ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
STEVE DIEHL
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
RYAN YATES
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 279257
California Department of Justice
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 210-7546
Facsimile: (916) 327-2247
Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Automatic Revocation Order Against: **HAMID RABIEE, M.D.** 2371 Eureka Way Redding CA 96001 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 55963, Respondent.	Case No. 800-2016-019863 **NOTICE OF AUTOMATIC REVOCATION OF LICENSE** [Bus. & Prof. Code § 2232]

TO: Respondent, HAMID RABIEE, M.D.:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board), has automatically revoked Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 55963 by operation of law after having received and reviewed a copy of the record of conviction(s) described below:

1. On or about July 5, 2022, the Board received notice of your conviction and sentencing in Case 18F0729 of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Shasta, in the proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Hamid Rabiee.* These documents establish that on July 5, 2022, Hamid Rabiee, M.D., was found guilty of the following:

A. Penal Code, Section 289(a)(1)(A), Anal or Genital Penetration with a Foreign object (1 Count);

B. Penal Code, Section 243.4(A), Sexual Battery by Restraint (7 Counts);

C. Penal Code, Section 243.4(C), Sexual Battery by Fraud (10 Counts);

D. Business and Professions Code, Section 729(A), Sexual Exploitation of a Patient; (21 Counts);

E. Business and Professions Code, Section 729(A), Sexual Penetration by Fraud; (1 Count)

F. Penal Code, Section 236, False Imprisonment (1 Count);

G. Penal Code, Sections 236-237, False Imprisonment by Violence (2 Counts); and

H. Penal Code, Section 289(d)(4), Sexual Penetration by Fraud (1 Count).

2. On July 5, 2022, Respondent proceeded to sentencing. The sentence was as follows:

A. Twenty-Seven (27) Years, Eight (8) Months in State Prison;

B. Total credit of 1,511 days in custody;

C. Subsequent ten (10) year parole period, following release from prison;

D. Pay a $10,000.00 restitution fine pursuant to Penal Code 1202.4;

E. Pay a court operations fee of $1,200, pursuant to Penal Code, Section 1465.8(a)(1)

F. Pay an additional restitution fee of $10,885.98

G. Pay a criminal conviction fee of $930.00, pursuant to Government Code, Section 70773;

H. Upon release, register with the local police agency as a sex offender for life, pursuant to Penal Code Section 290;

I. Submit to Blood Testing for HIV/AIDS;

J. Provide DNA samples and fingerprints for addition to law enforcement databases.

3. Respondent was remanded into the custody of the Shasta County Sheriff for delivery to the California Department of Corrections to serve his prison sentence.

4. On August 15, 2022, Count 31, false imprisonment, under Penal Code, Section 236, was subsequently stricken in its entirety. All other charges and sentencing remained as is.

5. The following documents support these findings, are attached hereto, and are incorporated herein by reference[1]:

Attachment A: Copy of the Judgment and Sentence in Case No. CRF18-0000729.

SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION: AUTOMATIC REVOCATION

6. Section 2232 of the Code states in pertinent part:

"(a) Except as provided in subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), the board shall automatically revoke the license of any person who, at any time after January 1, 1947, has been required to register as a sex offender pursuant to the provisions of Section 290 of the Penal Code, regardless of whether the related conviction has been appealed. The board shall notify the licensee of the license revocation and of his or her right to elect to have a hearing as provided in subdivision (b).

"(b) Upon revocation of the physician's and surgeon's certificate, the holder of the certificate may request a hearing within 30 days of the revocation. The proceeding shall be conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part I of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code)."

WHEREFORE, THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, HEREBY NOTIFIES YOU THAT, by virtue of said conviction and requirement to register as a sex offender, Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 55963, issued to Hamid Rabiee, M.D. was automatically revoked by operation law, effective July 5, 2022, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2232. You shall cause to be delivered to the Board both your wall and pocket license certificate within 15 days from the date of the service of this Order.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT you have a right to a hearing as provided by Business and Professions Code section 2232, subdivision (b), as set forth above. A request for a hearing may be made by delivering or mailing such a request to: Ryan Yates, Deputy Attorney General, Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O.

[1] The identities of victims have been redacted to protect their privacy.

Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550. This notice is being served by a designee of the Board upon Hamid Rabiee, M.D. at his address of record.

DATED: OCT 14 2022



William Prasifka
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer
Affairs State of California

SA2022304174
36570408.docx

ATTACHMENT A
Conviction Documents

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

Judge: DANIEL E FLYNN
Clerk: L. SCHOTT
Date: 08/15/2022
Time: 2:00 PM

Department 10
Court Reporter: KAITLYN FOX
Bailiff: JAMES DIETZ

Case No. CRF18-0000729

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Plaintiff,

vs.

HAMID RABIEE
Defendant.

APPEARANCES:

SARAH MURPHY, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

JACOB LEVIN, ATTORNEY., esq

DEFENDANT PRESENT IN CUSTODY

ORDER AFTER HEARING RE: SENTENCING

This matter comes before the Court to address the sentencing previously held on JULY 05, 2022.

The Court states that the jury found the defendant guilty of a Felony charge of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code as to COUNT THIRTY-ONE after having been provided with an incorrect verdict form.

The Court further states that the discrepancy was not found until the time of sentencing, and therefore, sentenced the defendant to 180 days jail to be served in the Shasta County Jail based on a misdemeanor charge of UNLAWFUL RESTRAINT in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code as charged in the First Amended Information; said sentence to run concurrently to the state prison sentence imposed in Count One.

The Court, having reviewed the sentencing only as to COUNT THIRTY-ONE, noting the discrepancy thereto as stated for the record, orders that the charge of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236/237 as to COUNT THIRTY-ONE and its applicable sentence be stricken in its entirety, as it does not conform to the allegations made.

August 23, 2022

DANIEL E. FLYNN, Judge

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT - PRISON COMMITMENT - DETERMINATE

[NOT VALID WITHOUT COMPLETED PAGE TWO OF CR-290 ATTACHED]

CR-290

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF: SHASTA		FILED
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs. DEFENDANT: HAMID RABIEE DOB: [redacted]	18F0729 -A	
AKA:	-B	AUG 11 2022
CII NO.: 37427769 ☐ NOT PRESENT		REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK
BOOKING NO.: 22007838		
COMMITMENT TO STATE PRISON ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT ☐ AMENDED ABSTRACT		

DATE OF HEARING 07-05-22	DEPT. NO. 1	JUDGE D. FLYNN
CLERK K. PORRAS	REPORTER M. SCHOONOVER	PROBATION NO. OR PROBATION OFFICER ☐ IMMEDIATE SENTENCING
COUNSEL FOR PEOPLE B. HANNA		COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT J. LEVIN ☒ APPTD.

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
   ☐ Additional counts are listed on attachment
   1 (number of pages attached)

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY: JURY	COURT	PLEA	TERM (L, M, U)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (see 2 and 3)	654 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED: YRS.	MOS.
A9	PC	289(a)(1)(A)	ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRAT	2016	06-07-22	X			M							6	0
A1	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A2	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD.REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A3	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A4	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A5	PC	236	FALSE IMPRISONMENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	

4. ☐ Deft. sentenced per: ☐ PC 667(b)-(i) or PC 1170.12 (two strikes) ☐ PC 1170(a)(3). Pre-confinement credits equal or exceed time imposed. (Paper Commitment.) Deft. ordered to report to local Parole Office upon release.

5. INCOMPLETED SENTENCE(S) CONSECUTIVE

COUNTY	CASE NUMBER

6. TOTAL TIME ON ATTACHED PAGES: 20 | 0

7. ☐ Additional indeterminate term (see CR-292).

8. TOTAL TIME EXCLUDING COUNTY JAIL TERM: 27 | 8

This form is prescribed under PC 1213.5 to satisfy the requirements of PC 1213 for determinate sentences. Attachments may be used but must be referred to in this document.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: HAMID RABIEE

18F0729 -A	-B	-C	-D

9. FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS (plus any applicable penalty assessments):

a. Restitution Fine(s):

Case A: $10,000 per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; $10,000 per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
$_____ per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case B: $_____ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; $_____ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
$_____ per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case C: $_____ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; $_____ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
$_____ per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case D: $_____ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; $_____ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
$_____ per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

b. Restitution per PC 1202.4(f):

Case A: $11,175.93 ☐ Amount to be determined to ☒ victim(s)* ☒ Restitution Fund
Case B: $_____ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund
Case C: $_____ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund
Case D: $_____ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund

☐ * Victim name(s), if known, and amount breakdown in Item 11, below. ☐ * Victim names(s) in probation officer's report.

c. Fine(s):

Case A: $_____ per PC 1202.5. $_____ per VC 23550 or _____ days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ $50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ $_____ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense

Case B: $_____ per PC 1202.5. $_____ per VC 23550 or _____ days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ $50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ $_____ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense

Case C: $_____ per PC 1202.5. $_____ per VC 23550 or _____ days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ $50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ $_____ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense

Case D: $_____ per PC 1202.5. $_____ per VC 23550 or _____ days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ $50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ $_____ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense

d. Court Security Fee: $1,240.00 per PC 1465.8.

e. Criminal Conviction Assessment: $930.00 per GC 70373.

10. TESTING a. ☒ Compliance with PC 296 verified b. ☒ AIDS per PC 1202.1 c. ☐ other *(specify)*: PC 296

11. Other orders *(specify)*:
$289.95 Vic Rest to be paid to T.L. (DOB 2/8/52)

12. IMMEDIATE SENTENCE:

☐ Probation to prepare and submit Post-sentence report to CDCR per PC 1203c.

Defendant's race/national origin:

13. EXECUTION OF SENTENCE IMPOSED:

a. ☒ at initial sentencing hearing.
b. ☐ at resentencing per decision on appeal.
c. ☐ after revocation of probation.
d. ☐ at resentencing per recall of commitment. (PC1170(d).)
e. ☐ other *(specify)*:

14. CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED

CASE	TOTAL CREDITS	ACTUAL	LOCAL CONDUCT	
A	1,511	1,314	197	☐ 4019 ☒ 2933.1
B				☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
C				☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
D				☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
Date Sentence Pronounced: 05-16-18		Time Served in State Institution: DMH [ ] CDCR [ ] CRC [ ]		

15. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the sheriff. ☒ forthwith ☐ after 48 hours excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.
To be delivered to ☒ the reception center designated by the director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.
☐ other *(specify)*:

CLERK OF THE COURT

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a correct abstract of the judgment made in this action.

DEPUTY'S SIGNATURE	DATE
K. PORRAS	08-11-22

AB ACT OF JUDGMENT – PRISON COMMITM
ATTACHMENT PAGE

CR-290-A

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

18F0729 -A	-B	-C	-D

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
This attachment page number: 3

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY JURY	COURT	PLEA	TERM (L, M, U)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (year & date)	654 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED YRS.	MOS.
A7	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A10	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A12	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A13	PC	243.3(C)	SEXUAL BATT- FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A14	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A15	PC	243.4(A)	SECUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A16	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A17	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A19	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A20	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A22	PC	236/237	FALSE IMPRISON BY FORCE	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A23	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A25	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A27	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A29	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
															TOTAL	6	4

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	
						TOTAL		

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	

4. TOTAL TIME IMPOSED ON THIS ATTACHMENT PAGE: 6 4

AB ACT OF JUDGMENT – PRISON COMMITM
ATTACHMENT PAGE

CR-290-A

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

18F0729 -A	-B	-C	-D

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
This attachment page number: 3

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY JURY	COURT	PLEA	TERM (L, M, S)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (see 4 on page 3)	654 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED YRS.	MOS.
A32	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A34	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A38	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A40	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A42	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A43	PC	236/237	FALSE IMPRISON BY VIOLENC	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A44	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A45	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A46	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL PEN - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A47	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				2	0
A48	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A49	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A50	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A51	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A52	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
												X				1	0
															TOTAL	9	4

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	
						TOTAL		

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	

4. TOTAL TIME IMPOSED ON THIS ATTACHMENT PAGE: 9 | 4

AB .ACT OF JUDGMENT – PRISON COMMITM

ATTACHMENT PAGE

CR-290-A

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

18F0729 -A	-B	-C	-D

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
This attachment page number: 3

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO/DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY JURY	COURT	PLEA	TERM (L, M, U)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (Refer to item 3)	654 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED YRS.	MOS.
A53	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A54	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A55	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A56	PC	289(d)(4)	SEXUAL PEN - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				2	0
A57	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A58	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A59	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A63	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
															TOTAL	4	4

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	
					TOTAL			

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	
	•FORMTEXT						

4. TOTAL TIME IMPOSED ON THIS ATTACHMENT PAGE:	4	4

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT - PRISON COMMITMENT - DETERMINATE

[NOT VALID WITHOUT COMPLETED PAGE TWO OF CR-290 ATTACHED]

CR-290

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF: SHASTA

FILED
AUG 11 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: HAMID RABIEE [redacted]

18F0729 -A
-B
-C
-D

AKA:

CII NO.: 37427769

BOOKING NO.: 22007838 ☐ NOT PRESENT

COMMITMENT TO STATE PRISON
ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT ☐ AMENDED ABSTRACT

DATE OF HEARING	DEPT. NO.	JUDGE
07-05-22	1	B. FLYNN

CLERK	REPORTER	PROBATION NO. OR PROBATION OFFICER ☐ IMMEDIATE SENTENCING
K. PORRAS	M. SCHOONOVER	

COUNSEL FOR PEOPLE	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT ☒ APPTD.
B. HANNA	J. LEVIN

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
   ☐ Additional counts are listed on attachment
   1 (number of pages attached)

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY: JURY	COURT	PLEA	TERM (L, M, U)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (see below)	654 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED: YRS.	MOS.
A9	PC	289(a)(1)(A)	ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRAT	2016	06-07-22	X			M							6	0
A1	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A2	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A3	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A4	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A5	PC	236	FALSE IMPRISONMENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	

4. ☐ Deft. sentenced per: ☐ PC 667(b)-(i) or PC 1170.12 (two strikes) ☐ PC 1170(a)(3). Pre-confinement credits equal or exceed time imposed. (Paper Commitment.) Deft. ordered to report to local Parole Office upon release.

5. INCOMPLETED SENTENCE(S) CONSECUTIVE

COUNTY	CASE NUMBER

6. TOTAL TIME ON ATTACHED PAGES: 20 | 0

7. ☐ Additional indeterminate term (see CR-292).

8. TOTAL TIME EXCLUDING COUNTY JAIL TERM: 27 | 8

This form is prescribed under PC 1213.5 to satisfy the requirements of PC 1213 for determinate sentences. Attachments may be used but must be referred to in this document.

[redacted]

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: HAMID RABIEE

18F0729 -A	-B	-C	-D

9. FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS (plus any applicable penalty assessments):

a. Restitution Fine(s):

Case A: $10,000 per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; $10,000 per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
$_____ per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case B: $_____ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; $_____ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
$_____ per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case C: $_____ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; $_____ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
$_____ per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

Case D: $_____ per PC 1202.4(b) forthwith per PC 2085.5; $_____ per PC 1202.45 suspended unless parole is revoked.
$_____ per PC 1202.44 is now due, probation having been revoked.

b. Restitution per PC 1202.4(f):

Case A: $11,175.93 ☐ Amount to be determined to ☒ victim(s)* ☒ Restitution Fund
Case B: $_____ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund
Case C: $_____ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund
Case D: $_____ ☐ Amount to be determined to ☐ victim(s)* ☐ Restitution Fund

☐ * Victim name(s), if known, and amount breakdown in item 11, below. ☐ * Victim names(s) in probation officer's report.

c. Fine(s):

Case A: $_____ per PC 1202.5. $_____ per VC 23550 or _____ days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ $50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ $_____ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense.

Case B: $_____ per PC 1202.5. $_____ per VC 23550 or _____ days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ $50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ $_____ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense.

Case C: $_____ per PC 1202.5. $_____ per VC 23550 or _____ days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ $50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ $_____ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense.

Case D: $_____ per PC 1202.5. $_____ per VC 23550 or _____ days ☐ county jail ☐ prison in lieu of fine ☐ concurrent ☐ consecutive
☐ includes: ☐ $50 Lab Fee per HS 11372.5(a) ☐ $_____ Drug Program Fee per HS 11372.7(a) for each qualifying offense.

d. Court Security Fee: $1,240.00 per PC 1465.8.

e. Criminal Conviction Assessment: $930.00 per GC 70373.

10. TESTING a. ☒ Compliance with PC 296 verified b. ☒ AIDS per PC 1202.1 c. ☐ other *(specify)*: PC 296

11. Other orders *(specify)*:
$209.95 Vic Rest to be paid to T.L. (DOB 2/8/52)

12. IMMEDIATE SENTENCE:

☐ Probation to prepare and submit Post-sentence report to CDCR per PC 1203c.

Defendant's race/national origin:

13. EXECUTION OF SENTENCE IMPOSED:

a. ☒ at initial sentencing hearing.
b. ☐ at resentencing per decision on appeal.
c. ☐ after revocation of probation.
d. ☐ at resentencing per recall of commitment. (PC1170(d).)
e. ☐ other *(specify)*:

14. CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED

CASE	TOTAL CREDITS	ACTUAL	LOCAL CONDUCT	
A	1,511	1,314	197	☐ 4019 ☒ 2933.1
B				☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
C				☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
D				☐ 4019 ☐ 2933.1
Date Sentence Pronounced: 05-16-18		Time Served in State Institution: DMH [ ] CDCR [ ] CRC [ ]		

15. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the sheriff ☒ forthwith ☐ after 48 hours excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.
To be delivered to ☒ the reception center designated by the director of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.
☐ other *(specify)*:

CLERK OF THE COURT

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a correct abstract of the judgment made in this action.

DEPUTY'S SIGNATURE	DATE
K. PORRAS	08-11-22

AB. ACT OF JUDGMENT – PRISON COMMITML
ATTACHMENT PAGE

CR-290-A

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

18F0729 -A	-B	-C	-D

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
This attachment page number: 3

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO/DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY: JURY	COURT	PLEA	TERM (L,M,U)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (Indicate with S)	654 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED: YRS.	MOS.
A7	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A10	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A12	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A13	PC	243.3(C)	SEXUAL BATT- FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A14	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A15	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A16	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A17	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A19	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A20	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A22	PC	236/237	FALSE IMPRISON BY FORCE	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A23	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A25	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A27	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A29	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
															TOTAL	6	4

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	
						TOTAL		

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	

4. TOTAL TIME IMPOSED ON THIS ATTACHMENT PAGE: 6 4

AB ACT OF JUDGMENT – PRISON COMMITM
ATTACHMENT PAGE

CR-290-A

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

18F0729 -A	-B	-C	-D

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
This attachment page number: 3

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY JURY	COURT	PLEA	TERM (L, M, U)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NON-VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (see item 9)	654 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED YRS.	MOS.
A32	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A34	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A38	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A40	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A42	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A43	PC	236/237	FALSE IMPRISON BY VIOLENC	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A44	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A45	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A46	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL PEN - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				2	0
A47	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A48	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A49	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A50	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A51	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A52	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
															TOTAL	9	4

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	
	•FORMTEXT							
						TOTAL		

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	

4. TOTAL TIME IMPOSED ON THIS ATTACHMENT PAGE: 9 4

AB. .ACT OF JUDGMENT – PRISON COMMITMI.
ATTACHMENT PAGE

CR-290-A

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA vs.
DEFENDANT: RABIEE, HAMID

18F0729 -A	-B	-C	-D

1. Defendant was convicted of the commission of the following felonies:
This attachment page number: 3

COUNT	CODE	SECTION NO.	CRIME	YEAR CRIME COMMITTED	DATE OF CONVICTION (MO./DATE/YEAR)	CONVICTED BY: JURY	COURT	PLEA	TERM (L, M, U)	CONCURRENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 VIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE 1/3 NONVIOLENT	CONSECUTIVE FULL TERM	INCOMPLETE SENTENCE (PC 1170.1(a))	654 STAY	PRINCIPAL OR CONSECUTIVE TIME IMPOSED: YRS.	MOS.
A53	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A54	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
A55	PC	243.4(C)	SEXUAL BATT - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A56	PC	289(d)(4)	SEXUAL PEN - FRAUD REP	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				2	0
A57	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				1	0
A58	PC	243.4(A)	SEXUAL BATT BY RESTRAINT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	3	0
A59	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M				X		X	2	0
A63	BP	729(A)	SEXUAL EXPLOIT OF PATIENT	2016	06-07-22	X			M			X				0	8
															TOTAL	4	4

2. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true TIED TO SPECIFIC COUNTS (mainly in the PC 12022 series). List each count enhancement horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

COUNT	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	
							TOTAL	

3. ENHANCEMENTS charged and found to be true FOR PRIOR CONVICTIONS OR PRISON TERMS (mainly in the PC 667 series). List all enhancements horizontally. Enter time imposed for each or "S" for stayed. DO NOT LIST ANY STRICKEN ENHANCEMENT(S).

ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	ENHANCEMENT	TIME IMPOSED OR "S" FOR STAYED	TOTAL	
	FORMTEXT						

4. TOTAL TIME IMPOSED ON THIS ATTACHMENT PAGE: 4 | 4

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

Judge: DANIEL E FLYNN — Court Reporter: MONICA SCHOONOVER
Clerk: KELLY PORRAS — Bailiff: MANUEL RAMOS, SENG THOR
Date: 07/05/2022
Time: 3:00 PM

Case No. CRF18-0000729	APPEARANCES:
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Plaintiff,	BENJAMIN HANNA, CHIEF DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
vs.	JACOB LEVIN, ATTORNEY/DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
HAMID RABIEE Defendant.	DEFENDANT PRESENT (IN CUSTODY)

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: JUDGMENT AND SENTENCING

This being the time set for judgment and sentencing, the Court has read and considered the report(s) of the Probation Officer and other documents concerning the case.

Victim statements are read to the Court by the District Attorney's Victim Advocate on behalf of D.P., J.P., A.V., and S.A. as stated for the record.

Victim statements are provided by Y.D. and R.W. as stated for the record.

Victim statements are read to the Court by District Attorney's Victim Advocate on behalf of M.V., J.U., A.C., B.K., and T.L. as stated for the record.

Attorney Hanna and Attorney Levin provide argument.

Defendant Hamid Rabiee is sworn and provides a statement to the Court.

Attorney Levin provides a final statement to the Court as stated for the record.

No legal cause is offered as to why judgment and sentence should not be imposed. Arraignment for judgment and sentencing is waived.

Reasons for denying probation and for imposing consecutive sentences are stated on the record by the Court.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY FOREIGN OBJECT, a felony, in violation of Section 289(a)(1)(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of SIX (6) YEARS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3). Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Sais sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY jury of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FOUR of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS in violation of Section 729(A) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, a felony, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIVE of the First Amended Information.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of ELDER OR DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 368(c) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for ONE (1) YEAR.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT TEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDTIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY by jury of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT ELEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for ONE (1) YEAR. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWELVE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3). Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FOURTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein,.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentences is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT SEVENTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT EIGHTEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for the ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **concurrently** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT NINETEEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3). Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(c) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, a felony, in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-FOUR of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAY. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-FIVE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SECUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and

Professions Code, as charged in COUNT TWENTY-NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, COUNT THIRTY-ONE. The Court further ***finds***, pursuant to the Amended Information, the defendant is GUILTY of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein,.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 days. The Court imposes said sentence based on the charge contained in the Amended Information herein.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-FOUR of the First Amended Information on file

herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-FIVE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT THIRTY-NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FOURTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, a felony, in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-FOUR of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-FIVE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SECUAL PENETRATION – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of TWO (2) YEARS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FORTY-NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-FOUR of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-FIVE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL PENETRATION – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-SIX of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of TWO (2) YEARS, to be served **consecutively** to sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-SEVEN of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of ONE (1) YEAR, to be served **consecutively** to sentence imposed above.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY – FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, a felony, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-EIGHT of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term of THREE (3) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT FIFTY-NINE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for the mid-term for a term of TWO (2) YEARS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of ELDER/DEPENDENT ABUSE OR NEGLECT, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 368(c) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTY of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTY-ONE of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL BATTERY, a misdemeanor, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTY-TWO of the First Amended Information on file herein.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to SHASTA COUNTY JAIL for 180 DAYS. Said sentence is **STAYED** pursuant to Penal Code Section 654.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that the defendant is GUILTY BY JURY of an offense of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, a felony, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, as charged in COUNT SIXTY-THREE of the First Amended Information on file herein, and that the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION - MULTIPLE VICTIMS, in violation of Section 729(b)(3) of the Penal Code is TRUE.

AS PENALTY THEREFOR, THE COURT ORDERS that the defendant is sentenced to STATE PRISON for one-third the mid-term for a term of EIGHT (8) MONTHS, to be served **consecutively** to the sentence imposed above.

THE TOTAL STATE PRISON SENTENCE imposed herein is **TWENTY-SEVEN (27) YEARS and EIGHT (8) MONTHS.**

The Court grants custody credits of 1,314 actual days in custody, plus 197 days for custody conduct credit, for a total of 1,511 days credit.

The defendant is advised of the subsequent ten year period of parole supervision following his release from State Prison.

The defendant is advised of the right to appeal.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay a restitution fine of $10,000.00 pursuant to Penal Code Section 1202.4.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, an additional restitution fine in the amount of $10,000.00 which shall be SUSPENDED unless the defendant's parole is revoked, pursuant to Penal Code Section 1202.45.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay a court operations fee of $40.00 as to each count, for a total of $1,240.00, in accordance with Penal Code Section 1465.8(a)(1).

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay a criminal conviction fee of $30.00 as to each count, for a total of $930.00, pursuant to Government Code Section 70373.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay victim restitution to T.L (DOB 2/8/52) and/or the Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board, in the amount of $289.95.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall pay victim restitution in the amount of $10,885.98 and any additional amount to be determined to the Victim Compensation and Government Claims Board, at the direction of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Defendant reserves the right to a restitution hearing on any requested amounts.

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS, the defendant shall submit to a blood test for evidence of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) pursuant to Penal Code Section 1202.1

THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the defendant shall register with the appropriate law enforcement agency in accordance with Penal Code Section 290 for his lifetime.

The defendant shall provide two specimens of blood, a saliva sample, a right thumb print, and a full palm print impression of each hand per Section 296(a)(1) of the Penal Code.

The Probation Department has determined DNA sample has been provided.

The defendant is remanded to custody of the Shasta County Sheriff for delivery to the Department of Corrections.

DANIEL E FLYNN, Judge

Subject to modification pursuant to P.C 1170(d) - Hearing to be held 8/15/22 Re: Count 31

cc: Sheriff – Defendant – Department of Corrections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 1

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY X Mistake of fact

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict.)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 2

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 3

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE, guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 4

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 5

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 6

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of ELDER OR DEPENDENT ADULT ABUSE, in violation of Section 368(c) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	No. 18F00729
vs.	VERDICT Count 7
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

******

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 8

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 9	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY FOREIGN OBJECT, in violation of Section 289(a)(1)(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY FOREIGN OBJECT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of ATTEMPTED ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY FOREIGN OBJECT, in violation of Section 664/289(a)(1)(a) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of ATTEMPTED ANAL OR GENITAL PENETRATION BY FOREIGN OBJECT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 4/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	No. 18F00729
		VERDICT Count 10

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, a felony;

****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	No. 18F00729
vs.	VERDICT Count II
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY X

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant not guilty of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	No. 18F00729	
vs.	VERDICT Count 12	
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant		

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH, SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK
	VERDICT Count 13	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRADULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 12/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 14

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 15
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. POTRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1), of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict).

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 16
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(c) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No: 18F00729 VERDICT Count 17

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, a Felony.

******

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(2) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 18
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 19
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 20

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRADULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant.	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 21

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 22	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant not guilty of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

[redacted]

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No.. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 23	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in Violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 24	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 25
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

******

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 4/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 26	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY ✓

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK
	VERDICT Count 27	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/2022

[redacted]
FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 28

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. FORHAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 29

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 30

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK
	VERDICT Count 31	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 32	FILED JUN 07 2022 BEDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

******

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 33	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 34	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

[redacted]

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 35

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 4/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 36
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 4/7/22

[redacted]

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 37

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 38
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 39
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(c)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 40
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

******

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 41
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 42

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	No. 18F00729
vs.	VERDICT Count 43
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, in violation of Section 236/237 of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of FALSE IMPRISONMENT BY VIOLENCE, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of FALSE IMPRISONMENT, in violation of Section 236 of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 44
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

******

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 45
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

******

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENTS, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/22

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 46	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ✓

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of ATTEMPTED SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 664/289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 47

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 48	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRADULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	)	No. 18F00729
vs.	)	VERDICT Count 49
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	

FILED
JUN 07 2022
REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony;

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 50

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRADULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 51

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 52

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ✓

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRADULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK
	VERDICT Count 53	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict).

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	No. 18F00729
vs.	VERDICT Count 54
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

****

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6/7/2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	No. 18F00729
vs.	VERDICT Count 55
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ✓

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRADULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	No. 18F00729
vs.	VERDICT Count 56
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY ✓

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of ATTEMPTED SEXUAL PENETRATION - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 664/289(d)(4) of the Penal Code, a Felony.

DATED: 6-7-2022

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK
	VERDICT Count 57	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, in violation of Section 243.4(A) of the Penal Code, a felony.

*****

If you find the defendant not guilty of SEXUAL BATTERY BY RESTRAINT, please make the following finding:

We, the jury, find the defendant HAMID RABIEE,

GUILTY _____

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6-7-2022

[redacted]
FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 58

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY - FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION, in violation of Section 243.4(C) of the Penal Code, a Felony

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 59	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

******

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	)	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 60

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of ELDER/DEPENDENT ABUSE OR NEGLECT, in violation of Section 368(c) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 61	FILED JUN 07 2022 REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6/7/22

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff vs. HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	No. 18F00729 VERDICT Count 62.

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL BATTERY, in violation of Section 243.4(e)(1) of the Penal Code, a Misdemeanor.

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

FILED

JUN 07 2022

REDDING BRANCH-SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BY: K. PORRAS, DEPUTY CLERK

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff	No. 18F00729
vs.	VERDICT Count 63
HAMID RABIEE, Defendant	

We, the jury, find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE

GUILTY X

NOT GUILTY _____

of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Professions Code, a Felony.

If you find the defendant, HAMID RABIEE guilty of the crime of SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF PATIENT, in violation of Section 729(A) of the Business and Profession Code, please make the following finding.

We, the jury, find the ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION – MULTIPLE VICTIMS pursuant to Section 729(b)(3) of the California Penal Code, to be:

TRUE X

NOT TRUE _____

DATED: 6-7-2022

FOREPERSON

(Place an "X" after the verdict found to be true and strike out the inapplicable verdict)