

**BEFORE THE  
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**In the Matter of the Accusation** )  
**Against:** )  
 )  
 )  
**Stanley L. Goodman, M.D.** )  
 )  
**Physician's and Surgeon's** )  
**Certificate No. C 39950** )  
 )  
**Respondent** )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**Case No. 800-2018-041785**

**DECISION**

**The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.**

**This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 13, 2020.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED April 13, 2020.**

**MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**



\_\_\_\_\_  
**Ronald H. Lewis, M.D., Chair  
Panel A**

1 XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of California  
2 E. A. JONES III  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 TRINA L. SAUNDERS  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 207764  
California Department of Justice  
5 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
6 Telephone: (213) 269-6516  
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**  
9 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  
13 STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D.  
5535 Balboa Blvd., Suite 215  
14 Encino, CA 91316  
15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C  
39950,  
16 Respondent.  
17

Case No. 800-2018-041785

OAH No. 2019071117

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND  
DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

18  
19 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by and between the parties to the above-  
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Christine J. Lally (Complainant) is the Interim Executive Director of the Medical  
23 Board of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is  
24 represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Trina  
25 L. Saunders, Deputy Attorney General.

26 2. Respondent Stanley L. Goodman, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this  
27 proceeding by attorney Henry R. Fenton, whose address is: 1990 S. Bundy Drive, Suite 777,  
28 Los Angeles, CA 90025.





1 Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This Public Reprimand, which  
2 is issued in connection with Respondent's care and treatment of one patient, as set forth in  
3 Accusation No. 800-2018-041785, is as follows:

4 *In 2014-2015, you were negligent in that you failed to maintain clinical progress notes*  
5 *documenting the psychiatric treatment you provided to a patient on numerous dates, for which the*  
6 *patient was billed for psychiatric treatment and you called the patient on numerous occasions*  
7 *after normal business hours, without the presence of documented emergent circumstances to*  
8 *justify this.*

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:**

10 1. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective  
11 date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in  
12 advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider  
13 with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent.  
14 Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course  
15 not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully  
16 complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical  
17 record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing  
18 Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

19 A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the  
20 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board  
21 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have  
22 been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of  
23 this Decision.

24 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its  
25 designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than  
26 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

27 2. PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES PROGRAM. Within 60 calendar days from the  
28 effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professional boundaries program

1 approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent, at the program's discretion, shall  
2 undergo and complete the program's assessment of Respondent's competency, mental health  
3 and/or neuropsychological performance, and at minimum, a 24 hour program of interactive  
4 education and training in the area of boundaries, which takes into account data obtained from the  
5 assessment and from the Decision(s), Accusation(s) and any other information that the Board or  
6 its designee deems relevant. The program shall evaluate Respondent at the end of the training  
7 and the program shall provide any data from the assessment and training as well as the results of  
8 the evaluation to the Board or its designee.

9 Failure to complete the entire program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's  
10 initial enrollment shall constitute a violation of probation unless the Board or its designee agrees  
11 in writing to a later time for completion. Based on Respondent's performance in and evaluations  
12 from the assessment, education, and training, the program shall advise the Board or its designee  
13 of its recommendation(s) for additional education, training, psychotherapy and other measures  
14 necessary to ensure that Respondent can practice medicine safely. Respondent shall comply with  
15 program recommendations. At the completion of the program, Respondent shall submit to a final  
16 evaluation. The program shall provide the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee.  
17 The professional boundaries program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to  
18 the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

19 The program has the authority to determine whether or not Respondent successfully  
20 completed the program.

21 A professional boundaries course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the  
22 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board  
23 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have  
24 been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of  
25 this Decision.

26 3. Any failure to comply with the above terms constitutes unprofessional conduct.

27 ///

28 ///

1 ACCEPTANCE

2 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully  
3 discussed it with my attorney, Henry R. Fenton. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will  
4 have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and  
5 Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the  
6 Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

7  
8 DATED: 1/28/2020 Stanley L. Goodman MD  
9 STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D.  
Respondent

10 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Stanley L. Goodman, M.D. the terms and  
11 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.  
12 I approve its form and content.

13  
14 DATED: 1-29-2020 Henry R. Fenton  
15 HENRY R. FENTON  
Attorney for Respondent

16  
17 ENDORSEMENT

18 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully  
19 submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

20 DATED: January 29, 2020  
21 Respectfully submitted,  
22 XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of California  
23 E. A. JONES III  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
24  
25 Trina L. Saunders  
TRINA L. SAUNDERS  
26 Deputy Attorney General  
Attorneys for Complainant

27 SF2019400192  
28 54059900.docx

**Exhibit A**

**Accusation No. 800-2018-041785**



FILED  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  
SACRAMENTO JUNE 4 2019  
BY ANDREA CERENA ANALYST

1 XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of California  
2 ROBERT MCKIM BELL  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 TRINA L. SAUNDERS  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No: 207764  
California Department of Justice  
5 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
6 Telephone: (213) 269-6516  
Facsimile: (213) 897-9395  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
10 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
12 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 800-2018-041785

13 STANLEY L. GOODMAN, M.D.

**A C C U S A T I O N**

14 5535 Balboa Blvd., Suite 215  
15 Encino, California 91316

16 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate C 39950,  
17 Respondent.

18  
19 Complainant alleges:

20 **PARTIES**

21 1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official  
22 capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board).

23 2. On July 30, 1981, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C  
24 39950 to Stanley L. Goodman, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full force and effect at all  
25 times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2019, unless renewed.

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 **JURISDICTION**

2 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following  
3 laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise  
4 indicated.

5 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:

6 “(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical  
7 Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default  
8 has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary  
9 action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:

10 “(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.

11 “(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon  
12 order of the board.

13 “(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon  
14 order of the board.

15 “(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a  
16 requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.

17 “(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as  
18 the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

19 “(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical  
20 review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education  
21 activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and  
22 successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by  
23 existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to  
24 Section 803.1.”

25 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

26 “The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional  
27 conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not  
28 limited to, the following:

1           “(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the  
2 violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

3           “(b) Gross negligence.

4           “(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or  
5 omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from  
6 the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

7           “(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for  
8 that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

9           “(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that  
10 constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a  
11 reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee’s conduct departs from the  
12 applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the  
13 standard of care.

14           “(d) Incompetence.

15           “(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially  
16 related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

17           “(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

18           “(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting  
19 the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine. Section 2314 shall not  
20 apply to this subdivision. This subdivision shall become operative upon the implementation of the  
21 proposed registration program described in Section 2052.5.

22           “(h) The repeated failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and  
23 participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder  
24 who is the subject of an investigation by the board.”

25           6. Section 2266 of the Code states:

26           “The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating  
27 to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.”

28           //

1 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 (Gross Negligence)

3 7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 of the Code for gross  
4 negligence related to his treatment of a single patient. Respondent failed to maintain records  
5 related to the majority of the care he alleged that he provided to the patient. The circumstances  
6 are as follows:

7 8. Respondent first met the patient on the Internet dating site Match.com. They had  
8 several conversations. In one conversation, Respondent learned that she had been in a motor  
9 vehicle accident in 2013. Respondent referred her to an attorney to represent her in a personal  
10 injury suit related to the auto accident. Due to the distance between their two residences they did  
11 not meet in person socially.

12 9. Several months after their first contact on Match.com, Respondent was asked to see  
13 the patient professionally, to provide a psychiatric evaluation to be submitted for the purpose of  
14 litigation, and to be used in the personal injury case related to the patient's 2013 motor vehicle  
15 accident.

16 10. On June 21, 2014, Respondent met with the patient for an initial evaluation. He  
17 completed a comprehensive psychiatric evaluation of the patient and diagnosed her with major  
18 depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and insomnia.

19 11. In his Medical Board Interview on February 22, 2019, Respondent stated that he met  
20 with the patient approximately four times. This included a February 3, 2015, visit at his  
21 Bakersfield office and a visit at Respondent's personal residence.

22 12. Respondent issued prescriptions to the patient for psychotropic medications at both  
23 office visits. He also issued prescriptions telephonically.

24 13. Between June 5, 2014, and April 6, 2015, Respondent and his patient engaged in  
25 approximately 400 or more phone calls, more than 80 of these calls took place after 9:00 p.m.  
26 Although Respondent told the Medical Board of California that all of these calls were  
27 professional in nature and related to important clinical matters, he does not have clinical  
28 documentation related to the content of the majority of the 400, or more, calls.



1 **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

2 20. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Stanley L.  
3 Goodman, M.D., Complainant alleges that on October 25, 1985, in a prior disciplinary action  
4 entitled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against Stanley Goodman, M.D.* before the California  
5 Board of Medical Quality Assurance, in case number D-3170, Respondent's license was revoked,  
6 but stayed on five years' probation with terms including a required psychiatric evaluation, an oral  
7 clinical exam and community service. The allegations of that case, which were admitted, were  
8 that Respondent had been disciplined by the Arizona Board of Medical Examiners in 1983 for  
9 failing, during the period of 1979 to 1982, to maintain adequate records on his psychiatric patients  
10 and outpatients; that in 1982 he knowingly and fraudulently submitted to the Civilian Health And  
11 Medical Program of the Uniformed Services ("CHAMPUS"), fraudulent billings for professional  
12 services that he had not in fact rendered and that he was "mentally unable safely to engage in the  
13 practice of medicine." That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set  
14 forth herein.

15 **PRAYER**

16 **WHEREFORE**, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,  
17 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 18 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C 39950,  
19 issued to Stanley L. Goodman, M.D.;
- 20 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of his authority to supervise physician  
21 assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 22 3. If placed on probation, ordering him to pay the Board the costs of probation  
23 monitoring; and

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: June 4, 2019



KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER  
Executive Director  
Medical Board of California  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California

*Complainant*

SF2019400192  
53439447.docx