

1 ROB BONTA  
Attorney General of California  
2 ROBERT MCKIM BELL  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 TRINA L. SAUNDERS  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 207764  
California Department of Justice  
5 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
6 Telephone: (213) 269-6516  
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**  
**MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  
12 **ANA REBECCA REYNA, M.D.**  
13 **Post Office Box 2538**  
14 **Tehachapi, California 93581-2538**  
15 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**  
**No. G 51558,**

Case No. 800-2021-076688

**A C C U S A T I O N**

16 Respondent.

18  
19 **PARTIES**

20 1. Reji Verghese (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as  
21 the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer  
22 Affairs (Board).

23 2. On October 31, 1983, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number  
24 G 51558 to Ana Rebecca Reyna, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full force and effect at  
25 all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2023, unless  
26 renewed.

27 ///

28 ///

**JURISDICTION**

1  
2       3.    This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following  
3 laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise  
4 indicated.

5       4.    Section 2227 of the Code states:

6           (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of  
7 the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government  
8 Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered  
9 into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the  
10 provisions of this chapter:

11           (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.

12           (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one  
13 year upon order of the board.

14           (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation  
15 monitoring upon order of the board.

16           (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a  
17 requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the  
18 board.

19           (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of  
20 probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

21           (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters,  
22 medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations,  
23 continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are  
24 agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters  
25 made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made  
26 available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

27       5.    Section 2234 of the Code, states:

28           The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with  
unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional  
conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

          (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or  
abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

          (b) Gross negligence.

          (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more  
negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a  
separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute  
repeated negligent acts.

          (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically

1 appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single  
negligent act.

2 (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or  
3 omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but  
4 not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the  
licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure  
constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

5 (d) Incompetence.

6 (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is  
7 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and  
surgeon.

8 (f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

9 (g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend  
10 and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a  
certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.

11 6. Section 2266 of the Code states: The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain  
12 adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes  
13 unprofessional conduct.

#### 14 COST RECOVERY

15 7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the  
16 administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of  
17 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and  
18 enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being  
19 renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be  
20 included in a stipulated settlement.

#### 21 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

##### 22 (Gross Negligence - Failure to Wear a Mask & Misleading Statements)

23 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 (b) of the Code, in  
24 that she failed to wear a mask during a patient visit and she provided medical advice to Patient A  
25 that advanced below standard of care treatment. The circumstances are as follows:

26 9. On April 2, 2021, Patient A presented for a clinic visit with Respondent with  
27 complaints of inflammation of several of his toes. Patient A wore a KN-95 mask during his visit

28 ///

1 with Respondent. However, Respondent did not wear a mask. Patient A and Respondent  
2 discussed the patient's work environment and potential causes of the inflammation.

3 10. Patient A shared that he had been working from home because his girlfriend had the  
4 flu, and his job required a two-week quarantine before returning to the office if exposed to  
5 someone with COVID-19 like symptoms. This was met with a lengthy rant by Respondent  
6 regarding COVID-19. Respondent advised Patient A against being vaccinated. According to  
7 Patient A, Respondent, represented that the three available vaccines contained fetal tissue, would  
8 alter his DNA irreparably, and were linked to a significant increase in miscarriages. Respondent  
9 advised that they were not true vaccines, but gene therapy. Respondent further indicated that the  
10 Respondent referred to a medical podcast for the source of some of her advice. In addition, she  
11 expressed a belief that any information representing that COVID was worse than a common flu  
12 was politically motivated, with an intent to negatively impact the then current administration.  
13 Respondent also indicated that masks do not stop COVID.

14 11. Respondent told Patient A that when dealing with patients who exhibited COVID  
15 symptoms she directed them to purchase veterinary Ivermectin<sup>1</sup>, intended for horses.

16 12. At the end of the visit, Respondent told Patient A that his girlfriend should avoid the  
17 COVID vaccines, if she wants to get pregnant. Respondent told Patient A that the vaccines were  
18 responsible for 366% increase in miscarriages. Respondent read this information in a European  
19 paper. Respondent is not a treating physician of Patient A's girlfriend, and had not been  
20 provided with her medical history, or information related to whether the couple had an interest in  
21 having children.

22 13. On September 30, 2022, during her Medical Board investigatory interview,  
23 Respondent indicated that during the visit with Patient A, she was speaking to him "off the  
24 record." Respondent referred to it as a friendly conversation.

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> Ivermectin is an anti-parasitic medication used in people and animals. Ivermectin is  
27 approved for use in people, but only for specific parasitic diseases, not COVID. In addition,  
28 Ivermectin intended to veterinary use – as recommended by this doctor -- contains much larger  
concentrations of the active ingredient, and also ingredients that have not been approved for use  
in humans.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

PRAYER

**WHEREFORE**, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 51558, issued to Ana Rebecca Reyna, M.D.;
2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of her authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
3. Ordering her to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: JUN 23 2023

JENNA JONES POOR  
REJI VERGHESE  
Interim Executive Director  
Medical Board of California  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
  
*Complainant*

Reyna Accusation - SDAG Reviewed.docx