

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 STEVE DIEHL
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 RYAN YATES
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 279257
California Department of Justice
5 1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
6 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 210-7546
7 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247
Attorneys for Complainant
8

9
10 **BEFORE THE**
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12 In the Matter of the Automatic Revocation Order Against:

Case No. 800-2016-019863

13 **HAMID RABIEE, M.D.**
14 2371 Eureka Way
15 Redding CA 96001

**NOTICE OF AUTOMATIC
REVOCATION OF LICENSE**

16 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 55963,

[Bus. & Prof. Code § 2232]

17 Respondent.
18

19 TO: Respondent, HAMID RABIEE, M.D.:

20 **YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED** that the Medical Board of California, Department of
21 Consumer Affairs (Board), has automatically revoked Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.
22 A 55963 by operation of law after having received and reviewed a copy of the record of
23 conviction(s) described below:

24 1. On or about July 5, 2022, the Board received notice of your conviction and
25 sentencing in Case 18F0729 of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of
26 Shasta, in the proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Hamid Rabiee*.
27 These documents establish that on July 5, 2022, Hamid Rabiee, M.D., was found guilty of the
28 following:

- 1 A. Penal Code, Section 289(a)(1)(A), Anal or Genital Penetration with a Foreign
2 object (1 Count);
- 3 B. Penal Code, Section 243.4(A), Sexual Battery by Restraint (7 Counts);
- 4 C. Penal Code, Section 243.4(C), Sexual Battery by Fraud (10 Counts);
- 5 D. Business and Professions Code, Section 729(A), Sexual Exploitation of a
6 Patient; (21 Counts);
- 7 E. Business and Professions Code, Section 729(A), Sexual Penetration by Fraud;
8 (1 Count)
- 9 F. Penal Code, Section 236, False Imprisonment (1 Count);
- 10 G. Penal Code, Sections 236-237, False Imprisonment by Violence (2 Counts); and
- 11 H. Penal Code, Section 289(d)(4), Sexual Penetration by Fraud (1 Count).
- 12 2. On July 5, 2022, Respondent proceeded to sentencing. The sentence was as follows:
- 13 A. Twenty-Seven (27) Years, Eight (8) Months in State Prison;
- 14 B. Total credit of 1,511 days in custody;
- 15 C. Subsequent ten (10) year parole period, following release from prison;
- 16 D. Pay a \$10,000.00 restitution fine pursuant to Penal Code 1202.4;
- 17 E. Pay a court operations fee of \$1,200, pursuant to Penal Code, Section
18 1465.8(a)(1)
- 19 F. Pay an additional restitution fee of \$10,885.98
- 20 G. Pay a criminal conviction fee of \$930.00, pursuant to Government Code,
21 Section 70773;
- 22 H. Upon release, register with the local police agency as a sex offender for life,
23 pursuant to Penal Code Section 290;
- 24 I. Submit to Blood Testing for HIV/AIDS;
- 25 J. Provide DNA samples and fingerprints for addition to law enforcement
26 databases.
- 27 3. Respondent was remanded into the custody of the Shasta County Sheriff for delivery
28 to the California Department of Corrections to serve his prison sentence.

1 4. On August 15, 2022, Count 31, false imprisonment, under Penal Code, Section 236,
2 was subsequently stricken in its entirety. All other charges and sentencing remained as is.

3 5. The following documents support these findings, are attached hereto, and are
4 incorporated herein by reference¹:

5 Attachment A: Copy of the Judgment and Sentence in Case No. CRF18-0000729.

6 **SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION: AUTOMATIC REVOCATION**

7 6. Section 2232 of the Code states in pertinent part:

8 “(a) Except as provided in subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), the board shall automatically
9 revoke the license of any person who, at any time after January 1, 1947, has been required to
10 register as a sex offender pursuant to the provisions of Section 290 of the Penal Code, regardless
11 of whether the related conviction has been appealed. The board shall notify the licensee of the
12 license revocation and of his or her right to elect to have a hearing as provided in subdivision (b).

13 “(b) Upon revocation of the physician’s and surgeon’s certificate, the holder of the
14 certificate may request a hearing within 30 days of the revocation. The proceeding shall be
15 conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 5 (commencing with
16 Section 11500) of Part I of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code).”


17 **WHEREFORE, THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF**
18 **CONSUMER AFFAIRS, HEREBY NOTIFIES YOU THAT**, by virtue of said conviction and
19 requirement to register as a sex offender, Physician’s and Surgeon’s Certificate No. A 55963,
20 issued to Hamid Rabiee, M.D. was automatically revoked by operation law, effective July 5,
21 2022, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2232. You shall cause to be delivered to
22 the Board both your wall and pocket license certificate within 15 days from the date of the service
23 of this Order.

24 **YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT** you have a right to a hearing as provided by
25 Business and Professions Code section 2232, subdivision (b), as set forth above. A request for a
26 hearing may be made by delivering or mailing such a request to: Ryan Yates, Deputy Attorney
27 General, Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O.

28 ¹ The identities of victims have been redacted to protect their privacy.

1 Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550. This notice is being served by a designee of the Board
2 upon Hamid Rabiee, M.D. at his address of record.

3
4 DATED: OCT 14 2022



5 William Prasifka
6 Executive Director
7 Medical Board of California
8 Department of Consumer
9 Affairs State of California

10 SA2022304174
11 36570408.docx

ATTACHMENT A
Conviction Documents

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

Judge: DANIEL E FLYNN

Clerk: L. SCHOTT

Date: 08/15/2022

Time: 2:00 PM

Department 10

Court Reporter: KAITLYN FOX

Bailiff: JAMES DIETZ

Case No. CRF18-0000729

APPEARANCES:

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

vs.

HAMID RABIEE

Defendant.

SARAH MURPHY, DEPUTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY

JACOB LEVIN, ATTORNEY., esq

DEFENDANT PRESENT IN CUSTODY

ORDER AFTER HEARING RE: SENTENCING


This matter comes before the Court to address the sentencing previously held on JULY 05, 2022.

The Court states that the jury found the defendant guilty of a Felony charge of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code as to COUNT THIRTY-ONE after having been provided with an incorrect verdict form.

The Court further states that the discrepancy was not found until the time of sentencing, and therefore, sentenced the defendant to 180 days jail to be served in the Shasta County Jail based on a misdemeanor charge of UNLAWFUL RESTRAINT in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code as charged in the First Amended Information; said sentence to run concurrently to the state prison sentence imposed in Count One.

The Court, having reviewed the sentencing only as to COUNT THIRTY-ONE, noting the discrepancy thereto as stated for the record, orders that the charge of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236/237 as to COUNT THIRTY-ONE and its applicable sentence be stricken in its entirety, as it does not conform to the allegations made.

August 23, 2022


DANIEL E. FLYNN, Judge

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT - PRISON COMMITMENT - DETERMINATE
[NOT VALID WITHOUT COMPLETED PAGE TWO OF CR-290 ATTACHED]

CR-290

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF: SHASTA			FILED		
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs. DEFENDANT: HAMID RABIEE		DOB: [REDACTED]			18F0729 -A
AKA:					-B
CU NO.: 37427769		☐ NOT PRESENT			
BOOKING NO.: 22007838		☐ AMENDED ABSTRACT	AUG 11 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK		
COMMITMENT TO STATE PRISON ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT					
DATE OF HEARING 07-05-22	DEPT. NO. 1	JUDGE D. FLYNN			
CLERK K. PORRAS	REPORTER M. SCHOONOVER	PROBATION NO. OR PROBATION OFFICER ☐ IMMEDIATE SENTENCING			
COUNSEL FOR PEOPLE B. HANNA		COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT J. LEVIN ☒ APPTD.			

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:

☐ Additional counts are listed on attachment

1 (number of pages attached)

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY			TERM (L. M. U)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/2 VOLUNT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VOLUNT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (see 1213.5)	854 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED	
						JURY	COURT	PLEA								YRS.	MOS.
A9	PC	289(a)(1)(A)	ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRAT	2016	06-07-22	X			M							6	0
A1	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A2	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A3	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A4	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A5	PC	236	FALSE IMPRISONMENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL

4. ☐ Deft. sentenced per: ☐ PC 667(b)-(l) or PC 1170.12 (two strikes) ☐ PC 1170(a)(3). Pre-confinement credits equal or exceed time imposed. (Paper Commitment.) Deft. ordered to report to local Parole Office upon release.

5. INCOMPLETED SENTENCE(S) CONSECUTIVE

6. TOTAL TIME ON ATTACHED PAGES: **20** **0**

COUNTY	CASE NUMBER

7. ☐ Additional indeterminate term (see CR-292).

8. TOTAL TIME EXCLUDING COUNTY JAIL TERM: **27** **8**

This form is prescribed under PC 1213.5 to satisfy the requirements of PC 1213 for determinate sentences. Attachments may be used but must be referred to in this document.



18F0729

-A

-B

-C

-D

9. FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS (plus any applicable penalty assessments):

a. Restitution Fine(s):

Case A: \$10,000 per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; \$10,000 per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
 per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.
 Case B: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; \$ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
 per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.
 Case C: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; \$ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
 per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.
 Case D: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; \$ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
 per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

b. Restitution per PC 1202.4(d):

Case A: \$11,175.93 ☐ Amount to be determined to ☒ victim(s)* ☒ Restitution Fund
 Case B: \$ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund
 Case C: \$ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund
 Case D: \$ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund

☐ * Victim name(s), if known, and amount breakdown in Item 11, below. ☐ * Victim name(s) in probation officer's report.

c. Fine(s):

Case A: \$ per PC 1202.5, \$ per VC 23550 or days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ Includes: ☐ \$50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ \$ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense
 Case B: \$ per PC 1202.5, \$ per VC 23550 or days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ Includes: ☐ \$50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ \$ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense
 Case C: \$ per PC 1202.5, \$ per VC 23550 or days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ Includes: ☐ \$50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ \$ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense
 Case D: \$ per PC 1202.5, \$ per VC 23550 or days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ Includes: ☐ \$50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ \$ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense

d. Court Security Fee: \$1,240.00 per PC 1465.8.

e. Criminal Conviction Assessment: \$930.00 per GC 70373.

10. TESTING a. ☒ Compliance with PC 296 verified b. ☒ AIDS per PC 1202.1 c. ☐ other (specify): PC 296

11. Other orders (specify):

\$289.95 Vic Rest to be paid to T.L. (DOB 2/8/52)

12. IMMEDIATE SENTENCE:

☐ Probation to prepare and submit
 Post-sentence report to CDCR per PC 1203c.

Defendant's race/national origin:

13. EXECUTION OF SENTENCE IMPOSED:

a. ☒ at initial sentencing hearing.
 b. ☐ at resentencing per decision on appeal.
 c. ☐ after revocation of probation.
 d. ☐ at resentencing per recall of commitment. (PC1170(d).)
 e. ☐ other (specify):

14. CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED

CASE	TOTAL CREDITS	ACTUAL	LOCAL CONDUCT
A	1,511	1,314	197 ☐ 4019 ☒ 2933.1
B			☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
C			☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
D			☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
Date Sentence Pronounced:		Time Served in State Institution:	
05-16-18		DMH	CDCR CRC
		[]	[] []

15. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the sheriff: ☒ forthwith ☐ after 48 hours excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.
 To be delivered to ☒ the reception center designated by the director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.
☐ other (specify):

CLERK OF THE COURT

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a correct abstract of the judgment made in this action.

DEPUTY'S SIGNATURE
 K. PORRAS

DATE

08-11-22

ATTACHMENT PAGE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.

DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

18F0729

-A

-B-

-C

-D

- | CONVICTED BY | | | TERM
(Y, M, D) | CONCURRENT | CONSECUTIVE
1/3 VOLUNT | CONSECUTIVE
1/3 NON-VOLUNT | CONSECUTIVE
FULL TERM | INCOMPLETE
SENTENCE
prior to date of
trial to date of
trial | BEA LEAVE | PRINCIPAL OR
CONSECUTIVE
TIME IMPOSED | |
|--------------|-------|------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----------|---|------|
| JURY | COURT | Plea | | | | | | | | YRS. | MOS. |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 2 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 1 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 3 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 2 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 1 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 3 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 2 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 1 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 3 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 2 | 0 |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 |
| X | | | M | | | | | | | 0 | 8 |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | 6 | 4 |

- [illegible]

- | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR "S" FOR STAYED | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR "S" FOR STAYED | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR "S" FOR STAYED | TOTAL |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

- | | |
|---|---|
| 6 | 4 |
|---|---|

18F0729

-A

浪

-C

—

- This attachment page number: 3

TOTAL

1

- enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S)

TOTAL

- List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY OTHER ENHANCEMENTS.

List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT

TIME IMPOSED
OR 'S' FOR STAYED

ENLARGEMENT

**TIME IMPOSED
OR 'S' FOR STAYED**

ENHANCEMENT

TIME IMPOSED
OR'S FOR STAYED

EOTAP

- 1

1

CR-290-A

DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

-A

-B

-C

-D

- [illegible]

- [illegible]

- | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR 'S' FOR STAYED | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR 'S' FOR STAYED | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR 'S' FOR STAYED | TOTAL |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | •FORMTEXT | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

- 4

- 4

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT - PRISON COMMITMENT - DETERMINATE
[NOT VALID WITHOUT COMPLETED PAGE TWO OF CR-290 ATTACHED]

CR-290

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF: SHASTA		FILED	
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs. DEFENDANT: HAMID RABIEE		18F0729	-A
AKA: [REDACTED]		-B	AUG 11 2022
CR. NO.: 37427769		REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY	
BOOKING NO.: 22007838 ☐ NOT PRESENT		BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK	
COMMITMENT TO STATE PRISON ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT ☐ AMENDED ABSTRACT			
DATE OF HEARING 07-05-22	DEPT. NO. 1	JUDGE D. FLYNN	
CLERK K. PORRAS	REPORTER M. SCHOONOVER	PROBATION NO. OR PROBATION OFFICER ☐ IMMEDIATE SENTENCING	
COUNSEL FOR PEOPLE B. HANNA		COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT ☒ APPTD. J. LEVIN	

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
☐ Additional counts are listed on attachment
1 (number of pages attached)

Additional counts are listed on attachment 1 (number of pages attached)						CONVICTED BY			TERM (L, M, Y)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (under 18 months)	60% STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED		
COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	JURY	COURT	PLEA								YRS.	MOS.	
A9	PC	289(a)(1)(A)	ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION	2016	06-07-22	X			M								6	0
A1	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X					1	0
A2	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP.	2016	08-07-22	X			M				X			X	3	0
A3	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X			X	2	0
A4	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				X	0	8
A5	PC	236	FALSE IMPRISONMENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0	

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL

4. ☐ Deft. sentenced per: ☐ PC 667(b)-(f) or PC 1170.12 (two strikes) ☐ PC 1170(a)(3). Pre-confinement credits equal or exceed time imposed. (Paper Commitment.) Deft. ordered to report to local Parole Office upon release.

5. INCOMPLETED SENTENCE(S) CONSECUTIVE

COUNTY	CASE NUMBER

6. TOTAL TIME ON ATTACHED PAGES: **20** **0**

7. ☐ Additional indeterminate term (see CR-292).

8. TOTAL TIME EXCLUDING COUNTY JAIL TERM: **27** **8**

This form is prescribed under PC 1213.6 to satisfy the requirements of PC 1213 for determinate sentences. Attachments may be used but must be referred to in this document.

~~SECRET~~

18F0729

-A

-B

-C

-D

9. FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS (plus any applicable penalty assessments):

a. Restitution Fine(s):

Case A: \$10,000 per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; \$10,000 per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case B: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; \$ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case C: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; \$ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case D: \$ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; \$ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

b. Restitution per PC 1202.4(f):

Case A: \$11,175.93 ☐ Amount to be determined to ☒ victim(s)* ☒ Restitution Fund
Case B: \$ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund
Case C: \$ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund
Case D: \$ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund

☐ *Victim name(s), if known, and amount breakdown in Item 11, below. ☐ *Victim name(s) in probation officer's report.

c. Fine(s):

Case A: \$ per PC 1202.5. \$ per VC 23550 or days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ \$50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ \$ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense.
Case B: \$ per PC 1202.5. \$ per VC 23550 or days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ \$50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ \$ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense.
Case C: \$ per PC 1202.5. \$ per VC 23550 or days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ \$50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ \$ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense.
Case D: \$ per PC 1202.5. \$ per VC 23550 or days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ \$50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ \$ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense.

d. Court Security Fee: \$1,240.00 per PC 1465.8.

e. Criminal Conviction Assessment: \$930.00 per GC 70373.

10. TESTING a. ☒ Compliance with PC 296 verified b. ☒ AIDS per PC 1202.1 c. ☐ other (specify): PC 296

11. Other orders (specify):

\$289.95 Vic Rest to be paid to T.L. (DOB 2/8/52)

12. IMMEDIATE SENTENCE:

☐ Probation to prepare and submit
Post-sentence report to CDCR per PC 1203c.

Defendant's race/national origin:

14. CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED

CASE	TOTAL CREDITS	ACTUAL	LOCAL CONDUCT
A	1,511	1,314	197 ☒ 4019 ☒ 2933.1
B			☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
C			☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
D			☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
Date Sentence Pronounced:		Time Served in State Institution:	
05-16-18		DMH ☐ CDCR ☐ CRC ☐	

13. EXECUTION OF SENTENCE IMPOSED:

- a. ☒ at initial sentencing hearing.
b. ☐ at resentencing per decision on appeal.
c. ☐ after revocation of probation.
d. ☐ at resentencing per recall of commitment. (PC1170(d).)
e. ☐ other (specify):

15. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the sheriff ☒ forthwith ☐ after 48 hours excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.
To be delivered to ☒ the reception center designated by the director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.
☐ other (specify):

CLERK OF THE COURT

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a correct abstract of the judgment made in this action.

DEPUTY'S SIGNATURE

K. PORRAS

DATE

08-11-22

CR-290-A

18F0729

-A

-B

-C

✓D

- | CONVICTED BY | | | | TERM
(L, M, H) | CONCURRENT | CONSECUTIVE
12 MONTH | CONSECUTIVE
1/3 NON-VIOLENT | CONSECUTIVE
FULL TERM | INCOMPLETE
SENTENCE
(under 180 days) | 654 DAY | PRINCIPAL OR
CONSECUTIVE
TIME IMPOSED | |
|--------------|-------|------|------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------|---|---|
| JURY | COURT | PLEA | YRS. | | | | | | | | MOs. | |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 | |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 2 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 1 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 3 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 2 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 1 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 3 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 2 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 1 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 3 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | | X | | X | 2 | 0 | |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 | |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 | |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 | |
| X | | | M | | | X | | | | 0 | 8 | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | 6 | 4 |

- [illegible]

- | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR 'S' FOR STAYED | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR 'S' FOR STAYED | ENHANCEMENT | TIME IMPOSED
OR 'S' FOR STAYED | TOTAL |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4. TOTAL TIME IMPROVED | | | | | | |

6	4
---	---

ATTACHMENT PAGE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

18F0729

-A

-8-

-C

-D

- This attachment page number: 3**

TOTAL	9	4
-------	---	---

- enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN-ENHANCEMENT(S).

TOTAL

- List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENTS

TOTAL

- | | |
|---|---|
| 9 | 4 |
|---|---|

ATTACHMENT PAGE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
This attachment page number: 3

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
This attachment page number: 3

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:						CONVICTED BY			TERM (L-M-Y)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (Years & Days)	# OF DAYS	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED	
COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	JURY	COURT	PLEA								YRS.	MOS.
A53	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A54	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A55	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A56	PC	289(d)(4)	SEXUAL PEN - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				2	0
A57	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A58	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A59	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A63	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
TOTAL															4	4	

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

[illegible]

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 867 series).
List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each, or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR 'S' FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR 'S' FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR 'S' FOR STAYED	TOTAL
	•FORMTEXT					

4. TOTAL TIME IMPOSED ON THIS ATTACHMENT PAGE:

/

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA**

Judge: ~~DANIEL E. EYANN~~

Court Reporter: ~~MONICA SCHOONOVER~~

Clerk: KELLY PORRAS

Bailiff: MANUEL RAMOS, SENG THOR

Date: 07/05/2022

Time: 3:00 PM

Case No. CRF18-0000729

APPEARANCES:

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

vs.

BENJAMIN HANNA, CHIEF DEPUTY
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

HAMID RABIEE

Defendant.

JACOB LEVIN, ATTORNEY/DEPUTY PUBLIC
DEFENDER

DEFENDANT PRESENT (IN CUSTODY)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: JUDGMENT AND SENTENCING

This being the time set for judgment and sentencing, the Court has read and considered the report(s) of the Probation Officer and other documents concerning the case.

Victim statements are read to the Court by the District Attorney's Victim Advocate on behalf of D.P., J.P., A.V., and S.A. as stated for the record.

Victim statements are provided by Y.D. and R.W. as stated for the record.

Victim statements are read to the Court by District Attorney's Victim Advocate on behalf of M.V., J.U., A.C., B.K., and T.L. as stated for the record.

Attorney Hanna and Attorney Levin provide argument.

Defendant Hamid Rabiee is sworn and provides a statement to the Court.

Attorney Levin provides a final statement to the Court as stated for the record.

No legal cause is offered as to why judgment and sentence should not be imposed. Arraignment for judgment and sentencing is waived.

Reasons for denying probation and for imposing consecutive sentences are stated on the record by the Court.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY FOREIGN OBJECT, a felony, in violation of Section 289(a)(1)(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of SIX (6) YEARS.

~~IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an~~
offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3). Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FOUR of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS in violation of Section 729(A) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served consecutively to sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, a felony, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIVE of the First Amended Information.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of ELDER OR DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 368(c) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served consecutively the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for ONE (1) YEAR.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT TEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT ELEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for ONE (1) YEAR. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWELVE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3). Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FOURTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentences is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT SEVENTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of **FALSE IMPRISONMENT**, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT EIGHTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for the ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **concurrently** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of **SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT**, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT NINETEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of **SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION**, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3). Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of **SEXUAL BATTERY**, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(c) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of **FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE**, a felony, in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-FOUR of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAY. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-FIVE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and

Professions Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, COUNT THIRTY-ONE. The Court further finds, pursuant to the Amended Information, the defendant is GUILTY of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 days. The Court imposes said sentence based on the charge contained in the Amended Information herein.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-FOUR of the First Amended Information on file

herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

~~AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to~~
STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be
served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-FIVE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in ~~COUNT FORTY~~ of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a felony, in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-FOUR of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-FIVE of the First Amended Information on file ~~herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION, MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.~~

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SECUAL PENETRATION – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of TWO (2) YEARS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-FOUR of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is **GUILTY BY JURY** of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-FIVE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of TWO (2) YEARS, to be served consecutively to sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served consecutively to sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein; and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term for a term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of ELDER/DEPENDENT ABUSE OR NEGLECT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 368(c) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTY of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is STAYED pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed above.

THE TOTAL STATE PRISON SENTENCE imposed herein is TWENTY-SEVEN (27) YEARS and EIGHT (8) MONTHS.

The Court grants custody credits of 1,314 actual days in custody, plus 197 days for custody conduct credit, for a total of 1,511 days credit.

The defendant is advised of the subsequent ten year period of parole supervision following his release from State Prison.

The defendant is advised of the right to appeal.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay a restitution fine of \$10,000.00 pursuant to Penal Code Section 1202.4.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, an additional restitution fine in the amount of \$10,000.00 which shall be SUSPENDED unless the defendant's parole is revoked, pursuant to Penal Code Section 1202.45.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay a court operations fee of \$40.00 as to each count, for a total of \$1,240.00, in accordance with Penal Code Section 1465.8(a)(1).

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay a criminal conviction fee of \$30.00 as to each count, for a total of \$930.00, pursuant to Government Code Section 70373.

~~THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay victim restitution to T.L. (DOB 2/8/52) and/or the Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board, in the amount of \$289.95.~~

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay victim restitution in the amount of \$10,885.98 and any additional amount to be determined to the Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board, at the direction of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant reserves the right to a restitution hearing on any requested amounts.


THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall submit to a blood test for evidence of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) pursuant to Penal Code Section 1202.1

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the defendant shall register with the appropriate law enforcement agency in accordance with Penal Code Section 290 for his lifetime.

The defendant shall provide two specimens of blood, a saliva sample, a right thumb print, and a full palm print impression of each hand per Section 296(a)(1) of the Penal Code.

The Probation Department has determined DNA sample has been provided.

The defendant is remanded to custody of the Shasta County Sheriff for delivery to the Department of Corrections.


DANIEL E FLYNN, Judge

Subject to modification pursuant
to P.C. 11706(d) - Hearing to be
held 8/15/22 re: Count 31

cc: Sheriff - Defendant - Department of Corrections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 1

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID-RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID-RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

NOT A VERDICT

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID-RABIEE,

GUILTY ☒ mistake ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

JUN 07 2022

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. -18F00729

READING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 2

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of **SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION**, in violation of
Section 261.4(C) of the Penal Code, a felony.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 3

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE, guilty of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding:

We, the jury, find the **ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS**
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 4

READING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

If you find the defendant HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding:

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION -- MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 5

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

~~NOT GUILTY~~ **GUILTY**

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Felony.

~~NOT GUILTY~~

If you find the defendant not guilty of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

~~NOT GUILTY~~ **GUILTY**

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict.)

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

3 FILED

4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

5 Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 6

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

6 vs.

7
8 HAMID RABIEE,

9 Defendant

10 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

11
12
13 GUILTY ☒

14 NOT GUILTY ☐

15
16
17
18 of the crime of ELDER OR DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE, in violation of Section 368(c) of
19 the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 DATED: 4/7/22

28 FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

JUN-07-2022

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

RECORDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

Plaintiff

VERDICT

vs.

Count 7

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding:

We, the jury, find the **ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS**
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 8

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of **FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE**, in violation of Section 236 of the
Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 9

FILED

JUN 07 2022

RECORDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY FOREIGN OBJECT, in violation
of Section 289(a)(1)(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY
FOREIGN OBJECT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☐

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ATTEMPTED ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY FOREIGN
OBJECT, in violation of Section 664/289(a)(1)(a) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

1
2 If you find the defendant not guilty of ATTEMPTED ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION
3 BY FOREIGN OBJECT, please make the following finding:

4 We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

5 GUILTY _____

6 NOT GUILTY _____

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

7
8 of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the
9 Penal Code, a Felony.

10 *****

11 If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the
12 following finding:

13 We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

14 GUILTY _____

15 NOT GUILTY _____

16
17 of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(a)(1) of the Penal Code, a
18 Misdemeanor.

19
20
21
22
23
24
25 DATED: 6/7/22

26
27 (Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

VERDICT
Count 10

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Profession Code, a Felony;

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE, guilty of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding:

We, the jury, find the **ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS**
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict.)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count II

READING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict.)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 12

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(B)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

VERDICT
Count 13

REDDING BRANCH, SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

Of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of
Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 14

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

If you find the Defendant, HAMID RABIEE, guilty of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the **ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION -- MULTIPLE VICTIMS**
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT

Count 13

FILED

JUN 07 2022

FEEDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
JURY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(a)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 16

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. POBRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of
Section 243.4(c) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No: F8F00729

FILED

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 17

JUN 07 2022

vs.

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT~~, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of ~~SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT~~, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ~~ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS~~
pursuant to Section 729(B)(2) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
5 OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

6 Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 18

7 vs.

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

8 HAMID RABIEE,

9 Defendant

10 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

11
12
13 GUILTY ☒

14 NOT-GUILTY

15
16
17
18 of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a
19 Misdemeanor.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 DATED: 6/7/22
28



(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 19

READING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
JAY K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT~~, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT~~, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☐

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY~~, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

FILED

VERDICT
Count 20

JUN 07 2022

REDBRING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY~~ - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of
Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 21

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 261.4(c)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
4 OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

5 Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 22

JUN 07 2022

6 vs.

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

7 HAMID RABIEE,
8

9 Defendant

10 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

11 GUILTY X

12 NOT GUILTY _____
13

14
15 of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236/237 of
16 the Penal Code, a Felony.

17 *****

18 If you find the defendant not guilty of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, please make
19 the following finding:

20 We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

21 GUILTY _____

22 NOT GUILTY _____
23

24 of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a
25 Misdemeanor.

26
27 DATED: 4/7/22

28 FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 23

JUN 07 2022

vs.

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
4 OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

5 Plaintiff

VERDICT

JUN 07 2022

6 vs.

Count 24

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK.

7 HAMID RABIEE,

8 Defendant

9 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

10
11
12
13 GUILTY ☒

14 NOT GUILTY ☐

15
16
17
18 of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 261.4(a)(1) of the Penal Code, a
19 Misdemeanor.

20
21
22
23
24
25 DATED: 6/7/2022

26
27
28 FORKLEKSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 25

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

FILED

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(B)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 26

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(c)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict.)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

VERDICT
Count 27

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the **ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS**
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 28

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. FORBES, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729-

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 29

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 30

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

~~GUILTY~~

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY~~, in violation of Section 243.4(a)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict).

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 31

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the **ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS** pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict.)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 32

FILED

JUN 07 2022

BEDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

***5233*

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding:

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(B)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
5 OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

6 vs. Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 33

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

7
8 HAMID RABIEE,

9 Defendant

10 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

11
12
13 GUILTY ☒

14 NOT GUILTY ☐

15
16
17
18 of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 241.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a
19 Misdemeanor.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26 DATED: 6/7/22

27
28 (Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

vs.

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 34

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(9) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIBE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 35

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIBE,

~~GUILTY~~

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY~~, in violation of Section 243.4(a)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 4/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

FILED

VERDICT
Count 36

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

~~GUILTY~~

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

~~TRUE~~

NOT TRUE

DATED: 4/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 37

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 261.4(c)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 38

REDON BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
JAN K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

~~Struck out~~

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
4 OF CALIFORNIA,

5 Plaintiff

6 vs.

7 HAMID RABIEE,

8 Defendant

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

VERDICT
Count 39

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

9 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

10
11
12
13 GUILTY ☒

14 NOT GUILTY ☐

15
16
17
18 of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(b)(1) of the Penal Code, a
19 Misdemeanor.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26 DATED: 6/7/22

27
28 (Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No: 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 40

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 41

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(a)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 42

READING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 43

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236/237 of
the Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, please make
the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☐

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729.

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 44

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 45

RECEIVED BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE, guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

No. 18F00729

VERDICT
Count 46

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION~~, in
violation of Section 261(a)(4) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

~~Verdict~~

If you find the defendant not guilty of ~~SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT
REPRESENTATION~~, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☐

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ~~ATTEMPTED SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT
REPRESENTATION~~, in violation of Section 261/262(a)(4) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSONS

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Court: 47

vs.

READING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT~~, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the
Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT~~, please make the
following findings:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☐

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY~~, in violation of Section 243.4(a)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 48

JUN 07 2022

vs.

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

~~GUILTY~~

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of
Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

Plaintiff
vs.

VERDICT
Count 49

JUN 07 2022

HAMID RABIBE,

Defendant

RECORDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIBE

~~GUilty~~

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony;

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIBE,

~~GUilty~~

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(c)(1) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
5 OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18E00729

JUN 07 2022

6 Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 50

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

7 vs.

8 HAMID RABIEE,

9 Defendant

10 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

11
12
13 GUILTY ☒

14
15 NOT GUILTY

16
17
18 of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of
19 Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 DATED: 6-7-2022

28 FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 51

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(b)(1) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 52

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of
Section 261.4(c) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)
IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 53

JUN 07 2022

vs.

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY K. FORNAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the **ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS**
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict).

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 54:

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count: \$5

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs.

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of ~~SEXUAL BATTERY~~ - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of
Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATE: 6/7/22

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff
vs.

VERDICT
Count 56

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☐

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of ATTEMPTED SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 664/289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

vs. Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 57

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the
Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the
following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ☐

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(c)(1) of the Penal Code, a
Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

vs. Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 58

RECORDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of
Section 243.4(a) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict).

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

vs.

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 59

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT**, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the **ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS**
pursuant to Section 729(B)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

vs.

Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 60

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant.

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY

NOT GUILTY

of the crime of ~~ELDER/DEPENDENT ABUSE OR NEGLECT~~, in violation of Section 368(c)
of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
5 OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

FILED

JUN 07 2022

6 Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 61

7 vs.

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

8 HAMID RABIEE,

9 Defendant

10 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

11
12
13
14 GUILTY ☒

15 NOT GUILTY ☐

16
17
18 of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(c)(1) of the Penal Code, a
19 Misdemeanor.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26 DATED: 6/7/22

FOR PERSON

27
28 (Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

1
2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
5 OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

6 Plaintiff

VERDICT
Count 62.

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

7 vs.

8 HAMID RABIBE,

9 Defendant

10 We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIBE

11
12
13 GUILTY ☒

14
15 NOT GUILTY ☐

16
17
18 of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 261.4(c)(1) of the Penal Code, a
19 Misdemeanor.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26 DATED: Jun 7 2022

27
28 FOR PERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA,

No. 18F00729

JUN 07 2022

Plaintiff

VERDICT

Count 63

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

vs:

HAMID RABIEE,

Defendant

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ☒

NOT GUILTY ☐

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of
the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION
OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make
the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS
pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE ☒

NOT TRUE ☐

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)