

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 JANE ZACK SIMON
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 KEITH C. SHAW
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 227029
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Telephone: (415) 703-5385
6 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
Attorneys for Complainant
7

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against,

13 **JAYAPRAKASH AYILLATH**
GOSALAKKAL, M.D.

14 1026 Thicket Walk
Dayton, OH 45429

15 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.**
16 **A74084**

17 Respondent.
18

Case No. 800-2015-019328

OAH No. 2017070756

19 **DEFAULT DECISION**
20 **AND ORDER**

[Gov. Code, §11520]

21 **BACKGROUND**

22 On June 15, 2017, an employee of the Medical Board of California (Board) sent by
23 certified mail a copy of Accusation No. 800-2015-019328, Statement to Respondent, Notice of
24 Defense in blank, copies of the relevant sections of the California Administrative Procedure Act
25 as required by sections 11503 and 11505 of the Government Code, and a request for discovery, to
26 Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakal, M.D. (Respondent) at his address of record with the Board,
1026 Thicket Walk, Dayton, Ohio 45429 (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 1¹, Accusation package, proof

27 ¹ The evidence in support of this Default Decision and Order is submitted herewith as the
28 "Exhibit Package."

1 of service.) On or about June 23, 2017, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Defense,
2 requesting a hearing in this matter. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 2, Notice of Defense.) Respondent
3 and Complainant communicated on July 14, 2017, via email, agreeing to have the case set for
4 hearing on November 2, 2017. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 6, Declaration of Deputy Attorney
5 General Keith C. Shaw.)

6 On July 21, 2017, a Notice of Hearing was served by mail on Respondent at his address of
7 record, informing him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for November
8 2, 2017, commencing at 9:00 a.m. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 3, Notice of Hearing, proof of
9 service.) Respondent and Complainant communicated on July 25, 2017, via email, confirming
10 the hearing had been set for November 2, 2017. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 6, Declaration of
11 Deputy Attorney General Keith C. Shaw.) The email address used by Respondent for
12 communications with Complainant is the same email address listed on the Notice of Defense.
13 (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 6, Declaration of Deputy Attorney General Keith C. Shaw.)

14 Respondent did not appear at the November 2, 2017 hearing. The Administrative Law
15 Judge found that proper notice of the hearing had been provided, and declared Respondent to be
16 in default. (Exhibit Package, Findings and Declaration of Default; Order of Remand, Exhibit 4,)

17 FINDINGS OF FACT

18 1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer is the Executive Director of the Board. The charges and
19 allegations in the Accusation were at all times brought and made solely in the official capacity of
20 the Board's Executive Director.

21 2. On or about March 15, 2001, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
22 No. A74084 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was current at all times
23 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2019, unless renewed. On
24 April 14, 2017, an out-of-state suspension order was issued by the Board. (Exhibit Package,
25 Exhibit 5, license certification.)

26 3. On or about June 15, 2017, Respondent was duly served with an Accusation, alleging
27 causes for discipline against Respondent. Respondent filed a Notice of Defense to contest the
28

1 Board's action against him and requested a hearing. Respondent failed to appear at a properly
2 noticed hearing, and Respondent was declared to be in default.

3 4. The allegations of the Accusation are true as follows:

4 On January 11, 2017, the State Medical Board of Ohio (Ohio Board) issued an Entry of
5 Order (Order) revoking Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of Ohio, effective
6 immediately. The Order was based on factual findings that Respondent made a false, misleading,
7 or deceptive statement in his Application for Physician Licensure (Application) with the Ohio
8 Board in two instances:

- 9 i. Respondent provided an answer in the Application that he had never had his
10 privileges limited, suspended or terminated, or been requested to withdraw from or
11 resign from any hospital or clinic. In fact, in February 2011, Respondent had his
12 clinical privileges restricted under a term of exclusion at the University Hospitals
13 of Leicester in the United Kingdom.
- 14 ii. Respondent provided an answer in the Application that he had never been
15 terminated or requested to resign from a position with a medical practice
16 organization, either public or private. However, in October 2011, Respondent had
17 his employment terminated with the University Hospitals of Leicester in the
18 United Kingdom. Respondent failed to subsequently notify the Ohio Board as
19 required prior to the issuance of his Ohio medical license on December 9, 2011.

20 A copy of the Order issued by the Ohio Board is attached is attached to the Accusation,
21 Exhibit Package, Exhibit 1.

22 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

23 5. Pursuant to the foregoing Findings of Fact, Respondent's conduct and the action of
24 the Ohio Board constitute cause for discipline within the meaning of Business and Professions
25 Code sections 2305 and 141(a).

26 DISCIPLINARY ORDER

27 Physician's and Surgeon's certificate number A74084 issued to Jayaprakash Ayillath
28 Gosalakal, M.D. is hereby **REVOKED**.


1 Respondent shall not be deprived of making a request for relief from default as set forth in
2 Government Code section 11520(c) for good cause shown. However, such showing must be
3 made in writing by way of a motion to vacate the default decision and directed to the Medical
4 Board of California at 2005 Evergreen Street, Suite 1200, Sacramento, CA 95815 within seven
5 (7) days of the service of this Decision.

6 This Decision will become effective December 15, 2017

7 It is so ordered on November 16, 2017.

8
9 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12 By



13 Kimberly Kirchmeyer
14 Executive Director
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 SF2017203230
28 41875733.doc

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 JANE ZACK SIMON
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 KEITH C. SHAW
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 227029
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Telephone: (415) 703-5385
6 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
Attorneys for Complainant

FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO June 15 20 17
BY ANALYST

7
8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 800-2015-019328

13 **Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakkal, M.D.**

A C C U S A T I O N

14 **1026 Thicket Walk**
15 **Dayton, OH 45429**

16 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
No. A74084,

Respondent.

17 Complainant alleges:

18 **PARTIES**

19 1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official
20 capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board).

21 2. On or about March 15, 2001, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's
22 Certificate Number A74084 to Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakkal, M.D. (Respondent). The
23 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
24 charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2019, unless renewed.

25 **JURISDICTION**

26 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
27 sections of the California Business and Professions Code (Code) and/or other relevant statutory
28 enactment:

A. Section 2227 of the Code provides in part that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act, and may recover the costs of probation monitoring.

B. Section 2305 of the Code provides, in part, that the revocation, suspension, or other discipline, restriction or limitation imposed by another state upon a license to practice medicine issued by that state, or the revocation, suspension, or restriction of the authority to practice medicine by any agency of the federal government, that would have been grounds for discipline in California under the Medical Practice Act, constitutes grounds for discipline for unprofessional conduct.

C. Section 141 of the Code provides:

“(a) For any licensee holding a license issued by a board under the jurisdiction of the department, a disciplinary action taken by another state, by any agency of the federal government, or by another country for any act substantially related to the practice regulated by the California license, may be a ground for disciplinary action by the respective state licensing board. A certified copy of the record of the disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or by another country shall be conclusive evidence of the events related therein.

(b) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from applying a specific statutory provision in the licensing act administered by the board that provides for discipline based upon a disciplinary action taken against that licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or another country.”

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Discipline, Restriction, or Limitation Imposed by Another State)

4. On January 11, 2017, the State Medical Board of Ohio issued an Entry of Order (Order) regarding Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of Ohio. The Order revoked the medical license of Respondent to practice medicine and surgery in the State of Ohio, effective immediately.

5. The Order incorporates the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the State Medical Board of Ohio (Ohio Board). The Ohio Board's actions are predicated upon the following factual findings contained in the Report:

- 1 a. On July 25, 2014, the Fitness to Practice Panel of the Medical Practitioner's
2 Tribunal Service in the United Kingdom issued a Decision which suspended
3 Respondent's medical license in that jurisdiction for a period of six months due to
4 Respondent being found impaired by reason of misconduct;
- 5 b. In or around May 2011, Respondent submitted an Application for Physician
6 Licensure (Application) with the Ohio Board which included an affidavit certified
7 under oath that all information provided in the Application was true and all
8 questions had been answered truthfully and complete. Respondent further certified
9 in the Application that should any changes occur to the answers submitted in the
10 Application prior to a license being granted by the Ohio Board, he would
11 immediately notify the Ohio Board in writing of any changes. On December 9,
12 2011, Respondent's Application was granted by the Ohio Board and he was
13 licensed to practice in Ohio.
- 14 c. The Report found that Respondent made a false, misleading, or deceptive
15 statement in his Application in two instances:
- 16 i. Respondent provided an answer in the Application that he had never had
17 his privileges limited, suspended or terminated, or been requested to
18 withdraw from or resign from any hospital or clinic. In fact, in February
19 2011, Respondent had his clinical privileges restricted under a term of
20 exclusion at the University Hospitals of Leicester in the United Kingdom.
- 21 ii. Respondent provided an answer in the Application that he had never been
22 terminated or requested to resign from a position with a medical practice
23 organization, either public or private. However, in October 2011,
24 Respondent had his employment terminated with the University Hospitals
25 of Leicester in the United Kingdom. Respondent failed to subsequently
26 notify the Ohio Board as required prior to the issuance of his Ohio medical
27 license on December 9, 2011.
- 28

1 A true and correct copy of the Order and Report issued by the Ohio Board is attached as Exhibit
2 A.

3 6. Respondent's conduct and the action of the Ohio Medical Board, as set forth in
4 paragraphs 4 and 5 above, constitute cause for discipline pursuant to sections 2305 and/or 141 of
5 the Code.

6 **PRAYER**

7 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
8 and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:


9 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A74084,
10 issued to Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakkal, M.D.;

11 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakkal,
12 M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

13 3. Ordering Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakkal, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the
14 Board the costs of probation monitoring; and

15 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

16
17 DATED: June 15, 2017


KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
State of California
Complainant

18
19
20
21 SF2017203230
22 41755438.doc
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF

*

JAYAPRAKASH AYILLATH
GOSALAKKAL, M.D.

*

CASE NO. 15-CRF-109

*

ENTRY OF ORDER


This matter came on for consideration before the State Medical Board of Ohio on January 11, 2017.

Upon the Report and Recommendation of Linda F. Mosbacher, Esq., State Medical Board Hearing Examiner, designated in this Matter pursuant to R.C. 4731.23, a true copy of which Report and Recommendation is attached hereto and incorporated herein, and upon the approval and confirmation by vote of the Board on the above date, the following Order is hereby entered on the Journal of the State Medical Board of Ohio for the above date.

It is hereby ORDERED that:

The certificate of Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakal, M.D. to practice medicine and surgery in the State of Ohio shall be REVOKED.

This Order shall become effective immediately upon the mailing of the notification of approval by the Board.


Kim G. Rothermel, M.D.
Secretary

(SEAL)

January 11, 2017
Date

NOV 15 2016

STATE MEDICAL BOARD
OF OHIO

BEFORE THE STATE MEDICAL BOARD OF OHIO

In the Matter of

*

Case No. 15-CRF-109

Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakal, M.D.

*

Hearing Examiner Mosbacher

Respondent.

*

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Basis for Hearing:

In a notice of opportunity for hearing dated November 4, 2015 ("Notice"), the State Medical Board of Ohio ("Board") notified Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakal that it intended to determine whether or not to limit, revoke, permanently revoke, suspend, refuse to register or reinstate his certificate to practice medicine and surgery, or to reprimand him or place him on probation. The Board based its proposed action on the following allegations.

In on or about July 25, 2014, the Fitness to Practise Panel of the Medical Practitioners Tribunal Service in the United Kingdom issued a Decision which suspended Dr. Gosalakal's registration in that jurisdiction for a period of six months. Following an appeal, Dr. Gosalakal's suspension became effective in or around August 2015.

The Board's proposed actions are further predicated upon Dr. Gosalakal's May 2011 Application for Physician Licensure ("License Application"), in which Dr. Gosalakal affirmed that his answers were truthful, and that he would immediately notify the Board in writing of any changes to the answers of any of the questions contained in the License Application prior to a license being granted by the Board. The license was granted on December 9, 2011.

In the May 2011 License Application, Dr. Gosalakal attested that he had never had his clinical privileges limited. In fact, in or around February 2011, Dr. Gosalakal's clinical privileges had been restricted under a term of exclusion at University Hospitals of Leicester. Dr. Gosalakal further attested that his employment had never been terminated. In fact, Dr. Gosalakal's employment at University Hospitals of Leicester ended in October 2011 due to the termination of his contract.

The Board further alleged that the July 2014 Tribunal Service Decision constitutes "(a)ny of the following actions taken by an agency responsible for authorizing, certifying, or regulating an individual to practice a health care occupation or provide health care services in this state or another jurisdiction, for any reason other than the nonpayment of fees: the limitation, revocation, or suspension of an individual's license to practice; acceptance of an individual's license surrender; denial of a license; refusal to renew or reinstate a license; imposition of probation; or issuance of

an order of censure or other reprimand," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code. (State's Exhibit ("St. Ex.") 1a)

The Board also alleged that Dr. Gosalakkal's acts, conduct and/or omissions, individually and/or collectively, constitute "[m]aking a false, fraudulent, deceptive, or misleading statement in the solicitation of or advertising for patients; in relation to the practice of medicine and surgery, osteopathic medicine and surgery, podiatric medicine and surgery, or a limited branch of medicine; or in securing or attempting to secure any certificate to practice or certificate of registration issued by the board," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(5), Ohio Revised Code, (St. Ex. 1a)

Accordingly, the Board advised Dr. Gosalakkal of his right to request a hearing in this matter, and received his written request on November 18, 2015. (St. Ex. 1b)

Appearances:

Mike DeWine, Attorney General, and Deborah A. Enck and James T. Wakley, Esq., Assistant Attorneys General, for the State of Ohio. James M. McGovern, Esq. and Levi J. Tkash, Esq., of Graff and McGovern, L.P.A., for Dr. Gosalakkal.

Hearing Date:

May 31, 2016

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

All evidence admitted in this matter, including the testimony, even if not specifically mentioned, was thoroughly reviewed and considered by the Hearing Examiner prior to preparing this Report and Recommendation.

Background Information:

1. Jayaprakash A. Gosalakkal was first licensed to practice medicine in India in 1978. Following additional study in his area of specialty, pediatrics, he earned his Doctorate of Medicine degree in 1980. He then practiced medicine in India until 1985. Subsequently, Dr. Gosalakkal practiced medicine in Saudi Arabia from 1985 to 1988. He then traveled to the United Kingdom where he retrained in pediatrics and neonatology. Dr. Gosalakkal finished his retraining in 1994. In 1996, he began a residency in the United States at New York University. Dr. Gosalakkal subsequently obtained his initial board certification in pediatrics, pediatric neurology and neurology with special competence in child neurology in 1997, 2000, and 2001. Dr. Gosalakkal was also licensed to practice medicine in California in 2001. (Hearing Transcript ("Tr.") 11-19, 55-78; St. Ex. 4; Respondent Exhibit ("Ex.") A)

2. Dr. Gosalakal was employed in the United Kingdom by University Hospitals of Leicester as a consultant in pediatric neurology, a lead clinician in pediatric neurology, and a training program director in child neurology from 2002 through 2011. (Tr. 26)
3. In February 2011, Dr. Gosalakal's practice was restricted at University Hospitals of Leicester while an investigation was conducted into his practice. Dr. Gosalkkal was not permitted to practice medicine at University Hospitals of Leicester, but continued doing research and teaching. (St. Exs. 2, 7; Tr. 28-34)
4. Dr. Gosalakal believes that the investigation and restriction into his practice was the result of numerous whistleblower complaints that he filed against others while employed at University Hospitals of Leicester. (Resp. Ex. F; Tr. 81-82)
5. Dr. Gosalakal applied for an Ohio medical license in May 2011 while the United Kingdom investigation was in progress. When he submitted his application to the State Medical Board of Ohio, Dr. Gosalakal certified that the information provided was accurate and complete. He also certified that his privilege to practice had never been restricted by any employer. (St. Ex. 4; Tr. 20, 34-40)
6. Dr. Gosalakal's medical license was granted in December 2011. (St. Ex. 5; Tr. 44)
7. Dr. Gosalakal began his employment at Wright State University and Dayton Children's Hospital, in Dayton, Ohio in early 2012. In 2013, he learned from a reporter that the United Kingdom investigation had resulted in charges being brought against him by the Fitness to Practise Panel of the Medical Practitioners Tribunal Service, the United Kingdom's medical disciplinary body. The Board learned of the United Kingdom charges against Dr. Gosalakal from the media. (Tr. 23-24, 46-47, 82-84)
8. Dr. Gosalakal's employment in Dayton was terminated and he returned to the United Kingdom for a hearing on the charges. (Tr. 105-106)
9. Following a hearing in which the Fitness to Practise Panel of the Medical Practitioners Tribunal Service determined that Dr. Gosalakal's fitness to practice medicine in the United Kingdom had been impaired by reason of misconduct in two respects, the tribunal suspended Dr. Gosalakal's privileges to practice for six months. (Tr. 47-50; 108)
10. Dr. Gosalakal appealed the suspension. In 2015, the suspension was affirmed. Subsequently, after the period of suspension, Dr. Gosalakal's United Kingdom medical license was restored in January 2016, and he can now practice in the United Kingdom. (Resp. Ex. J; Tr. 51-55, 115)

11. Dr. Gosalakal is licensed in Michigan and currently employed by Michigan Neurology Associates, located in Detroit, Michigan. (Tr. 5-6, 101, 122-123)

RELEVANT STATUTES

R.C. §4731.22(B)(22):

(B) The board, by an affirmative vote of not fewer than six members, shall, to the extent permitted by law, limit, revoke, or suspend an individual's certificate to practice or certificate to recommend, refuse to issue a certificate to an individual, refuse to renew a certificate, refuse to reinstate a certificate, or reprimand or place on probation the holder of a certificate for one or more of the following reasons:

(22) Any of the following actions taken by an agency responsible for authorizing, certifying, or regulating an individual to practice a health care occupation or provide health care services in this state or another jurisdiction, for any reason other than the nonpayment of fees: the limitation, revocation, or suspension of an individual's license to practice; acceptance of an individual's license surrender; denial of a license; refusal to renew or reinstate a license; imposition of probation; or issuance of an order of censure or other reprimand;

R.C. §4731.22(B)(5):

(B) The board, by an affirmative vote of not fewer than six members, shall, to the extent permitted by law, limit, revoke, or suspend an individual's certificate to practice or certificate to recommend, refuse to issue a certificate to an individual, refuse to renew a certificate, refuse to reinstate a certificate, or reprimand or place on probation the holder of a certificate for one or more of the following reasons:

(5) Making a false, fraudulent, deceptive, or misleading statement in the solicitation of or advertising for patients; in relation to the practice of medicine and surgery, osteopathic medicine and surgery, podiatric medicine and surgery, or a limited branch of medicine; or in securing or attempting to secure any certificate to practice issued by the board.

As used in this division, "false, fraudulent, deceptive, or misleading statement" means a statement that includes a misrepresentation of fact, is likely to mislead or deceive because of a failure to disclose material facts, is intended or is likely to create false or unjustified expectations of favorable results, or includes representations or implications that in reasonable probability will cause an ordinarily prudent person to misunderstand or be deceived.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On or about July 25, 2014, the Fitness to Practise Panel of the Medical Practitioners Tribunal Service in the United Kingdom issued a Decision ("July 2014 Tribunal Service Decision"), which suspended Dr. Gosalakal's registration in that jurisdiction for a period of 6 months. In the July 2014 Tribunal Service Decision, it was determined that Dr. Gosalakal's fitness to practise was impaired by reason of misconduct. The July 2014 Tribunal Service Decision was to become effective 28 days after notice was deemed to have been served upon Dr. Gosalakal, unless he lodged an appeal. Dr. Gosalakal filed an appeal. On or about August 19, 2015, the High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division, Administrative Court, issued an Approved Judgment and dismissed Dr. Gosalakal's appeal. The July 2014 Tribunal Service Decision became effective in or around August 2015.
2. In or around May 2011, Dr. Gosalakal caused to be submitted to the Board an Application for Physician Licensure ("License Application"), which included an Affidavit and Authorization for Release of Information ("Affidavit"). By signing the Affidavit, Dr. Gosalakal certified under oath that the information provided in his License Application was true and that he had answered all questions truthfully and completely. Dr. Gosalakal further certified in the Affidavit that he would immediately notify the Board in writing of any changes to the answers to any of the questions contained in his License Application if such a change occurred at any time prior to a license to practice being granted by the Board. On or about December 9, 2011, Dr. Gosalakal's License Application was granted by the Board and he was licensed to practice in Ohio.
3. In the "Additional Information" section of the Ohio Addendum to Dr. Gosalakal's License Application, Dr. Gosalakal answered "No" to question 2, which asked:

Have you ever been warned, censured, disciplined, had admissions monitored, had privileges limited, had privileges suspended or terminated, been put on probation, or been requested to withdraw from or resign privileges at any hospital, nursing home, clinic, health maintenance organization, or other similar institution in which you have trained, been a staff member, or held privileges, for the reasons other than failure to maintain records on a timely basis, or failure to attend staff or section meetings?

In fact, in or around February 2011 Dr. Gosalakal's clinical privileges had been restricted under a term of exclusion at University Hospitals of Leicester.

4. In the "Additional Information" section of the Ohio Addendum to Dr. Gosalakal's License Application, Dr. Gosalakal also answered "No" to question 3, which asked:

Have you ever resigned from, withdrawn from, or terminated, or have you ever been requested to resign from, withdraw from, or otherwise been terminated from, a position with a medical partnership, professional association corporation, health maintenance organization, or other medical practice organization, either private or public?

In fact, Dr. Gosalakal's subsequently stated in or around December 2013 that his employment at University Hospitals of Leicester ended in October 2011 and that his contract had been terminated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The July 2014 Tribunal Service Decision, which became effective in or around August 2015, as alleged in Findings of Fact (1) above, constitutes "[a]ny of the following actions taken by an agency responsible for authorizing, certifying, or regulating an individual to practice a health care occupation or provide health care services in this state or another jurisdiction, for any reason other than the nonpayment of fees: the limitation, revocation, or suspension of an individual's license to practice; acceptance of an individual's license surrender; denial of a license; refusal to renew or reinstate a license; imposition of probation; or issuance of an order of censure or other reprimand," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(22), Ohio Revised Code.
2. Dr. Gosalakal's acts, conduct, and/or omissions, as alleged in Findings of Fact (2), (3) and (4), individually and/or collectively, constitute "[m]aking a false, fraudulent, deceptive, or misleading statement in the solicitation of or advertising for patients; in relation to the practice of medicine and surgery, osteopathic medicine and surgery, podiatric medicine and surgery, or a limited branch of medicine; or in securing or attempting to secure any certificate to practice issued by the board," as that clause is used in Section 4731.22(B)(5), Ohio Revised Code.

DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED ORDER


When he submitted his May 2011 License Application, Dr. Gosalakal certified under oath that the information provided in his License Application was true and that he had answered all questions truthfully and completely. Dr. Gosalakal further certified in the Affidavit that he would immediately notify the Board in writing of any changes to the answers to any of the questions contained in his License Application if such a change occurred at any time prior to a license to practice being granted by the Board. Dr. Gosalakal was untruthful on his application in two instances. Dr. Gosalakal's registration in the United Kingdom was subsequently suspended for a period of six months due to his having been found impaired by reason of misconduct. Because Dr. Gosalakal's conduct that gave rise to the violations occurred *prior* to September 29, 2015, the Board is not authorized to impose a civil penalty in this matter.

PROPOSED ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that:

The certificate of Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakal, M.D. to practice medicine and surgery in the State of Ohio shall be REVOKED.


This Order shall become effective immediately upon the mailing of the notification of approval by the Board.


Linda F. Mosbacher, Esq.
Hearing Examiner

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the attached copy of the Entry of Order of the State Medical Board of Ohio; Report and Recommendation of Linda F. Mosbacher, Esq., State Medical Board Hearing Examiner; and excerpt of draft Minutes of the State Medical Board, meeting in regular session on January 11, 2017, including motions approving and confirming the Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Proposed Order of the Hearing Examiner as the Findings and Order of the State Medical Board of Ohio; constitute a true and complete copy of the Findings and Order of the State Medical Board in the matter of Jayaprakash Ayillath Gosalakkal, M.D., Case No. 15-CRF-109, as it appears in the Journal of the State Medical Board of Ohio.

This certification is made by authority of the State Medical Board of Ohio and in its behalf.



Kim G. Rothermel, M.D.
Secretary

(SEAL)

January 11, 2017

Date