1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	SHERRY L. LEDAKIS,
Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 131767  Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 700  Post Office Box 85266	Department of Justice .
5	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 237-7329
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	BEFORE THE
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10.	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) NO. D-4438
12	Against: )
13	VINCENT D. BRADLEY, M.D. ) <u>STIPULATION FOR</u> 337 El Camino Real Ste. 306 ) SURRENDER OF LICENSE
	337 El Camino Real Ste. 306 ) <u>SURRENDER OF LICENSE</u> Encinitas, CA 92024 )
14	Physician & Surgeon No. G21025 )
15 16	Respondent. )
17	<del></del>
18	TH TO HEDEBY CHIDITAMED AND ACREED BY AND DEMNIEUM MILE
	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE
19	PARTIES TO THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER THAT:
20	1. Dixon Arnett, complainant, is the Executive
21	Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of
22	Consumer Affairs ("Board") and is represented by Daniel E.
23	Lungren, Attorney General of the State of California, by Sherry
24	L. Ledakis, Deputy Attorney General.
25	2. Vincent D. Bradley, M.D. ("respondent") is
26	represented in this matter by Ronald M. Frant, Esq. Respondent
27	has counseled with his attorney, Ronald M. Frant, Esq. concerning

the effect of this stipulation which respondent has carefully read and fully understands.

- 3. Respondent has received and carefully read the Accusation and Amendment to the Accusation which are presently on file and pending in Case Number D-4438 before the Board, copies of which are attached as Exhibit A.
- 4. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and in the Amendment to the Accusation and that, if proven at a hearing, such charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon respondent's medical license.
- 5. Respondent and his counsel are aware of each of respondent's rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to present evidence in his favor and call witnesses on his behalf, or to testify, his right to contest the charges and allegations, and other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 et seq.), the California Government Code and the California Code of Civil Procedure including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review.
- 6. Respondent understands that in signing this stipulation rather than contesting the Accusation and the Amendment to the Accusation, he is enabling the Board to issue its order accepting the surrender of respondent's medical license without further process.

- 7. In order to avoid the expense and uncertainty of a hearing, and due to respondent's medical and psychiatric disabilities that effect his ability to practice medicine safely, respondent freely and voluntarily waives each and every one of the rights set forth above, and hereby surrenders said medical license for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 8. Upon acceptance of this stipulation by the Board, respondent agrees to surrender and cause to be delivered to the Board both his medical license and wallet certificate.

  Respondent further understands that when the Board accepts the surrender of his medical license, he will no longer be permitted to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of California.
- 9. Respondent fully understands and agrees that solely for the purpose of acting upon any application for licensure, relicensure or reinstatement which respondent may ever file before the Medical Board of California, or before any other health care agency in the State of California, the Board(s) shall deem all of the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation and Amendment to the Accusation in case No. D-4438 to be true and correct, and that said Accusation and Amendment to the Accusation in case No. D-4438 may be used in any other proceeding before the Medical Board of California or before the Board of any other health care agency in the State of California to which respondent may make application for licensure or relicensure.

27 / / /

10. Respondent, having the benefit of counsel, hereby freely, knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily admits that he sustained a misdemeanor conviction on or about October 24, 1990, for violating Business and Professions Code section 4232 as alleged in the Amendment to the Accusation.

The above admission, which is being made for the purpose of settling case number D-4438 only, shall have no force or effect in any other proceeding except any future proceeding between the Medical Board of California and respondent, or in any action taken by any governmental body responsible for licensing and/or regulating health care professionals.

11. All stipulations and recitals contained in this stipulation are made solely and exclusively for the purpose of settlement of the Accusation and Amendment to the Accusation in case number D-4438 currently pending against respondent. In the

```
16 | / / /
```

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

<sup>17 | / / /</sup> 

<sup>18 | / / /</sup> 

<sup>19 / / /</sup> 

<sup>21 | / / /</sup> 

<sup>23 | / / /</sup> 

<sup>24 / / /</sup> 

<sup>25 | / / /</sup> 

<sup>26 / / /</sup> 

<sup>27 / / /</sup> 

<sup>28 / / /</sup> 

1	event that this stipulation is rejected for any reason by the
2	Board, it will be of no force or effect for either party.
3	I concur in the stipulation.
4	DATED: July 7, 1993
5	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
6	of the State of California
7	Shory L. Ledakis
8	Sherry L. Ledakis
9	Deputy Attorney General
10	Attorneys for Complainant DATED: 7/3/93
11	DATED: 7/6/93
12	
13	Ronald M. Frant, Esq.
14	Attorney for Respondent
15	
16	I, Vincent D. Bradley, M.D. have carefully read the
17	above stipulation and enter into it freely on advice of counsel,
18	and with full knowledge of its force and effect, and do hereby
19	surrender my certificate of licensure No. G21025, to the Medical
20	Board of California, for its formal acceptance. By surrendering
21	my medical license, I recognize that upon its formal acceptance
22	by the Board, I will lose all rights and privileges to practice
23	as a physician and surgeon in the State of California.
24	DATED: 7/7/93
25	Mall
26	VINCENT D. BRADLEY
20	Respondent

## ORDER The surrender of Physician's and Surgeon's certificate No. G21025, by respondent Vincent D. Bradley, is accepted by the Medical Board of California. This decision shall become effective on the 9th day August \_\_\_, 199<u>3</u>. It is so ordered this \_\_6th day of August 199\_3\_. DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY By: SLL:cir

- 1	
1	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General
2	of the State of California ROBERT P. WHITLOCK,
3	ROBERT P. WHITLOCK,  Deputy Attorney General  Department of Justice  110 West A Street Suite 700
4	110 West A Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101
5	Telephone: (619) 237-6079 FILE NUMBER $R_2-91-2032$
	Attorneys for Complainant DATE 7-10-9
6	INITIALS JU
7	
8	BEFORE THE
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
10	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	
14	
15	In the Matter of the Accusation ) NO. D-4438
16	Against: )
17	VINCENT BRADLEY, M.D. ) <u>ACCUSATION</u> 337 El Camino Real, Suite 306 )
18	Encinitas, California 92024 )
19	Physician's and Surgeon's ) Certificate No. G 21025 )
20	) Respondent. )
21	)
22	COMES NOW Complainant Kenneth Wagstaff, who as cause or
	disciplinary action, alleges:
23	
24	1. Complainant is the Executive Director of the
25	California State Medical Board of California (hereinafter the
26	"Board") and makes and files this accusation solely in his
27	official capacity.

## LICENSE STATUS

2. On or about August 9, 1971, Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 21025 was issued by the Board to Vincent Bradley, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), and at all times relevant herein, said Certificate was, and currently is, in full force and effect.

## STATUTES

- 3. This accusation is made in reference to the following statutes of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
- a. <u>Section 2227</u> provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
- b. <u>Section 2234</u> provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
  - "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon."
- c. <u>Section 2242</u> provides that a licensee is guilty of unprofessional conduct if he prescribes, dispenses or furnishes \ \\

.5

<del>13</del> 

- d. <u>Section 4211</u> provides that a "dangerous drug" means any drug unsafe for self-medication including any drug which by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription.
- 4. At all times mentioned herein, the drugs listed hereinafter by trade name, or by given name, or by chemical composition were and are controlled substances and dangerous drugs:
- a. <u>Fastin</u>, a trade name for phentermine hydrochloride, is classified as a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 11057(f)(2), and a dangerous drug within the meaning of section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code.
- b. <u>Valium</u>, a trade name for diazepam, is classified as a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 11057((d)(7), and a dangerous drug within the meaning of section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code.

## FACTS

5. Respondent Vincent Bradley, M.D. has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 2234 on the grounds of unprofessional conduct as defined therein in that he is guilty of prescribing controlled substances without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor pursuant to section 2242 as more particularly described hereinafter:

27 \ \ \

<u>13</u> 

1 1 1

- a. On or about January 20, 1989, respondent prescribed 30 Fastin capsules with a notation for two refills to Deborah G., an undercover operator using the name Deborah G. No medical indication or pathology was shown for the writing of a prescription for Fastin.
- b. On or about April 7, 1989, respondent prescribed 30 Fastin capsules to Cynthia B., an undercover operator using the name Lucinda B. No medical indication or pathology was demonstrated for the writing of a prescription for Fastin.
- c. On or about April 28, 1989, respondent prescribed 30 Fastin capsules to Debbie G., an undercover operator using the name Debbie G... No medical indication or pathology was shown for the writing of a prescription for Fastin.
- e. On or about June 23, 1989, respondent prescribed a second refill of 30 Fastin capsules for undercover operator Cynthia B., who was using the name Lucinda B No medical indication or pathology was shown for the writing of a prescription for Fastin.
- f. On or about June 23, 1989, respondent prescribed a second refill of Valium for undercover operator Lisa V. who was using the name Lisa S No medical indication or pathology was shown for the writing of a prescription of Valium.

26

27

RPW:sol 12-21-90

03573110-SD90AD0393

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SHERRY L. LEDAKIS, Deputy Attorney General 3 Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 237-7329 Jihoo is reministrative designation 5 Attorneys for Complainant OS ANGELES 6 8 BEFORE THE 9 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA jimne do kuma sitorne i odkato. 10 JOS 1 KUZLET DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 12 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1-3 14 In the Matter of the Accusation NO, D - 4438Against: 15 AMENDMENT TO VINCENT BRADLEY, M.D. ACCUSATION 16 337 El Camino Real, Suite 306 Encinitas, California 92024 17 Physician & Surgeon Certificate 18 No. G21025 Respondent. 19 20 Complainant Kenneth J. Wagstaff hereby amends the original 21 Accusation in Case No. D-4438 as follows: 22 On page 2, following License Status, beginning on 23 line 7, the Accusation is amended to include the following new 24 paragraph: 25 26 PRIOR CONVICTION 27 2a. On or about October 24, 1990, the respondent pled no

- 4
- 6
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

- contest to a misdemeanor violation of Business and Professions
- 2b. Code section 4232 requires a physician to maintain records of the disposition of dangerous drugs during business hours and to keep such records open for inspection by authorized officers of the law.
- Respondent was placed on probation for two years on condition that he complete 100 hours of volunteer work within the medical community, that he violate no laws except minor traffic infractions, and that he follow the code of ethics within the medical profession.
- Respondent's failure to maintain records of his disposition of dangerous drugs is substantially related to his qualifications, functions, or duties as a physician.
- On page 3, following b. Valium, beginning on line 20, insert the following:
- e. Section 490 provides in part that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued, . . . A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere.
- f. Section 493 provides in part that in a proceeding conducted by a board to deny, suspend or revoke a license upon the ground that the applicant has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and

duties of the licensee, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

DATED: September 9, 1991

Kenneth Wagstaff // Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant