# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against

Christopher Anthony Manios, M.D.

Case No. 800-2018-040591

Physician's and Surgeon's License No. A110045

Respondent.

#### DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 27, 2020.

IT IS SO ORDERED: July 28, 2020.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Kristina D. Lawson, J.D., Chair

Panel B

1 2	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California E. A. JONES III		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA M. TEMPLET		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 267098		
5	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6688		
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2117  Attorneys for Complainant		
8	Thorneys for Complandin		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2018-040591	
13	CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY MANIOS,	OAH No. 2019121005	
14 15	M.D. 4805 Bellaire Blvd. Bellaire, TX 77401	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 110045		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19			
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	1. Christine J. Lally (Complainant) is the Interim Executive Director of the Medical		
24	Board of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is		
25	represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, via		
26	Joshua M. Templet, Deputy Attorney General.		
27	///		
28	/// ·		

- 2. Respondent Christopher Anthony Manios, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney John H. Dodd, Craddick, Candland & Conti, 2420 Camino Ramon, Ste. 202, San Ramon, CA 94583.
- 3. On or about November 18, 2009, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 110045 to Christopher Anthony Manios, M.D. (Respondent). The certificate is current and has been renewed through March 31, 2021.

#### **JURISDICTION**

Accusation No. 800-2018-040591 (Accusation) was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent, on January 1, 2001. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

4. A copy of the Accusation is attached as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein.

#### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the Accusation. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in the Accusation, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline on his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 110045 issued to Respondent CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY MANIOS, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years with the following terms and conditions:

- Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 2. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to CME requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

///

3. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program that meets the requirements of title 16, section 1358.1 of the California Code of Regulations.

Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the CME requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. PRACTICE MONITORING. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified in dermatology or cosmetic surgery. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering; shall be in Respondent's field of practice; and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3)

calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

5. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities, or insurance carrier.

- 6. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and shall remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 7. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

8. <u>GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.</u>

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

///

#### Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

#### Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

#### License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

#### Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 9. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 10. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct

patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If
Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall
comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program
which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and
does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation.

Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or federal jurisdiction while on probation
with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered nonpractice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of nonpractice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards' Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve
Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the
exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws;
General Probation Requirements; and Quarterly Declarations.

- 11. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 12. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation,

or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

- 13. LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 14. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, John H. Dodd. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY MANIOS, M.D.

Respondent

25

26

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Christopher Anthony Manios, M.D. the	
2	terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and	
3	Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.	
4	DATED: 4/9/20 Jack Wend	
5	JOHN J. DODD Craddick, Candland & Conti	
6	Attorney for Respondent	
7	ENDORSEMENT	
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully	
9	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.	
10	Submitted for consideration by the ividated Board of Cambrida.	
11	DATED: 4/10/2020 Respectfully submitted,	
12	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
13	E. A. Jones III Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
14		
15	Joshua M. Templet	
16	JOSHUA M. TEMPLET Deputy Attorney General	
17	Attorneys for Complainant	
18	SF2019201473	
19	33960976	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	1	
25	<b>il</b>	
26	- #	
27	11	
28		
	11	

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2018-040591

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 1 XAVIER BECERRA SACRAMENTO Dec 2 20 19 Attorney General of California BY D. Richards 2 E. A. JONES III Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSHUA M. TEMPLET 3 Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 267098 California Department of Justice 5 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 6 Telephone: (213) 269-6688 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 7 E-mail: Joshua. Templet@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant 8 9 BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 800-2018-040591 13 Christopher Anthony Manios, M.D. ACCUSATION 14 4805 Bellaire Blvd. Bellaire, TX 77401 15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate 16 No. A 110045. 17 Respondent. 18 19 **PARTIES** 20 Christine J. Lally (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity 21 as the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer 22 Affairs (Board). 23 2. On November 18, 2009, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's 24 Certificate Number A 110045 to Christopher Anthony Manios, M.D. (Respondent). The 25 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 26 charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2021, unless renewed. 27 /// 28

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2004 of the Code provides that the Board shall have the responsibility for the enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code authorizes the Board to take action against a licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act by revoking his or her license, suspending the license for a period not to exceed one year, placing the license on probation and requiring payment of costs of probation monitoring, or taking such other action as the Board deems proper.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2234 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
- (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
- (d) Incompetence.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

///

#### 7. Section 2266 of the Code states:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

#### 8. Section 2271 of the Code states:

Any advertising in violation of Section 17500, relating to false or misleading advertising, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

#### 9. Section 17500 of the Code states:

It is unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association, or any employee thereof with intent directly or indirectly to dispose of real or personal property or to perform services, professional or otherwise, or anything of any nature whatsoever or to induce the public to enter into any obligation relating thereto, to make or disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated before the public in this state, or to make or disseminate or cause to be made or disseminated from this state before the public in any state, in any newspaper or other publication, or any advertising device, or by public outcry or proclamation, or in any other manner or means whatever, including over the Internet, any statement, concerning that real or personal property or those services, professional or otherwise, or concerning any circumstance or matter of fact connected with the proposed performance or disposition thereof, which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading, or for any person, firm, or corporation to so make or disseminate or cause to be so made or disseminated any such statement as part of a plan or scheme with the intent not to sell that personal property or those services, professional or otherwise, so advertised at the price stated therein, or as so advertised. Any violation of the provisions of this section is a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding six months, or by a fine not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500), or by both that imprisonment and fine.

#### 10. Section 651 of the Code states:

- (a) It is unlawful for any person licensed under this division or under any initiative act referred to in this division to disseminate or cause to be disseminated any form of public communication containing a false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive statement, claim, or image for the purpose of or likely to induce, directly or indirectly, the rendering of professional services or furnishing of products in connection with the professional practice or business for which he or she is licensed. A "public communication" as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, communication by means of mail, television, radio, motion picture, newspaper, book, list or directory of healing arts practitioners, Internet, or other electronic communication.
- (b) A false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive statement, claim, or image includes a statement or claim that does any of the following:
  - (1) Contains a misrepresentation of fact.
  - (2) Is likely to mislead or deceive because of a failure to disclose material facts.

- (3)(A) Is intended or is likely to create false or unjustified expectations of favorable results, including the use of any photograph or other image that does not accurately depict the results of the procedure being advertised or that has been altered in any manner from the image of the actual subject depicted in the photograph or image.
  - (B) Use of any photograph or other image of a model without clearly stating in a prominent location in easily readable type the fact that the photograph or image is of a model is a violation of subdivision (a). For purposes of this paragraph, a model is anyone other than an actual patient, who has undergone the procedure being advertised, of the licensee who is advertising for his or her services.
  - (C) Use of any photograph or other image of an actual patient that depicts or purports to depict the results of any procedure, or presents "before" and "after" views of a patient, without specifying in a prominent location in easily readable type size what procedures were performed on that patient is a violation of subdivision (a). Any "before" and "after" views (i) shall be comparable in presentation so that the results are not distorted by favorable poses, lighting, or other features of presentation, and (ii) shall contain a statement that the same "before" and "after" results may not occur for all patients.
- (4) Relates to fees, other than a standard consultation fee or a range of fees for specific types of services, without fully and specifically disclosing all variables and other material factors.
- (5) Contains other representations or implications that in reasonable probability will cause an ordinarily prudent person to misunderstand or be deceived.
- (6) Makes a claim either of professional superiority or of performing services in a superior manner, unless that claim is relevant to the service being performed and can be substantiated with objective scientific evidence.
- (7) Makes a scientific claim that cannot be substantiated by reliable, peer reviewed, published scientific studies.
- (8) Includes any statement, endorsement, or testimonial that is likely to mislead or deceive because of a failure to disclose material facts.
- (c) Any price advertisement shall be exact, without the use of phrases, including, but not limited to, "as low as," "and up," "lowest prices," or words or phrases of similar import. Any advertisement that refers to services, or costs for services, and that uses words of comparison shall be based on verifiable data substantiating the comparison. Any person so advertising shall be prepared to provide information sufficient to establish the accuracy of that comparison. Price advertising shall not be fraudulent, deceitful, or misleading, including statements or advertisements of bait, discount, premiums, gifts, or any statements of a similar nature. In connection with price advertising, the price for each product or service shall be clearly identifiable. The price advertised for products shall include charges for any related professional services, including dispensing and fitting services, unless the advertisement specifically and clearly indicates otherwise.
- (d) Any person so licensed shall not compensate or give anything of value to a representative of the press, radio, television, or other communication medium in anticipation of, or in return for, professional publicity unless the fact of compensation is made known in that publicity.
- (e) Any person so licensed may not use any professional card, professional

announcement card, office sign, letterhead, telephone directory listing, medical list, medical directory listing, or a similar professional notice or device if it includes a statement or claim that is false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive within the meaning of subdivision (b).

- (f) Any person so licensed who violates this section is guilty of a misdemeanor. A bona fide mistake of fact shall be a defense to this subdivision, but only to this subdivision.
- (g) Any violation of this section by a person so licensed shall constitute good cause for revocation or suspension of his or her license or other disciplinary action.
- (h) Advertising by any person so licensed may include the following:
  - (1) A statement of the name of the practitioner.
  - (2) A statement of addresses and telephone numbers of the offices maintained by the practitioner.
- (3) A statement of office hours regularly maintained by the practitioner.
- (4) A statement of languages, other than English, fluently spoken by the practitioner or a person in the practitioner's office.
- (5)(A) A statement that the practitioner is certified by a private or public board or agency or a statement that the practitioner limits his or her practice to specific fields.
  - (B) A statement of certification by a practitioner licensed under Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 3000) shall only include a statement that he or she is certified or eligible for certification by a private or public board or parent association recognized by that practitioner's licensing board.
- (C) A physician and surgeon licensed under Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2000) by the Medical Board of California may include a statement that he or she limits his or her practice to specific fields, but shall not include a statement that he or she is certified or eligible for certification by a private or public board or parent association, including, but not limited to, a multidisciplinary board or association, unless that board or association is (i) an American Board of Medical Specialties member board, (ii) a board or association with equivalent requirements approved by that physician's and surgeon's licensing board prior to January 1, 2019, or (iii) a board or association with an Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education approved postgraduate training program that provides complete training in that specialty or subspecialty. A physician and surgeon licensed under Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2000) by the Medical Board of California who is certified by an organization other than a board or association referred to in clause (i), (ii), or (iii) shall not use the term "board certified" in reference to that certification, unless the physician and surgeon is also licensed under Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 1600) and the use of the term "board certified" in reference to that certification is in accordance with subparagraph (A). A physician and surgeon licensed under Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2000) by the Medical Board of California who is certified by a board or association referred to in clause (i), (ii), or (iii) shall not use the term "board certified" unless the full name of the certifying board is also used and given comparable prominence with the term "board certified" in the statement.

For purposes of this subparagraph, a "multidisciplinary board or association"

27

28

means an educational certifying body that has a psychometrically valid testing process, as determined by the Medical Board of California, for certifying medical doctors and other health care professionals that is based on the applicant's education, training, and experience. A multidisciplinary board or association approved by the Medical Board of California prior to January 1, 2019, shall retain that approval.

For purposes of the term "board certified," as used in this subparagraph, the terms "board" and "association" mean an organization that is an American Board of Medical Specialties member board, an organization with equivalent requirements approved by a physician's and surgeon's licensing board prior to January 1, 2019, or an organization with an Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education approved postgraduate training program that provides complete training in a specialty or subspecialty.

(D) A doctor of podiatric medicine licensed under Article 22 (commencing with Section 2460) of Chapter 5 by the California Board of Podiatric Medicine may include a statement that he or she is certified or eligible or qualified for certification by a private or public board or parent association, including, but not limited to, a multidisciplinary board or association, if that board or association meets one of the following requirements: (i) is approved by the Council on Podiatric Medical Education, (ii) is a board or association with equivalent requirements approved by the California Board of Podiatric Medicine, or (iii) is a board or association with the Council on Podiatric Medical Education approved postgraduate training programs that provide training in podiatric medicine and podiatric surgery. A doctor of podiatric medicine licensed under Article 22 (commencing with Section 2460) of Chapter 5 by the California Board of Podiatric Medicine who is certified by a board or association referred to in clause (i), (ii), or (iii) shall not use the term "board certified" unless the full name of the certifying board is also used and given comparable prominence with the term "board certified" in the statement. A doctor of podiatric medicine licensed under Article 22 (commencing with Section 2460) of Chapter 5 by the California Board of Podiatric Medicine who is certified by an organization other than a board or association referred to in clause (i), (ii), or (iii) shall not use the term "board certified" in reference to that certification.

For purposes of this subparagraph, a "multidisciplinary board or association" means an educational certifying body that has a psychometrically valid testing process, as determined by the California Board of Podiatric Medicine, for certifying doctors of podiatric medicine that is based on the applicant's education, training, and experience. For purposes of the term "board certified," as used in this subparagraph, the terms "board" and "association" mean an organization that is a Council on Podiatric Medical Education approved board, an organization with equivalent requirements approved by the California Board of Podiatric Medicine, or an organization with a Council on Podiatric Medical Education approved postgraduate training program that provides training in podiatric medicine and podiatric surgery.

The California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall adopt regulations to establish and collect a reasonable fee from each board or association applying for recognition pursuant to this subparagraph, to be deposited in the State Treasury in the Podiatry Fund, pursuant to Section 2499. The fee shall not exceed the cost of administering this subparagraph.

(6) A statement that the practitioner provides services under a specified private or public insurance plan or health care plan.

section and seek other appropriate relief to enforce this section. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the costs of enforcing this section to the respective licensing boards or committees may be awarded against any licensee found to be in violation of any provision of this section. This shall not diminish the power of district attorneys, county counsels, or city attorneys pursuant to existing law to seek appropriate relief.

(k) A physician and surgeon licensed pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2000) by the Medical Board of California or a doctor of podiatric medicine licensed pursuant to Article 22 (commencing with Section 2460) of Chapter 5 by the California Board of Podiatric Medicine who knowingly and intentionally violates this section may be cited and assessed an administrative fine not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per event. Section 125.9 shall govern the issuance of this citation and fine except that the fine limitations prescribed in paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 125.9 shall not apply to a fine under this subdivision.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### Respondent's treatment of Patient P-1

- 11. On September 13, 2017, Patient P-1, 1 a 68-year-old female, presented at Respondent's clinic in Danville, California, where Respondent performs non-surgical cosmetic procedures. P-1 was concerned about wrinkles around her eyes and lines around her mouth, and she wanted to improve her appearance prior to her upcoming 50th high school reunion. Respondent took P-1's history and performed a physical examination. He assessed that P-1 had "significant presence of jowls." Jowls refer to sagging skin in the lower cheek area of the face, which result from the laxity of aging skin
- 12. Respondent drew the patient's attention to her jowls and recommended the use of Kybella to permanently remove them. Kybella is the brand name of an injectable drug indicated for improving the appearance of submental fat on the neck. It can help to reduce the appearance of a so-called "double chin." Its active ingredient is deoxycholic acid.
- 13. The FDA has limited its approval of the use of Kybella to fat in the submental region of the neck. The submental region is located at the top of the neck, under the chin; it does not include the face or jowls. The FDA label for Kybella states that "The safe and effective use of Kybella for the treatment of subcutaneous fat outside the submental region has not been established and is not recommended."

|| ///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The patient is designated in this document as Patient P-1 to protect her privacy. Respondent knows the name of the patient and can confirm her identity through discovery.

- 14. According to his medical records, Respondent told the patient that Kybella "is generally used for the submental region; however, recent studies and usage by cosmetic physicians in the jowl area have led to very good patient satisfaction."
- 15. P-1 agreed to Respondent's recommendation to inject Kybella to treat her jowls, among other procedures, which Respondent performed that day. Respondent injected 0.5 cc of Kybella into the patient's jowls.
- 16. On October 14, 2017, the night of P-1's 50<sup>th</sup> high school reunion, P-1 sent Respondent a text message complaining that her "face is basically caving in." P-1 cancelled her October 25, 2017 follow-up appointment and requested a refund. P-1 later renewed her complaint to Respondent about "deep indentations [in her face] as a result of the Kybella injections." P-1 then saw a dermatologist, who evaluated the effects of Respondent's Kybella injections, determined that the injections were inappropriate, and reported Respondent's conduct to the Medical Board.

# Respondent's misrepresentation of his education, training, and experience on his website

17. On or about January 7, 2018, Respondent's biography on his website, located at "<a href="https://chrismaniosmd.com/meet-dr-manios/">https://chrismaniosmd.com/meet-dr-manios/</a>," stated as follows:

• • • •

Dr. Manios then attended medical school at Temple University where he graduated at the top of his class and was mentored in plastic surgery by Dr. Joseph Serletti at the University of Pennsylvania. After earning his degree, he trained at Harvard University in their combined plastic surgery residency program and continued his studies at Stanford University.

In addition to attending some of the most prestigious universities in the country, Dr. Manios strongly believes in continuing to educate himself in the area of facial aesthetics by attending symposiums and meetings.

•

The names and logos of the following schools were displayed beneath Respondent's online biography: Harvard Medical School, Penn Medicine, Temple University, and Washington University in St. Louis.

18. As of the time of the filing of this Accusation, the main page of Respondent's website, located at "https://chrismaniosmd.com/," stated as follows:

///

Meet Dr. Manios

Dr. Christopher Manios has spent nearly a decade providing Danville, San Ramon, Lafayette and Walnut Creek patients with non-surgical facial rejuvenation. He is an expert in dermal fillers and injectable products and is a Harvard and Stanford University trained plastic surgeon. . . .

- 19. Respondent's website suggested that he was trained by and affiliated with the University of Pennsylvania's school of medicine, and that he was mentored by plastic surgeon Dr. Joseph Serletti. In fact, Respondent did not attend and was not affiliated with the University of Pennsylvania's school of medicine, and Dr. Joseph Serletti did not mentor him.
- 20. Respondent's website stated that Respondent trained at Harvard University's combined plastic surgery residency program. In fact, Respondent has never attended Harvard University and was never a resident in the Harvard Plastic Surgery Combined Residency Program.
- 21. Respondent's website suggested that he studied plastic surgery at Stanford University. In fact, Respondent had been an orthopaedic resident; not a resident in Stanford's Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Division.
- 22. Respondent's actual medical education, training, and experience are as follows: After completing medical school at Temple University, Respondent interned in the Department of General Surgery at Beth Israel Deaconess Hospital, located in Boston and affiliated with Harvard Medical School. Then Respondent was a resident in the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery at Louisiana State University for two years, before transferring to the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery at Stanford University, as a second-year resident. After two more years of residency, Respondent resigned without completing his orthopaedic surgery residency. Respondent did not practice medicine for a year. He then accepted a position as an independent contractor, treating patients in nursing homes. While continuing this work part-time, Respondent began his current practice performing non-surgical cosmetic procedures. He has since shifted to working in his own practice full-time. Respondent's curriculum vitae does not list any experience or training in plastic surgery.

# 

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Gross Negligence, Repeated Negligence, Incompetence)

23. Respondent's plan to address P-1's jowling with Kybella injections did not include any of the first-line surgical treatments for jowling, such as a neck lift or lower face lift, or any first-line non-surgical options, including minimally invasive procedures like Ultherapy, which involves the use of ultrasound therapy. Kybella injections are not a first-line treatment for jowling. Respondent's omission of a first-line treatment for jowling from his treatment plan for P-1 was an extreme departure from the standard of care in violation of Code section 2234, subdivision (b) (gross negligence) and demonstrated his lack of knowledge and/or ability in the practice of medicine in violation of Code section 2234, subdivision (d) (incompetence). In addition, Respondent's conduct, when considered along with the allegations in the Second and Third Causes for Discipline, violated Code section 2234, subdivision (c) (repeated negligence).

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Gross Negligence, Repeated Negligence, Incompetence)

24. The FDA has limited its approval of the use of Kybella to fat in the submental region of the neck. Treatment of jowls with Kybella is an off-label treatment. At the time of P-1's treatment, this off-label treatment was relatively new, had not been well studied, and was not practiced widely by physicians who performed cosmetic procedures. Respondent's use of Kybella to treat P-1's jowling was an extreme departure from the standard of care in violation of Code section 2234, subdivision (b) (gross negligence) and demonstrated his lack of knowledge and/or ability in the practice of medicine in violation of Code section 2234, subdivision (d) (incompetence). In addition, Respondent's conduct, when considered along with the allegations in the First and Third Causes for Discipline, violated Code section 2234, subdivision (c) (repeated negligence).

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Repeated Negligence, Inadequate Recordkeeping)

25. Respondent failed to document obtaining P-1's informed consent to the injection of Kybella to treat her jowling, an off-label treatment. Respondent provided P-1 with a form labeled

"Kybella Consent Form," which the patient signed. The form counseled against off-label use of Kybella:

INTRODUCTION: Kybella is a cytolytic drug indicated for improvement in the appearance of moderate to severe convexity or fullness associated with submental (neck) fat in adults. The safe and effective use of Kybella for the treatment of subcutaneous fat outside the submental region (neck) has not been established and is not recommended....

The form does not document any discussion of the use of Kybella for jowling, including the risks associated with this off-label and relatively new treatment. Nor did Respondent document informing the patient of these risks elsewhere in his records. Respondent's failure to document P-1's informed consent for this treatment was a simple departure from the standard of care and violated Code section 2266 (inadequate recordkeeping). In addition, Respondent's conduct, when considered along with the allegations in the First and Second Causes for Discipline, violated Code section 2234, subdivision (c) (repeated negligence).

26. Clinical photographs were an essential part of the recordkeeping for Respondent's off-label Kybella treatment of P-1. Respondent did not include in P-1's medical records any photographs of her lower jawline and cheek area before injecting the Kybella. Respondent's failure to maintain clinical photographs of P-1 before injecting the Kybella was a simple departure from the standard of care and violated Code section 2266 (inadequate recordkeeping). In addition, Respondent's conduct, when considered along with the allegations in the First and Second Causes for Discipline, violated Code section 2234, subdivision (c) (repeated negligence).

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (False and Misleading Advertising, Dishonesty)

- 27. Respondent's claims about his medical education, training, and experience on his website, as detailed above, and his display of the names and logos of Harvard Medical School and Penn Medicine (collectively "Respondent's claims") constitute one or more false, misleading, or deceptive statements, claims, or images for the purpose of or likely to induce the rendering of professional services in violation of Code section 651 (false or misleading advertising).
- 28. Respondent's claims constitute his intentional dissemination of one or more untrue or misleading advertisements or statements concerning his performance of professional services in