# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)	
CRAIG J. BALL, M.D. Certificate No. G-38467	)	No. 11-2000-110596
Petitioner	)	

### **DECISION AFTER RECONSIDERATION**

A Petition for Reconsideration and Limited Modification and Application for Stay of Decision Pending Modification was filed by the complainant on May 22, 2003. On May 22, 2003, pursuant to Government Code Section 11521, a stay was granted until June 2, 2003, to allow the Board time to review and consider the Petition.

The Division of Medical Quality, having considered the matter, hereby grants the Petition for Reconsideration and Limited Modification and modifies that part of Condition 2 of the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program) found on page 5 at lines 17 and 18 to read as follows: "Commencing 90 days after the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall not practice medicine until he has successfully completed the PACE Program."

The decision of the Division of Medical Quality dated April 22, 2003 is attached as Exhibit A and, except as modified above, is hereby adopted and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

This decision shall become effective on June 2, 2003.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 2 nd day of June, 2003.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Bv:

RONALD WENDER, M.D.

President

Division of Medical Quality

# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10596
10390

# **ORDER GRANTING STAY**

Peter R. Osinoff, Esq., on behalf of Craig J. Ball, M.D., has filed a request for a stay of execution of the Decision in this matter with an effective date of May 22, 2003.

Execution is stayed until June 2, 2003.

This stay is granted solely for the purpose of allowing the Board time to review and consider the Petition for Reconsideration.

DATED:

May 22, 2003

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

3y:

DAVID T. THORNTON Chief of Enforcement

# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	filed ) ) )	
CRAIG J. BALL, M.D.	)	No: 11-2000-110596
Certificate No. G-38467	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
	Respondent )	

# **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 22, 2003

IT IS SO ORDERED April 22, 2003

Bv:

RONALD WENDER, M.D.

Chair - Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

1 2 3 4 5	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California CINDY M. LOPEZ, State Bar No. 119988 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7373 Facsimile: (213) 897-1071		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE T		
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 11-2000-110596	
12	CRAIG J. BALL, M.D. 73 180 El Paseo	OAH No. L 200100349	
13	Palm Desert, CA 92260	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Physician & Surgeon Certificate No. G 38467		
15	Respondent.		
16		•	
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED by and between the parties to the	
18	above-entitled proceedings that the following matter	rs are true:	
19	<u>PARTIE</u>	<u>SS</u>	
20	1. Ron Joseph (Complainant) is	the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
21	of California. He brought this action solely in his of	fficial capacity and is represented in this	
22	matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the Stat	te of California, by Cindy M. Lopez, Deputy	
23	Attorney General.		
24	2. Respondent Craig J. Ball, M.	D. (Respondent) is represented in this	
25	proceeding by attorney Peter Osinoff, whose address	s is 3699 Wilshire Blvd., 10th Floor, Los	
26	Angeles, California 90010-2719.		
27	3. On or about November 20, 19	978, the Medical Board of California issued	
28	Physician & Surgeon Certificate No. G 38467 to Cr	aig J. Ball, M.D. (Respondent). The	

Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 11-2000-110596 and will expire on June 30, 2002, unless renewed.

### **JURISDICTION**

4. Accusation No. 11-2000-110596 was filed before the Division of Medical Quality (Division), Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs,, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 1, 2001. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 11-2000-110596 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

# **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 11-2000-110596. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### CULPABILITY

8. For the purpose of resolving Accusation No. 11-2000-110596, without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for a violation of Business and Professions Code section 2234, subdivision (c), in failing to discuss with the anesthesiologist the potential

flammability of the ET tube, associated equipment, and the oxygen mixture used by the anesthesiologist during the laryngeal laser surgery, and in failing to change such equipment, which resulted in a fire in the patient's throat during surgery in 1996.

9. Respondent agrees that his Physician & Surgeon Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

### **CONTINGENCY**

- Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician & Surgeon Certificate No. G 38467 issued to Respondent Craig J. Ball, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for seven (7) years on the following terms and conditions.

Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall

- 1. EDUCATION COURSE Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the Division or its designee which shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge which shall not be less than 25 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for re-licensure.

  Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for his continuing medical education of which 25 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.
- PROGRAM Within 90 days from the effective date of this decision, respondent, at his/her expense, shall enroll in The Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (hereinafter the "PACE Program"). The PACE Program consists of the Comprehensive Assessment Program which is comprised of two mandatory components: Phase 1 and Phase 2. Phase 1 is a two-day program which assesses physical and mental health; neuropsychological performance; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to the specialty or sub-specialty of the respondent. After the results of Phase 1 are reviewed, respondent shall complete Phase 2. Phase 2 comprises five (5) days (40 hours) of Clinical Education in respondent's field of specialty. The specific curriculum of Phase 2 is designed by PACE Faculty and the Department or Division of respondent's specialty, and utilizes data obtained from Phase 1. After respondent has completed Phase 1 and Phase 2, the PACE

Evaluation Committee will review all results and make a recommendation to the Division or its designee as to whether further education, clinical training (including scope and length), treatment of any medical and/or psychological condition and any other matters affecting respondent's practice of medicine will be required or recommended. The Division or its designee may at any time request information from PACE regarding the respondent's participation in PACE and/or information derived therefrom. The Division may order respondent to undergo additional education, medical and/or psychological treatment based upon the recommendations received from PACE.

Upon approval of the recommendation by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undertake and complete the recommended and approved PACE Program. At the completion of the PACE Program, respondent shall submit to an examination on its contents and substance. The examination shall be designed and administered by the PACE Program faculty. Respondent shall not be deemed to have successfully completed the program unless he/she passes the examination. Respondent agrees that the determination of the PACE Program faculty as to whether or not he passed the examination and/or successfully completed the PACE Program shall be binding.

# Respondent cannot practice medicine until he has successfully completed the PACE Program.

Respondent shall complete the PACE Program no later than six months after his initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

If respondent successfully completes the PACE Program, including the examination referenced above, he agrees to cause the PACE Program representative to forward a Certification of Successful Completion of the program to the Division or its designee. If respondent fails to successfully complete the PACE Program within the time limits outlined above, he shall be suspended from the practice of medicine.

Failure to participate in, and successfully complete all phases of the PACE Program, as outlined above, shall constitute a violation of probation.

6

7 8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

PROCTORING Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this 3. decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval the name of another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall proctor respondent's first 15 surgical procedures following the Division's approval of the proctor. The physician shall provide reports to the Division or its designee.

If the proctor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

- PROHIBITED PRACTICE During probation, respondent is prohibited 4. from performing laryngeal laser surgery. At the earliest opportunity, respondent shall inform applicable patients that respondent is unable to perform this treatment or procedure.
- 5. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 6. **QUARTERLY REPORTS** Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE 7. Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall, at all times, maintain a current and renewed physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

8. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the

Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

> 9. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR IN-

STATE NON-PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary order.

- COMPLETION OF PROBATION Upon successful completion of 10. probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 11. VIOLATION OF PROBATION If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 12. <u>COST RECOVERY</u> The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of \$2,000 within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in

writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

- 13. <u>PROBATION COSTS</u> Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division, which are currently set at \$2,488, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- 14. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

#### ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Peter Osinoff. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician & Surgeon Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California.

DATED: <u>9.4.02</u>

CRAIQ J. BALL, M.D.

Respondent

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Craig J. Ball, M.D. the terms and
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
3	Order. I approve its form and content.
4	DATED: 9/5/02
5	
6	PETER OSINOFF
7	Attorney for Respondent
8	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
10	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
11	submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of
12	the Department of Consumer Affairs.
13	
14	DATED: 10. 3. 02
15	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California
16	0
17	Unchy m ds
18	CINDY M. LOVEZ Deputy Attorney General
19	Attorneys for Complainant
20	
21	DOJ Docket Number: 03573160-LA01 1744 Stipulation 8/31/01
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	5
2	7

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	FILED		
2	RICHARD AVILA, State Bar No. 91214 Deputy Attorney General	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
3	CINDY M. LOPEZ, Deputy Attorney General	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO 1 UGa + 27 20 01		
4	California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702	BY Mene ANALYST		
5 6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7373 Facsimile: (213) 897-1071			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE T			
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11		C N 11 2000 110506		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 11-2000-110596		
13	CRAIG J. BALL, M.D. 73 180 El Paseo	ACCUSATION		
14	Palm Desert, California 92260			
15	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 38467.			
16	Physician Assistant Supervisor Approval No. SA 22516,			
17	Respondent.			
18		I		
19	Complainant alleges:			
20	PARTIE	<u> </u>		
21	1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant")	brings this Accusation solely in his official		
22	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Bo	oard of California, Department of Consumer		
23	Affairs.			
24	2. On or about November 20, 19	778, the Medical Board of California issued		
25	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 3846	57 to Craig J. Ball, M.D. ("Respondent").		
26	The Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was in full	force and effect at all times relevant to the		
27	charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2	2002, unless renewed.		
28	///			
	li			

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California ("Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code ("Code").
  - 4. Section 2004 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall have the responsibility for the following:

- "(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
  - "(b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- "(c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a medical quality review committee, the division, or an administrative law judge.
- "(d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- "(e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board."
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
  - 6. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
  - "(b) Gross negligence.
  - "(c) Repeated negligent acts.

///

- "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 7. Section 2266 of the Code provides that the failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 8. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation."
- 9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b) of the Code, in that respondent has engaged in acts and omissions in the care and treatment of a surgery patient which constitute multiple extreme departures from the standard of practice. The circumstances are as follows:
  - A. On or about November 11, 1996, Patient N.C., age 12, was cleared for surgery involving suspension microlaryngoscopy and laser of vocal cord nodules as well as bilateral tonsillectomy. Respondent, an otolaryngologist, scheduled N.C. for these procedures to address her difficulty with breathing and swallowing from enlarged tonsils and hoarseness from suspected nodules on her vocal cords.
  - On or about November 12, 1996, around 11:00 a.m., Dr. Samuel B. Loh, an anesthesiologist, saw N.C. at the White Memorial Medical Center for a presurgery examination and consultation. Respondent did not discuss the planned operation and its possible complications with N.C., or with N.C.'s parents, prior to the surgery. Nor did respondent discuss with Dr. Loh the gases and type of endotracheal tube to be used during the procedure prior to its commencement. Around 12:30 p.m., N.C. was brought into the operating room. Dr. Loh induced anesthesia with thiopental. After administering succinylcholine 100 mg., Dr. Loh took a 5.5 uncuffed polyvinylchloride (PVC) endotracheal tube, wrapped it with 3-M Scotchlite Plus 580 flexible reflective sheeting down to the level of the cuff, and placed it into N.C.'s throat. Dr. Loh noted that the endotracheal tube had a big leak, and informed respondent of this fact. After discussing the big leak in the tube, respondent and Dr. Loh decided that the tube would be satisfactory for use during the surgery. Respondent did not pack wet cottonoids in the larynx prior to the start of the laser surgery, even though the scrub nurse asked if this needed to be done. Dr. Loh maintained anesthesia with oxygen 4 1/min and nitrous oxide 2 1/min for an inspired oxygen concentration measured at 69 percent. Rocuronium was given to provide muscle relaxation.

26

27

28

1

C. Respondent started the laser treatment about 12:45 p.m. At about 12:55 p.m., near the end of the laser treatment, respondent and Dr. Loh saw black smoke coming from N.C.'s mouth. Dr. Loh grabbed a basin of water from a side table and poured it into N.C.'s mouth. Dr. Loh then removed the endotracheal tube, and reintubated N.C.'s trachea with another 5.5 tube. The surgery was terminated, and N.C. was taken to the recovery room.

D. On the first postoperative day, an X-ray revealed a foreign body in the right main stem bronchus. N.C.'s inability to swallow or handle normal oral secretions was noted. A bronchoscopy was performed under general anesthesia and the foreign body was removed. This foreign body was identified as the burned, distal end of the endotracheal tube used to perform the laser treatment. A tracheostomy was then undertaken so that N.C. could handle airway secretions, and a gastrostomy tube was inserted so that N.C. could be fed. Over the next several days, N.C. developed pulmonary edema, subcutaneous emphysema, pneumomediastinum, pneumopericardium, facial edema, and burns of the tongue and lips. N.C. subsequently developed a complete closure of the upper esophagus, requiring another surgery to establish a patent esophagus through the placement of a small bowel in the neck.

- E. On or about December 20, 1996, N.C. was discharged from the White Memorial Medical Center. Though N.C. has made some progress since this date in the restoration of voice through the use of her one remaining, functional vocal cord, she continues to rely on a tracheostomy in lieu of an epiglottis to protect her airway from aspiration. She also continues unable to swallow, and must rely on a gastrostomy tube to receive nutrition. Without a functional epiglottis and swallowing mechanism, it is doubtful that N.C. will ever be able to take food or fluids by mouth again.
- F. Respondent engaged in multiple extreme departures from the standard of practice in the care and treatment of Patient N.C., as follows:
  - (1) He proceeded with a surgery using a CO2 laser without understanding that such a laser may cause combustion when

brought into contact with nitrous oxide and oxygen gases of the type used for anesthesia.

- (2) He failed to terminate the surgery and investigate the odor of gas for a possible leak of a flammable substance.
- (3) He failed to pack wet cottonoids in the larynx prior to the laser surgery, even though reminded of the need to do so by his scrub nurse; and/or failed to document same.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c) of the Code, in that respondent has engaged in multiple departures from the standard of practice in the care and treatment of a surgery patient. The circumstances are as follows:
  - A. The facts stated at above numbered paragraph 9 are incorporated by reference herein as if fully set forth.
  - B. Respondent engaged in multiple departures from the standard of practice in the care and treatment of Patient N.C., as follows:
    - (1) He proceeded with the surgery using a CO2 laser without understanding that such a laser may cause combustion when brought into contact with nitrous oxide and oxygen gases of the type used for anesthesia.
    - (2) He failed to terminate the surgery and investigate the odor of gas for a possible leak of a flammable substance.
    - (3) He failed to pack wet cottonoids in the larynx prior to the laser surgery, even though reminded of the need to do so by his scrub nurse; and/or failed to document same.
    - (4) He failed to discuss the surgery and its possible complications with N.C., or her parents, prior thereto; and/or failed

in violation of section 2234, subdivisions (b), (c) and (d) of the Code. On or about March 7,

1	2000, the allegations raised by said Accusation were resolved by an Agreement, which included
2	a provision for the issuance of a Public Letter of Reprimand. On or about October 17, 2000, a
3	Public Letter of Reprimand was issued against respondent.
4	<u>PRAYER</u>
. 5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
6	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division of Medical Quality issue a decision:
7	Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number G
8	38467, issued to CRAIG J. BALL, M.D.;
9	2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of CRAIG J. BALL, M.D.'s
10	authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
11	3. Ordering CRAIG J. BALL, M.D. to pay the Division of Medical Quality
12	the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on
13	probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
14	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
15	DATED: August 27, 2001
16	
17	$\bigcirc$ $\wedge$ $\downarrow$
18	RON JOSEPH
19	Executive Director  Medical Board of California
20	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
21	Complainant
22	2Accusation.wpt 9/28/00
23	
24	
25	
26	