

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation
Against:

Romeo N. Rodriguez, M.D.

Case No. 800-2022-086118

Physician's and Surgeon's
Certificate No. A 31849

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Default Decision and Order is hereby adopted as the
Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of
Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2025.

IT IS SO ORDERED May 29, 2025.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA


for
Reji Varghese
Executive Director

1 ROB BONTA
2 Attorney General of California
3 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
4 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
5 KAROLYN M. WESTFALL
6 Deputy Attorney General
7 State Bar No. 234540
8 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
9 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9465
Facsimile: (916) 732-7920
E-mail: Karolyn.Westfall@doj.ca.gov

9 *Attorneys for Complainant*

10
11 **BEFORE THE**
12 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
14 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

15 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

16 Case No. 800-2022-086118

17 **ROMEO N. RODRIGUEZ, M.D.**
18 **29151 Willowwood Ln.**
19 **Highland, CA 92346-5403**

20 **DEFAULT DECISION**
21 **AND ORDER**

22 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.**
23 **A 31849**

24 [Gov. Code, §11520]

25 Respondent.

26 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

27 1. On or about April 4, 2025, Complainant Reji Varghese, in his official capacity as the
28 Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
Accusation No. 800-2022-086118 against Romeo N. Rodriguez, M.D. (Respondent) before the
Medical Board of California.

1. On or about December 9, 1977, the Medical Board of California (Board) issued
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 31849 to Respondent. The Physician's and
Surgeon's Certificate expired on May 31, 2023, and has not been renewed. A true and

2. *///*

1 correct copy of the Certificate of Licensure is attached as Exhibit A to the accompanying Default
2 Decision Evidence Packet.¹

3 3. On or about October 14, 2022, pursuant to a stipulation, an Interim Suspension Order
4 was issued by the Office of Administrative Hearings, immediately suspending Physician's and
5 Surgeon's Certificate No. A 31849, and prohibiting Respondent from practicing medicine in the
6 State of California. As a result, Respondent remains suspended from the practice of medicine
7 pending the issuance of a final decision on the Accusation. (Exhibit B, Interim Suspension
8 Order.)

9 4. On or about April 4, 2025, Samuel Guardado, an employee of the Board, served by
10 Certified Mail a copy of Accusation No. 800-2022-086118; Statement to Respondent; Notice of
11 Defense; Request for Discovery; and Discovery Statutes to Respondent's address of record with
12 the Board, which was and is: 29151 Willowwood Ln., Highland, CA 92346-5403. (Exhibit C,
13 Accusation, related documents, and declaration of service.)

14 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
15 Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

16 6. On or about March 25, 2025, Deputy Attorney General Karolyn M. Westfall (DAG
17 Westfall) received a call from Respondent's attorney, Gregory H. Kassel, Esq. Mr. Kassel
18 acknowledged Respondent's receipt of the Accusation and indicated that Respondent would not
19 be filing a Notice of Defense, and that he has no objection to the Board proceeding by default.
20 (Exhibit D, Declaration of DAG Westfall, ¶ 8.)

21 7. On or about April 21, 2025, having not yet received the Notice of Defense, Deputy
22 Attorney General Karolyn M. Westfall prepared a Courtesy Notice of Default, informing
23 Respondent that his failure to file a Notice of Defense within 14 calendar days of the notice
24 would result in the Board proceeding with a Default Decision against his license. The Courtesy
25 Notice of Default along with the Accusation, the related documents, and declaration of service
26
27 ///

27 ¹ All exhibits are true and correct copies of the originals and are attached to the
28 accompanying Default Decision Evidence Packet. The Default Decision Evidence Packet is
hereby incorporated by reference, in its entirety, as if fully set forth herein.

1 was mailed by regular mail to Respondent's attorney, Mr. Kassel, and to Respondent at his
2 address of record with the Board. (Exhibit D ¶ 9.)

3 8. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

4 (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
5 files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts
6 of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
7 constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion
8 may nevertheless grant a hearing.

9 9. To date, Respondent has not filed a Notice of Defense. (Exhibit D, ¶ 10.)

10 10. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him
11 of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.
12 800-2022-086118.

13 11. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

14 (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
15 hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
16 or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to
17 respondent.

18 12. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
19 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on
20 Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in
21 Exhibits A, B, C, and D, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 800-2022-086118 are true
22 and correct.

23 13. Section 118 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

24 ...

25 (b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license
26 issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by
27 order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written
28 consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed,
restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or
continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by
law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking
disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

29 (c) As used in this section, "board" includes an individual who is authorized by
any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and "license"
includes "certificate," "registration," and "permit."

14. Section 2427 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

2 (a) Except as provided in Section 2429, a license which has expired may be
3 renewed at any time within five years after its expiration on filing an application for
4 renewal on a form prescribed by the licensing authority and payment of all accrued
renewal fees and any other fees required by Section 2424...

5 15. Section 822 of the Code states:

6 If a licensing agency determines that its licentiate's ability to practice his or her
7 profession safely is impaired because the licentiate is mentally ill, or physically ill
8 affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by any one of the
following methods:

9 (a) Revoking the licentiate's certificate or license.

10 (b) Suspending the licentiate's right to practice.

11 (c) Placing the licentiate on probation.

12 (d) Taking such other action in relation to the licentiate as the licensing agency
in its discretion deems proper.

13 The licensing section shall not reinstate a revoked or suspended certificate or license until it
14 has received competent evidence of the absence or control of the condition which caused its
15 action and until it is satisfied that with due regard for the public health and safety the person's
16 right to practice his or her profession may be safely reinstated.

17 16. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 31849 to
18 action under section 822 of the Code, in that his ability to practice medicine safely is impaired
19 due to a mental illness and/or physical illness affecting competency, as more particularly alleged
hereinafter:

21 a) In or around 2022, Respondent was diagnosed with a permanent medical disorder
22 affecting his cognitive ability. (Exhibit D, ¶ 7.)

23 b) On or about October 4, 2022, Respondent admitted that he is unable to practice
24 medicine safely due to a mental or physical condition. (Exhibit B.)

25 c) In or around 2024, Respondent's treating physicians determined that he cannot
26 comprehend or make decisions for himself and needs assistance with activities of
27 daily living. (Exhibit D, ¶ 7.)

28 ///

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

2 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Romeo N. Rodriguez, M.D., has
3 subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 31849 to action.

4 2. A copy of the Accusation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are
5 attached as Exhibit B in the accompanying Default Decision Evidence Packet.

6 3. The Board has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

7 4. The Medical Board of California is authorized to revoke Respondent's Physician's
8 and Surgeon's Certificate because Respondent's ability to practice medicine safely is impaired
9 due to a mental illness and/or physical illness affecting competency.

ORDER

11 IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 31849, heretofore
12 issued to Respondent Romeo N. Rodriguez, M.D., is revoked.

13 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
14 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
15 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The Board in its discretion may
16 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

17 This Decision shall become effective on June 9, 2025.

18 || It is so ORDERED May 29, 2025

Miss O'Meara for
REJI VARGHESE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF
CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

SD2022802557
85070536.docx

1 ROB BONTA
2 Attorney General of California
3 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
4 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
5 KAROLYN M. WESTFALL
6 Deputy Attorney General
7 State Bar No. 234540
8 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
9 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9465
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
E-mail: Karolyn.Westfall@doj.ca.gov

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 800-2022-086118

ROMEO N. RODRIGUEZ, M.D.
29151 Willowood Ln.
Highland, CA 92346-5403

ACCUSATION

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.
A 31849

Respondent.

PARTIES

1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as
2 the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs
3 (Board).

2. On or about December 9, 1977, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's
3 Certificate No. A 31849 to Romeo N. Rodriguez, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and
4 Surgeon's Certificate expired on May 31, 2023, and has not been renewed.

27 ///

28 ///

1 3. On or about October 14, 2022, an Interim Suspension Order was issued by the Office
2 of Administrative Hearings, immediately suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.
3 A 31849 and prohibiting Respondent from practicing medicine in the State of California. As a
4 result, Respondent remains suspended from the practice of medicine pending the issuance of a
5 final decision after an administrative hearing on the Accusation.

JURISDICTION

7 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following
8 laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise
9 indicated.

5. Section 2227 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.

15 (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.

18 (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a
19 requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the
board.

20 (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

21

22 6. Section 118 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

23

(c) As used in this section, "board" includes an individual who is authorized by any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and "license" includes "certificate," "registration," and "permit."

7. Section 2427 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

(a) Except as provided in Section 2429, a license which has expired may be renewed at any time within five years after its expiration on filing an application for renewal on a form prescribed by the licensing authority and payment of all accrued renewal fees and any other fees required by Section 2424...

8. Section 822 of the Code states:

If a licensing agency determines that its licensee's ability to practice his or her profession safely is impaired because the licensee is mentally ill, or physically ill affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by any one of the following methods:

(a) Revoking the licentiate's certificate or license.

(b) Suspending the licentiate's right to practice.

(c) Placing the licentiate on probation.

(d) Taking such other action in relation to the licensee as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper.

The licensing section shall not reinstate a revoked or suspended certificate or license until it has received competent evidence of the absence or control of the condition which caused its action and until it is satisfied that with due regard for the public health and safety the person's right to practice his or her profession may be safely reinstated.

CAUSE FOR ACTION

(Mental Illness and/or Physical Illness Affecting Competency)

9. Respondent is subject to action under section 822 of the Code, in that his ability to practice medicine safely is impaired due to a mental illness and/or physical illness affecting competency, as more particularly alleged hereinafter.

10. In or around 2022, Respondent was diagnosed with a permanent medical disorder affecting his cognitive ability.

11. On or about October 4, 2022, Respondent admitted that he is unable to practice medicine safely due to a mental or physical condition.

7 12. In or around 2024, Respondent's treating physicians determined that he cannot
8 comprehend or make decisions for himself and needs assistance with activities of daily living.
9

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

4 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 31849, issued
5 to Respondent, Romeo N. Rodriguez, M.D.;

6 2. Taking action as authorized by section 822 of the Code as the Board, in its discretion,
7 deems necessary and proper;

8 3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Romeo N. Rodriguez,
9 M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

10 4. Ordering Respondent Romeo N. Rodriguez, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay to
11 the Board the costs of probation monitoring; and

12 5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: APR 04 2025

REJI VARGHESE
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SD2022802557
84982759.docx