ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
TAN N. TRAN
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 197775
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6535
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117
Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against: **SAMPATH KUMAR SURYADEVARA, M.D.** **19330 Chamblee Avenue** **Cerritos, CA 90703** **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50601,** Respondent.	Case No. 800-2021-079381 **DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER** [Gov. Code, § 11520]

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On or about October 8, 2021, Complainant William Prasifka, in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board"), filed Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-079381 against Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D. ("Respondent") before the Board.

2. On or about June 13, 2001, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C 50601 to Respondent. That license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2022, unless renewed. A true and correct copy of Respondent's Certificate of Licensure is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and is incorporated by reference.

3. A Cease Practice Order was issued to Respondent by the Board on June 16, 2021 in Case No. 800-2017-032536, for his failure to successfully complete the Clinical Competence Assessment Program (Condition 4). That Cease Practice Order remains in effect and will not dissolve until a final Decision has been rendered on the instant Petition to Revoke Probation (Case No. 800-2021-079381).

4. On or about October 8, 2021, an employee of the Board served by regular and certified mail a copy of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-079381, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 19330 Chamblee Avenue, Cerritos, California 90703. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as Exhibit 2, and are incorporated herein by reference.

5. Service of the Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

6. On November 19, 2021, a Courtesy Notice of Default, to which a copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation and a Notice of Defense (two copies) were attached, was sent by certified mail to Respondent's address of record with the Board. A true and correct copy of said Courtesy Notice of Default and attachments is attached hereto as Exhibit 3, and is incorporated herein by reference.

7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

> (a) Within 15 days after service of the accusation, the respondent may file with the agency a notice of defense...
>
> ...
>
> (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

///

///

8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within fifteen (15) days after service upon him of the Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-079381.

9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

> (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

10. The Declaration of Deputy Attorney General Tan N. Tran attesting to the foregoing facts is attached hereto as Exhibit 4 and is incorporated herein by reference.

11. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in Exhibits 1 through 5, finds that the allegations in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-079381 are true.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D.'s probation is revoked per Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2021-079381, and the stay on the revocation of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50601 is lifted.

2. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached as Exhibit 2.

3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

4. The Medical Board of California is authorized to revoke Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate based upon the following violations alleged in the Petition to Revoke Probation:

(a) Failure to Comply with Probation Condition Number 4: Clinical Competence Assessment Program pursuant to Condition 4 of the November 27, 2019 Decision in the matter entitled "*In the Matter of the Accusation Against Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D.*," Medical

///

Board of California Case No. 800-2017-032536. (See Exhibits 2 and 5, attached hereto and incorporated herein); and

(b) Violation of Probation pursuant to Condition 14 of the November 27, 2019 Decision in the matter entitled "*In the Matter of the Accusation Against Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D.*," Medical Board of California Case No. 800-2017-032536. (See Exhibits 2 and 5, attached hereto and incorporated herein.)

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C 50601, heretofore issued to Respondent Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D., is revoked.

If Respondent ever files an application for relicensure or reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked license. Respondent must comply with all laws, regulations, and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition for reinstatement is filed.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 pm on January 28, 2022.

It is so ORDERED December 29, 2021.

Reji Varghese
Deputy Director

for: WILLIAM PRASIFKA
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
TAN N. TRAN
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 197775
California Department of Justice
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6535
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117
Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against: **SAMPATH KUMAR SURYADEVARA, M.D.** **19330 Chamblee Avenue** **Cerritos, CA 90703** **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50601,** Respondent.	Case No. 800-2021-079381 **PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION**

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about June 13, 2001, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C 50601 to Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2022, unless renewed.

3. In a prior disciplinary action entitled "*In the Matter of the Accusation Against Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D.*," Case No. 800-2017-032536, the Medical Board of California issued a decision, effective November 27, 2019 ("2019 Decision"), in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.

JURISDICTION

4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

5. Section 2227 of the Code states:

> (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
>
> (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
>
> (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
>
> (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
>
> (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
>
> (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
>
> (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

///

///

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Clinical Competence Assessment Program)

6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 4 of the 2019 Decision stated, in pertinent part:

> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical competence assessment program approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall successfully complete the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to an extension of that time.
>
> [¶]...[¶]
>
> If the Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical competence assessment program, the Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until a final decision has been rendered on the accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

7. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 4, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:

A. On or about February 19, 2020, Respondent was officially enrolled in the University of California, San Diego Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program ("PACE Program"). Respondent participated in the PACE Program on January 21, 26, 29, February 10, and April 29, 2021.

B. On or about June 8, 2021, the PACE Program provided the Board with its report regarding Respondent's assessment with the program. The report indicated that Respondent's overall performance on the comprehensive physician assessment was consistent with a "Fail, Category 4." As such, Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical competence assessment program, thereby violating his probation.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Probation)

8. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 14 of the 2019 Decision stated:

///

Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

9. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 14 of the 2019 Decision, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:

A. Paragraphs 6 through 7, inclusive, above, are incorporated herein by reference.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

10. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about June 16, 2021, the Medical Board of California issued a Cease Practice Order in Case No. 800-2017-032536, for violation of Probation Condition 4. Respondent was ordered to immediately cease practice.

11. To further determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant refers to the details of the prior disciplinary action, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 3, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and alleged as if fully set forth herein. The disciplinary action was taken due to the following sustained allegations: gross negligence, repeated negligent acts, prescribing without exam/indication, excessive prescribing, inadequate records, prescribing to an addict, aiding and abetting, and incompetence. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case No. 800-2017-032536 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50601 issued to Respondent Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D.;

2. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50601, issued to Respondent Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D.;

3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and advance practice nurses;

4. Ordering Respondent Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Medical Board of California the costs of probation monitoring; and

5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: OCT 0 8 2021

WILLIAM PRASIFKA
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 800-2017-032536

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)	
Sampath Kumar Suryadevara, M.D.	)	Case No. 800-2017-032536
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C50601	)	
Respondent	)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 27, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED: October 29, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Kristina D. Lawson, J.D., Chair
Panel B

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
TAN N. TRAN
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 197775
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6535
Facsimile: (213) 897-9395
Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: **Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D.** **19330 Chamblee Avenue** **Cerritos, California 90703** **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C50601,** Respondent.	Case No. 800-2017-032536 **STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer ("Complainant") is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Tan N. Tran, Deputy Attorney General.

///

///

2. Respondent Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D. ("Respondent") is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel..

3. On or about June 13, 2001, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C50601 to Sampath K. Suryadevara,, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2017-032536 and will expire on August 31, 2020, unless renewed..

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 800-2017-032536 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board) , Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on or about May 14, 2019. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2017-032536 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2017-032536. Respondent has also carefully read and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

///

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent does not contest that at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2017-032536, and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50601 to disciplinary action.

10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

///

///

///

///

13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 50601 issued to Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - PARTIAL RESTRICTION. During the first two years of probation, Respondent shall not order, prescribe, dispense, administer, furnish, or possess any controlled substances as listed in Schedule(s) II and III of the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act.

Respondent shall not issue an oral or written recommendation or approval to a patient or a patient's primary caregiver for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. If Respondent forms the medical opinion, after an appropriate prior examination and medical indication, that a patient's medical condition may benefit from the use of marijuana, Respondent shall so inform the patient and shall refer the patient to another physician who, following an appropriate prior examination and medical indication, may independently issue a medically appropriate recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. In addition, Respondent shall inform the patient or the patient's primary caregiver that Respondent is prohibited from issuing a recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient and that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver may not rely on Respondent's statements to legally possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient. Respondent shall fully

document in the patient's chart that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver was so informed. Nothing in this condition prohibits Respondent from providing the patient or the patient's primary caregiver information about the possible medical benefits resulting from the use of marijuana.

2. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES- MAINTAIN RECORDS AND ACCESS TO RECORDS AND INVENTORIES. Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances ordered, prescribed, dispensed, administered, or possessed by Respondent, and any recommendation or approval which enables a patient or patient's primary caregiver to possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5, during probation, showing all the following: 1) the name and address of patient; 2) the date; 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved; and 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances were furnished.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order. All records and any inventories of controlled substances shall be available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the Board or its designee at all times during business hours and shall be retained for the entire term of probation.

3. MONITORING - PRACTICE. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed

statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program

equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

4. CLINICAL COMPETENCE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical competence assessment program approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall successfully complete the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to an extension of that time.

The program shall consist of a comprehensive assessment of Respondent's physical and mental health and the six general domains of clinical competence as defined by the Accreditation Council on Graduate Medical Education and American Board of Medical Specialties pertaining to Respondent's current or intended area of practice. The program shall take into account data obtained from the pre-assessment, self-report forms and interview, and the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. The program shall require Respondent's on-site participation for a minimum of three (3) and no more than five (5) days as determined by the program for the assessment and clinical education evaluation. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical competence assessment program.

At the end of the evaluation, the program will submit a report to the Board or its designee which unequivocally states whether the Respondent has demonstrated the ability to practice safely and independently. Based on Respondent's performance on the clinical competence assessment, the program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, evaluation or treatment for any medical condition or psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with the program's recommendations.

Determination as to whether Respondent successfully completed the clinical competence

assessment program is solely within the program's jurisdiction.

If Respondent fails to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the clinical competence assessment program within the designated time period, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until enrollment or participation in the outstanding portions of the clinical competence assessment program have been completed. If the Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical competence assessment program, the Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until a final decision has been rendered on the accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

STANDARD CONDITIONS

5. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

6. PATIENT DISCLOSURE.

Before a patient's first visit following the effective date of this order and while the Respondent is on probation, the Respondent must provide all patients, or patient's guardian or health care surrogate, with a separate disclosure that includes the Respondent's probation status, the length of the probation, the probation end date, all practice restrictions placed on the Respondent by the board, the board's telephone number, and an explanation of how the patient can find further information on the Respondent's probation on the Respondent's profile page on the board's website. Respondent shall obtain from the patient, or the patient's guardian or health

care surrogate, a separate, signed copy of that disclosure. Respondent shall not be required to provide a disclosure if any of the following applies: (1) The patient is unconscious or otherwise unable to comprehend the disclosure and sign the copy of the disclosure and a guardian or health care surrogate is unavailable to comprehend the disclosure and sign the copy; (2) The visit occurs in an emergency room or an urgent care facility or the visit is unscheduled, including consultations in inpatient facilities; (3) Respondent is not known to the patient until immediately prior to the start of the visit; (4) Respondent does not have a direct treatment relationship with the patient.

7. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE NURSES. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.

8. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

9. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

10. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business

and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

11. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

12. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall

not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

13. COMPLETION OF PROBATION. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

14. VIOLATION OF PROBATION. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

15. LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its

designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

16. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: Sep 12th 2019 Sampath K. Suryadevara, MD

Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D.
Respondent

///
///
///
///
///
///
///
///
///
///

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: 9/16/19

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

TAN N. TRAN
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

53734195.docx

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2017-032536

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
TAN N. TRAN
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 197775
California Department of Justice
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6535
Facsimile: (213) 897-9395
Attorneys for Complainant

FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO May 14 2019
BY [illegible] ANALYST

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: **Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D.** **19330 Chamblee Avenue** **Cerritos, California 90703** **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C50601,** Respondent.	Case No. 800-2017-032536 **A C C U S A T I O N**

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).

2. On or about June 13, 2001, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C50601 to Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2020, unless renewed.

///

///

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 2004 of the Code states:

"The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

"(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.

"(b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.

"(c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.

"(d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.

"(e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.

"(f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.

"(g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the programs in subdivision (f).

"(h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.

"(i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program."

5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the board deems proper.

6. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

"(b) Gross negligence.

"(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

"(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

"(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

"(d) Incompetence.

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

"(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine. Section 2314 shall not apply to this subdivision. This subdivision shall become operative upon the implementation of the proposed registration program described in Section 2052.5.

"(h) The repeated failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview scheduled by Board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board."

7. Section 2241 of the Code states:

"(a) A physician and surgeon may prescribe, dispense, or administer prescription drugs, including prescription controlled substances, to an addict under his or her treatment for a purpose other than maintenance on, or detoxification from, prescription drugs or controlled substances.

"(b) A physician and surgeon may prescribe, dispense, or administer prescription drugs or prescription controlled substances to an addict for purposes of maintenance on, or detoxification from, prescription drugs or controlled substances only as set forth in subdivision (c) or in Sections 11215, 11217, 11217.5, 11218, 11219, and 11220 of the Health and Safety Code. Nothing in this subdivision shall authorize a physician and surgeon to prescribe, dispense, or administer dangerous drugs or controlled substances to a person he or she knows or reasonably believes is using or will use the drugs or substances for a nonmedical purpose.

"(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), prescription drugs or controlled substances may also be administered or applied by a physician and surgeon, or by a registered nurse acting under his or her instruction and supervision, under the following circumstances:

"(1) Emergency treatment of a patient whose addiction is complicated by the presence of incurable disease, acute accident, illness, or injury, or the infirmities attendant upon age.

"(2) Treatment of addicts in state-licensed institutions where the patient is kept under restraint and control, or in city or county jails or state prisons.

"(3) Treatment of addicts as provided for by Section 11217.5 of the Health and Safety Code.

"(d)(1) For purposes of this section and Section 2241.5, "addict" means a person whose actions are characterized by craving in combination with one or more of the following:

"(A) Impaired control over drug use.

"(B) Compulsive use.

"(C) Continued use despite harm.

"(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), a person whose drug-seeking behavior is primarily due to the inadequate control of pain is not an addict within the meaning of this section or Section 2241.5."

///

///

///

///

8. Section 2242 of the Code states:

"(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

"(b) No licensee shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this section if, at the time the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished, any of the following applies:

"(1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return of his or her practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.

"(2) The licensee transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:

"(A) The practitioner had consulted with the registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse who had reviewed the patient's records.

"(B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.

"(3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refill.

"(4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety Code."

9. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

///

///

10. Section 725 of the Code states:

"(a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language pathologist, or audiologist.

"(b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars ($100) nor more than six hundred dollars ($600), or by imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days, or by both that fine and imprisonment.

"(c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.

"(d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5."

11. Section 2264 of the Code states:

"The employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of any unlicensed person or any suspended, revoked, or unlicensed practitioner to engage in the practice of medicine or any other mode of treating the sick or afflicted which requires a license to practice constitutes unprofessional conduct."

///

///

///

///

///

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence- 2 Patients)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code for the commission of acts or omissions involving gross negligence in the care and treatment of Patients 1 and 2.[1] The circumstances are as follows:

Patient 1

13. Patient 1 (or "patient") is a male who treated with Respondent from approximately July 15, 2015, through January 3, 2018,[2] for various conditions including chronic low back pain, increased blood pressure, and morbid obesity. Records indicate that Patient 1 was also using Norco (an opiate/painkiller), and that he requested to get something stronger. Respondent's plan was to discontinue the Norco and start Patient 1 on Oxycodone, which is another type of opiate.

14. On or about November 7, 2017, Patient 1 visited a drug rehab facility named Cornerstone of Southern California (Cornerstone). Per a Cornerstone employee, Patient 1 admitted to their staff that he [Patient 1] was using Heroin and Oxycodone. Patient 1 subsequently turned in the Oxycodone to Cornerstone staff and sought admission.

15. Per Cornerstone staff, Patient 1 later asked to be discharged and demanded that the Oxycodone be returned to him. Cornerstone staff informed Patient 1 that they [Cornerstone] could not return the Oxycodone to him, unless the prescribing doctor (Respondent) authorized the release of the medication. Cornerstone staff subsequently called Respondent's office and informed Respondent's assistant that Patient 1 was in treatment and admitted using Heroin, and that Patient 1 wanted Cornerstone to return the Oxycodone to him. Per Cornerstone staff, Respondent's assistant informed Cornerstone that she and Respondent were aware of the Heroin and Oxycodone.

16. On or about November 8, 2017, Cornerstone received, via fax, a signed authorization from Respondent's office authorizing Cornerstone to release the Oxycodone to

---

[1] The patients are identified by numbers to protect their privacy.

[2] These are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board.

Patient 1. The authorization appeared to have Respondent's signature thereon, but the other handwriting on the authorization appears different from Respondent's signature at the bottom. Respondent stated, among other things, during a Board interview that sometimes he would pre-sign physician order forms, so that his staff could fill in certain orders during Respondent's absence. Respondent, however, asserts that had he known about Patient 1's use of Heroin, he [Respondent] would not have authorized the release of Oxycodone to Patient 1.

17. Respondent committed an extreme departure from the standard of care by prescribing Oxycodone (a narcotic) to Patient 1, who had signs of addiction, and by leaving pre-signed order forms, which can be completed by office staff to authorize medical treatment/orders (e.g. the release of Oxycodone to a patient who was using illicit drugs). By doing so, Respondent also allowed his assistant(s) to practice medicine without a license, and without his authorization.

Patient 2

18. Patient 2 (or "patient") is a male who treated with Respondent from approximately June 18, 2012, through November 2018,[3] for various conditions including ankle pain, back pain, and knee pain, asthma, diabetes, hypertension, Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). Records indicate that Respondent prescribed to Patient 2 controlled medications such as Oxycodone, OxyContin, Hydromorphone (Dilaudid), and Alprazolam (Xanax).[4]

19. Respondent never performed urine drug screening on Patient 2, who was taking long-term narcotic medications. Respondent also never checked CURES[5] on Patient 2 to see if the

[3] Again, these are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board.

[4] These medications are all dangerous drugs with potentially addictive traits and side effects, if used improperly and/or overused.

[5] CURES allows healthcare prescribers, pharmacists, law enforcement, and regulatory boards to access patients' and providers' controlled substance prescription histories. CURES is intended to assist in the reduction of prescription drug abuse in California. As of July 1, 2016, all physicians in California were required to register with CURES.

patient was receiving narcotics from any other physicians, or taking any other medications that might interfere with the narcotics Respondent was prescribing to Patient 2. Respondent also would at times (e.g., August 13, 2017 and August 18, 2017) authorize refills of controlled medications to Patient 2, without adequately documenting a valid reason for the early refill. These acts and omissions in the care and treatment of Patient 2 constituted an extreme departure from the standard of care, and also a lack of knowledge.[6]

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts – 3 Patients)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code in that he committed repeated negligent acts in his care of three patients. The circumstances are as follows:

21. The facts and circumstances in paragraphs 12 through 19, above, are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

Patient 1

22. Respondent also committed simple departures or showed a lack of knowledge in his care and treatment of Patient 1 as follows:

a. Failure to perform an adequate history and examination on Patient 1 (e.g. no documentation of back exams, no questions about cauda equina (spinal) symptoms, etc.).

b. Failure to perform regular urinalyses for drug levels.

c. Failure to timely refer Patient 1 to a pain specialist.

d. Failure to have a pain management agreement with Patient 1.

e. Failure to check the CURES database before prescribing opiates.

///

///

[6] Respondent stated, among other things, to Board staff that he was not currently familiar with pain management guidelines, and admitted that he never checked CURES on any of his patients.

Patient 2

23. Respondent also committed simple departures or showed a lack of knowledge in his care and treatment of Patient 2 as follows:

a. Failure to perform an adequate history and examination on Patient 2 (e.g., no documentation of back exams, no questions about cauda equina symptoms, nocturnal pain, night sweats, or history of cancer, etc.).

b. Failure to have a pain management agreement with Patient 2, who was being prescribed narcotics for an extended period of time.

c. Failure to check the CURES database before prescribing opiates.

d. Prescribing large doses of narcotics, sometimes with tranquilizers, to Patient 2 with diagnoses of asthma, COPD, CHF, or pneumonia, without adequately documenting why it was necessary to do so.

e. Failure to timely refer Patient 2 to a pain specialist.

Patient 3

24. Patient 3 (or "patient") is a female who treated with Respondent from approximately April 9, 2014, through August 6, 2017,[7] for various conditions including COPD, Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD), but primarily for chronic pain. Records indicate that, during the period from 2014 through 2017, Respondent prescribed to Patient 3 multiple controlled medications such as Vicodin, Norco, Hydromorphone (Dilaudid), and Hydrocodone.[8]

25. Respondent committed simple departures or showed a lack of knowledge in his care and treatment of Patient 3 as follows:

---

[7] Again, these are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board.

[8] These medications are all dangerous drugs with potentially addictive traits and side effects, if used improperly and/or overused. There is also documentation of a telephone call on or about December 19, 2014, when Respondent apparently gave Patient 3 a prescription for Dilaudid, at the request/preference of Patient 3.

a. Failure to conduct a thorough history and physical examination prior to prescribing pain medication (e.g., no documented back exams, no mention of asking the patient about "red flag" symptoms, etc.).

b. Prescribing narcotics to Patient 3 over an extended period of time without a pain management agreement.

c. Failure to check the CURES database before prescribing opiates, and prescribing narcotics to Patient 3 for an extended period of time without consultation from a pain specialist.

d. Prescribing large doses of narcotics in a patient with COPD.

e. Failure to check a urinalysis for drug screen.

f. Failure to check CURES to see if Patient 3 was receiving narcotics from any other physicians, or taking any other medications that might interfere with the narcotics being prescribed to Patient 3.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prescribing Without Exam/Indication- 3 Patients)

26. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First and Second Causes for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242 of the Code, in that Respondent prescribed dangerous drugs to Patients 1, 2, and 3, above, without an appropriate prior examination or medical indication therefore.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Excessive Prescribing- 3 Patients)

27. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First and Second Causes for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code, in that Respondent excessively prescribed dangerous drugs to Patients 1, 2, and 3, above.

///

///

///

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Inadequate Records- 3 patients)

28. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First and Second Causes for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, in that Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of his care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, and 3, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prescribing to an Addict- Patient 1)

29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2241 of the Code in that Respondent prescribed controlled substances to Patient 1, above, who had signs of addiction.

30. The facts and circumstances in paragraphs 13 through 17, and 22.a. through 22. e. are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Aiding and Abetting- Patient 1)

31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2264 of the Code, in that he aided and abetted his assistant to engage in the unlicensed practice of medicine.

32. The facts and circumstances in paragraphs 13 through 17, above, are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incompetence)

33. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d), of the Code, in that he was incompetent in his care and treatment of patients.

34. The facts and circumstances in paragraphs 12 through 32, above, are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

///

///

///

///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C50601, issued to Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D.;

2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advance practice nurses;

3. Ordering Sampath K. Suryadevara, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring; and

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: May 14, 2019

KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

53292272.docx