deposited in the Board of Podiatric  
11 Medicine Fund as a reimbursement in either the fiscal year in which the costs are  
12 actually recovered or the previous fiscal year, as the board may direct.

### 13 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

#### 14 **Patient P-1**

15 13. Respondent treated patient P-1,<sup>1</sup> who was 44-years old when she began treatment,  
16 from April 15, 2015, through September 22, 2017. The patient complained of painful plantar  
17 fasciitis in her right foot. According to his records, Respondent treated her by injecting the  
18 patient's right heel with cortisone at each of her first 22 visits, through November 19, 2015. This  
19 was an excessive and unsafe number of cortisone injections. The standard of care for treatment of  
20 plantar fasciitis includes stretching, ice, massage, use of a night splint, orthotics, shoe changes,  
21 formal physical therapy, and local cortisone injections, including three injections over a three- to  
22 six-month period. If this initial treatment fails, the standard of care calls for surgery.

23 14. On March 15, 2016, the patient also complained of pain in her left big toe, which  
24 Respondent diagnosed as an ingrown toenail. At her subsequent visits, the patient complained of  
25 pain in this toe and in several of her other toes. Respondent diagnosed her with and treated her for  
26 ingrown toenails, including repeated treatment of the same toenails. Respondent failed to consider  
27 and recommend permanent nail margin removal, a surgical procedure that would have resolved  
28 the patient's repeated ingrown toenails. By delaying a permanent solution to her condition,

///

///

<sup>1</sup> The patients are designated in this document as P-1 through P-3 to protect their  
privacy. Respondent knows the names of the patients and can confirm their identities through  
discovery.

1 Respondent placed the patient at a greater risk for complications from the procedure, stemming  
2 from her advancing age. This delay also risked the chance that the patient might not be a  
3 candidate for the procedure in the future.

4 15. During his treatment of P-1, Respondent regularly prescribed her narcotic pain  
5 medication, including 51 prescriptions of 60 tablets of 10/325 mg hydrocodone bitartrate with  
6 acetaminophen<sup>2</sup> ("hydrocodone-acetaminophen"). The quantity of narcotics prescribed by  
7 Respondent was excessive and unnecessary for treatment of this patient's plantar fasciitis and  
8 ingrown toenails.

9 16. Respondent did not document an indication, including objective findings, for  
10 prescribing the patient narcotics, particularly in this quantity or for this duration, rather than a  
11 non-narcotic analgesic. Nor did Respondent document the patient's response to the medication. In  
12 addition, Respondent did not enter into a contract with the patient regulating her use of narcotics  
13 or require any monitoring or testing to confirm that she did not become addicted to or abuse her  
14 medication.

15 17. Most of Respondent's documentation of the patient's visits is cut and pasted from  
16 previous visits, verbatim. For example, Respondent documents the following quote of the  
17 patient's description at each of her visits, over the two years that he treated her: "Patient 'feels  
18 well today' and is in no apparent distress." Respondent's physical examination results are  
19 likewise nearly identical for each visit. Respondent reports, for example, the same respiratory rate  
20 and pulse month after month, and repeating descriptions of the patient, such as, "Patient is alert  
21 and oriented times 3 and has a pleasant disposition."

22 ///

23 ///

24 <sup>2</sup> Hydrocodone-acetaminophen (trade names of which include Norco®) is a combination of two  
25 pain medications: hydrocodone bitartrate, a semisynthetic narcotic, and acetaminophen (trade  
26 names of which include Tylenol®). Effective October 6, 2014, the Drug Enforcement  
27 Administration (DEA) placed hydrocodone-acetaminophen on Schedule II of the Controlled  
28 Substances Act pursuant to title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 1308.12,  
subdivision (b)(1)(vi). The DEA had previously classified it as a Schedule III controlled  
substance. Hydrocodone-acetaminophen is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, and  
a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056,  
subdivision (e).

1 18. Respondent documents providing physical therapy to the patient at each visit, but his  
2 records do not describe the patient's response to the therapy, the goals for her therapy, or whether  
3 the goals were being met.

4 **Patient P-2**

5 19. Respondent treated patient P-2, who was 43-years old when he began treatment, from  
6 October 4, 2014, through August 11, 2017. P-2 presented with a complaint of pain in his left foot.  
7 At subsequent visits, the patient additionally complained of pain in several of his toes.  
8 Respondent diagnosed him with foot pain, neuritis, bursitis, and ingrown toenails, among other  
9 conditions. Respondent also diagnosed the patient with "acute pain" at every one of his visits.

10 20. Throughout his treatment, Respondent documented that P-2 continued to complain of  
11 the same "up to 6 out of 10" level of pain, noting no improvement in the patient's conditions or  
12 any subsiding of pain. Meanwhile, Respondent continued to regularly prescribe the patient the  
13 same quantity and strength of pain medication: 60 tablets of 10/325 mg hydrocodone-  
14 acetaminophen, totaling 78 of such prescriptions over the course of his treatment. The quantity of  
15 narcotics prescribed by Respondent was excessive and unnecessary for treatment of this patient's  
16 conditions.

17 21. Respondent did not document an indication, including objective findings, for  
18 prescribing the patient narcotics, particularly in this quantity or for this duration, rather than a  
19 non-narcotic analgesic. Nor did Respondent document the patient's response to the medication. In  
20 addition, Respondent did not enter into a contract with the patient regulating his use of narcotics  
21 or require any monitoring or testing to confirm that he did not become addicted to or abuse his  
22 medication.

23 22. Most of Respondent's documentation of the patient's visits is cut and pasted from  
24 previous visits, verbatim. For example, for each of his visits, Respondent documented, "Patient  
25 relates pain up to 6 of 10. Patient 'feels well today' and is in no apparent distress." Likewise,  
26 Respondent's findings from his physical examination and his treatment plan remain virtually  
27 unchanged from visit to visit.

28 ///



1        23. Respondent documents providing physical therapy to the patient at each visit, but his  
2 records do not describe the patient's response to the therapy, the goals for his therapy, or whether  
3 the goals were being met.

4        **Patient P-3**

5        24. Respondent treated patient P-3, who was 39-years old when he began treatment, from  
6 October 6, 2014, through September 15, 2017. P-3 presented with a chief complaint of pain in his  
7 left foot and ankle. At subsequent visits, the patient complained of pain in several of his toes,  
8 sometimes also renewing his complaint of pain in his left foot and ankle, and sometimes omitting  
9 it. The level of pain reported by P-3 varied from 3 out of 10 to 10 out of 10. Respondent  
10 diagnosed the patient with foot pain, a "sprain ankle fracture," and plantar fasciitis, among other  
11 conditions.

12        25. At his initial visit, Respondent documented that P-3 was already taking "large doses  
13 of [N]orco."<sup>3</sup> Respondent also documented, according to the patient's insurance company, that  
14 the patient was already being prescribed pain medication from six other physicians. Respondent  
15 did not document making any effort to confirm the type and quantity of pain medications that P-  
16 3's other providers were prescribing him, or coordinating P-3's other pain medications with those  
17 that he prescribed.

18        26. During his treatment of P-3, Respondent regularly prescribed him narcotic pain  
19 medication, including 76 prescriptions for 60 tablets of 10/325 mg hydrocodone-acetaminophen.  
20 The quantity of narcotics prescribed by Respondent was excessive and unnecessary for treatment  
21 of this patient's conditions.

22        27. Respondent did not document an indication, including objective findings, for  
23 prescribing the patient narcotics, particularly in this quantity or for this duration, rather than a  
24 non-narcotic analgesic. Nor did Respondent document the patient's response to the medication. In  
25 addition, Respondent did not enter into a contract with the patient regulating his use of narcotics  
26 or require any monitoring or testing to confirm that he did not become addicted to or abuse his  
27 medication.

28        <sup>3</sup> Norco® is a trade name for hydrocodone-acetaminophen.

1           28. At P-3's first visit, Respondent documented, "patient told again to go see a pain  
2 management Dr." Respondent copied and pasted this same sentence in his records for most of the  
3 patient's subsequent visits. Respondent's records do not indicate that the patient complied with  
4 his recommendation that he seek treatment from a pain management specialist or whether  
5 Respondent took any further steps to facilitate this.

6           29. Most of Respondent's documentation of the patient's visits is cut and pasted from  
7 previous visits, verbatim. For example, for each of his visits, Respondent documented, "Patient  
8 'feels well today' and is in no apparent distress." Likewise, Respondent's findings from his  
9 physical examination and his treatment plan remain virtually unchanged from visit to visit.

10          30. Respondent documents providing physical therapy to the patient at many of his visits,  
11 but his records do not describe the patient's response to the therapy, the goals for his therapy, or  
12 whether the goals were being met.

13           **Non-Cooperation with Inquiring Pharmacist**

14          31. Respondent's excessive prescribing of pain medication caught the attention of a  
15 concerned pharmacist at one of the pharmacies where his patients filled their medications. The  
16 pharmacist telephoned Respondent on three occasions to confirm the diagnosis underlying his  
17 prescriptions. Respondent refused to share his diagnosis with the pharmacist, and during one  
18 phone call told her, "You don't need to know that information; just fill the prescription." The  
19 pharmacist thereafter refused to fill prescriptions written by Respondent.

20          32. The standard of care for a prescribing podiatrist was to discuss a patient's case with  
21 an inquiring pharmacist, including diagnoses, prescriptions, and allergies. This is in the patient's  
22 interest, to avoid conflicting medications, to reduce mistakes in medications, and to be certain that  
23 the patient is not abusing dangerous drugs or receiving them from multiple sources unbeknownst  
24 to the patient's prescribers.

25       ///

26       ///

27       ///

28       ///

1           **Physical Illness Affecting Respondent's Competency**

2           33. On January 22, 2019, an investigator conducted an interview of Respondent on behalf  
3 of the Board regarding the allegations underlying this pleading. Shortly after the interview began,  
4 Respondent ended it early, as he was feeling ill. The investigator noted that Respondent appeared  
5 frail, used a walking cane, and was wearing a nasal oxygen cannula.

6           34. Respondent agreed to a physical examination to determine whether he was able to  
7 practice medicine safely. A physician examined Respondent, on May 18, 2019, and concluded  
8 that Respondent's physical limitations—including problems with his spine, back pain, back  
9 spasms, scoliosis, and severe kyphosis—impair his ability to stand, walk, bend, twist, or to  
10 engage in other positions and motions needed to perform surgery. As a result of Respondent's  
11 immobility, the evaluating physician concluded that Respondent is not able to safely perform  
12 prolonged surgeries, or any surgeries that require standing.

13                           **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14                                   **(Gross Negligence)**

15           35. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of  
16 the Code, because he engaged in the following acts of gross negligence in the care and treatment  
17 of patients, as alleged above:

- 18           A. Respondent's treatment of P-1's plantar fasciitis by injecting the patient's right heel  
19           with cortisone at each of her 22 visits, from April 15, 2015, through November 19,  
20           2015, was excessive and unsafe, and constitutes an extreme departure from the standard  
21           of care.
- 22           B. Respondent's failure to consider and recommend permanent nail margin removal to  
23           resolve P-1's' repeated ingrown toenails was an extreme departure from the standard of  
24           care.
- 25           C. Respondent's regular prescribing of hydrocodone-acetaminophen throughout his  
26           treatment of P-1, without considering and recommending a non-narcotic analgesic, was  
27           an extreme departure from the standard of care. Respondent's failure to document an  
28           indication for prescribing narcotics or the patient's response to the medication, and his

1 failure to enter into a contract with the patient regulating her use of narcotics or to  
2 require any monitoring or testing to confirm that she not become addicted to or abuse  
3 her medication, further supports this departure.

4 D. Respondent's regular prescribing of hydrocodone-acetaminophen throughout his  
5 treatment of P-2, without considering and recommending a non-narcotic analgesic, was  
6 an extreme departure from the standard of care. Respondent's failure to document an  
7 indication for prescribing narcotics or the patient's response to the medication, and his  
8 failure to enter into a contract with the patient regulating his use of narcotics or to  
9 require any monitoring or testing to confirm that he not become addicted to or abuse his  
10 medication, further supports this departure.

11 E. Respondent's regular prescribing of hydrocodone-acetaminophen throughout his  
12 treatment of P-3, without considering and recommending a non-narcotic analgesic, was  
13 an extreme departure from the standard of care. Respondent's failure to document an  
14 indication for prescribing narcotics or the patient's response to the medication, and his  
15 failure to enter into a contract with the patient regulating his use of narcotics or to  
16 require any monitoring or testing to confirm that he not become addicted to or abuse his  
17 medication, further supports this departure. Respondent's failure to document any effort  
18 to confirm the type and quantity of pain medications that P-3's other providers were  
19 prescribing him, or to coordinate P-3's other pain medications with those that he  
20 prescribed also supports this departure.

21 F. Respondent's failure to maintain adequate and accurate records for his treatment of P-1,  
22 P-2, or P-3 constitutes an extreme departure from the standard of care.

23 G. Respondent's refusal to discuss his patient's prescriptions with an inquiring pharmacist  
24 charged with filling the prescriptions was an extreme departure from the standard of  
25 care.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Repeated Negligent Acts)**

3 36. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of  
4 the Code, because he engaged in repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of patients P-1,  
5 P-2, and P-3. These acts include those alleged in the First Cause for Discipline.

6 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Prescribing Without a Prior Examination and Medical Indication)**

8 37. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242 of the Code, because  
9 he prescribed dangerous drugs as defined in section 4022 of the Code to patients P-1, P-2, and P-3  
10 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, as alleged above.

11 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Inadequate and Inaccurate Records)**

13 38. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, because  
14 he failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of the medical services that he provided to  
15 patients P-1, P-2, and P-3, as alleged above.

16 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Physical Illness Affecting Competency)**

18 39. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action and practice restriction under section 822  
19 of the Code, because his immobility impairs his ability to practice podiatry safely and constitutes  
20 physical illness affecting his competency, as alleged above.

21 **PRAAYER**

22 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,  
23 and that, following the hearing, the Podiatric Medical Board issue a decision:

24 1. Revoking or suspending Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License Number DPM 1949,  
25 issued to Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M.;


26 2. Ordering Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M. to pay the actual and reasonable costs of  
27 the investigation and prosecution of the case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section  
28 2497.5;

1           3.     Revoking, suspending, or denying approval of Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M.'s  
2 authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

3           4.     Ordering Leonard Robert Wagner, D.P.M., if placed on probation, to pay the Board  
4 the costs of probation monitoring; and

5           5.     Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.  
6  
7

8  
9     DATED: **SEP 15 2020**

  
BRIAN NASLUND  
Executive Officer  
Podiatric Medical Board  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
*Complainant*

10  
11  
12  
13  
14     LA2020601867  
15     63583204.docx  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28