BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against: Clara Lucy Polak, M.D. Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66649 Respondent.	Case No.: 800-2018-047958

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 24, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED: July 25, 2022.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair
Panel B

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
KEITH C. SHAW
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 227029
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9515
Facsimile: (619) 645-2012

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against: **CLARA LUCY POLAK, M.D.** 480 4th Ave., Ste. 202 Chula Vista, CA 91910 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66649** Respondent.	Case No. 800-2018-047958 OAH No. 2021100094 **STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Keith C. Shaw, Deputy Attorney General.

2. Respondent Clara Lucy Polak, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Robert W. Frank, Esq., whose address is: 110 West A Street, Suite 1200, San Diego, CA, 92101.

3. On or about October 2, 1998, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66649 to Respondent Clara Lucy Polak, M.D. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047958, and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047958 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on April 22, 2022. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the First Amended Accusation.

5. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047958 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047958. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with her counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her, the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047958, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent gives up her right to contest that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in the First Amended Accusation.

11. Respondent agrees that if she ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against her before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047958 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

12. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that her may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66649 issued to Respondent Clara Lucy Polak, M.D., is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for four (4) years from the effective date of the Decision on the following terms and conditions:

1. EDUCATION COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge, including an emphasis on the prescribing of controlled substances, and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

2. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully

complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the First Amended Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the First Amended Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the First Amended Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

5. MONITORING - PRACTICE. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s)

and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely, billing appropriately or both. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within five (5) calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a

replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

6. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and First Amended Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

7. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE NURSES. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.

8. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

9. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, including, but not limited to, expert review, amended accusations, legal reviews, joint investigations, and subpoena enforcement, as applicable, in the amount of $3,655.00. Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by respondent to the Board.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

10. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

11. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice

Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

12. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

13. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Board's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the

exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing..

14. COMPLETION OF PROBATION. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

15. VIOLATION OF PROBATION. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

16. LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

17. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Board and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

18. FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047958 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Robert W. Frank, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 5/24/2022 Clara Lucy Polak MD

CLARA LUCY POLAK, M.D.
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Clara Lucy Polak, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 5-25-22

ROBERT W. FRANK, ESQ.
Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED: May 25, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

KEITH C. SHAW
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2021801715
83429545.docx

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047958

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
KEITH C. SHAW
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 227029
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9515
Facsimile: (619) 645-2012

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against: **CLARA LUCY POLAK, M.D.** **480 4th Ave., Ste. 202** **Chula Vista, CA 91910** **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66649** Respondent.	Case No. 800-2018-047958 **FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION**

PARTIES

1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).

2. On or about October 2, 1998, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66649 to Clara Lucy Polak, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 2227 of the Code states:

"(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:

"(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.

"(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.

"(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.

"(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.

"(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

"(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

///

///

5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

". . .

"(b) Gross negligence.

"(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

"(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

"(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

". . . ."

6. Section 725 of the Code states:

"(a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language pathologist, or audiologist.

"(b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars ($100) nor more

than six hundred dollars ($600), or by imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days, or by both that fine and imprisonment.

"(c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.

"(d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5."

7. Section 2266 of the Code states:

"The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

8. Section 2229 of the Code states that the protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the Board in exercising their disciplinary authority. While attempts to rehabilitate a licensee should be made when possible, Section 2229, subdivision (c), states that when rehabilitation and protection are inconsistent, protection shall be paramount.

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

PERTINENT DRUGS

10. **Clonazepam**, known by the trade name Klonopin, is a centrally acting hypnotic-sedative and benzodiazepine that is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. When properly prescribed and indicated, it is used to treat seizure disorders and panic disorders. Concomitant use of clonazepam with opioids "may result in profound sedation, respiratory depression, coma, and death." The Drug Enforcement

Administration (DEA) has identified benzodiazepines, such as clonazepam, as a drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA Resource Guide (2011 Edition), at p. 53.)

11. **Hydrocodone APAP** (Vicodin, Lortab, and Norco) is a hydrocodone combination of hydrocodone bitartrate and acetaminophen and is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. Schedule II controlled substances are substances that have a currently accepted medical use in the United States, but also have a high potential for abuse, and the abuse of which may lead to severe psychological or physical dependence. When properly prescribed and indicated, HCP's are used for the treatment of moderate to severe pain. In addition to the potential for psychological and physical dependence, there is also the risk of acute liver failure which has resulted in a black box warning being issued by the Federal Drug Administration (FDA).

12. **Lorazepam,** known by the trade name Ativan, is used for anxiety and sedation in the management of anxiety disorder for short-term relief from the symptoms of anxiety or anxiety associated with depressive symptoms. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 and a Schedule IV controlled substance as defined by section 11057 of the Health and Safety Code. Lorazepam is not recommended for use in patients with primary depressive disorders. Sudden withdrawal from lorazepam can produce withdrawal symptoms including seizures.

13. **Oxycodone** (Percocet), an opioid analgesic, is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. When properly prescribed and indicated, it is used for the management of moderate to moderately severe pain. The DEA has identified oxycodone, as a drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, A DEA Resource Guide (2011 Edition), at p. 41.) The FDA has issued a black box warning for Percocet which warns about, among other things, addiction, abuse and misuse, and the possibility of "life-threatening respiratory distress."

14. **Tramadol** is used for the management of moderate to moderately severe pain. It can slow respiration so patients should not use alcohol or other drugs while taking this medication. It

is a Schedule IV controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11057 of the Health and Safety Code, and is a dangerous drug as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4022.

15. **Zolpidem**, known by the trade name Ambien, is a Schedule IV controlled substance, and a sedative primarily used to treat insomnia. It is an addictive substance and users should avoid alcohol as serious interactions may occur.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that she committed gross negligence in her care and treatment of patients A and B,[1] as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

PATIENT A

17. Respondent provided primary care treatment for Patient A, a then 37-year-old female, since at least 2009.[2] The patient presented with numerous medical conditions, including chronic back pain, migraines, obesity, sciatic neuropathy and hypertension. Patient A frequently saw Respondent for pain management and medication renewal. Respondent began prescribing regular prescriptions for tramadol (400 mg daily) on or about October 8, 2015, which continued until on or about August 20, 2018. Respondent also prescribed oxycodone (10 mg) and hydrocodone (7.5 mg), each on a single occasion in 2017, and oxycodone on three occasions in 2018, which were in addition to Patient A's regular tramadol prescriptions. The records did not specify the reason oxycodone and hydrocodone were prescribed.

18. On or about May 29, 2019, Respondent switched Patient A from tramadol to Norco (40 mg daily) due to her complaints of worsening pain, which continued on a regular basis through approximately August 2020. On the same date, Respondent also started lorazepam due

[1] The patients listed in this document are unnamed to protect their privacy. Respondent knows the name of the patients and can confirm their identity through discovery.

[2] Conduct occurring more than seven years from the filing date of this Accusation is for informational purposes only and is not alleged as a basis for disciplinary action.

to Patient A's complaint of stress and anxiety, even though a thorough assessment of her anxiety disorder was not performed.[3] Patient A eventually left the practice in early 2021.

19. At no time did Respondent conduct a risk stratification for Patient A regarding her risk of drug addiction and aberrancy during treatment with long-term opioid therapy. Moreover, Respondent did not provide proper informed consent discussing the risks and benefits of long-term opioid treatment, nor enter into a signed pain care agreement outlining treatment goals and objectives. Further, Respondent failed to conduct a single urine drug test or CURES query[4] for Patient A. Respondent also failed to document regular opioid assessments of Patient A that included analgesia, activities of daily life, adverse side effects, aberrant behaviors, and the patient's affect. Lastly, Respondent did not advise Patient A of the increased health risks involved in the concurrent use of opiates and benzodiazepines, nor prescribe appropriate medication in the event of an accidental overdose.[5]

20. Respondent committed gross negligence in her care and treatment of Patient A which included, but was not limited to, the following:

(a) Respondent failed to properly conduct patient risk stratification, urine toxicology testing, and CURES queries; Respondent prescribed two short-acting narcotics concurrently, and lacked proper documentation of opiate monitoring.

PATIENT B

21. Respondent started primary care treatment for Patient B, a then 42-year-old female, in approximately October 2015 for management of chronic lower back pain, chronic abdominal pain, recurrent headaches, and dental pain. Patient B also suffered from depression and anxiety.

---

[3] Safer medications, like serotonergic antidepressants (SSRI), were not documented as a consideration to treat Patient A's anxiety.

[4] Beginning October 2, 2018, state law requires all California physicians to consult CURES before prescribing a Schedule II, III or IV controlled substance to a patient for the first time and at least every four months thereafter if the substance remains part of the treatment. Prior to this date, it was still prudent for physicians to consult CURES to assess for aberrant behavior.

[5] Naloxone, an antidote to opioid overdose, should have been prescribed to Patient A to reduce the risk of accidental opioid overdose.

Respondent began prescribing Norco (30 mg hydrocodone daily) on or about November 29, 2015, on a recurring monthly basis. While Respondent provided non-opiate recommendations, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and heating pads, she did not offer safer, non-opioid medication such as muscle relaxants or tricyclic antidepressants. Additionally, a referral to physical therapy or chiropractor spine manipulation did not occur, nor did a surgical or pain management consultation.

22. In approximately November 2015, Respondent began prescribing lorazepam (2 mg daily) on a recurring monthly basis. Respondent did not document an anxiety or depression screening questionnaire, nor a detailed functional assessment. The progress notes did not include that Patient B was already taking sertraline[6] for depression and anxiety. Additionally, Respondent began to prescribe regular prescriptions for Ambien (10 mg) soon after at the maximum daily dosage. Between approximately November 2015 and October 2016, Respondent prescribed lorazepam 17 times, including multiple early refills due to Patient B claiming she had "misplaced" her prescription bottles. Due to Respondent failing to check CURES, she missed that Patient B was also receiving regular monthly prescriptions for clonazepam, another benzodiazepine, from a different physician during this same time period. In fact, Respondent began prescribing clonazepam to Patient B concurrent to lorazepam in approximately August 2016, further placing her at risk.

23. In approximately June 2016, Respondent refused a Norco refill due to her suspicion of narcotic dependency and abuse following Patient B's frequent requests for early refills. Respondent failed to make a referral to drug addiction treatment and mental health experts once she became aware of the patient's drug dependency. Moreover, Respondent shortly resumed monthly Norco prescriptions until Patient B left Respondent's practice in approximately November 2016 due to her inability to obtain even more narcotics.

24. During the time that Respondent treated Patient B, she did not conduct a risk stratification, and was thereby unaware of her high risk of drug addiction. Respondent failed to conduct a single urine drug test or CURES review for Patient B, despite evidence of aberrant drug

[6] Sertraline, better known by the trade name Zoloft, is an SSRI anti-depressant.

behavior, including multiple early refill requests. Further, Respondent also failed to document regular opioid assessments of Patient B that included analgesia, activities of daily life, adverse side effects, aberrant behaviors, and the patient's affect. Respondent also did not refer Patient B to mental health for treatment of her increasing anxiety and tapering off benzodiazepine therapy.

25. At no time did Respondent provide Patient B with proper informed consent discussing the risks and benefits of long-term opioid treatment, nor enter into a signed pain care agreement outlining treatment goals and objectives. Respondent did not advise Patient B of the increased health risks involved in the concurrent use of opiates and benzodiazepines, nor prescribe naloxone in the event of an accidental overdose. In a later interview, Respondent admitted that she did not document the daily morphine equivalent dosage (MED) and admitted that she was unfamiliar in calculating the MED, which contributes to the safe prescribing of opiates.

26. Respondent committed gross negligence in her care and treatment of Patient B which included, but was not limited to, the following:

(a) Respondent failed to properly conduct patient risk stratification, urine toxicology testing, and CURES queries; Respondent failed to recognize the patient's elevated addiction risks and refer for drug treatment; Respondent failed to prescribe naloxone antidote, and lacked proper documentation of opiate monitoring; and

(b) Respondent failed to conduct a thorough evaluation for anxiety, failed to prescribe safer psychotropic medications, failed to make a mental health referral for anxiety, failed to recognize the patient's benzodiazepine addiction and that prescribing two benzodiazepines concurrently significantly increased the risk of fatal overdose.

///

///

///

///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

27. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that she committed repeated negligent acts in her care and treatment of patients A and B, as more particularly alleged herein.

PATIENT A

28. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in her care and treatment of Patient A which included, but was not limited to, the following:

(a) Paragraphs 16 through 26, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein;

(b) Respondent failed to perform an adequate anxiety assessment and prescribe safer anti-anxiety medications;

(c) Respondent improperly prescribed an opiate and benzodiazepine concurrently that exposed the patient to unnecessary risk, and failed to consider a safer medication; and

(d) Respondent failed to provide informed consent regarding long-term opiate treatment, and failed to have Patient A enter into a pain care agreement.

PATIENT B

29. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in her care and treatment of Patient B which included, but was not limited to, the following:

(a) Paragraphs 16 through 26, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein;

(b) Respondent failed to offer additional non-opiate medications and treatment;

(c) The concurrent prescription of opiates, benzodiazepines and sedatives placed the patient at risk of fatal accidental overdose;

(d) Respondent failed to start Patient B on a lower dose of Ambien and increased the health risks by combining it with an opiate and benzodiazepine; and

(e) Respondent failed to provide informed consent regarding long-term opiate treatment, and failed to have Patient B enter into a pain care agreement.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Acts of Clearly Excessive Prescribing)

30. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 725, of the Code, in that she has committed repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing of drugs or treatment to patients A and B, as determined by the standard of the community of physicians, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16 through 29, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

31. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2266, of the Code, in that she failed to maintain adequate and accurate records regarding her care and treatment of patients A and B, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16 through 30, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 66649, issued to Clara Lucy Polak, M.D.;

2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Clara Lucy Polak, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

///

3. Ordering Clara Lucy Polak, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring; and

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: APR 22 2022

WILLIAM PRASIFKA
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SD2021801715
83293649.docx