

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended
Accusation Against:

Jonathan D. Olenick, M.D.

Physician's & Surgeon's
Certificate No. G 15638

Respondent.

Case No. 800-2019-053949


DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 24, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED: July 25, 2022.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA



Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair
Panel B

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 231195
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, California 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, California 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9417
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

**BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation
Against:

JONATHAN D. OLENICK, M.D.
2102 Jacaranda Court
San Bernardino, California 92404

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
No. G 15638,

Respondent.

Case No. 800-2019-053949
OAH No. 2021100448

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

20 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
21 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

22 **PARTIES**

- 23 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of
24 California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this
25 matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, and by Joseph F. McKenna III,
26 Deputy Attorney General.
- 27 2. Respondent Jonathan D. Olenick, M.D. (Respondent) is representing himself in this
28 proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.

1 CULPABILITY

2 9. Respondent fully understands and agrees that the charges and allegations contained in
3 First Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-053949, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for
4 imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 15638.

5 10. Respondent stipulates that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie*
6 case or factual basis for the charges and allegations contained in the First Amended Accusation;
7 that he gives up his right to contest those charges and allegations contained in the First Amended
8 Accusation; and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate to
9 disciplinary action.

10 CONTINGENCY

11 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands
12 and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly
13 with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by
14 Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he
15 may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board
16 considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order,
17 the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this
18 paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not
19 be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

20 12. Respondent agrees that if an accusation is ever filed against him before the Board, all
21 of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-053949 shall
22 be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or
23 any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

24 ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

25 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein
26 to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the
27 agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.

28 ////

1 A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the First
2 Amended Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of
3 the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program
4 would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the
5 effective date of this Decision.

6 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
7 designee not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after successfully completing the program or not
8 later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

9 3. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY.

10 Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of enforcement, including
11 legal review and expert review, as applicable, in the amount of two thousand five hundred eleven
12 dollars and twenty-five cents (\$2,511.25). Costs shall be payable to the Board. Failure to pay
13 such costs shall be considered a violation of this agreement and shall be deemed an act of
14 unprofessional conduct and a separate and distinct basis for discipline.

15 Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the
16 Board.

17 The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility
18 to repay investigation and enforcement costs, including expert review costs (if applicable).

19 4. FAILURE TO COMPLY.

20 Any failure by Respondent to comply with the terms and conditions of the Disciplinary
21 Order set forth above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary
22 action.

23 ////

24 ////

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I fully understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 15638. I am representing myself in this proceeding and have chosen not to exercise my right to be represented by counsel. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Disciplinary Order of the Medical Board of California.


DATED: 05/24/2022 
JONATHAN D. OLENICK, M.D.
Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED: May 25, 2022

Respectfully submitted,
ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General


JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2021801061
Olenick Stip Settlement and Disc Order.docx

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-053949

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 231195
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, California 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, California 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9417
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9
10 **BEFORE THE**
11 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
13 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

13 In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation
14 Against:

Case No. 800-2019-053949
OAH No. 2021100448

15 **JONATHAN D. OLENICK, M.D.**
2102 Jacaranda Court
16 San Bernardino, California 92404

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

17 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
18 **No. G 15638,**

Respondent.

19
20 Complainant alleges:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in his
23 official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board),
24 Department of Consumer Affairs.

25 2. On or about October 18, 1968, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's
26 Certificate No. G 15638 to Jonathan D. Olenick, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and
27 Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
28 herein and will expire on April 30, 2023, unless renewed.

1 JURISDICTION

2 3. This First Amended Accusation, which supersedes Accusation No.
3 800-2019-053949, filed on July 30, 2021, in the above-entitled matter, is brought before the
4 Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and
5 Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

6 STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:

8 (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of
9 the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government
10 Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered
11 into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the
12 provisions of this chapter:

13 (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.

14 (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one
15 year upon order of the board.

16 (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation
17 monitoring upon order of the board.

18 (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a
19 requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the
20 board.

21 (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of
22 probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

23 (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters,
24 medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations,
25 continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are
26 agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters
27 made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made
28 available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

5. Section 2234 of the Code states, in relevant part:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with
unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional
conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or
abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

(b) Gross negligence.

(c) Repeated negligent acts

...

1 COST RECOVERY

2 6. Section 125.3 of the Code states:

3 (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a
4 disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the
5 Osteopathic Medical Board upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the
6 administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or
7 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the
8 investigation and enforcement of the case.

9 (b) In the case of a disciplined licentiate that is a corporation or a partnership, the
10 order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.

11 (c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where
12 actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its
13 designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of
14 investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of
15 investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not
16 limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

17 (d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of
18 reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to
19 subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall
20 not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or
21 eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed
22 decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).

23 (e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as
24 directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any
25 appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the
26 board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.

27 (f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be
28 conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

(g)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the
license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally
renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who
demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board
to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.

(h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for
costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs to be
available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

(i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of
the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

(j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that
board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary
proceeding.

1 7. Unprofessional conduct under section 2234 of the Code is conduct which breaches
2 the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member
3 in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice
4 medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)

5 8. Section 2266 of the Code states:

6 “The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate
7 records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional
8 conduct.”

8 **PERTINENT CASE INFORMATION**

9 9. On March 24, 2021, Respondent was interviewed by a special investigator and a
10 district medical consultant working on behalf of the Board. During the interview, Respondent
11 answered a number of general background questions. Respondent stated that he was a board-
12 certified ophthalmologist, but that he had been retired from the practice of medicine for more than
13 twenty (20) years. Since his retirement, Respondent has continued to maintain in good standing
14 both his medical license in California and his registration with the Drug Enforcement
15 Administration (DEA). Respondent stated that he had prescribed hydroxychloroquine for
16 COVID treatment to “five or six” different people.

17 10. During the same interview, Respondent answered a number of specific questions
18 about the allegations pertaining to the Board’s investigation. Respondent admitted that he had
19 written two (2) vaccine exemption letters for his relative (Patient A) in the past, “based upon the
20 history ... given to me by [Patient A’s father].” Respondent further explained that Patient A had
21 received shots a few years earlier for Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) and Measles, Mumps,
22 and Rubella (MMR) and had developed chronic gastroenteritis, according to information given to
23 him by Patient A’s father. When asked whether he thought that chronic gastroenteritis was a
24 contraindication to receiving all vaccines, Respondent replied, “I don’t know.” Respondent
25 admitted that he was not familiar with the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines for what
26 would constitute a reason to avoid a vaccine. At all times relevant to the charges and allegations
27 contained in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2019-053949, Respondent never provided any
28 medical care or treatment to Patient A.

1 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

2 (Gross Negligence)

3 11. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 15638
4 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined in section 2234, subdivision (b),
5 of the Code, in that Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of
6 Patient A,¹ as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

7 12. Patient A

8 (a) On or about August 9, 2018, Respondent, a retired ophthalmologist,
9 provided his relative, Patient A, with a permanent medical exemption for all
10 school-required immunizations, that was recorded on the American Academy of
11 Pediatrics/California Academy of Family Physicians standard vaccine exemption
12 form. Respondent documented on the exemption form that "[Patient A] has a
13 history of severe reaction to immunizations." Respondent signed the exemption
14 form and included his medical license number and address information. Patient A's
15 parents then submitted the exemption form to the San Diego Unified School
16 District as an immunization waiver for Patient A for all school entry required
17 vaccines.

18 (b) Respondent issued the vaccine exemption form to Patient A without
19 conducting a physical examination of Patient A, and without evaluating Patient A's
20 immunization records and/or related medical records from other medical providers.
21 Respondent solely relied upon the history given to him from Patient A's father
22 regarding Patient A's medical history.

23 (c) Respondent did not have any medical records documenting his evaluation
24 and medical decision regarding issuance of the vaccine exemptions for Patient A.
25 Respondent never treated Patient A as a patient. Prior to his retirement, Respondent
26 did not issue vaccine exemptions in his medical practice as an ophthalmologist.

27 ¹ To protect the privacy of the patient involved in this matter, the patient's name has not
28 been included in this pleading. Respondent is aware of the identity of Patient A.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 15638, issued to Respondent Jonathan D. Olenick, M.D.;
2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Jonathan D. Olenick, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and advanced practice nurses;
3. Ordering Respondent Jonathan D. Olenick, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: JAN 19 2022



WILLIAM PRASIFKA
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SD2021801061
Doc.No.83208357