

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 EDWARD KIM
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 CHRISTINE FRIAR WALTON
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 228421
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6472
6 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117
E-mail: Christine.Walton@doj.ca.gov
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11 In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke
Probation Against:

Case No. 800-2025-115958

12 **ROBERT MICHAEL HUTCHMAN, M.D.**
13 **411 North Central Avenue, Suite 235**
Glendale, CA 91203-2081

OAH No.

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

[Gov. Code, § 11520]

14 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
15 **No. A 85762,**

16 Respondent.

17
18
19 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

20 1. On September 30, 2025, Complainant Reji Varghese, in his official capacity as the
21 Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board),
22 filed Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2025-115958 against Robert Michael Hutchman,
23 M.D. (Respondent) before the Board.

24 2. On January 23, 2004, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.
25 A 85762 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate expired on March 31, 2025,
26 and has not been renewed. A copy of a Certificate of Licensure for Respondent, including his
27 address of record with the Board, is attached as **Exhibit A** to the simultaneously submitted
28 Default Decision Evidence Packet (hereinafter, the "Evidence Packet") and is incorporated herein

1 by reference as if fully set forth herein.¹

2 3. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:

3 (b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license
4 issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by
5 order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written
6 consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed,
7 restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or
continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by
law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking
disciplinary action against the license on any such ground.

8 4. Effective March 24, 2022, in a disciplinary action titled "*In the Matter of the*
9 *Accusation Against Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D.*," Case No. 800-2018-043227, the Board
10 issued a decision, ("Decision"), in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was
11 revoked. However, the revocation was stayed, and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's
12 Certificate was placed on probation for a period of seven (7) years with certain terms and
13 conditions. A copy of the Decision is attached as **Exhibit B** to the Evidence Packet and is
14 incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

15 5. On September 30, 2025, an employee of the Department of Consumer Affairs served
16 by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2025-115958,
17 Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense (two copies), Request for Discovery, and
18 Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, 11507.7, and 11455.10 to Respondent's address of
19 record with the Board, which was and is 411 North Central Avenue, Suite 235, Glendale,
20 California 91203-2081. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation, the related documents, and
21 Declaration of Service is attached as **Exhibit C** to the Evidence Packet and is incorporated herein
22 by reference as if fully set forth herein.

23 6. On or about October 2, 2025, the United States Postal Service ("USPS") attempted to
24 deliver the certified mail copy of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2025-115958, Statement
25 to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections
26 11507.5, 11507.6, 11507.7, and 11455.10, but the package was unclaimed and returned to the

27 ¹ The exhibits referred to herein, which are true and correct copies of the originals, are
28 contained in the separate accompanying Evidence Packet, which is hereby incorporated by
reference, in its entirety, as if fully set forth herein.

1 sender on or about October 22, 2025. A copy of the USPS tracking history, as well as a copy of
2 the return envelope, is attached as **Exhibit D** to the Evidence Packet and is incorporated herein by
3 reference as if fully set forth herein.

4 7. On October 27, 2025, an employee of the California Department of Justice, Office of
5 the Attorney General, served by Certified Mail a Courtesy Notice of Default to Respondent's
6 address of record, which included a copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation and Notice of
7 Defense previously served on Respondent and advised him that if he failed to take action to file a
8 Notice of Defense by November 10, 2025, the Board would enter a Default Decision against his
9 license which may be revoked or suspended without any hearing. A copy of the Courtesy Notice
10 of Default is attached as **Exhibit E** to the Evidence Packet and is incorporated herein by reference
11 as if fully set forth herein.

12 8. On or about October 29, 2025, the USPS attempted to deliver the certified mail copy
13 of the Courtesy Notice of Default, but the package was unclaimed and returned to the sender on
14 or about November 22, 2025. A copy of the USPS tracking history, as well as a copy of the
15 return envelope, is attached as **Exhibit F** to the Evidence Packet and is incorporated herein by
16 reference as if fully set forth herein.

17 9. Service of the Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the
18 provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

19 10. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

20 (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
21 files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts
22 of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion
may nevertheless grant a hearing.

23 11. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him
24 of the Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of
25 the charges and allegations contained herein. A copy of the Declaration of the Deputy Attorney
26 General, stating that no Notice of Defense has been received to date, is attached as **Exhibit G** to
27 the Evidence Packet and is incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

28 12. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

1 (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
2 hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to
respondent.

3 13. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with
4 Condition Nos. 3, 6, 8, 13, 15, and 16 of the Board's Decision and disciplinary order. A copy of a
5 Declaration from the Board's Probation Manager is attached as **Exhibit H** to the Evidence Packet
6 and is incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

7 14. Condition No. 20 of the Decision requires that Respondent pay to the Board the costs
8 of probation monitoring. Currently, the total outstanding amount of probation monitoring costs
9 owed by Respondent to the Board is \$13,189.00 See Exhibit H.

10 15. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
11 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on
12 Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in
13 Exhibits A through H, finds that the allegations in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2025-
14 115958, and each of them, separately and severally, are true.

15 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

16 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D.
17 has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85762 to discipline.

18 2. A copy of the Decision is attached here as Exhibit B.

19 3. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation, the related documents and Declaration of
20 Service are attached here as Exhibit C.

21 4. The Board has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

22 5. The Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's
23 Certificate based upon the following violations alleged in the Petition to Revoke Probation:

24 a. Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 3 of the Decision
25 (Education Course) (see Exhibits B and H);

26 b. Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 6 of the Decision
27 (Ethics Course) (see Exhibits B and H);

28 c. Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 8 of the Decision

1 (Psychiatric Evaluation) (see Exhibits B and H);

2 d. Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 13 of the Decision
3 (Quarterly Declarations) (see Exhibits B and H);

4 e. Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 15 of the Decision
5 (Interview with the Board or its Designee) (see Exhibits B and H); and

6 f. Respondent failed to comply with Probation Condition 16 of the Decision
7 (Non-Practice While on Probation) (see Exhibits B and H).

8 6. Pursuant to Probation Condition 20 of the Decision and Order in case number 800-
9 2018-043227, Respondent owes \$13,189.00 in outstanding probation monitoring costs to the
10 Board. (See Exhibit H.)

11 **ORDER**

12 IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85762, heretofore
13 issued to Respondent ROBERT MICHAEL HUTCHMAN, M.D., is revoked for each of the
14 violations, separately and severally, found in the Determination of Issues above.

15 Respondent is ordered to reimburse the Board the amount of \$13,189.00 for its outstanding
16 probation monitoring costs. The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent
17 of his responsibility to reimburse the Board for its costs. Respondent must pay the entire amount
18 of costs prior to petitioning for reinstatement.

19 If Respondent ever files an application for re-licensure or reinstatement in the State of
20 California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with
21 all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time
22 the petition is filed.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
2 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on
3 within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The Board in its discretion
4 may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the
5 statute.

6 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00pm on JAN 26 2026.

7 It is so ORDERED JAN 14 2026
8

9
10 
11 REJI VARGHESE
12 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
13 FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
14 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

15 Attachment: Default Decision Evidence Packet
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 EDWARD KIM
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 State Bar No. 195729
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
4 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6000
5 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117
E-mail: edward.kim@doj.ca.gov
6 *Attorneys for Complainant*

7
8 **BEFORE THE**
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

10 In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke
Probation Against:

11 **ROBERT MICHAEL HUTCHMAN, M.D.**
12 **411 North Central Avenue, Suite 235**
Glendale, CA 91203

13 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
14 **No. A 85762**

15 Respondent.

Case No. 800-2025-115958

OAH No.

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

16
17 Complainant alleges:

18 **PARTIES**

19 1. Reji Varghese ("Complainant") brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in his
20 official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of
21 Consumer Affairs ("Board").

22 2. On or about January 23, 2004, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
23 Number A 85762 to Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. ("Respondent"). The Physician's and
24 Surgeon's Certificate expired on March 31, 2025, and has not been renewed.

25 **DISCIPLINARY HISTORY**

26 3. In a disciplinary action titled "In the Matter of Accusation and Petition to Revoke
27 Probation Against Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D.," Case No. 800-2018-043227, the Board
28 issued a decision, effective March 24, 2022 ("Decision"), in which Respondent's Physician's and

1 Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed, and Respondent's
2 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for a period of seven (7) years
3 with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that Decision is attached as Exhibit A and is
4 incorporated by reference. At the time of the incidents alleged herein, Respondent's license was
5 on probation, pursuant to the Decision.

6 JURISDICTION

7 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board, under the authority of
8 the following laws and the Decision. All section references are to the Business and Professions
9 Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.

10 5. Subdivision (b) of section 118 of the Code states:

11 (b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license
12 issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by
13 order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written
14 consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed,
15 restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or
16 continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by
17 law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking
18 disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

16 6. Section 2004 of the Code states:

17 The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

18 (a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical
19 Practice Act.

20 (b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.

21 (c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or
22 an administrative law judge.

23 (d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion
24 of disciplinary actions.

25 (e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and
26 surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.

27 (f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.

28 (g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the
programs in subdivision (f).

(h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.

(i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program.

7. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. On or about March 29, 2022, pursuant to the Decision, a Board employee met Respondent to review the Decision. During the meeting, the Board employee reviewed all of the terms and conditions of Respondent's probation with the Board. Thereafter, Respondent signed an Acknowledgement of Decision form indicating that the Board employee explained all the terms and conditions of his probation with the Board in the Decision to Respondent and that Respondent understood the terms and conditions of probation (each a "Probation Condition") in the Decision's disciplinary order ("Order").

9. On or about April 9, 2022, Respondent's sixty day suspension from the practice of medicine pursuant to Probation Condition 1 (Actual Suspension), became effective.

10. On or about May 23, 2022, the Board received Dr. D.T.'s Psychiatric Clinical Diagnostic Evaluation report concerning Respondent, which was conducted pursuant to Probation Condition 8 (Psychiatric Evaluation). Dr. D.T. opined that Respondent was safe to practice medicine if he complied with certain recommendations which included outpatient mental health treatment consisting of weekly individual therapy sessions and monthly psychiatric medication monitoring sessions with periodic progress reports being sent to the Board.

11. On or about May 24, 2022, a Board employee sent a letter to Respondent advising him the Board received Dr. D.T.'s Psychiatric Clinical Diagnostic Evaluation report which contained the recommendation that he was "to participate in outpatient mental health treatment with a combination of 'weekly individual therapy' and 'monthly psychiatric medication management' sessions." Respondent was further advised that "the Board's decision requires that [he] comply with any restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating physician within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board." The Board's employee requested that

1 Respondent provide written documentation of his compliance with the recommendations within
2 fifteen calendar days.

3 12. On or about September 1, 2022, a Board employee sent a letter to Respondent
4 advising him of the requirement that he participate in outpatient mental health treatment to
5 include weekly individual therapy and monthly psychiatric medication management sessions.
6 Respondent was further advised that “[f]ailure to comply with the recommendation(s) may result
7 in the Board taking action against [his] license for non-compliance.”

8 13. On or about September 2, 2022, a Board employee sent a non-compliance letter to
9 Respondent. The letter informed Respondent that he had failed to provide proof of compliance
10 with certain conditions of probation, including enrollment in the professionalism program (Ethics
11 Course), pursuant to Probation Condition 6. The letter also requested a response from
12 Respondent by September 9, 2022.

13 14. On or about November 8, 2022, Respondent submitted a Controlled Substances Log
14 which indicated that he prescribed Lorazepam 2 mg (#30) to a single patient on or about
15 September 28, 2022. The Controlled Substances Log did not accurately report all prescriptions of
16 controlled substances that were actually issued by Respondent.

17 15. On or about May 2, 2023, a Board employee sent a non-compliance letter to
18 Respondent. The letter informed Respondent that he had failed to provide proof of compliance
19 with the following terms of the Order: Condition Nos. 9 (Monitoring Practice), 13 (Quarterly
20 Declarations) and 15 (Interview with the Board or Its Designee). The letter also requested a
21 response from Respondent by May 10, 2023.

22 16. On or about September 20, 2023, a Board employee sent a non-compliance letter to
23 Respondent. The letter informed Respondent that he had failed to provide proof of compliance
24 with the following terms of the Order: Condition Nos. 13 (Quarterly Declarations) and 15
25 (Interview with the Board or Its Designee). The letter also requested a response from Respondent
26 by September 30, 2023.

27 17. On or about October 6, 2023, a Board employee met with Respondent where he,
28 among other things, “reminded Dr. Hutchman that he must comply with the evaluator’s [Dr.

1 D.T.'s] recommendations that he is required to participate in outpatient mental health treatment as
2 well as weekly individual therapy and monthly psychiatric management sessions....” Respondent
3 told a Board employee that he would comply with Respondent being advised that “he must
4 provide proof of compliance by October 20, 2023.”

5 18. On or about November 3, 2023, a Board employee sent a non-practice letter to
6 Respondent. The letter warned Respondent that on December 10, 2023, the period of
7 Respondent’s non-practice while on probation will exceed 18 calendar months, and in addition,
8 on May 10, 2024, Respondent’s period of non-practice while on probation will exceed two (2)
9 years.

10 19. On or about June 9, 2024, a Board employee sent an email to Respondent advising
11 him that his non-practice had exceeded two years.

12 20. On or about June 27, 2024, a Board employee sent an email to Respondent and asked
13 that he send his proof of completion of his Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements
14 pursuant to the Order. However, as of March 20, 2025, Respondent failed to provide proof of
15 completion of such required CMEs to the Board.

16 21. On or about July 18, 2024, a Board employee sent a non-compliance letter to
17 Respondent. The letter informed Respondent that he had violated the following term of the
18 Order: Condition 20 (Probation Monitoring Costs). Respondent was requested to provide proof
19 of payment by July 28, 2024.

20 22. On or about July 23, 2024, a Board employee conducted a telephonic interview with
21 Respondent (for the 2024 third quarter) during which Respondent was told about the following
22 violations of (and noncompliance with) several conditions of his probation Order:

- 23 • 2. Controlled Substances-Maintain Records & Access to Records and Inventories
- 24 • 3. Education Course
- 25 • 6. Professionalism Program (Ethics Course)
- 26 • 8. Psychiatric evaluation-recommendations
- 27 • 9. Monitoring - Practice and Billing
- 28 • 13. Quarterly Declarations

1 • 16. Non-Practice While on Probation.

2 23. On or about July 23, 2024, a Board employee sent an email to Respondent requesting
3 proof of compliance with Dr. D.T.'s recommendations set forth in the Psychiatric Clinical
4 Diagnostic Evaluation report dated May 13, 2022. Respondent stated that he had not received
5 any treatment from a psychiatrist and therapist, nor had he attended an outpatient program, as
6 recommended.

7 24. On or about July 24, 2024, a Board employee sent a non-compliance letter to
8 Respondent. The letter informed Respondent that he had violated the following terms of the
9 Order: Condition Nos. 2 (Controlled Substances-Maintain Records & Access To Records And
10 Inventories); 3 (Education Course); 6 (Professionalism Program (Ethics Course)); 8 (Psychiatric
11 Evaluation); 9 (Monitoring Practice and Billing), 13 (Quarterly Declarations) and 16 (Non-
12 practice while on probation). The letter also informed Respondent that his continued non-
13 compliance could result in further action.

14 25. On or about December 18, 2024, a Board employee had a quarterly interview with
15 Respondent. During this interview, Respondent was advised that he had failed to submit any
16 quarterly declaration for the fourth quarter 2023 through the fourth quarter 2024. Respondent
17 was advised that he was out of compliance with the following terms of his Order: Condition Nos.
18 2 (Controlled Substances-Maintain Records & Access To Records And Inventories); 3 (Education
19 Course); 6 (Professionalism Program (Ethics Course)); 8 (Psychiatric Evaluation); 9 (Monitoring
20 Practice and Billing), 13 (Quarterly Declarations) and 16 (Non-practice while on probation).

21 26. On or about July 3, 2025, a Board employee sent a non-compliance letter to
22 Respondent. The letter informed Respondent that he had violated the following terms of the
23 Order: Condition Nos. 2 (Controlled Substances-Maintain Records & Access To Records And
24 Inventories); 3 (Education Course); 6 (Professionalism Program (Ethics Course)); 8 (Psychiatric
25 Evaluation); 13 (Quarterly Declarations); 15 (Interview with the Board or its Designee);¹ and 16
26 (Non-practice while on probation). The letter also informed Respondent that his continued non-

27 ¹ The Non-Compliance Letter stated, "As of today, you have rescheduled several times
28 and canceled appointments at the last minute. You are hereby not compliant with this condition.
You failed to meet for quarter 1, 2025, and quarter 2, 2025."

1 compliance could result in further action.

2 **FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION**

3 **(Failure to Complete Education Course(s))**

4 27. At all times after the effective date of the Decision, Probation Condition 3 stated:

5 EDUCATION COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this
6 Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or
7 its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not
8 be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s)
9 or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge
10 and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at
11 Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education
(CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each
course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's
knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours
of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

12 28. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply
13 with Probation Condition 3, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding
14 this violation are as follows:

15 29. The facts and allegations in paragraphs 8 through 26 inclusive above are incorporated
16 herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

17 30. Respondent failed to provide proof to the Board that he had successfully completed
18 65 CME hours during the compliance year 2023-2024, pursuant to the terms of his probation.

19 31. Respondent's failure to provide proof of his completion of 65 hours of CME during
20 the compliance year 2023-2024, constitutes a violation of Probation Condition 3. Therefore,
21 cause exists to impose a disciplinary order of revocation that was stayed.

22 **SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION**

23 **(Failure to Complete Ethics Course)**

24 32. At all times after the effective date of the Decision, Probation Condition 6 stated:

25 PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar
26 days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a
27 professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of
28 Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Respondent shall participate in and successfully
complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that
the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the

1 classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's
2 initial enrollment; and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the
3 time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the
4 classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense
5 and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for
6 renewal of licensure.

7 A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in
8 the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole
9 discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this
10 condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had
11 the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

12 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or
13 its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program
14 or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is
15 later.

16 33. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply
17 with Probation Condition 6, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding
18 this violation are as follows:

19 34. The facts and allegations of the First Cause to Revoke Probation are incorporated
20 herein by reference as if fully set forth.

21 35. Respondent failed to complete all components of a Professionalism Program within
22 one year of the date of enrollment, namely, he failed to complete the 6-month and 12-month
23 components of the Professionalism Program.

24 36. Respondent's failure to complete the Professionalism Program pursuant to the Order
25 constitutes a violation of Probation Condition 6. Therefore, cause exists to impose a disciplinary
26 order of revocation that was stayed.

27 **THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION**

28 **(Failure to Complete Psychiatric Evaluation)**

37. At all times after the effective date of the Decision, Probation Condition 8 stated:

PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date
of this Decision, and on whatever periodic basis thereafter may be required by the
Board or its designee, Respondent shall undergo and complete a psychiatric
evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board-appointed
board certified psychiatrist, who shall consider any information provided by the
Board or designee and any other information the psychiatrist deems relevant, and

1 shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Psychiatric
2 evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted
3 towards the fulfillment of this requirement. Respondent shall pay the cost of all
4 psychiatric evaluations and psychological testing.

5 Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by
6 the evaluating psychiatrist within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board
7 or its designee.

8 Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified by the
9 Board or its designee that Respondent is mentally fit to practice medicine safely. The
10 period of time that Respondent is not practicing medicine shall not be counted toward
11 completion of the term of probation.

12 38. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply
13 with Probation Condition 8, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding
14 this violation are as follows:

15 39. The facts and allegations of the First through Second Causes to Revoke Probation are
16 incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

17 40. Although Respondent underwent a psychiatric evaluation, he failed to comply with
18 the recommendations of the evaluating psychiatrist pursuant to the terms of the Order.

19 41. Respondent's failure to abide by the recommendations of the Board's psychiatrist
20 who evaluated Respondent pursuant to the Order constitutes a violation of Probation Condition 8.
21 Therefore, cause exists to impose a disciplinary order of revocation that was stayed.

22 **FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION**

23 **(Failure to Complete Quarterly Declarations)**

24 42. At all times after the effective date of the Decision, Probation Condition 13 stated:

25 QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly
26 declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether
27 there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. [¶] Respondent shall
28 submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the
preceding quarter.

43. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with
Probation Condition 13, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation
are as follows:

1 44. The facts and allegations of the First through Third Causes to Revoke Probation are
2 incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

3 45. Respondent failed to submit quarterly declarations for Quarter IV 2023, Quarter I
4 2024, Quarter II 2024, Quarter III 2024, Quarter IV 2024, Quarter I 2025, and Quarter II 2025,
5 pursuant to the terms of the Order.

6 46. Respondent's failure to submit quarterly declarations for Quarter IV 2023, Quarter I
7 2024, Quarter II 2024, Quarter III 2024, Quarter IV 2024, Quarter I 2025, and Quarter II 2025,
8 constitutes a violation of Probation Condition 13. Therefore, cause exists to impose a disciplinary
9 order of revocation that was stayed.

10 **FIFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION**

11 **(Interview with the Board or its Designee)**

12 47. At all times after the effective date of the Decision, Probation Condition 15 stated:

13 INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE. Respondent shall
14 be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of
15 business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the
term of probation.

16 48. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with
17 Probation Condition 15, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation
18 are as follows:

19 49. The facts and allegations of the First through Fourth Causes to Revoke Probation are
20 incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

21 50. Respondent failed to make himself available for quarterly interviews for Quarter I
22 2025 and Quarter II 2025.

23 51. Respondent's failure to make himself available in person for an interview with a
24 Board employee constitutes a violation of Probation Condition 15. Therefore, cause exists to
25 impose a disciplinary order of revocation that was stayed.

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

1 **SIXTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION**

2 **(Non-Practice While on Probation)**

3 52. At all times after the effective date of the Decision, Probation Condition 16 stated:

4 **NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION.** Respondent shall notify the
5 Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-
6 practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of
7 Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time
8 Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code
9 sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care,
10 clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent
11 resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply
12 with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training
13 program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be
14 considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the
15 terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United
16 States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority
17 of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered
18 suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

13 In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18
14 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State
15 Medical Boards' Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical
16 competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current
17 version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary
18 Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

17 Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2)
18 years.

19 Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

20 Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will
21 relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and
22 conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions
23 of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly
24 Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and
25 Biological Fluid Testing.

24 53. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with
25 Probation Condition 16, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation
26 are as follows:

27 54. The facts and allegations of the First through Fifth Causes to Revoke Probation are
28 incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

55. Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeded two years on or about June 9, 2024. In addition, as of on or about December 10, 2023, Respondent's period of non-practice during probation exceeded 18 calendar months.

56. Respondent's failure to practice as a physician and surgeon for more than two years constitutes a violation of Probation Condition 16. Therefore, cause exists to impose a disciplinary order of revocation that was stayed.

57. To date, Respondent continues to be in violation of the terms of probation referenced herein.

PRAAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case No.800-2018-043227 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85762 issued to Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D.;

2. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85762, issued to Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D.;

3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

4. Ordering Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;

5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: SEP 30 2025

Sharlene Smith For
REJI VARGHESE
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

LA2025601222/67960189

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 800-2018-043227

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 CHRISTINE R. FRIAR
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 228421
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6472
6 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117
Attorneys for Complainant
7

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

13 **ROBERT MICHAEL HUTCHMAN, M.D.**
14 **517 East Wilson Avenue, Suite 103A**
Glendale, CA 91206

15 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
16 **No. A 85762,**

17 **Respondent.**

Case No. 800-2018-043227

OAH No. 2021040146

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
DISCIPLINARY ORDER

18
19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of
23 California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this
24 matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Christine R. Friar, Deputy
25 Attorney General.

26 2. Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this
27 proceeding by attorney Edward Shkolnikov, Law Offices of Edward Shkolnikov, 14930 Ventura
28 Blvd., Suite 340, Sherman Oaks, California 91403.

1 3. On or about January 23, 2004, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
2 No. A 85762 to Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's
3 Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation
4 No. 800-2018-043227, and will expire on March 31, 2023, unless renewed.

5 **JURISDICTION**

6 4. Accusation No. 800-2018-043227 was filed before the Board, and is currently
7 pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were
8 properly served on Respondent on January 7, 2021. Respondent timely filed his Notice of
9 Defense contesting the Accusation.

10 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2018-043227 is attached as Exhibit A and
11 incorporated herein by reference.

12 **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

13 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the
14 charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2018-043227. Respondent has also carefully read,
15 fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and
16 Disciplinary Order.

17 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a
18 hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine
19 the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right
20 to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of
21 documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other
22 rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

23 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and
24 every right set forth above.

25 **CULPABILITY**

26 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation
27 No. 800-2018-043227.

28 ///

10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

12. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2018-043227 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

///

///

///

1 **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 85762 issued
3 to Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed
4 and Respondent is placed on probation for seven (7) years on the following terms and conditions:

5 1. **ACTUAL SUSPENSION.** As part of probation, Respondent is suspended from the
6 practice of medicine for sixty (60) days beginning the sixteenth (16th) day after the effective date
7 of this decision.

8 2. **CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - MAINTAIN RECORDS AND ACCESS TO**
9 **RECORDS AND INVENTORIES.** Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled
10 substances ordered, prescribed, dispensed, administered, or possessed by Respondent, and any
11 recommendation or approval which enables a patient or patient's primary caregiver to possess or
12 cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health
13 and Safety Code section 11362.5, during probation, showing all of the following: 1) the name and
14 address of the patient; 2) the date; 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved;
15 and 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances were furnished.

16 Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order. All
17 records and any inventories of controlled substances shall be available for immediate inspection
18 and copying on the premises by the Board or its designee at all times during business hours and
19 shall be retained for the entire term of probation.

20 3. **EDUCATION COURSE.** Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this
21 Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee
22 for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours
23 per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at
24 correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The
25 educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to
26 the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the
27 completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test
28 Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65

1 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

2 4. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective
3 date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in
4 advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider
5 with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent.
6 Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course
7 not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully
8 complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing
9 practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing
10 Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

11 A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the
12 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board
13 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have
14 been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of
15 this Decision.

16 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
17 designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than
18 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

19 5. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective
20 date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in
21 advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider
22 with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent.
23 Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course
24 not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully
25 complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical
26 record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing
27 Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

28 A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the

1 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board
2 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have
3 been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of
4 this Decision.

5 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
6 designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than
7 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

8 6. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of
9 the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that
10 meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1.
11 Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall
12 provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall
13 successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after
14 Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the
15 time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom
16 component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in
17 addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

18 A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the
19 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board
20 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have
21 been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of
22 this Decision.

23 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
24 designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later
25 than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

26 7. PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES PROGRAM. Within 60 calendar days from the
27 effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professional boundaries program
28 approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent, at the program's discretion, shall

1 undergo and complete the program's assessment of Respondent's competency, mental health
2 and/or neuropsychological performance, and at minimum, a 24 hour program of interactive
3 education and training in the area of boundaries, which takes into account data obtained from the
4 assessment and from the Decision(s), Accusation(s) and any other information that the Board or
5 its designee deems relevant. The program shall evaluate Respondent at the end of the training
6 and the program shall provide any data from the assessment and training as well as the results of
7 the evaluation to the Board or its designee.

8 Failure to complete the entire program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's
9 initial enrollment shall constitute a violation of probation unless the Board or its designee agrees
10 in writing to a later time for completion. Based on Respondent's performance in and evaluations
11 from the assessment, education, and training, the program shall advise the Board or its designee
12 of its recommendation(s) for additional education, training, psychotherapy and other measures
13 necessary to ensure that Respondent can practice medicine safely. Respondent shall comply with
14 program recommendations. At the completion of the program, Respondent shall submit to a final
15 evaluation. The program shall provide the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee.
16 The professional boundaries program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to
17 the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

18 The program has the authority to determine whether or not Respondent successfully
19 completed the program.

20 A professional boundaries course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the
21 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board
22 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have
23 been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of
24 this Decision.

25 Respondent shall not practice medicine until Respondent has successfully completed the
26 program and has been so notified by the Board or its designee in writing.

27 8. PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of
28 this Decision, and on whatever periodic basis thereafter may be required by the Board or its

1 designee, Respondent shall undergo and complete a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological
2 testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist, who shall
3 consider any information provided by the Board or designee and any other information the
4 psychiatrist deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its
5 designee. Psychiatric evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not
6 be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. Respondent shall pay the cost of all
7 psychiatric evaluations and psychological testing.

8 Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating
9 psychiatrist within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

10 Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified by the Board or its
11 designee that Respondent is mentally fit to practice medicine safely. The period of time that
12 Respondent is not practicing medicine shall not be counted toward completion of the term of
13 probation.

14 9. MONITORING - PRACTICE AND BILLING. Within 30 calendar days of the
15 effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior
16 approval as a practice and billing monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed
17 physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably
18 American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or
19 current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could
20 reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased
21 reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's
22 field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all
23 monitoring costs.

24 The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s)
25 and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the
26 Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed
27 statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role
28 of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees

1 with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the
2 signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

3 Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision; and continuing throughout
4 probation, Respondent's practice and billing shall be monitored by the approved monitor.
5 Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the
6 premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the
7 entire term of probation.

8 If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective
9 date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to
10 cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent
11 shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring
12 responsibility.

13 The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which
14 includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices
15 are within the standards of practice of medicine and billing, and whether Respondent is practicing
16 medicine safely, billing appropriately or both. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to
17 ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within
18 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

19 If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of
20 such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the
21 name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within
22 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60
23 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a
24 notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3)
25 calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a
26 replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

27 In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program
28 approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart

1 review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and
2 education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at
3 Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

4 10. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the
5 Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the
6 Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to
7 Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine,
8 including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief
9 Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to
10 Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15
11 calendar days.

12 This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

13 11. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE
14 NURSES. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and
15 advanced practice nurses.

16 12. OBEY ALL LAWS. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules
17 governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court
18 ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

19 13. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations
20 under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been
21 compliance with all the conditions of probation.

22 Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end
23 of the preceding quarter.

24 14. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

25 Compliance with Probation Unit

26 Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

27 Address Changes

28 Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and

1 residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such
2 addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no
3 circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business
4 and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

5 Place of Practice

6 Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place
7 of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed
8 facility.

9 License Renewal

10 Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's
11 license.

12 Travel or Residence Outside California

13 Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any
14 areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty
15 (30) calendar days.

16 In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice,
17 Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of
18 departure and return.

19 15. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE. Respondent shall be
20 available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the
21 probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

22 16. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or
23 its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than
24 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is
25 defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and
26 Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct
27 patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If
28 Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall

1 comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training
2 program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-
3 practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of
4 probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while
5 on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be
6 considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a
7 period of non-practice.

8 In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar
9 months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards's Special
10 Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program
11 that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model
12 Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

13 Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

14 Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

15 Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve
16 Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the
17 exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws;
18 General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or
19 Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

20 17. COMPLETION OF PROBATION. Respondent shall comply with all financial
21 obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the
22 completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall
23 be fully restored.

24 18. VIOLATION OF PROBATION. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition
25 of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the
26 Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and
27 carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke
28 Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the

1 Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall
2 be extended until the matter is final.

3 19. LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
4 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
5 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
6 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
7 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
8 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
9 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
10 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
11 to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
12 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

13 20. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated
14 with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which
15 may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of
16 California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar
17 year.

18 21. FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for
19 a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care
20 licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in
21 Accusation No. 800-2018-043227 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by
22 Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or
23 restrict license.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Edward Shkolnikov. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED:

02/DEC/2021

Robert M. Hutchman MD
ROBERT MICHAEL HUTCHMAN, M.D.
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED:

12/02/21

Edward Shkolnikov
EDWARD SHKOLNIKOV
Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED: _____

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

CHRISTINE R. FRIAR
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Edward Shkolnikov. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: _____
ROBERT MICHAEL HUTCHMAN, M.D.
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: _____
EDWARD SHKOLNIKOV
Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED: December 2, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Christine Friar
Digitally signed by
Christine Friar
Date: 2021.12.02
15:47:47 -08'00'

CHRISTINE R. FRIAR
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2018-043227

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 E. A. JONES III
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 CHRISTINE R. FRIAR
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 228421
California Department of Justice
5 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
6 Telephone: (213) 269-6472
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8
9 **BEFORE THE**
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 800-2018-043227

13 **ROBERT MICHAEL HUTCHMAN, M.D.**
14 **517 East Wilson Avenue, Suite 103A**
Glendale, CA 91206-4376

A C C U S A T I O N

15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
16 No. A 85762,

17 Respondent.

18
19
20 **PARTIES**

21 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity
22 as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs
23 (Board).

24 2. On or about January 23, 2004, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's
25 Certificate Number A 85762 to Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. (Respondent). That license was
26 in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
27 March 31, 2021, unless renewed.

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
84

2
3
4

5

6
7
8
9

10

11
12
13
14
15

17

19

20

21
22
23

25

25

2.

1 disclosure and sign the copy of the disclosure pursuant to subdivision (b) and a
2 guardian or health care surrogate is unavailable to comprehend the disclosure and
3 sign the copy.

4 (2) The visit occurs in an emergency room or an urgent care facility or the visit
5 is unscheduled, including consultations in inpatient facilities.

6 (3) The licensee who will be treating the patient during the visit is not known to
7 the patient until immediately prior to the start of the visit.

8 (4) The licensee does not have a direct treatment relationship with the patient.

9 "...."

10 6. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

11 The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with
12 unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional
13 conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

14 (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or
15 abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

16 (b) Gross negligence.

17 (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more
18 negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a
19 separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute
20 repeated negligent acts.

21 (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically
22 appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single
23 negligent act.

24 (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or
25 omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but
26 not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the
27 licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure
28 constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

....

(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is
substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

....

7. Section 2241.5 of the Code states:

(a) A physician and surgeon may prescribe for, or dispense or administer to, a
person under his or her treatment for a medical condition dangerous drugs or
prescription controlled substances for the treatment of pain or a condition causing
pain, including, but not limited to, intractable pain.

(b) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action for
prescribing, dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled

substances in accordance with this section.

(c) This section shall not affect the power of the board to take any action described in Section 2227 against a physician and surgeon who does any of the following:

(1) Violates subdivision (b), (c), or (d) of Section 2234 regarding gross negligence, repeated negligent acts, or incompetence.

(2) Violates Section 2241 regarding treatment of an addict.

(3) Violates Section 2242 or 2525.3 regarding performing an appropriate prior examination and the existence of a medical indication for prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs or recommending medical cannabis.

(4) Violates Section 2242.1 regarding prescribing on the Internet.

(5) Fails to keep complete and accurate records of purchases and disposals of substances listed in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code) or controlled substances scheduled in the federal Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq.), or pursuant to the federal Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970. A physician and surgeon shall keep records of his or her purchases and disposals of these controlled substances or dangerous drugs, including the date of purchase, the date and records of the sale or disposal of the drugs by the physician and surgeon, the name and address of the person receiving the drugs, and the reason for the disposal or the dispensing of the drugs to the person, and shall otherwise comply with all state recordkeeping requirements for controlled substances.

(6) Writes false or fictitious prescriptions for controlled substances listed in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act or scheduled in the federal Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970.

(7) Prescribes, administers, or dispenses in violation of this chapter, or in violation of Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 11150) or Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11210) of Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code.

(d) A physician and surgeon shall exercise reasonable care in determining whether a particular patient or condition, or the complexity of a patient's treatment, including, but not limited to, a current or recent pattern of drug abuse, requires consultation with, or referral to, a more qualified specialist.

(e) Nothing in this section shall prohibit the governing body of a hospital from taking disciplinary actions against a physician and surgeon pursuant to Sections 809.05, 809.4, and 809.5.

8. Section 2242, subdivision (a), of the Code states:

Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

9. Section 725 of the Code states:

(a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or

1 administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of
2 diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or
3 treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is
unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist,
physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language pathologist, or
audiologist.

4 (b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or
5 administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished
6 by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than six hundred
dollars (\$600), or by imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than
180 days, or by both that fine and imprisonment.

7 (c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing,
8 dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances
shall not be subject to disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.

9 (d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
10 this section for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5.

11 10. Section 2266 of the Code states, "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain
12 adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes
13 unprofessional conduct."

14 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

15 (Gross Negligence)

16 11. Respondent Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under
17 section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he committed gross negligence when he
18 borrowed money from a patient. The circumstances are as follows:

19 12. Respondent specializes in neurology. Since approximately October 2017, he has co-
20 owned and been engaged in the practice of medicine at a private outpatient medical practice
21 located in Glendale, California. Prior to that, and since 2004, he had been in private practice in
22 Reseda, California.

23 Patient 1¹

24 13. Patient 1 was a patient of Respondent's for approximately eight (8) years.

25 14. Respondent's January 26, 2015, progress note for Patient 1 states that he is 68 years
26 old with a history of Parkinson's disease, benign prostatic hypertrophy, anxiety, depression,

27 ¹ The patients whose care and treatment are at-issue in this charging document are
28 designated by number (e.g., "Patient 1") to address privacy concerns. The patients' identities are
known to Respondent and will be further disclosed during discovery.

1 gastritis, age-related dementia, lower back pain, restless leg syndrome, and orthostatic
2 hypotension. Patient 1's current medications included baby aspirin, Azilect (for Parkinson's
3 disease), melatonin, Nasonex, pantoprazole (a proton pump inhibitor for acid reflux), ropinirole (a
4 dopamine promotor used to treat Parkinson's disease and restless leg syndrome), venlafaxine (a
5 selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SSNRI)), and Zyrtec.

6 15. At the January 26, 2015, visit, Respondent diagnosed Patient 1 with dementia, among
7 other ailments. Patient 1's MMSE score was 28 out of 30, which Respondent noted, "is
8 consistent with a normal level of cognitive function in the setting of Parkinson's disease."

9 16. Respondent's treatment plan for Patient 1 included "start Alprazolam 0.5 mg TID prn
10 anxiety," "[c]ontinue Carbidopa 25 mg and Levodopa 100 mg one tablet 4 times daily," and
11 "continue current medications." Alprazolam, brand name Xanax, is a Schedule IV
12 benzodiazepine. Carbidopa-levodopa, brand name Sinemet, is a dopamine promoter used to treat
13 Parkinson's disease. Respondent's prescription log for Patient 1's January 26, 2015, visit
14 includes "Alprazolam 0.5 mg daily ahs prn insomnia #30 3 refills."

15 17. On or about March 11, 2015, Respondent documented that Patient 1 returned for a
16 follow up visit. Patient 1 reported "tremor to heart and bilateral upper extremities are getting
17 worse... Amantidine did not help in the past." Respondent started Patient 1 on propranolol, a
18 beta blocker, 20 mg for the tremor. He also increased the dosage of Patient 1's carbidopa-
19 levodopa to one and half tablets 4 times daily and continued Patient 1's other medications.

20 18. According to Respondent's prescription log entry for Patient 1's March 11, 2015,
21 visit, Respondent also prescribed Patient 1 "Lorazepam 1 mg TID prn #90 3 refills." Lorazepam,
22 generic for Ativan, is a Schedule IV benzodiazepine. The addition of lorazepam to Patient 1's
23 medication regimen is not reflected in Respondent's March 11, 2015, progress note for Patient 1.

24 19. According to Respondent's prescription log for Patient 1, on or about April 29, 2015,
25 he prescribed Patient 1 "Alprazolam 0.5 mg daily qhs prn insomnia #30 3 refills."

26 20. At a July 14, 2015, visit, Respondent documented that Patient 1 stated that
27 "Lorazepam 1 mg TID is superior to Propranolol is relieving tremor." Patient 1 also reported that
28 he is taking carbidopa-levodopa without therapeutic relief. Respondent continued Patient 1's

1 carbidopa-levodopa dosage of 25 mg 100 mg one and a half tablets 4 times daily and added
2 prescriptions for Rytary, another brand of carbidopa-levodopa, and lorazepam 1 mg. Respondent
3 noted that Patient 1 was advised to "minimize intake of Alprazolam to avoid morning
4 grogginess." According to Respondent's prescription log for Patient 1's July 14, 2015, visit, he
5 prescribed Patient 1, "Lorazepam 1 mg TID prn anxiety #90 3 refills."

6 21. At an August 18, 2015, visit, Patient 1 complained of lower back pain from a fall at
7 home that occurred over a month prior. Respondent added sciatica to his list of diagnoses for
8 Patient 1. According to Respondent's prescription log for Patient 1's August 18, 2015, visit, he
9 prescribed Patient 1, "Alprazolam 0.5 mg daily qhs prn insomnia #30 3 refills."

10 22. Patient 1 continued to complain of lower back pain and on October 27, 2015, reported
11 receiving an epidural injection to the lower back that provided significant relief.

12 23. According to Respondent's prescription log for Patient 1's November 30, 2015, visit,
13 he prescribed Patient 1, "Alprazolam 0.5 mg daily qhs prn insomnia #30 3 refills" and "Norco
14 5/325 mg tab TID prn back pain #90 no refills." Norco, brand name for hydrocodone 5 mg/325
15 mg, is a Schedule II opiate. The addition of Norco to Patient 1's medication regime is not
16 mentioned in Respondent's November 30, 2015, progress note.

17 24. On January 4, 2016, Patient 1 presented to Respondent still complaining of occasional
18 lower back pain. Respondent added meloxicam, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID),
19 and hydrocodone 5 mg/325 mg (Norco) to Patient 1's medication list.

20 25. At Patient 1's next visit on February 8, 2016, Respondent noted that Patient 1
21 complained of moderate to severe sciatica and had consulted another physician about surgical
22 options. Respondent continued to include alprazolam, lorazepam and hydrocodone on Patient 1's
23 medication list, in addition to numerous other medications. Respondent's treatment plan included
24 continuing Patient 1's medications and to follow up with his other physician regarding pain
25 management and back surgery options.

26 26. For the next year, Patient 1's condition was documented as remaining stable without
27 significant changes. Respondent continued him on his medications and consistently diagnosed
28 him with dementia and sciatica with aggravation, among other ailments. According to his

1 prescription log for Patient 1, Respondent repeatedly prescribed Patient 1 alprazolam during this
2 time period.

3 27. While Patient 1 was under the care of Respondent, in March 2017, Respondent
4 approached Patient 1 for a loan of \$10,000.00.

5 28. Patient 1 loaned Respondent \$5,000.00 and Respondent agreed to repay him.

6 29. On or about April 4, 2017, Patient 1 presented to Respondent for care and treatment,
7 which he received. On that same day, Respondent also signed a Promissory Note agreeing to pay
8 Patient 1 \$5,000, plus interest, in return for a loan of the same amount. Pursuant to the
9 Promissory Note, Respondent was to make monthly payments to Patient 1 at a minimum of
10 interest only. Payments were to be made on the first of each month, commencing on May 1,
11 2017. The loan was to be paid in full, plus interest by July 1, 2017. A payment received after the
12 10th of any month would be considered late.

13 30. By July 1, 2017, Respondent had not made any payments to Patient 1 on the
14 Promissory Note. On that same day, Respondent signed a second Promissory Note again
15 agreeing to pay Patient 1 \$5,000, plus interest, in return for the loan. Pursuant to the second
16 Promissory Note, Respondent was to make three payments to Patient 1, each in the amount of
17 \$1,666.67, plus interest, commencing on August 1, 2017. The payments were to be monthly and
18 the loan was to be paid in full, plus interest by October 1, 2017. A payment received after the
19 10th of any month would be considered late and subject to a \$15.00 fee.

20 31. On or about July 10, 2017, Respondent documented that Patient 1 continued to have
21 lower back pain and was following up with his orthopedist regarding surgical and epidural
22 options. In addition to continuing his current medications, Respondent added a Medrol Dose, a
23 steroid, to treat Patient 1's sciatica. According to his prescription log, Respondent also prescribed
24 Patient 1 "Alprazolam 0.5 mg daily qhs prn insomnia #30 3 refills" at that visit.

25 32. Respondent documented that Patient 1 underwent lumbar surgery in August 2017.

26 33. Respondent made the August 1, 2017, payment on the loan to Patient 1. He did not,
27 however, make the second payment owed to Patient 1 on September 1, 2017.

28 ///

1 34. On or about September 27, 2017, Patient 1 sent Respondent correspondence notifying
2 him that the loan was past due and including a billing statement. Over the course of the next year
3 and a half, Respondent made no payments to Patient 1.

4 35. At an October 24, 2019, interview with Board, Respondent stated that, "Medicare
5 made unilateral changes in the reimbursement for patient, and my practice went out of business.
6 And I have never recovered an adequate income stream to fully pay back [Patient 1]...." At the
7 time of that interview, Respondent had made only the one payment on the loan in August 2017,
8 and owed Patient the remaining principal, plus interest and late fees.

9 36. According to Respondent's prescription log for Patient 1, on or about September 28,
10 2017, he prescribed him "Oxycodone - APAP 7.5/325 Tab TID #90 no refills." Oxycodone is a
11 Schedule II opiate. There is no progress note of this date associated with this prescription or any
12 office visit.

13 37. On or about October 23, 2017, Respondent documented that Patient 1's lower back is
14 "much relieved" and that he is "doing well in physical therapy." Respondent listed alprazolam
15 and hydrocodone among Patient 1's medications. Oxycodone is not listed. Lorazepam is also not
16 listed. According to Respondent's prescription log, however, he prescribed Patient 1
17 "[l]orazepam 1 mg TID prn anxiety #90 3 refills" at that visit.

18 38. Respondent continued to list alprazolam and hydrocodone among Patient 1's
19 medications in his progress notes for Patient 1 through July 10, 2018. According to Respondent's
20 prescription log for Patient 1, he prescribed Patient 1 "Alprazolam 0.5 mg daily qhs prn insomnia
21 #30 3 refills" on January 30, 2018, April 9, 2018, and July 10, 2018. He prescribed "Lorazepam
22 1 mg TID prn anxiety #90 3 refills" on March 14, 2018.

23 39. On or about June 9, 2018, Respondent documented discussing with Patient 1 the
24 results from the MMSE conducted at his last visit. Patient 1 scored 27/30, which is "normal."
25 Respondent continued to list dementia as one of Patient 1's diagnoses.

26 40. The standard of care in the medical community provides that physicians should not
27 borrow money from, or loan money to, patients who are under their care. This is particularly true
28 of patients with dementia.

1 41. Respondent committed an extreme departure from the standard of care when he asked
2 Patient 1 for a loan and then accepted a loan of \$5,000.00 from Patient 1.

3 42. Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 1 caused Patient 1 harm. Respondent
4 violated the professional boundaries of the doctor-patient relationship when he asked for and
5 accepted a loan from Patient 1. Respondent then failed to pay Patient 1 back as promised,
6 directly causing Patient 1 financial harm.

7 43. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 12 through 42,
8 inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute
9 gross negligence pursuant to section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code. As such, cause for
10 discipline exists.

11 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

12 (Dishonest and Corrupt Acts)

13 44. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (e), of
14 the Code, in that Respondent committed dishonest and corrupt acts substantially related to the
15 qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon during the course of his care and
16 treatment of Patient 1. The circumstances are as follows:

17 45. The allegations contained in the First Cause for Discipline herein are incorporated by
18 reference as if fully set forth.

19 46. More specifically, during the course of his care and treatment of Patient 1,
20 Respondent asked Patient 1 for a loan, borrowed \$5,000.00 from Patient 1, and then failed to pay
21 the loan back to Patient 1, as promised.

22 47. Further, throughout his care and treatment of Patient 1, Respondent had diagnosed
23 Patient 1 with dementia.

24 48. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 45 through 47,
25 inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute
26 dishonest and corrupt acts substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a
27 physician and surgeon pursuant to section 2234, subdivision (e), of the Code. As such, cause for
28 discipline exists.

1 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

2 (Repeated Negligent Acts)

3 49. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of
4 the Code in that he committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, 3,
5 4, and 5. The circumstances are as follows:

6 Patient 1

7 50. The allegations contained in the First Cause for Discipline herein are incorporated by
8 reference as if fully set forth.

9 51. The standard of care in the medical community requires that a diagnosis of dementia
10 should be based on a careful history and neurological examination, as well as imaging of the
11 brain. Patients with dementia typically have an impairment in their ability to carry out their
12 activities of daily living, including making financial decisions, and may be treated with
13 medication to slow the loss of cognitive function.

14 52. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he diagnosed Patient 1 with
15 dementia, as Patient 1's medical record does not support the diagnosis. At his October 24, 2019,
16 interview with the Board, Respondent stated that the diagnosis was based on his "interaction"
17 with Patient 1 and the MMSE results. Respondent admitted, however, that the diagnosis, which
18 he repeated throughout Patient 1's course of care and treatment, was an "error."

19 53. The standard of care in the medical community requires that whenever possible
20 benzodiazepines, barbiturates and opiates should not be prescribed concurrently due to the risk of
21 overdose. If they are prescribed concurrently, the patient should be warned about potential
22 dependency and safety issues. As of April 2018, a physician is required to check a patient's
23 CURES Report before prescribing controlled substances.

24 54. Respondent departed from the standard of care in his prescription of controlled
25 substances to Patient 1. For example, Respondent prescribed Patient 1 two benzodiazepines,
26 alprazolam and lorazepam, simultaneously. Respondent also concurrently prescribed an opiate
27 analgesics, Norco and then oxycodone, to Patient 1, while also prescribing benzodiazepines. On
28 or about July 10, 2018, Respondent prescribed Patient 1 alprazolam without checking his CURES

1 Report.

2 Patient 2

3 55. Patient 2 presented to Respondent on or about September 7, 2015. Respondent
4 documented that Patient 2 was a 27-year-old male presenting with complaints of persistent lower
5 back pain, neck pain, and mid back pain from a work-related accident. Respondent also
6 documented that Patient 2 had a history of ADHD since age 7 (treated with Adderall), generalized
7 anxiety disorder with panic attacks and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (treated with
8 alprazolam), and chronic pain syndrome secondary to a diaphragmatic hernia at birth.
9 Respondent did not review any of Patient 2's prior treatment records. Respondent performed a
10 physical examination and prescribed Patient 2 Norco (10/325 mg, 150 tablets, no refills),
11 Adderall (a Schedule IV, central nervous system stimulant) (20 mg, 2 times a day, #60, no refills),
12 and alprazolam (2 mg, 2 times a day, #60, 3 refills). According to Respondent's prescription log
13 for Patient 2, but not his patient note, he also prescribed citalopram (generic for Celexa, a
14 selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), an antidepressant) (20 mg, daily, #30, 12 refills).

15 56. A September 22, 2015, note from an Electromyography (EMG) study diagnosed
16 bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome, but not cervical radiculopathy.

17 57. An October 5, 2015, follow up note states that Patient 2 is still complaining of upper
18 and lower back pain with radiation to both legs and numbness and tingling. Patient 2 also
19 reported feeling "clumsy" with his hands and fingers. He reported that Norco relieved the pain
20 and that he had been taking more pills lately to get relief. He also reported episodes of panic,
21 anxiety, sadness, lack of interests and insomnia relieved by Adderall and alprazolam.
22 Respondent's diagnoses included thoracic sprain, myofascial pain, lumbosacral sprain, carpal
23 tunnel syndrome, chronic pain syndrome, opioid dependency and benzodiazepine dependency.
24 The treatment plan included prescriptions for Norco and Adderall, continue alprazolam, and
25 follow up for an EMG/Nerve conduction study (NCS) on the lower extremities. Physical therapy
26 and chiropractic therapy were also recommended.

27 58. A November 2, 2015, EMG report was consistent with bilateral L4-L5 radiculopathy,
28 but showed no evidence of neuropathy.

1 59. At the November 2, 2015, office visit, Respondent documented Patient 2 as
2 complaining of constant pain and numbness. Respondent's assessment of Patient 2 included
3 Respondent's previous diagnoses of opioid dependency and benzodiazepine dependency, among
4 others. The treatment plan included another EMG/NCS. Respondent prescribed Norco and
5 Adderall.

6 60. Patient 2 was treated by Respondent again on or about December 1, 2015.
7 Respondent documented that Patient 2's complaints included back pain, clumsiness in the hands
8 and fingers, anxiety, flashbacks and crying spells. Respondent prescribed Norco and Adderall.
9 Patient 2 was to return in four (4) weeks and epidural and facet injections would be considered.

10 61. Patient 2 returned on or about February 3, 2016. Respondent documented that Patient
11 2 continued to complain of back pain, clumsiness, anxiety, and suicidal thoughts but no suicidal
12 plans. Respondent renewed his prescriptions for Norco, Adderall and alprazolam (3 refills) and
13 advised Patient 2 to continue with physical therapy and chiropractic.

14 62. Patient 2 returned on or about April 1, 2016, August 28, 2016, and September 29,
15 2016. He continued to complain of pain and Respondent continued his medications, including his
16 prescription for citalopram, 12 refills, which Respondent renewed at the August 28, 2016, visit.

17 63. On or about August 10, 2017, Patient 2 presented to Respondent complaining of
18 abdominal pain and cramping and recent bowel obstruction. Respondent prescribed Patient 2,
19 Norco 60 tablets, at that visit.

20 64. On or about May 18, 2018, Patient 2 returned to Respondent continuing to complain
21 of back and neck pain and reporting being a passenger in a recent car accident. Respondent
22 performed a neurological examination and prescribed Patient 2, Norco, 90 tablets. Respondent
23 did not document checking Patient 2's CURES Report prior to prescribing the Norco.

24 65. At no point during his care and treatment did Respondent enter into a written pain
25 contract with Patient 2 or document conducting any urine drug screening to ensure compliance
26 with his medication regimen.

27 66. The standard of care in the medical community requires that when treating a patient
28 with long-term opioids that the physician ensure compliance with the treatment plan. Pain

1 contracts and urine testing are often used to ensure that the patient is only getting opioids from
2 one pharmacy, is not asking for additional refills, is not obtaining the same medication from other
3 prescribers and is actually taking the medication as prescribed, as opposed to diverting it. As of
4 April 2018, a physician is required to check a patient's CURES Report before prescribing
5 controlled substances.

6 67. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he prescribed Patient 2 long-
7 term opioids without requiring him to sign a pain contract, conducting any urine testing or
8 documenting that he checked Patient 2's CURES Report at his May 18, 2018, visit.

9 68. The standard of care in the medical community requires that when a patient presents
10 for a neurological consult and requires treatment with multiple controlled substances that the
11 physician ensure that the diagnoses are correct and that the use of such medications is
12 appropriate. The physician should not rely exclusively on the medical history as reported by the
13 patient, but instead should request records from the patient's prior physicians.

14 69. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he diagnosed Patient 2 with
15 ADHD, chronic pain and anxiety, and treated him with multiple controlled substances, based
16 solely on Patient 2's reporting of his conditions, instead of also requesting his prior medical
17 records.

18 70. The standard of care in the medical community requires that opioids should be
19 minimized or avoided in patients who have suffered a bowel obstruction, as opioids can cause
20 bowel obstruction.

21 71. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he continued to prescribe Patient
22 2 Norco, an opioid, after Patient 2 reported suffering from a bowel obstruction without discussing
23 with Patient 2 reducing or stopping his course of opioids.

24 72. The standard of care in the medical community requires that when a physician
25 recognizes opioid or benzodiazepine dependence in a patient that the physician have a
26 conversation with the patient about the dependency and take steps to address it. Those steps
27 could include trying to wean the patient off the medication, replacing the medication with an
28 alternative, or seeking the help of a pain management physician.

1 73. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he diagnosed Patient 2 with
2 opioid and benzodiazepine dependency and failed to discuss with him the need to address the
3 dependencies and the steps available.

4 74. The standard of care in the medical community requires that when managing chronic
5 pain, a physician should attempt to use non-opioid medication when possible, given the known
6 issues with dependence, addiction, and mortality in opioid users. Patients who require opioids
7 should be encouraged to use them over the short-term, as a rescue treatment only.

8 75. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he failed to document
9 recommending other classes of pain medication, besides opioids, to Patient 2.

10 Patient 3

11 76. Patient 3 treated with Respondent from 2015 through 2018. Respondent treated
12 Patient 3 for multiple issues including myofascial pain, anxiety, carpal tunnel syndrome,
13 traumatic brain injury, ADHD, opioid dependency, and erectile dysfunction, as well as several
14 respiratory infections.

15 77. Patient 3 presented to Respondent on or about November 4, 2015, for an initial
16 consultation. Respondent documented that Patient 3 is a "39-[year-old] right hand dominant
17 [male with] progressive pain to lower back, and neck with radiation to legs and hand and
18 numbness with tingling... He was taking Norco 7.5 mg twice daily but felt that "the pain lately
19 has been more intense." Respondent's diagnoses include rule out cervical radiculopathy,
20 myofascial pain, lumbosacral sprain/strain, rule out carpal tunnel syndrome, chronic pain
21 syndrome, rule out lumbar radiculopathy, traumatic brain injury with concussion syndrome, and
22 coccydynia. Respondent prescribed Norco, 10/325 mg, 150 tablets.

23 78. On or about December 9, 2015, Respondent documented that Patient 3's pain "is
24 better" controlled on the higher dose of Norco and that the treatment plan is to continue his
25 medications. Respondent refilled Patient 3's Norco prescription.

26 79. On or about January 27, 2016, Patient 3 reported to Respondent that he was having
27 difficulty focusing at work and "[h]e believe[s] he was told he had ADHD around age 14 but
28 never treated with prescription medication." Respondent prescribed a trial course of Adderall, in

1 addition to refilling Patient 3's Norco prescription.

2 80. On or about February 18, 2016, Patient 3 reported that the Adderall was helping him
3 focus. Respondent continued him on Norco and Adderall.

4 81. Respondent continued Patient 3 on his medications, until May 20, 2016, when he
5 prescribed alprazolam 1 mg BID prn anxiety #60, 3 refills, in addition to Norco and Adderall.

6 82. On or about July 11, 2016, Patient 3 reported that "his anxiety is not fully relieved
7 with alprazolam 1 mg." Respondent increased his alprazolam dosage to 2 mg twice daily as
8 needed for anxiety. Respondent also refilled Patient 3's prescriptions for Norco and Adderall.

9 83. On or about August 17, 2016, Respondent decreased Patient 3's Norco dose from
10 7.5/325 to 5/325, while continuing him on Adderall and alprazolam.

11 84. On or about September 20, 2016, Respondent prescribed Patient 3 citalopram 20 mg
12 daily #30 refills 12, in addition to Norco and Adderall.

13 85. On or about October 18, 2016, Respondent documented that Patient 3 was under
14 stress at work and home and that citalopram seemed to help stabilize his mood. According to
15 Respondent's prescription log, he prescribed Patient 3 Norco, Adderall and sildenafil (generic for
16 Viagra) 20 mg daily prn #30 12 refills.

17 86. On or about November 2, 2016, Patient 3 presented complaining of a low grade fever
18 for the past few days. Respondent prescribed azithromycin (an antibiotic, generic for a Z-Pak) in
19 addition to refilling Patient 3's Norco, Adderall, and alprazolam prescriptions.

20 87. On or about January 23, 2017, Respondent documented that Patient 3 complained of
21 pain in the hands, neck, and lower back that was "better" controlled on hydrocodone (generic for
22 Norco) twice daily. Respondent diagnosed Patient 3 with myofascial pain, anxiety, lumbosacral
23 strain, bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome, chronic pain syndrome, bilateral lumbar radiculopathy,
24 traumatic brain injury with concussion syndrome, coccydynia, ADHD, opioid dependency,
25 depression and erectile dysfunction. Respondent refilled Patient 3's Norco and Adderall and
26 continued him on alprazolam and citalopram.

27 88. Patient 3 returned for follow up visits on March 2, 2017, April 12, 2017, May 11,
28 2017, June 13, 2017, July 24, 2017 and August 29, 2017. Patient 3 reported that the medications

1 were providing relief and at each visit, Respondent continued him on Norco, Adderall and
2 alprazolam.

3 89. On or about October 9, 2017, Patient 3 presented to Respondent requesting sildenafil
4 20 mg (generic for Viagra). Respondent prescribed sildenafil, 20 mg daily prn, #30, 6 refills, in
5 addition to refilling Patient 3's prescriptions for Norco and Adderall.

6 90. On or about November 2, 2017, Patient 3 presented to Respondent with a productive
7 cough and low-grade fever. Respondent prescribed azithromycin and refilled Patient 2's Norco
8 and Adderall prescriptions. Respondent did not perform a chest x-ray.

9 91. On or about February 14, 2018, Patient 3 presented to Respondent complaining about
10 difficulty falling asleep at night due to mental stress and lower back pain at night. Patient 3 had
11 the same complaint at his last visit on January 18, 2018. Respondent prescribed trazadone (a
12 SSRI, antidepressant) and refilled his prescriptions for sildenafil, Norco and Adderall.

13 92. At Patient 3's next visit, on or about March 23, 2018, he reported that the trazadone
14 was helping. Respondent refilled his prescriptions for Norco and Adderall.

15 93. On or about May 24, 2018, Patient 3 presented to Respondent with cough and
16 congestion for two (2) days. Respondent again prescribed azithromycin, in addition to Norco and
17 Adderall. Patient 3's chest was noted to be clear to auscultation. Respondent did not perform a
18 chest x-ray.

19 94. Patient 3 presented to Respondent again on or about July 9, 2018, August 10, 2018
20 and September 14, 2018. At each visit, Respondent refilled Patient 3's medications. At the
21 August 10, 2018 visit, Respondent referred Patient 3 to physical therapy.

22 95. At no point during his care and treatment did Respondent enter into a written pain
23 contract with Patient 3 or document conducting any urine drug screening to ensure compliance
24 with his medication regimen.

25 96. Respondent departed from the standard of care in his care and treatment of Patient 3
26 when he prescribed Patient 3 long-term opioids without requiring him to sign a pain contract,
27 conducting any urine testing or documenting that he checked Patient 3's CURES Report at the
28 May 24, 2018, July 9, 2018, August 10, 2018 and September 14, 2018, visits.

1 97. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he diagnosed Patient 3 with
2 ADHD, chronic pain, anxiety, and traumatic brain injury, among other diagnoses, and treated him
3 with multiple controlled substances, based solely on Patient 3's reporting of his conditions,
4 instead of also requesting his prior medical records.

5 98. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he failed to document
6 recommending other classes of pain medication, besides opioids, to Patient 3.

7 99. The standard of care in the medical community requires that a diagnosis of erectile
8 dysfunction be based on a careful history and physical examination, as well as blood work when
9 indicated.

10 100. Respondent departed from the standard of care when, instead of referring Patient 3 to
11 his primary care physician for treatment for erectile dysfunction, he prescribed Patient 3 sildenafil
12 without any clear physical examination or work-up of the sexual dysfunction.

13 101. The standard of care in the medical community requires a proper work up of a
14 respiratory infection prior to prescribing antibiotics. Either referral should be made to the
15 patient's primary care physician or, if pneumonia is considered, chest x-rays should be obtained.

16 102. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he repeatedly prescribed Patient
17 3 azithromycin for respiratory infections without referring him to his primary care physician for
18 treatment or obtaining a chest x-ray.

19 Patient 4

20 103. Patient 4 treated with Respondent from 2017 through 2018 for multiple problems,
21 including but not limited to restless leg syndrome, insomnia, chronic pain, ADHD, and
22 narcolepsy. Throughout the course of her treatment with Respondent, he prescribed her
23 clonazepam (generic for Klonopin, a Schedule IV benzodiazepine), Norco, dextroamphetamine (a
24 Schedule II central nervous system stimulant), methadone (a Schedule II opiate agonist), and
25 Keppra (an anticonvulsant).

26 104. On or about November 14, 2017, Respondent documented Patient 4, as a 59-year-old
27 female, with a "past medical history significant for chronic fatigue syndrome from Epstein-Barr
28 and CMV virus infection for more than 20 years, history of narcolepsy, paresthesias... Restless

1 leg syndrome and headaches." She reported having an MRI done four (4) years prior, which
2 revealed six (6) herniated discs. She reported being evaluated by neurosurgeon who
3 recommended surgery, but she refused. At that visit, Respondent diagnosed her with narcolepsy,
4 restless leg syndrome, cervical radiculopathy, myoclonic epilepsy, lumbar radiculopathy, oral
5 infection, chronic migraine without aura, chronic pain syndrome and obesity. Respondent's plan
6 of care included prescriptions for clonazepam; levofloxacin (an antibiotic), hydrocodone 10/325
7 mg, TID prn, #90, no refills (Norco), methylprednisolone (a steroid), dextroamphetamine-
8 amphetamine, methadone, Relpax (for migraines) and cyclobenzaprine (a muscle relaxant).

9 105. On or about December 12, 2017, Respondent documented that Patient 4's restless leg
10 syndrome responded to methadone 10 mg in the past. Respondent prescribed Norco,
11 leveteracetam (for myoculus), methylprednisolone, clonazepam, levofloxacin, Relpax,
12 dextroamphetamine-amphetamine, methadone, and cyclobenzaprine.

13 106. On or about January 23, 2018, Respondent documented that Patient 4's movement
14 disorder had gotten worse and that pain had increased in her left arm since her last visit.
15 Respondent refilled her medications, including Norco, and discussed adverse effects.

16 107. At Patient 4's next visit, on or about February 19, 2018, her condition was stable and
17 Respondent refilled her medications, including Norco.

18 108. At Patient 4's April 5, 2018, May 24, 2018, and June 26, 2018, office visits, she
19 continued to complain of chronic pain. Respondent continued to refill her medications, including
20 Norco. At the May 24, 2018 visit, Respondent documented discussing an MRI of the cervical and
21 lumbar spine with Patient 4.

22 109. Patient 4 underwent an MRI, and on or about July 24, 2018, Respondent reviewed the
23 results with her. Respondent documented that the MRI showed mild neuroforaminal narrowing
24 and mild narrowing of the central canal at multiple levels. Disc bulges were noted on the MRI of
25 the thoracic spine and the lumbar spine MRI showed mild narrowing of the central canal at L3-4
26 and mild narrowing of the neuroforaminal at L5-S1. Patient 4 had approval to see a
27 neurosurgeon. Respondent refilled her medications, including Norco.

28 ///

1 110. On or about August 23, 2018, Patient 4 presented to Respondent. She had not
2 consulted with a neurosurgeon. Respondent told her that "her pain intensity appears to be more
3 exaggerated than results found on the MRI." He informed her that he was going to call her
4 primary doctor to go over her care plan and possibly refer her to a pain management specialist.
5 Respondent refilled her medications, including Norco.

6 111. On or about September 20, 2018, and after she had still not seen a neurosurgeon,
7 Respondent informed Patient 4 that he would no longer prescribe her controlled substances as he
8 is not a pain management specialist. At that visit, he prescribed her methadone, clonazepam,
9 Norco, dextroamphetamine and methadone, among other medications.

10 112. At no point during her care and treatment did Respondent enter into a written pain
11 contract with Patient 4 or conduct any urine drug screening to ensure compliance with her
12 medication regimen.

13 113. Respondent departed from the standard of care in his care and treatment of Patient 4
14 when he prescribed Patient 4 long-term opioids without requiring her to sign a pain contract,
15 conducting any urine testing or documenting that he checked Patient 4's CURES Report at the
16 May 24, 2018, June 26, 2018, July 24, 2018, August 23, 2018 and September 20, 2018, visits.

17 114. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he diagnosed Patient 4 with
18 restless leg syndrome, insomnia, chronic pain, ADHD, and narcolepsy, among other diagnoses,
19 and treated her with multiple controlled substances, based solely on Patient 4's reporting of her
20 conditions, instead of also requesting her prior medical records.

21 115. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he failed to document
22 recommending other classes of pain medication, besides opioids, to Patient 4.

23 **Patient 5**

24 116. Respondent treated Patient 5 from 2011 through 2018.² Throughout her care and
25 treatment, Patient 5 complained of chronic neck, back and shoulder pain. For years, Respondent
26 prescribed her medications, including Norco and Soma (generic for carisoprodol, a Schedule IV,

27 _____
28 ² Conduct occurring more than seven (7) years from the filing date of the Accusation is for informational
purposes only and is not alleged as a basis for disciplinary action.

1 muscle relaxant), on an almost monthly basis.

2 117. Patient 5 initially consulted with Respondent on or about December 12, 2011. He
3 documented that she was a 62-year-old female with a long-term history of lower back pain, neck
4 pain and bilateral shoulder pain. Respondent's diagnoses included scoliosis, myofascial pain,
5 degenerative joint disease, degenerative disc disease and spinal stenosis. Respondent's treatment
6 plan included starting Patient 5 on Norco, 7.5/325 mg, 150 tablets. Physical therapy was
7 considered.

8 118. Throughout 2012 and 2013, Respondent refilled Patient 5's prescription for Norco,
9 7.5/325 mg, 150 tablets, on an almost monthly basis.

10 119. An EMG Report from May 6, 2014, indicated subacute L5-S1 radiculopathy without
11 neuropathy.

12 120. Throughout 2014 and 2015, Respondent continued to refill Patient 5's prescription for
13 Norco, 7.5/325 mg, 150 tablets, on an almost monthly basis.

14 121. On or about January 7, 2016, Respondent documented that Patient 5 reported that her
15 "pain to neck, shoulders and lower back is relieved with Hydrocodone 7.5 mg, and Aleve
16 combination." Respondent's plan was to continue her medications. Respondent entered similar
17 progress notes on or about February 8, 2016, March 8, 2016, April 9, 2016, May 14, 2016, June
18 21, 2016, August 25, 2016, September 20, 2016, October 28, 2016, November 28, 2016 and
19 December 27, 2016. On each of those dates, according to Respondent's prescription log,
20 Respondent also refilled Patient 5's prescription for Norco, 7.5/325 mg, 150 tablets.

21 122. At Patient 5's June 21, 2016 visit, Respondent also added a prescription for Soma,
22 one tab daily prn back spasms #30 3 refills, to her medication regimen. He refilled this
23 prescription on or about October 28, 2016, again with three (3) refills, according to his
24 prescription log.

25 123. On or about January 9, 2017, February 10, 2017, March 13, 2017, April 13, 2017,
26 May 11, 2017, June 10, 2017, July 14, 2017, August 14, 2017, September 14, 2017, October 9,
27 2017, November 14, 2017, and December 20, 2017, Respondent treated Patient 5 and, according
28 to his prescription log, prescribed Norco, 7.5/325 mg, 150 tablets.

1 124. At Patient 5's March 13, 2017, July 14, 2017, and November 14, 2017, visits,
2 Respondent also refilled Patient 5's prescription for Soma, with three (3) refills.

3 125. On or about January 11, 2018, Patient 5 presented to Respondent complaining of
4 increased pain intensity due to cold weather, and fatigue and sadness around the holidays.
5 Respondent diagnosed her with scoliosis, myofascial pain, lumbosacral sprain, chronic pain
6 syndrome, rule out lumbar radiculopathy, traumatic brain injury with concussion, coccydynia,
7 degenerative joint disease, degenerative disc disease, spinal stenosis, hypertension,
8 hypothyroidism, chronic L5-S1 radiculopathy, opioid dependency, moderate bilateral carpal
9 tunnel syndrome, obesity, lumbar radiculitis, spasm, rule out peripheral vascular disease.
10 Respondent's treatment plan included increasing her Norco, from 7.5/325, 150 tablets, to 10/325,
11 180 tablets, stretching exercises, atenolol (a beta blocker), wrist braces and Aleve for carpal
12 tunnel pain and lower back inflammation; continuing Soma, and Trental ER (an anti-
13 inflammatory and vasodilator).

14 126. According to his prescription log, Respondent refilled Patient 5's Norco, 10/325 mg,
15 180 tablets, on February 24, 2018, April 2, 2018, May 7, 2018, June 4, 2018, July 11, 2018,
16 August 16, 2018, and September 13, 2018.

17 127. At Patient 5's April 2, 2018, and August 16, 2018 visits, Respondent also refilled
18 Patient 5's prescription for Soma, with three (3) refills.

19 128. At Patient 5's October 12, 2018, visit, Respondent documented that he discussed with
20 Patient 5 the need for her to see a pain specialist or spine surgeon. Respondent informed her that
21 he would no longer be able to continue prescribing her Norco and Soma. Respondent refilled her
22 Trental and atenolol.

23 129. At no point during her care and treatment did Respondent enter into a written pain
24 contract with Patient 5 or document conducting any urine drug screening to ensure compliance
25 with her medication regimen.

26 130. When interviewed by the Board about his care and treatment of Patient 5, Respondent
27 stated that he believes she became opioid dependent while under his care. Accordingly,
28 Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 5 - specifically, the manner in which he prescribed

1 her opiates - caused her harm.

2 131. Respondent departed from the standard of care in his care and treatment of Patient 5
3 when he prescribed Patient 5 long-term opioids without requiring her to sign a pain contract,
4 conducting any urine testing or documenting that he checked Patient 5's CURES Report at the
5 May 7, 2018, June 4, 2018, July 11, 2018, August 16, 2018, and September 13, 2018, visits.

6 132. Respondent departed from the standard of care when he diagnosed Patient 5 with
7 scoliosis, myofascial pain, lumbosacral sprain, chronic pain syndrome, traumatic brain injury with
8 concussion, degenerative joint disease, degenerative disc disease, and spinal stenosis, among
9 other diagnoses, and treated her with multiple controlled substances, based solely on Patient 5's
10 reporting of her conditions, instead of also requesting her prior medical records.

11 133. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 50 through 132,
12 inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute
13 repeated negligent acts pursuant to section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code. As such, cause for
14 discipline exists.

15 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16 **(Prescribing Dangerous Drugs Without Appropriate Examination or Medical Indication)**

17 134. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242, subdivision (a), of
18 the Code, in that Respondent prescribed dangerous drugs to Patients 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, without
19 appropriate prior examination and/or medical indication. The circumstances are as follows:

20 135. The allegations contained in First and Third Causes for Discipline herein are
21 incorporated by reference as if fully set forth, and represent the prescribing of dangerous drugs
22 without an appropriate prior examination and/or medical indication in violation of Code section
23 2242, subdivision (a). As such, cause for discipline exists.

24 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Excessive Prescribing)**

26 136. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code, in that
27 Respondent excessively prescribed narcotic medications to Patients 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. The
28 circumstances are as follows:

1 137. The allegations contained in the First and Third Causes for Discipline herein are
2 incorporated by reference as if fully set forth, and represent the excessive prescribing of narcotics
3 in violation of Code section 725. As such, cause for discipline exists.

4 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

5 **(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)**

6 138. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, in that he
7 failed to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to Patients 1,
8 2, 3, 4, and 5. The circumstances are as follows:

9 139. The allegations contained in the First and Third Causes for Discipline herein are
10 incorporated by reference as if fully set forth, and represent the failure to maintain adequate and
11 accurate records in violation of Code 2266. As such, cause for discipline exists.

12 **PRAYER**

13 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
14 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

15 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 85762,
16 issued to Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D.;

17 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D.'s
18 authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

19 3. Ordering Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Board
20 the costs of probation monitoring;

21 4. Ordering Robert Michael Hutchman, M.D. to provide the disclosure required by
22 section 2228.1 of the Code; and

23 5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

24 DATED: Jan. 7, 2002

25 
26 For: WILLIAM PRASIFKA REJI VARGHESE
27 Executive Director DEPUTY DIRECTOR
28 Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant