

**BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D.

**Physician's and Surgeon's
Certificate No. G 37452**

Respondent.

Case No. 800-2021-078600

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 6, 2025.

IT IS SO ORDERED September 25, 2025.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA



**Reji Varghese
Executive Director**

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 MATTHEW FLEMING
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 277992
1300 I Street, Suite 125
5 Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 210-7820
6 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247
E-mail: Matthew.Fleming@doj.ca.gov
7 Attorneys for Complainant

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

13 **THEODORE EDMUND STAAHL, M.D.**
14 **1329 Spanos Court, Ste. A-1**
15 **Modesto, CA 95355**

16 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
17 **No. G 37452**

18 Respondent.

Case No. 800-2021-078600

OAH No. 2024030842

STIPULATED SURRENDER OF
LICENSE AND ORDER

19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of
23 California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this
24 matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Matthew Fleming, Deputy
25 Attorney General.

26 2. THEODORE EDMUND STAAHL, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this
27 proceeding by attorney John T. Maxwell, Esq., whose address is: 11755 Wilshire Blvd. 15th
28 Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90025.

3. On or about July 19, 1978, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 37452 to Respondent. That license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2021-078600 and will expire on December 31, 2027, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 800-2021-078600 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on August 25, 2023. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2021-078600 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2021-078600. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.

6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2021-078600, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, No. G 37452.

111

9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate without further process. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 37452 is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

11. Business and Professions Code section 2224, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the Medical Board “shall delegate to its executive director the authority to adopt a ... stipulation for surrender of a license.”

12. Respondent understands that, by signing this stipulation, he enables the Executive Director of the Board to issue an order, on behalf of the Board, accepting the surrender of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 37452 without further notice to, or opportunity to be heard by, Respondent.

13. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to the approval of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Executive Director for his consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Executive Director shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, Respondent fully understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Executive Director, on behalf of the Medical Board, considers and acts upon it.

14. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the

1 Executive Director on behalf of the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full
2 force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to
3 approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, the Executive
4 Director and/or the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the
5 Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the
6 Executive Director, the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future
7 participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the
8 Executive Director on behalf of the Board does not, in his discretion, approve and adopt this
9 Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it
10 shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied
11 upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees
12 that should this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order be rejected for any reason
13 by the Executive Director on behalf of the Board, Respondent will assert no claim that the
14 Executive Director, the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review,
15 discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order or
16 of any matter or matters related hereto.

17 **ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS**

18 15. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties
19 herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of
20 the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.

21 16. The parties agree that copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary
22 Order, including copies of the signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents
23 and signatures and, further, that such copies shall have the same force and effect as originals.

24 17. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree the
25 Executive Director of the Board may, without further notice to or opportunity to be heard by
26 Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order on behalf of the Board:

27 ///

28 ///

1 **ORDER**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 37452, issued
3 to Respondent THEODORE EDMUND STAAHL, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the
4 Board effective October 6, 2025.

5 1. The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and the
6 acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline
7 against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part
8 of Respondent's license history with the Board.

9 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a physician and surgeon in
10 California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

11 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was
12 issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

13 4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in
14 the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must
15 comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked or
16 surrendered license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations
17 contained in Accusation No. 800-2021-078600 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted
18 by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.

19 5. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or
20 petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of
21 California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2021-078600 shall
22 be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of
23 Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

24 6. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the
25 amount of \$56,087.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

26 **ACCEPTANCE**

27 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully
28 discussed it with my attorney John T. Maxwell, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it


1 will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of
2 License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the
3 Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

4
5 DATED: 8/14/2025


THEODORE EDMUND STAAHL, M.D.
Respondent


7
8 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent THEODORE EDMUND STAAHL, M.D.
9 the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and
10 Order. I approve its form and content.

11
12 DATED: August 15, 2025


JOHN T. MAXWELL, ESQ.
Attorney for Respondent

14 **ENDORSEMENT**

15 The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted
16 for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

17 DATED: Matthew Fleming
18 
Fleming
Date: 2025.08.18 15:26:54
-07'00'

Respectfully submitted,

19 ROB BONTA
20 Attorney General of California
21 MICHAEL C. BRUMMEL
22 Supervising Deputy Attorney General

23 MATTHEW FLEMING
24 Deputy Attorney General
25 Attorneys for Complainant

26
27
28
FR2022300901
39182500.docx

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 STEVE DIEHL
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 LYNETTE D. HECKER
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 182198
California Department of Justice
5 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090
Fresno, CA 93721
6 Telephone: (559) 705-2320
Facsimile: (559) 445-5106
7 Email: Lynette.Hecker@doj.ca.gov

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9
10 **BEFORE THE**
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
12 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

13 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 800-2021-078600

14 **Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D.**
15 **1329 Spanos Court, Ste. A-1**
Modesto, CA 95355

A C C U S A T I O N

16 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
17 **No. G 37452,**

18 Respondent.

19
20 Complainant alleges:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as
23 the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs
24 (Medical Board).

25 2. On or about July 19, 1978, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's
26 Certificate Number G 37452 to Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's
27 and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
28 herein and will expire on December 31, 2023, unless renewed.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

4. Section 2227 of the Code states:

(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.

(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.

(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(..)

2

1 (...)

2 (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is
3 substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and
4 surgeon.

4 (...)

5 6. Section 651 states in pertinent part:

6 (a) It is unlawful for any person licensed under this division or under any
7 initiative act referred to in this division to disseminate or cause to be disseminated
8 any form of public communication containing a false, fraudulent, misleading, or
9 deceptive statement, claim, or image for the purpose of or likely to induce, directly or
10 indirectly, the rendering of professional services or furnishing of products in
11 connection with the professional practice or business for which he or she is licensed.
12 A "public communication" as used in this section includes, but is not limited to,
13 communication by means of mail, television, radio, motion picture, newspaper, book,
14 list or directory of healing arts practitioners, Internet, or other electronic
15 communication.

16 (b) A false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive statement, claim, or image
17 includes a statement or claim that does any of the following:

18 (1) Contains a misrepresentation of fact.

19 (2) Is likely to mislead or deceive because of a failure to disclose material
20 facts.

21 (...)

22 (5) Contains other representations or implications that in reasonable
23 probability will cause an ordinarily prudent person to misunderstand or be
24 deceived.

25 (6) Makes a claim either of professional superiority or of performing
26 services in a superior manner, unless that claim is relevant to the service
27 being performed and can be substantiated with objective scientific evidence.

28 (...)

(g) Any violation of this section by a person so licensed shall constitute good
cause for revocation or suspension of his or her license or other disciplinary action.

(...)

(j) The Attorney General shall commence legal proceedings in the appropriate
forum to enjoin advertisements disseminated or about to be disseminated in violation
of this section and seek other appropriate relief to enforce this section.
Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the costs of enforcing this section to the
respective licensing boards or committees may be awarded against any licensee found
to be in violation of any provision of this section. This shall not diminish the power
of district attorneys, county counsels, or city attorneys pursuant to existing law to
seek appropriate relief.

1 (k) A physician and surgeon or doctor licensed pursuant to Chapter 5
2 (commencing with Section 2000) by the Medical Board of California or a doctor of
3 podiatric medicine licensed pursuant to Article 22 (commencing with Section 2460)
4 of Chapter 5 by the California Board of Podiatric Medicine who knowingly and
5 intentionally violates this section may be cited and assessed an administrative fine not
6 to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per event. Section 125.9 shall govern the
7 issuance of this citation and fine except that the fine limitations prescribed in
8 paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 125.9 shall not apply to a fine under this
9 subdivision.

6 COST RECOVERY

7 7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Medical Board may
8 request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or
9 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
10 and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not
11 being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs
12 may be included in a stipulated settlement.

13 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

14 8. The patient ¹ first consulted with Respondent, on or about May 11, 2015, ² regarding
15 cosmetic surgery services to improve her abdomen and nose. After losing over 100 pounds
16 following gastric bypass surgery, the patient returned to see Respondent, on or about July 19,
17 2019, expressing interest in cosmetic surgery for her nose (to remove a dorsal hump and shorten
18 it) and placement of breast implants.

19 Breast Augmentation

20 9. On or about June 16, 2020, Respondent performed transaxillary subfascial breast
21 augmentation³ on the patient. She developed a capsular contracture⁴ of the right breast implant
22 soon thereafter.
23

24 ¹ The patient's name is redacted to protect their privacy.

25 ² Circumstances that are outside the statute of limitations are described for background
26 purposes only.

26 ³ This procedure places an implant under the fascia, which is the overlying soft tissue of
27 the pectoralis muscle, via an incision made at the normal crease in each underarm/armpit.

27 ⁴ Capsular Contracture is a tightening of the scar tissue that forms around a breast implant.
28 The scar tissue is normal and is known as the "capsule," and the tightening is referred as
"contracture."

10. The patient subsequently signed a consent form for Respondent to perform a capsulectomy⁵ to release the contracture of the patient's right breast implant.

11. On or about December 17, 2020, Respondent performed a capsulotomy⁶ on the patient, which was not listed on the consent form which the patient signed. Thereafter, the patient experienced malposition of the implant, recurrent contracture, and discomfort.

Rhinoplasty⁷

12. On or about August 20, 2020, Respondent performed a closed rhinoplasty⁸ on the patient. In that procedure, Respondent removed the patient's dorsal hump, shortened the caudal septum, and repositioned the nasal tip more superiorly. Post-operatively, the patient complained of difficulties breathing and asymmetry of the distal nose,⁹ particularly depression of the right ala¹⁰ and nostril asymmetry.

13. The patient signed a consent form for Respondent to perform a “septo-plasty”¹¹ to correct the complications following the patient’s closed rhinoplasty.

14. On or about February 23, 2021, Respondent performed nasal revision surgery on the patient using an open rhinoplasty¹² approach. A septoplasty was not performed.

15. On or about June 15, 2021, the patient returned to Respondent regarding continued difficulty breathing through her nose which caused "panic attacks" and discomfort.

///

⁵ Capsulectomy is a technique performed during breast implant removal or breast revision surgery to remove the fibrous scar tissue that forms around the implant by removing the entire capsule.

⁶ Capsulotomy is a procedure in which only part of the capsule of scar tissue surrounding a breast implant is removed.

⁷ Rhinoplasty is surgery that changes the shape of the nose, to change the appearance of the nose, improve breathing or both. The upper part of the structure of the nose is bone. The lower part is cartilage. Rhinoplasty can change bone, cartilage, skin or all three.

⁸ Closed rhinoplasty is also called endo-nasal rhinoplasty, and any incisions and reshaping are performed from within the nostrils. This method is generally used when the patient desires minor corrections and reshaping of the nasal tip.

⁹ The distal nose is the part of the nose furthest away from where the nose connects to the face.

¹⁰ The ala is the muscles on the outer side of the nostril.

¹¹ Septoplasty is the surgical correction of defects and deformities of the nasal septum (the partition between the nostrils). The septum is a structure made of bone and cartilage in the central portion of the nose that separates one nasal cavity from another.

¹² Open rhinoplasty is performed from outside of the nose, with a small incision placed on the fleshy column between the nostrils.

1 Offer of Corrective Surgeries for Withdrawal of Complaint

2 16. On or about June 6, 2021, the patient filed the complaint with the Medical Board
3 which led to the disciplinary charges herein.

4 17. Subsequent thereto, Respondent offered the patient corrective surgeries in exchange
5 for the patient withdrawing her complaint with the Medical Board.

6 18. On or about January 14, 2022, Respondent contacted the Medical Board investigator
7 to inquire how his offer to correct the patient's surgeries would affect this case.

8 19. Subsequent thereto, Respondent refused to treat the patient because of the complaint
9 she filed with the Medical Board which led to the disciplinary charges herein.

10 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

11 **(Repeated Negligent Acts)**

12 20. Respondent, Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under
13 section 2234, subdivision (c), in that Respondent committed two or more negligent acts in the
14 care and treatment of the patient. The circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 8 through 19,
15 which are incorporated here by reference as if fully set forth. Additional circumstances are as
16 follows:

17 21. It is the standard of care to treat capsular contracture around a breast implant by
18 performing a capsulectomy, in which the potentially contaminated breast implant is completely
19 replaced. Respondent's failure to perform a capsulectomy, removing the breast implant during
20 the revisional surgery and replace the patient's right breast implant with a new implant on or
21 about December 17, 2020, placed her at the highest risk of recurrence and constitutes negligence.
22 Respondent's promulgation of closed capsulotomy for the revisional surgery to treat the patient's
23 capsular contracture constitutes negligence.

24 22. It is the standard of care to correctly document the surgical procedure to be performed
25 on the patient's Surgical Consent form. The surgery identified on the patient's consent form was
26 a capsulectomy, while the procedure performed was a capsulotomy. Respondent's failure to
27 ensure the proper procedure was identified on the patient's consent form constitutes negligence.

28 ///

1 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Dissemination of False or Misleading Information/Advertising)**

3 23. Respondent, Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under
4 section 651, subdivisions (a), (b)(1)-(2) & (5)-(6), and under section 2234, subdivision (e), in that
5 Respondent held himself out as a member of a non-existent medical society which is neither
6 certified by the ABMS, nor accepted as an equivalent by the Medical Board. The circumstances
7 are as follows:

8 24. Respondent's public-facing website contains the following statements:

9 Dr. Theodore Staahl is a graduate of the University of Illinois medical school, and
10 completed his residency at the University of Wisconsin. He is board certified by the
11 American Academy of Facial, Plastic, and Reconstructive Surgeons and the
12 American Board of Oto-Head [sic] and Neck Surgeons. Dr. Staahl is also a member
of the American Society of Cosmetic Surgeons.

13 25. Respondent is certified by the American Academy of Facial, Plastic, and
14 Reconstructive Surgeons and the American Board of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgeons.
15 They are, respectively, an American Board of Medical Specialties member and recognized as an
16 equivalent by the Medical Board. However, "the American Society of Cosmetic Surgeons" is
17 neither, nor does any such society even exist. Respondent's statement on his publicly available
18 website, immediately following the statements of certifications he holds from valid and accepted
19 boards, implies that it bears the same level of prowess, scrutiny, training, and expertise as his
20 valid certifications. As such, Respondent's statement regarding his membership in the American
21 Society of Cosmetic Surgeons¹³ is false and/or misleading.

22 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Unprofessional Conduct)**

24 26. Respondent, Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under
25 section 2234, subdivision (a), in that Respondent committed unprofessional conduct by

26 ¹³ Respondent may have intended to identify membership in the American Board of
27 Cosmetic Surgery (ABCS), the American Society of Plastic Surgeons (ASPS), or the American
28 Academy of Cosmetic Surgery (AACS). However, none of these groups are ABMS members,
nor are any of them recognized as equivalents by the Medical Board. Further, Respondent is not
even listed as a member of the AACS on their website. Thus, any statement of membership in
any of these groups intended by Respondent would similarly be false and/or misleading.

1 attempting to have the patient withdraw the complaint she filed with the Medical Board that
2 initiated this case. The circumstances are detailed in paragraphs 16 through 19 and 23 through 25
3 above, which are incorporated here by reference as if fully set forth.

4 DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

5 27. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Theodore
6 Edmund Staahl, M.D., Complainant alleges the following:

- 7 a) On or about October 10, 2014, in a prior disciplinary action titled *In the Matter of the*
8 *Accusation Against Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D.* before the Medical Board of
9 California, in Case Number 02-2010-06730, Respondent's license was publicly
10 reprimanded for failure to maintain records relating to informed consent. That
11 decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 12 b) On or about August 23, 2018, in a prior disciplinary action titled *In the Matter of the*
13 *Accusation Against Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D.* before the Medical Board of
14 California, in Case Number 800-2016-020529, Respondent's license was revoked,
15 with said revocation stayed for a three-year probation term, for gross negligence,
16 repeated negligence, failure to maintain adequate and accurate medical records, and
17 unprofessional conduct for misdiagnosis of melanoma which resulted in delay of
18 treatment. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set
19 forth herein.

20 PRAYER

21 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
22 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

23 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 37452,
24 issued to Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D.;

25 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D.'s
26 authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

27 ///

28 ///

1 3. Ordering Theodore Edmund Staahl, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the
2 investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation
3 monitoring; and

4 5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
5

6 DATED: AUG 25 2023

JENNA JONES FOR
REJI VARGHESE
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

11 FR2022300901
12 37027312.docx
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28