

**BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**In the Matter of the Second
Amended Accusation Against:**

Michael Omid, M.D.

Case No. 05-2012-223866

**Physician's and Surgeon's
Certificate No. A 84519**

Respondent

DECISION AND ORDER

On November 13, 2015, a Decision became effective wherein Respondent, Michael Omid, M.D., was ordered to complete an ethics course and a medical record keeping course, prior to being publicly reprimanded pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). On August 22, 2016, the Medical Board of California notified the Respondent that he fully complied with the terms and conditions of the Decision. In accordance with the Decision, Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 84519 was publicly reprimanded on August 22, 2016.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By: Dev Gnanadev MD
Dev Gnanadev, M.D.
President

**BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Second Amended	)	
Accusation Against:	)	
	)	
MICHAEL OMIDI, M.D.	)	Case No. 05-2012-223866
	)	
Physician's and Surgeon's	)	
Certificate No. A 84519	)	
	)	
Respondent	)	
_____	)	

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 13, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED: October 16, 2015.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By: Dev Gnanadev M.D.
Dev Gnanadev, M.D., Chair
Panel B

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 CLAUDIA RAMIREZ
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 205340
California Department of Justice
5 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, California 90013
6 Telephone: (213) 897-5678
Facsimile: (213) 897-9395
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
10 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Second Amended
Accusation Against:

12 MICHAEL OMIDI, M.D.
13 9001 Wilshire Blvd.
14 Beverly Hills, CA 90211

15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
No. A 84519,

16 Respondent.

Case No. 05-2012-223866

OAH No. 2013060809

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

17
18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
19 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

20 PARTIES

21 1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer ("Complainant") is the Executive Director of the Medical
22 Board of California ("Board"). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is
23 represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by
24 Claudia Ramirez, Deputy Attorney General.

25 2. Respondent Michael Omidi, M.D. ("Respondent") is represented in this proceeding
26 by attorney Albert J. Garcia, whose address is: 2000 Powell Street, Suite 1290, Emeryville,
27 California, 94608.

28 3. On or about September 5, 2003, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's

1 Certificate No. A 84519 to Respondent. That Certificate was in full force and effect at all times
2 relevant to the charges brought in the Second Amended Accusation No. 05-2012-223866, and
3 will expire on September 30, 2015, unless renewed.

4 JURISDICTION

5 4. The Second Amended Accusation No. 05-2012-223866 was filed before the Board
6 and the Second Amended Accusation is currently pending against Respondent. The Second
7 Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on
8 Respondent on July 8, 2015. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the
9 Second Amended Accusation.

10 5. A copy of the Second Amended Accusation No. 05-2012-223866 is attached as
11 exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

12 ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

13 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the
14 charges and allegations in the Second Amended Accusation No. 05-2012-223866. Respondent
15 has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated
16 Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

17 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a
18 hearing on the charges and allegations in the Second Amended Accusation; the right to be
19 represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses
20 against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the
21 issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents;
22 the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded
23 by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

24 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and
25 every right set forth above.

26 CULPABILITY

27 9. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could
28 establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in the Second

1 Cause for Discipline of the Second Amended Accusation No. 05-2012-223866, and that he has
2 thereby subjected his license to disciplinary action.

3 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to
4 discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the
5 Disciplinary Order below.

6 11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this
7 proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is
8 involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal, civil or other proceeding.

9 CONTINGENCY

10 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands
11 and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly
12 with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by
13 Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he
14 may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board
15 considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order,
16 the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this
17 paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not
18 be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

19 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
20 copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format
21 (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

22 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
23 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following
24 Disciplinary Order:

25 DISCIPLINARY ORDER

26 15. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that upon completion of the following course work,
27 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 84519 issued to Respondent Michael Omidi, M.D.
28 will be publicly reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227,

CP MD AS

1 subdivision (a)(4). This public reprimand, ~~which is issued in connection with Respondent's care~~
2 ~~and treatment of Patients G.B. and R.D. as set forth in the Second Amended Accusation No. 05-~~
3 ~~2012-223866~~ is as follows:

4 You failed to maintain adequate and accurate medical records in violation of Business and
5 Professions Code section 2266, as more fully described in the Second Amended Accusation No.
6 05-2012-223866.

7 **A. ETHICS COURSE**

8 Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll, at
9 his own expense, in a course in ethics, approved in advance by the Board or its designee.

10 Respondent shall successfully complete said course no later than six months after his initial
11 enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

12 Respondent may satisfy this term by successfully completing said course prior to the effective
13 date of the Decision adopting this Stipulated Settlement. Upon successfully completing said
14 course, Respondent agrees to forward, no later than 15 days after successfully completing the
15 course, a copy of the Certificate of Successful Completion of the course to the Board or its
16 designee.

17 Failure to participate in and successfully complete the medical record-keeping course
18 outlined above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and is grounds for further disciplinary
19 action.

20 **B. MEDICAL RECORD-KEEPING COURSE**

21 Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall
22 enroll, at his own expense, in a course in medical record keeping, approved in advance by the
23 Board or its designee. The PACE medical record keeping course offered at the University of
24 California - San Diego School of Medicine is an approved course. Respondent shall successfully
25 complete said course no later than six months after his initial enrollment unless the Board or its
26 designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Respondent may satisfy this term by
27 successfully completing said course prior to the effective date of the Decision adopting this
28 Stipulated Settlement. Upon successfully completing said course, Respondent agrees to forward,

1 no later than 15 days after successfully completing the course, a copy of the Certificate of
2 Successful Completion of the course to the Board or its designee.

3 Failure to participate in and successfully complete the medical record-keeping course
4 outlined above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and is grounds for further disciplinary
5 action.

6 ACCEPTANCE

7 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully
8 discussed it with my attorney, Albert J. Garcia. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will
9 have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and
10 Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the
11 Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

12
13 DATED:

8/26/15




14 MICHAEL OMIDI, M.D.
Respondent

15 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Michael Omidi, M.D. the terms and
16 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
17 I approve its form and content.

18 DATED:

8/26/15


19 Albert J. Garcia
Attorney for Respondent

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Dated: 8-26-15

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

CLAUDIA RAMIREZ
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A

Second Amended Accusation No. 05-2012-223866

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 CLAUDIA RAMIREZ
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 205340
California Department of Justice
5 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, California 90013
6 Telephone: (213) 897-5678
Facsimile: (213) 897-9395
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO *July 8* 20 *15*
BY *R. Voong* ANALYST

8
9 **BEFORE THE**
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11
12 In the Matter of the Second Amended
Accusation Against:

Case No. 05-2012-223866

13 MICHAEL OMIDI, M.D.
14 P.O. Box 24366
Los Angeles, California 90024

OAH No. 2013060809

15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
16 Number A 84519,

SECOND AMENDED
A C C U S A T I O N

17 Respondent.

18
19 Complainant alleges:

20 PARTIES

21 1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer ("Complainant") brings this Second Amended Accusation
22 solely in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California
23 ("Board").

24 2. On or about September 5, 2003, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's
25 Certificate number A 84519 to Michael Omid, M.D. ("Respondent"). That license was in full
26 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September
27 30, 2015, unless renewed.

28 ///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

3. This Second Amended Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code").

4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Real Estate Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other discipline as the Board deems proper.

5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

“The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

“ ”
• • •

“(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.”

“ ”
• • •

6. Section 2266 of the Code states: “The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.”

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts - Patient G.B. and Patient R.D.)

7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 subdivision (c) of the Health Care Law, in that he was negligent in the care and treatment of patients G.B. and R.D. The circumstances are as follows:

Patient G.B.

8. G.B., an adult female patient, was attracted to the plastic surgery practice of Respondent as a result of paid advertising. She met with Respondent on February 20, 2007. He

1 diagnosed her with capsular contracture of both breasts.¹

2 9. They decided to proceed with surgery consisting of removal of the ruptured silicone
3 gel implants that G.B. had from prior surgery and the capsule around the old breast implants that
4 was responsible for G.B.'s discomfort would be removed. New breast implants would also be
5 placed.

6 10. Surgery was performed on February 28, 2008. To G.B.'s distress, she suffered a
7 recurrence of capsular contracture shortly after her operation. Following her surgery, she was
8 seen for a follow-up visit the next day, February 29, 2008, and approximately two weeks later on
9 March 4, 2008, when she had the surgical drains removed. However, her medical chart contains
10 no reference to any additional follow-up visits for two years, until March 10, 2010. Neither is
11 there any record of Respondent's office attempting to contact G.B. for follow-up or missed
12 follow-up appointments.

13 11. On April 3, 2010, Respondent diagnosed G.B. with a recurrence of capsular
14 contracture for which revision surgery was proposed.

15 12. It is the standard of care to be available to meet and re-examine the postoperative
16 patient as frequently as needed or to provide alternative medical coverage. The care of the patient
17 with capsular contracture continues beyond the operative room, and especially for one who has
18 had a recurrence. Respondent was unavailable for the postoperative care of G.B. from a week
19 after the February 28, 2008 surgery until March 2010, two years later. Respondent's failure to
20 properly follow up with G.B., or to make arrangements for another physician to do so, is a
21 departure from the standard of care.

22 13. It is the standard of care to keep and maintain complete medical records on all
23 patients who have received medical services. Preoperative records should include proof of

24 ¹ Capsular contracture is a medical complication that refers to the formation of capsules of tightly-woven
25 collagen fibers, created by the body's response to the presence of foreign objects surgically implanted, such as breast
26 implants. Capsular contracture occurs when the collagen-fiber capsule tightens and squeezes the breast implant. It
27 can be painful to the patient and may distort the aesthetics of the breast. The cause of capsular contracture is
28 unknown, but factors common to its incidence include, bacterial contamination, rupture of the breast-implant shell,
leakage of the silicone-gel filling, and hematoma. Because capsular contracture is a consequence of the immune
system defending the patient's bodily integrity and health, it might reoccur, even after corrective surgery for the
initial incidence.

1 informed consent and an explanation of a patient's choices. G.B.'s preoperative records do not
2 show that Respondent informed her about the high probability of a postoperative recurrence of
3 capsular contracture. G.B.'s preoperative records also do not show that she gave informed
4 consent about the high probability of a postoperative recurrence of capsular contracture.

5 Patient R.D.

6 14. On or about January 28, 2010, R.D., an adult female patient, visited the practice of
7 Respondent to inquire about the lap band procedure in order to reduce her weight. Patient R.D.
8 was encouraged to have breast reduction surgery instead of bariatric surgery.

9 15. On April 22, 2010, R.D. underwent a reduction mammoplasty² and suction assisted
10 lipectomy³ of her breasts bilaterally, performed by Respondent. She had a large volume of
11 material removed and suffered a right nipple-areolar loss with loss of sensation and asymmetry
12 requiring re-operation.

13 16. R.D. remained a patient of the practice of Respondent and participated in preparations
14 to undergo bariatric surgery. As part of those preparations, she was instructed to undergo a sleep
15 apnea study, endoscopy, and ultrasound. On or about February 2011, she was told by
16 Respondent's staff that she "qualified for a C-PAP (continuous positive airway pressure)
17 machine." The C-PAP machine is designed to help patients with documented obstructive sleep
18 apnea to increase inflow of air while they sleep. It is designed specifically for patients with a
19 documented diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea. R.D. never had any complaints or diagnoses of
20 obstructive sleep apnea.

21 17. The standard of care is to do all those medical actions that are medically necessary to
22 ensure the successful and safe outcome of the procedure in a humane and ethical manner.
23 Accordingly, any preoperative testing that may contribute to improved patient safety during the
24 operative procedure is certainly within the standard of care. However, offering the patient
25 inaccurate or misleading information about their health status – in this case, that she had the

26 ² Reduction mammoplasty is an operation to remove excess breast fat, glandular tissue and skin to achieve a
27 breast size in proportion with the patient's body and to alleviate the discomfort associated with overly large breasts.

28 ³ A lipectomy is the surgical removal of fatty tissue.

1 condition of obstructive sleep apnea – is a departure from the standard of care.

2 18. R.D.'s medical chart is devoid of the sleep apnea test results for which Respondent
3 referred her.

4 19. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 8 through 19, inclusive
5 above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination therefore, constitute repeated
6 negligent acts pursuant to section 2234, subdivision (c) of the Code. Therefore, cause for
7 discipline exists.

8 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

9 (Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records – Patients G.B. and R.D.)

10 20. By reason of the facts set forth above in the First Cause for Discipline, Respondent is
11 subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 2266 for failure to
12 maintain adequate and accurate medical records.

13 DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

14 21. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
15 Complainant alleges that on October 3, 2008, in a prior disciplinary action entitled, *In the Matter*
16 *of the Accusation Against Michael Omid, M.D.*, Medical Board of California Case No. 05-2005-
17 170875, Respondent's license was revoked, with the revocation stayed and three (3) years of
18 probation imposed together with other requirements, including completing an ethics course, for
19 violating Business and Professions Code section 2216 (restrictions on use of anesthesia) and
20 Health and Safety Code section 1248.1, subdivision (g) (operation and maintenance of outpatient
21 setting; restrictions) with respect to the surgeries that he performed on three patients. That
22 decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

23 PRAYER

24 **WHEREFORE**, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
25 and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 26 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 84519,
27 issued to Respondent;
28 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent's authority to supervise

1 physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;

2 3. Ordering Respondent, if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of probation
3 monitoring; and

4 4. Taking such other and further action as the Board deems necessary and proper.

5
6 DATED: July 8, 2015


KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

10
11 LA2013606864
12 61615349.doc
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28