# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Second Amended Accusation Against:

Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D.

Case No. 800-2020-069571

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051

Respondent.

# DECISION

The attached Stiputlated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on December 31, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED December 4, 2024.

# MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

JENNA JONGI

Reji Varghese Exectutive Director

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General ROSEMARY F. LUZON Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 221544 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9074 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFOR	1
10	MEDICAL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CO	DNSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA
12		
13	In the Matter of the Second Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2020-069571
14	Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D.	OAH No. 2024040234
15	35400 Bob Hope Dr., Unit 202 Rancho Mirage, CA 92270	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
16		
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051,	
18	Respondent.	
19 20	IT IS HEREBY STIPIILATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-
20 21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	
21	PART	
23		xecutive Director of the Medical Board of
24	California (Board). He brought this action solely	
25	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the Sta	
26	Attorney General.	
27	///	
28	111	
		1
	Stimulated Surrander of Licens	se and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 800-2020-069571)

Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 800-2020-069571)

1	2. Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney	
2	Raymond J. McMahon, Esq., whose address is: DOYLE SCHAFER McMAHON, LLP, 5440	
3	Trabuco Road, Irvine, CA 92620.	
4	3. On or about April 21, 2006, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
5	No. A 95051 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and	
6	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2020-	
7	069571 and will expire on September 30, 2025, unless renewed.	
8	JURISDICTION	
9	4. On October 29, 2024, Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2020-069571 was filed	
10	before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Second Amended	
11	Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on	
12	October 29, 2024. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Second	
13	Amended Accusation.	
14	5. A true and copy of Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2020-069571 is attached as	
15	Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.	
16	ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS	
17	6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the	
18	charges and allegations in Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2020-069571. Respondent also	
19	has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated	
20	Surrender of License and Order.	
21	7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a	
22	hearing on the charges and allegations in the Second Amended Accusation; the right to confront	
23	and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his	
24	own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the	
25	production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision;	
26	and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable	
27	laws, having been fully advised of same by his attorney, Raymond J. McMahon, Esq.	
28	111	
	2	

Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 800-2020-069571)

8. Having the benefit of counsel, Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

# **CULPABILITY**

9. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could
 establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations in Second Amended
 Accusation No. 800-2020-069571, and Respondent hereby gives up his rights to contest those
 charges. Respondent further agrees that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's
 Certificate No. A 95051 to disciplinary action. Respondent hereby surrenders his Physician's and
 Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 for the Board's formal acceptance with an agreed upon
 effective date of December 31, 2024.

10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 is
subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in
the Disciplinary Order below.

14 11. Respondent further agrees that if he ever petitions for reinstatement of his Physician's
and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051, or if an accusation or petition to revoke probation is ever
filed against him before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations
contained in Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2020-069571 shall be deemed true, correct,
and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing
proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California or elsewhere.

# **CONTINGENCY**

21 12. Business and Professions Code section 2224, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent
22 part, that the Medical Board "shall delegate to its executive director the authority to adopt a ...
23 stipulation for surrender of a license[.]"

Respondent understands that, by signing this stipulation, he enables the Executive
Director of the Board to issue an order, on behalf of the Board, accepting the surrender of his
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 without further notice to, or opportunity to be
heard by, Respondent.

3

28 || ///

20

1

2

3

Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 800-2020-069571)

This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to the 14. 1 approval of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board. The parties agree that this Stipulated 2 Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Executive Director for his 3 consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Executive Director shall have a 4 reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Surrender of License and 5 Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, Respondent fully understands 6 and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the 7 time the Executive Director, on behalf of the Medical Board, considers and acts upon it. 8

The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order 9 15. shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the 10 Executive Director on behalf of the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full 11 force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not t $\phi$ 12 approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, the Executive 13 Director and/or the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the 14 Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the 15 Executive Director, the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future 16 participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving Respondent. In the event that the 17 Executive Director on behalf of the Board does not, in his discretion, approve and adopt this 18 Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it 19 shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied 20 upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees 21 that should this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order be rejected for any reason 22 by the Executive Director on behalf of the Board, Respondent will assert no claim that the 23 Executive Director, the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, 24 discussion, and/or consideration of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order or . 25 of any matter or matters related hereto. 26 111 27 28 ///

Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 800-2020-069571)

1	ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS
2	16. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties
3	herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of
4	the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
5	17. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
6	copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures
7	thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
8	18. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree the
9	Executive Director of the Board may, without further notice to or opportunity to be heard by
10	Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order on behalf of the Board:
11	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051, issued
13	to Respondent Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D., is surrendered effective December 31, 2024, and
14	accepted by the Board.
15	1. The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051
16	and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of
17	discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall
18	become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.
19	2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Physician and Surgeon in
20	California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
21	3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was
22	issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
23	4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in
24	the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must
25	comply with all the laws, regulations, and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked or
26	surrendered license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations
27	contained in Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2020-069571 shall be deemed to be true,
28	///
	5
	Stimulated Surrander of Licence and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 800-2020-069571)

correct, and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.

5. Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in this
matter in the amount of \$90,288.50 (ninety thousand two hundred eighty-eight dollars and fifty
cents) prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

6 6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or
7 petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of
8 California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Second Amended Accusation No. 8009 2020-069571 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of
10 any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

#### ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order and
have fully discussed it with my attorney, Raymond J. McMahon, Esq. I understand the
stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051.
I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and
intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of
California.

18 11,20.2024 DATED: 19 20 Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

November 20, 2024 DATED:

1

2

11

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

111

RAYMOND J. MCMAHON, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

6

Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 800-2020-069571)



# Exhibit A

Second Amended Accusation No. 800-2020-069571

1 2	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	ROSEMARY F. LUZON Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 221544 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
6 7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9074 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	In the Matter of the Second Amended Case No. 800-2020-069571
14	Accusation Against: SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION
15 · 16	Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D. 35400 Bob Hope Dr., Unit 202 Rancho Mirage, CA 92270
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051,
18	Respondent.
19	
20	
21	PARTIES
22	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) brings this Second Amended Accusation solely in his
23	official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of
24	Consumer Affairs (Board).
25	2. On or about April 21, 2006, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
26	No. A 95051 to Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's
27	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
28	expire on September 30, 2025, unless renewed.
	1
	(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571

•

:

.

ļ		
1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Second Amended Accusation supersedes the First Amended Accusation filed on	
3	June 28, 2023, and is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All	
4	section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 2220 of the Code states:	
6 7	Except as otherwise provided by law, the board may take action against all persons guilty of violating this chapter	
8	5. Section 2227 of the Code states:	
9 10 11	(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:	
12	(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.	
13	(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.	
14 15	(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation	
16 17	(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.	
18 19	(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.	
20	•••	
21	6. Section 2052 of the Code states:	
22	(a) Notwithstanding Section 146, any person who practices or attempts to practice, or who advertises or holds himself or herself out as practicing, any system or	
23	mode of treating the sick or afflicted in this state, or who diagnoses, treats, operates for, or prescribes for any ailment, blemish, deformity, disease, disfigurement,	
24	disorder injury or other physical or mental condition of any person, without having	
25	at the time of so doing a valid, unrevoked, or unsuspended certificate as provided in this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act], or without being authorized to	
26	perform the act pursuant to a certificate obtained in accordance with some other provision of law, is guilty of a public offense, punishable by a fine not exceeding ten	
27	thousand dollars (\$10,000), by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code, by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both the fine and either imprisonment.	
28		
	2	
	(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571	

(b) Any person who conspires with or aids or abets another to commit any act described in subdivision (a) is guilty of a public offense, subject to the punishment 1 described in that subdivision. 2 (c) The remedy provided in this section shall not preclude any other remedy 3 provided by law. Section 2264 of the Code states: 7. 4 The employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of any 5 unlicensed person or any suspended, revoked, or unlicensed practitioner to engage in the practice of medicine or any other mode of treating the sick or afflicted which б requires a license to practice constitutes unprofessional conduct. 7 8. Section 2261 of the Code states: 8 Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or 9 indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct. 10 Section 810 of the Code states: 9. 11 (a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary 12 action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with their professional 13 activities: 14 (1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance. 15 (2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or 16 use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or 17 fraudulent claim. 18 . . . Section 2234 of the Code states: 10. 19 The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with 20 unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following: 21 (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or 22 abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter. 23 (b) Gross negligence. 24 . . . 25 (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and 26 surgeon. 27 28 3 (DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571

· · ·
11. Section 3501 of the Code states:
(a) "Board" means the Physician Assistant Board.
(b) "Approved program" means a program for the education of physician assistants that has been formally approved by the board.
(c) "Trainee" means a person who is currently enrolled in an approved program.
(d) "Physician assistant" or "PA" means a person who meets the requirements of this chapter and is licensed by the board. <sup>1</sup>
12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.502, states:
(a) "Board" means Physician Assistant Board.
(c) "Physician assistant" means a person who is licensed by the board as a physician assistant.
(d) "Trainee" means a person enrolled and actively participating in an approved program of instruction for physician assistants.
(e) "Approved program" means a program for the education and training of physician assistants which has been approved by the board. <sup>2</sup>
····
13. Section 3503 of the Code states:
No person other than one who has been licensed to practice as a physician assistant shall practice as a physician assistant or in a similar capacity to a physician and surgeon or podiatrist or hold himself or herself out as a "physician assistant," or
shall use any other term indicating or implying that he or she is a physician assistant. 14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.520, states:
No person shall practice as a physician assistant in this state unless he or she is a trainee or is licensed to practice as a physician assistant by the board.
111
111
<sup>1</sup> These definitions apply to section 3503 of the Code, <i>infra</i> . <sup>2</sup> These definitions apply to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.52 <i>infra</i> .
4

1

2

15. Unprofessional conduct under section 2234 of the Code is conduct which breaches

the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in

3 good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice

medicine. (Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

4

16. Section 2236 of the Code states:

(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

(b) The district attorney, city attorney, or other prosecuting agency shall notify the Medical Board of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and describe the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.

(c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

(a) For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license pursuant to Section 141 or Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes, professional misconduct, or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of state or federal law governing the applicant's or licensee's professional practice.

26 ||

27 /// 28 ///

8 || ///

5

(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571

.	COST RECOVERY
1	COST RECOVERT
2	18. Section 125.3 of the Code states:
3	(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the
5	administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
6	-
7	(b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.
8	(c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its
9	designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of
10	investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.
11	(d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount
12	of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard
13	to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if
14	the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).
15	(e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as
16 17	directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.
18	(f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
19	(g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or
20 21	reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
22	(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any
22	licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement
23 24	with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.
25	(h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs
26	to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.
20 27	(i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.
28	///
-	6

	1 (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in
	that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary proceeding.
	3 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	4 (Aiding and Abetting Unlicensed Practice of Medicine)
	5 19. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 to
	6 disciplinary action under sections 2227, 2264, and 2234, subdivision (a), of the Code, as defined
	7 by sections 2052, subdivision (b), 3501, and 3503 of the Code, and by California Code of
	8 Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.502 and 1399.520, in that he aided and abetted the unlicensed
	9 practice of medicine, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
	10 Respondent's Employment of N.H. as an Unlicensed Physician Assistant
	11 20. In or about June 2019, N.H. commenced employment as a physician assistant at
	12 Respondent's medical office in Palm Springs, California.
·	13 21. At the time, N.H. had completed physician assistant school, but she had not passed
	14 the Physician Assistant National Certification Exam, the board exam required to practice as a
	15 physician assistant.
	16 22. In or about September 2020, N.H. finally passed the board exam and, on or about
	17 September 14, 2020, N.H. became certified by the National Commission on Certification of
	18 Physician Assistants (NCCPA).
	19 23. To date, however, N.H. has not been granted a license authorizing her to practice as a
	20 physician assistant in the State of California.
	21 24. Despite lacking a California physician assistant license, from in or around June 2019
	through November 2020; N.H. continued to work as a physician assistant at Respondent's
	23 medical office providing care and treatment to patients.
	24 25. Respondent billed patients for the medical services provided by N.H. In doing so,
	25 Respondent identified himself as the provider of these services and he received the resulting
	26 payments for these services.
	27 ///
	28 ///

26.	Between on or about	January 15, 2020, and November 24, 2020, N.H. provided
and treatm	ent to twelve (12) pati	ents as follows:
		PATIENT VISITS WITH N.H.
	Patient A <sup>3</sup>	<ul><li>February 5, 2020</li><li>July 2, 2020</li></ul>
•	Patient B	<ul><li>November 17, 2020</li><li>November 24, 2020</li></ul>
	Patient C	• November 4, 2020
·	Patient D	• November 17, 2020
	Patient E	• November 18, 2020
	Patient F	<ul> <li>February 14, 2020</li> <li>June 12, 2020</li> </ul>
	Patient G	<ul> <li>February 6, 2020</li> <li>May 5, 2020</li> <li>June 2, 2020</li> <li>June 22, 2020</li> </ul>
	Patient H	• September 8, 2020
	Patient I	<ul> <li>February 12, 2020</li> <li>August 12, 2020</li> <li>October 20, 2020</li> <li>October 21, 2020</li> </ul>
	Patient J	• January 15, 2020
	Patient K	• February 10, 2020
•	Patient L	• October 28, 2020
///		
///		
///		
///		

<u>Patient A</u>

2

18

1

February 5, 2020, Visit

27. On or about February 5, 2020, at approximately 8:40 a.m., Patient A was seen by N.H. for an annual examination. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a physical exam of Patient A. The exam components were as follows: general, head, eyes, neck, cardiovascular, neurology, genitourinary, gastrointestinal, musculoskeletal, dermatology, and chest. As part of the genitourinary exam, N.H. examined Patient A's external genitalia, urethral meatus, vagina, cervix, uterus, and adnexa. N.H. also performed a pap smear.

28. Although only N.H. saw Patient A during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

Patient A was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient A's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

30. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
to Patient A during this visit.

July 2, 2020, Visit

31. On or about July 2, 2020, at approximately 1:40 p.m., Patient A was seen by N.H. for
removal of her Nexplanon®, a birth control implant. Respondent was not present during the visit.
N.H. performed a short physical exam of Patient A and removed the implant.

32. Although only N.H. saw Patient A during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

25 33. Patient A was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
26 Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient A's insurance for these charges, resulting in
27 payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
28 claim, not N.H.

34. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
 to Patient A during this visit.

3 Patient B

4

5

18

November 17, 2020, Visit

35. On or about November 17, 2020, at 9:40 a.m., Patient B was seen by N.H.

Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a sonogram. In addition, Patient B
asked several questions regarding concerns she had about her health. The progress notes for this
visit stated as follows: "no complaints, GBS POS, PN records given, on sono VTX 2910grams,
a/p: 27yo P1021@35+wks NV one wk, h/o LGA will induce @ 39 wks, adjusted EDC to
12/19/20 to match first sono @ AWHC[.]"

36. Although only N.H. saw Patient B during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

37. Patient B was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H.,
with Respondent identified as the provider.

16 38. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
17 to Patient B during this visit.

November 24, 2020, Visit

39. On or about November 24, 2020, at 10:40 a.m., Patient B was seen by N.H. for a 19 consultation, during which they discussed the date she would be induced and the missed diagnosis 20 of her short cervix. N.H. also performed a sonogram. Respondent was not present during the 21 visit, but Patient B observed Respondent walking around the office after her visit with N.H. 22 concluded. The progress notes for this visit stated as follows: "pt c/o vaginal pressure and 23 braxton hicks, denies vb/leaking, labor precautions reviewed, pt verbalized understanding, fm 24 good per pt, induction sched for 12/13@10pm. a/p: 27y/o P1021@36wks, h/o LGA, nv 1 wk[.]" 25 40. Although only N.H. saw Patient B during this visit, Respondent is identified as the 26 provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report 27 identified Respondent as the provider for this visit. 28

Patient B was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
 Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient B's insurance for these charges, resulting in
 payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
 claim, not N.H.

5 42. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
6 to Patient B during this visit.

Patient C

7

8

November 4, 2020, Visit

9 43. On or about November 4, 2020, at approximately 10:20 a.m., Patient C had a follow10 up visit with N.H. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a short physical
11 exam of Patient C, discussed the results of her pap smear, and assessed her condition. In addition,
12 N.H. ordered a urinalysis test and they discussed Patient C's birth control options, including
13 placement of an IUD.

44. Although only N.H. saw Patient C during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

Patient C was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient C's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

21 46. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
22 to Patient C during this visit.

## 23 Patient D

24

November 17, 2020, Visit

47. On or about November 17, 2020, at approximately 9:00 a.m., Patient D had an
antepartum check-up with N.H. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. asked Patient
D if she had any questions about her pregnancy, if she was experiencing any pain or discharge,
and how she was feeling. The progress notes for this visit stated as follows: "nno compalint,

sGBS NEG, PN records given., BGMS okay, a/p: 28yo P0@36wks GDMA1, GBS NEG, NV one
wk[.]"

48. Although only N.H. saw Patient D during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

49. Patient D was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient D's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

10 50. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
11 to Patient D during this visit.

#### Patient E

12

13

November 18, 2020, Visit

On or about November 18, 2020, at approximately 9:20 a.m., Patient E was seen by 14 51. N.H. for her annual exam and to follow-up on an abnormal pap smear. Respondent was not 15 present during the visit. N.H. performed a physical exam of Patient E. The exam components 16 were as follows: general, head, eyes, neck, cardiovascular, neurology, genitourinary, 17 gastrointestinal, musculoskeletal, dermatology, and chest. As part of the genitourinary exam, 18 N.H. examined Patient E's external genitalia, urethral meatus, vagina, cervix, uterus, and adnexa. 19 N.H. also performed a pap smear. In addition, Patient E reported to N.H. that she was feeling 20 depressed. 21

52. Although only N.H. saw Patient E during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

53. Patient E was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient E's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

54. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
 to Patient E during this visit.

Patient F

3

4

February 14, 2020, Visit

55. On or about February 14, 2020, at approximately 9:00 a.m., Patient F was seen by 5 N.H. for an initial obstetric exam. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a 6 physical exam of Patient F. The exam components were as follows: general, head, eyes, ENT, 7 neck, cardiovascular, neurology, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, musculoskeletal, dermatology, 8 respiratory, psychiatry, and chest. As part of the genitourinary exam, N.H. examined Patient F's 9 external genitalia, urethral meatus, vagina, cervix, uterus, and adnexa. N.H. also performed a pap 10 smear. Additional progress notes for this visit stated as follows: "New OB, planned pregnancy, 11 TVS IUP@6.5, PN panel/supps, PAP, C/S done today. Advised pt to take PNV, and Vit B6 for 12 N/V, sent today, a/p: 21 y/o P2001 @6wks, h/o trisomy 18, nv 3 wks, nv 1st AFP[.]" 13

14 56. Although only N.H. saw Patient F during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

57. Patient F was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient F's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

58. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
to Patient F during this visit.

23 June 12, 2020, Visit

Solution 24
Solution 25. On or about June 12, 2020, at approximately 8:40 a.m., Patient F was seen by N.H.
for an antepartum visit. The progress notes for this visit stated as follows: "pt c/o some braxton
hicks on/off, denies VB/leaking or current cramping or urinary sxs, advised pt to increase water
intake to 1.5L, labor precautions reviewed w/pt, pt verbalized understanding. per pt had MFM
///

apt, f/u on 7/3, will get NIPT results from MFM. fm good per pt. GTT given, a/p: 21y/o
 P2001@23+wks, nv 3 wks, nv GTT results[.]"

60. Although only N.H. saw Patient F during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

6 61. Patient F was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
7 Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient F's insurance for these charges, resulting in
8 payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
9 claim, not N.H.

10 62. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
11 to Patient F during this visit.

## 12 Patient G

13

February 6, 2020, Visit

63. On or about February 6, 2020, at approximately 10:20 a.m., Patient G was seen by 14 N.H. for an initial obstetric visit during the last trimester of her pregnancy. She was transitioning 15 care to Respondent from a prior provider. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. 16 performed a physical exam of Patient G. The exam components were as follows: general, head, 17 eyes, ENT, neck, cardiovascular, neurology, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, musculoskeletal, 18 dermatology, respiratory, psychiatry, and chest. As part of the genitourinary exam, N.H. 19 examined Patient G's external genitalia, urethral meatus, vagina, cervix, uterus, and adnexa. 20 64. Although only N.H. saw Patient G during this visit, Respondent is identified as the 21

provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

65. Patient G was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient G's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

28 ////

1 66. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
 2 to Patient G during this visit.

May 5, 2020, Visit

3

67. On or about May 5, 2020, at approximately 3:20 p.m., Patient G was seen by N.H. for
an initial postpartum visit. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a
physical exam of Patient G. The exam components were as follows: psychiatry, genitourinary,
chest, and incision. As part of the genitourinary exam, N.H. examined Patient G's external
genitalia, urethral meatus, and vagina. For the psychiatry exam, N.H. conducted a depression
screening. In addition, N.H. removed Patient G's bandages and she checked and cleaned her
incision.

68. Although only N.H. saw Patient G during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

69. Patient G was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient G's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

18 70. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
19 to Patient G during this visit.

June 2, 2020, Visit

20

71. On or about June 2, 2020, at approximately 10:20 a.m., Patient G was seen by N.H. 21 for a follow-up postpartum visit. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a 22 physical exam of Patient G. The exam components were as follows: psychiatry, genitourinary, 23 chest, and incision. As part of the genitourinary exam, N.H. examined Patient G's external 24 genitalia, urethral meatus, and vagina. For the psychiatry exam, N.H. conducted a depression 25 screening. In addition, N.H. checked Patient G's incision and they discussed Patient G's reports 26 of feeling anxious and stressed. N.H. started Patient G on hydroxyzine for treatment of her 27 28 anxiety.

72. Although only N.H. saw Patient G during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
 provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
 identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

73. Patient G was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient G's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

8 74. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
9 to Patient G during this visit.

June 22, 2020, Visit

10

75. On or about June 22, 2020, at approximately 3:40 p.m., Patient G was seen by N.H.
Respondent was not present during the visit. According to the progress notes for this visit,
Patient G presented for a postpartum depression check. N.H. conducted a depression screening as
part of the psychiatry exam and they discussed hospital intervention, which Patient G declined.
They also discussed Patient G's hydroxyzine use and N.H. advised her to continue on the
medication.

17 76. Although only N.H. saw Patient G during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
18 provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
19 identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

20 77. Patient G was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
21 Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient G's insurance for these charges, resulting in
22 payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
23 claim, not N.H.

24 78. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
25 to Patient G during this visit.

- 26 || ///
- 27 || ///
- 28 ///

16

(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571

<u>Patient H</u>

1 2

September 8, 2020, Visit

79. On or about September 8, 2020, at approximately 10:40 a.m., Patient H was seen by
N.H. for an antepartum visit. Respondent was not present during the visit. The progress notes for
this visit stated as follows: "no complaints, on sono VTX, GBS DONE, BTL counseling was
previously done (8/10) and desire for sterilization confirmed again a/p: 31 yo P2002@5+wks
CSx2, NV one wk f/u GBS[.]"

8 80. Although only N.H. saw Patient H during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
9 provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
10 identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

81. Patient H was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient H's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

15 82. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
16 to Patient H during this visit.

#### <u>Patient I</u>

17

18

February 12, 2020, Visit

83. On or about February 12, 2020, at approximately 3:20 p.m., Patient I was seen by 19 N.H. for a new obstetric visit. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a 20 physical exam of Patient I. The exam components were as follows: general, head, eyes, ENT, 21 neck, cardiovascular, neurology, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, musculoskeletal, dermatology, 22 respiratory, psychiatry, and chest. As part of the genitourinary exam, N.H. examined Patient I's 23 external genitalia, urethral meatus, vagina, cervix, uterus, and adnexa. Additional progress notes 24 for this visit stated as follows: "new OB, unplanned a she was on the pill. TVS IUP@7w2D a/p: 25 21 yo P1001@8+wks CSx1, BMI31.6, NV 3 wks, f.u PN panel supps ANA, start ASA[.]" 26 111 27 111 28

84. Although only N.H. saw Patient I during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
 provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
 identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

85. Patient I was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient I's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

8 86. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
9 to Patient I during this visit.

August 12, 2020, Visit

87. On or about August 12, 2020, at approximately 4:00 p.m., Patient I was seen by N.H.
for an antepartum visit. The progress notes for this visit stated as follows: "no complaints MFM
has already started NST/BPP 2x/week, unsure of PP contracepitona/p: 22yo P1001 @34+wks NV
one wk for GBS&EFW[.]"

15 88. Although only N.H. saw Patient I during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

89. Patient I was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient I's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

22 90. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
23 to Patient I during this visit.

24

10

October 20, 2020, Visit

91. On or about October 20, 2020, at approximately 8:20 a.m., Patient I was seen by N.H.
for a birth control consultation, insertion of an intrauterine device (IUD), and a urine pregnancy
test. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a short physical exam of
Patient I and inserted the IUD.

92. Although only N.H. saw Patient I during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
 provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
 identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

93. Patient I was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient I's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

8 94. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
9 to Patient I during this visit.

October 21, 2020, Visit

10

95. On or about October 21, 2020, at approximately 4:20 p.m., Patient I was seen by N.H.
for a postpartum visit. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a physical
exam of Patient I. The exam components were as follows: psychiatry, genitourinary, chest, and
incision. As part of the genitourinary exam, N.H. examined Patient I's external genitalia, urethral
meatus, vagina, cervix, uterus, and adnexa.

96. Although only N.H. saw Patient I during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

97. Patient I was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient I's insurance for these charges, resulting in
payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
claim, not N.H.

23 98. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
24 to Patient I during this visit.

# Patient J

25

26

January 15, 2020, Visit

99. On or about January 15, 2020, at approximately 11:00 a.m., Patient J was seen by
N.H. for removal of an IUD. Respondent was not present during the visit. N.H. performed a

short physical exam of Patient J. The exam components were as follows: general and
 genitourinary. As part of the genitourinary exam, N.H. examined Patient J's external genitalia,
 urethral meatus, vagina, cervix, uterus, and adnexa. N.H. then attempted to remove Patient J's
 IUD. After her visit with N.H. concluded, Patient J exited the exam room and saw Respondent in
 the office.

100. Although only N.H. saw Patient J during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the appointment status report
identified Respondent as the provider for this visit.

9 101. Patient J was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H., and
10 Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient J's insurance for these charges, resulting in
11 payment. Respondent was identified as the provider on the corresponding billing statement and
12 claim, not N.H.

13 102. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
14 to Patient J during this visit.

#### Patient K

16

15

February 10, 2020, Visit

103. On or about February 10, 2020, at approximately 9:00 a.m., Patient K was seen by 17 N.H. for an initial obstetric exam. Respondent was present alongside N.H. during the initial part 18 of the visit only, and N.H. completed the rest of the visit without Respondent. The progress notes 19 for this visit stated as follows: "New OB, unplanned pregnancy. TVS IUP@7w 4d. PAP, PN 20 Panel/supps, C/S, done today. MFM referral for NT/T2DM. Discussed diet w/pt extensively, 21 walking daily after dinner, 1 serving of fruit per day, with proteins and vegetables, pt verbalized 22 understanding. Pt given 10 day food log and script for blood glucose monitor, advised pt to 23 continue taking Metformin and check 4x daily. Start ASA (2RF) and PNV, risks benefits and 24 alternatives discussed w/pt, pt verbalized understanding.RCS@39wks. a/p: 22y/o P1001@7wks, 25 T2DM, nv 3wks, nv 1st AFP[.]" 26 111 27

28 ///

104. Although Respondent saw Patient K during the initial part of this visit only,
 2 Respondent is identified as the sole provider on the corresponding progress notes. In addition, the
 3 appointment status report identified Respondent as the sole provider for this visit.

105. Patient K was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with
Respondent and N.H., and Respondent's office submitted a claim to Patient K's insurance for
these charges, resulting in payment. Respondent was identified as the sole provider on the
corresponding billing statement and claim.

8 106. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide all of the medical
9 services to Patient K during this visit.

Patient L (Undercover Operation)

October 28, 2020, Visit

12 107. On or about October 28, 2020, at approximately 8:20 a.m., Investigator K.B. from the
13 Division of Investigation, Health Quality Investigation Unit (HQIU), presented to Respondent's
14 office seeking a prescription for birth control. Investigator K.B. posed as a new patient, "K.J."<sup>4</sup>
108. After Patient L arrived and checked in, Patient L was greeted by a staff member, who
16 took her weight and walked her back to the exam room. The staff member obtained Patient L's
17 blood pressure and some preliminary history. The staff member left the exam room and a nurse
18 practitioner subsequently entered the room.

19 109. The nurse practitioner confirmed Patient L's pharmacy and obtained additional
20 history. They discussed Patient L's current birth control situation and other birth control options,
21 including an IUD. Patient L then requested to see N.H. Patient L explained to the nurse
22 practitioner that her friend had recommended N.H. The nurse practitioner exited the exam room
23 to get N.H.

24 110. When N.H. arrived in the exam room, Patient L told N.H. that she was there to obtain
a birth control prescription. They had a lengthy discussion about payment for the visit, including
the option of enrolling in the California Family PACT program. N.H. proceeded to re-take and

27

28

10

11

<sup>4</sup> Patient "K.J." is referred to hereinafter as Patient L.

confirm Patient L's history. N.H. then discussed her opinions on continuing on oral birth control
as well as the option of IUDs as an alternative. N.H. gave Patient L a "birth control run down,"
discussing the various options for birth control, her opinions of each option, and how they work.

111. N.H. told Patient L that she would send a birth control prescription to her pharmacy 4 through the computer and it would be ready for pick-up in an hour. Initially, N.H. stated that the 5 prescription would be for a three-month supply of pills, but she later stated that the prescription 6 would be for an 11-month supply so that Patient L would not have to return for an office visit in 7 three months. N.H. confirmed with Patient L that her last pap smear was negative, including for 8 HPV, and N.H. told Patient L that she would be okay with waiting to do a pap smear until next 9 year. N.H. stated that she would set an appointment for Patient L to return in one year to do a pap 10 smear and full exam. 11

12 112. At the end of the visit, N.H. and Patient L left the exam room together. As N.H. and
13 Patient L walked towards the office exit, Respondent was standing at a computer terminal in the
14 hallway. Respondent acknowledged N.H. and Patient L as they walked past him.

15 113. Later the same day, on or about October 28, 2020, Patient L went to the pharmacy
and picked up her birth control prescription. The prescription was for Ortho Tri-Cyclen
(norgestimate-ethinyl estradiol). The quantity was 84, with three refills by October 28, 2021.
The prescription fill date was October 28, 2020, and the prescriber was Respondent.

19 114. Patient L's medical chart included the progress notes for her October 28, 2020, visit
20 with N.H. Although only N.H. saw Patient L during this visit, Respondent is identified as the
21 provider. In addition, the appointment status report identified Respondent as the provider for this
22 visit. The medical chart also included a copy of the prescription for Ortho Tri-Cyclen #84, with
23 three refills. The prescription identified Respondent as the prescription.

24 115. Patient L was billed for the medical services provided during this visit with N.H.,
25 resulting in a cash payment from Patient L. Respondent was identified as the provider on the
26 corresponding billing statement, not N.H.

27 116. Despite these multiple representations, Respondent did not provide medical services
28 to Patient L during this visit.

N.H.'s Admissions of Unlicensed Practice

1

2

3

117. On or about December 2, 2020, N.H. was interviewed by an investigator from the Division of Investigation, HQIU.

118. N.H. identified herself as a physician assistant, but she admitted that she was not
licensed by the Physician Assistant Board. N.H. stated that after commencing her employment at
Respondent's office as a physician assistant in or around June 2019, she shadowed Respondent,
another physician assistant, and a nurse practitioner for training purposes during the mornings.
She studied for the physician assistant board exam in the afternoons.

9 119. Beginning in or around December 2019, N.H. admitted that she began seeing patients.
10 She stated that she would only complete the initial questionnaire and take their history, but she
11 denied touching any patients. According to N.H., Respondent was with her when she saw
12 patients and she would tell Respondent what was happening with each patient while he charted.

120. Beginning in or around late 2019 or early 2020, N.H. stated that she began to "help
pick up the slack" because Respondent was "under a lot of stress" and "being pulled in a thousand
directions." N.H. admitted that she started seeing patients by herself. N.H. also admitted that,
between the timeframe of June 2019 and June 2020, she knew she was practicing medicine
without a license.

18 121. Initially, N.H. denied writing any prescriptions for patients, but she later
acknowledged that prescriptions were routinely sent to the pharmacy through the EMR system
without Respondent's knowledge, including by her.

122. According to N.H., she would leave her work out for Respondent to review every
other day, which included patient charts and her notes of patient visits.

123. N.H. admitted that she knew she was practicing medicine without a license and that
what she was doing was wrong.

- 25 111
- 26 || ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

23

(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571

# Respondent's Admissions Regarding N.H.'s Unlicensed Practice

HQIU Interview

1

2

3 124. On or about December 2, 2020, Respondent was interviewed by an investigator from
4 the Division of Investigation, HQIU.

125. Respondent identified N.H. as a "newly appointed physician assistant." Initially, he
stated that N.H. had passed her board exam and "we're waiting for her license to come through."
He subsequently acknowledged that she was not licensed and described her as a "student."

8 126. Respondent explained that while waiting for N.H. to pass her board exam, he was 9 "training her to work here and take some load off of me." Specifically, Respondent stated that he 10 was providing clinical training to N.H. in obstetrics so that "[o]nce she gets her license and 11 becomes fully certified...she can hit the ground running as opposed to be deficient in her 12 obstetrical aspect."

13 127. Respondent admitted that he allowed N.H. to see patients by herself, but stated that it 14 was under his supervision. He explained that they communicated about all of the patients she saw 15 and that she told him all about them. When he was not at the office, Respondent stated that N.H. 16 talked with the nurse practitioner. Respondent claimed that the nurse practitioner was in charge 17 of supervising N.H. in his absence, which the nurse practitioner denied.

128. Respondent later stated that he did not allow N.H. to treat patients by herself. He 18 explained that "everything is done with my supervision" or the nurse practitioner's supervision. 19 Further, when patients were seen and evaluated by N.H., Respondent stated that N.H. would not 20 have set up a management plan. Rather, "[e]verything would have been with me. Any 21 management plan is with me." He explained that "she evaluates patients and ... manages patients 22 with me. So, we talk about a management plan. We've gone over her evaluations and 23 everything...else....the assessment and plan ---." Likewise, Respondent stated that the 24 prescribing of medication would have been approved by him and he would have signed off on the 25 prescription. 26

129. According to Respondent, "I've been training her as a student and part of her training,
she has to see, learn and evaluate patients. If I'm doing everything for her, she is not going to

learn anything. So...she has to go learn, evaluate patients, discuss with me and 1 get...management plan and over the past year we have done plenty of that." 2 130. With respect to billing, Respondent stated that he billed insurance for his services 3 when N.H. saw and evaluated patients because he was the supervising physician. He explained 4 that since he was "doing the management plan," the patients were "getting treated by me based on 5 her evaluation." б 131. Respondent stated that the only issue he saw with allowing N.H. to work at his office 7 without a license was if she was seeing patients by herself and there was no input from him. 8 According to Respondent, there was "absolutely" input from him. 9 132. Approximately six weeks earlier, on or about October 14, 2020, Respondent told 10 HOIU investigators that N.H. was a recent hire who had just passed her board exam and that they 11 were working on getting her fully into the system. Respondent stated that N.H. was hired, but he 12 did not have N.H. do any work and she had not officially started because they were waiting for 13 her to get her license and pass her boards. 14 Letter to Kaiser 15 133. On or about December 7, 2020, Kaiser sent a letter to Respondent requesting 16 information about his arrest, which took place on or about December 2, 2020, relating to charges 17 of conspiracy to commit a crime, practicing medicine without a certificate, and second degree 18 burglary. 19 134. On or about December 18, 2020, Respondent wrote a letter in response to Kaiser's 20 letter. Respondent explained as follows regarding his involvement in N.H.'s care and treatment 21 of patients: 22 For the past year and a half or so, I have been training and supervising a graduated 23 physician assistant student with strong knowledge of gynecology but weak 24 knowledge of obstetrics so that she can join my practice as a Physician Assistant after she passed her licensing board. She passed her licensing board examination in 25 September 2020 and has been waiting to receive her Physician Assistant license since then, though she has yet to receive it due to staffing shortages due to COVID-19. At 26 all times since she began in my office, I have been directly supervising and teaching her and at no time has she ever treated a patient without my direct knowledge and 27 direction. 28 25

(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571

Follow-up Letter to Kaiser

1

135. On or about January 15, 2021, Kaiser sent a follow-up letter to Respondent.
136. On or about January 27, 2021, Respondent's legal representative wrote a letter to
Kaiser on behalf of Respondent, and Respondent attested to the truth of the letter on or about
January 29, 2021.

137. The letter acknowledged that N.H. "was employed by [Respondent] and worked at his 6 office" and that she did not have her Physician Assistant license. The letter further stated that 7 N.H. "was authorized to perform a number of tasks under [Respondent]'s supervision although 8 not credentialed to practice fully as a Physician's Assistant." The letter claimed that Respondent 9 and N.H. "had an express agreement that she would stay within the bounds of his strict 10 supervision. She would not engage in those diagnoses and treatments that can only be done by a 11 fully credentialed Physician's Assistant until the license / certificate arrived." Lastly, the letter 12 asserted that at the time of the undercover operation, supra, "[N.H.] was working under 13 Respondent's direct supervision and within the bounds of what she was legally allowed to do. 14 Before treating or prescribing treatment for the undercover law enforcement operative, [N.H.] 15 consulted with [Respondent] and followed his instructions." 16

17 138. Paragraphs 146 through 149, below, are hereby incorporated by reference and
18 realleged as if fully set forth herein.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Dishonesty or Corruption)

139. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 to
disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, subdivision (e), of the Code, in that he engaged
in an act or acts involving dishonesty that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions,
or duties of a physician and surgeon, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 19 through 138,
above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
///
///

///

19

20

28

(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Insurance Fraud)
3	140. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 to
4	disciplinary action under sections 2227, 2234, and 810 of the Code, in that he knowingly
5	presented or caused to be presented a false claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of
6	insurance, or he knowingly prepared, made, or subscribed a writing, with intent to present or use
7	the same, or allowed it to be presented or used in support of any false claim, as more particularly
8	alleged in paragraphs 19 through 138, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and
9	realleged as if fully set forth herein.
10	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
11	(False Representations)
12	141. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 to
13	disciplinary action under sections 2227, 2261, and 2234, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he
14	knowingly made a document related to the practice of medicine which falsely represents the
15	existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 19 through
16	138, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
17	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Violations or Attempting to Violate Any Provision of the Medical Practice Act)
19	142. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 to
20	disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he has
21	violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, provisions or terms of the Medical Practice
22	Act, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 19 through 138, above, which are hereby
23	incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
24	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
25	(General Unprofessional Conduct)
26	143. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 to
27	disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234 of the Code, in that he has engaged in conduct
28	which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is
	27
	(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571

•

1	unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an		
2	unfitness to practice medicine, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 19 through 142, above,		
3	which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.		
4	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
5	(Gross Negligence)		
6	144. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 to		
7	disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of		
8	the Code, in that he committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patients A to L, as		
9	more particularly alleged in paragraphs 19 through 138, above, which are hereby incorporated by		
10	reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.		
11	145. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patients A to L,		
12	which included, but was not limited to, the following:		
13	A. Respondent allowed N.H. to practice medicine without a NCCPA		
14	certificate and without a license to practice as a physician assistant from the Physician		
15	Assistant Board.		
1 <b>6</b>	B. Respondent allowed himself to be identified in the medical charts, billing		
1 <b>7</b>	records, and other related documentation as the provider for multiple visits, despite		
18	not seeing or providing any medical services to Patients A to J and Patient L during		
19	these visits and, in the case of Patient K, despite only being present during the initial		
20	part of the visit.		
21	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
22	(Conviction of Crime Substantially Related to the Qualifications, Functions, or Duties of a Physician and Surgeon)		
23	r nysician and Surgeony		
24	146. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051 to		
25	disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2236, of the Code, and		
26	section 1360 of title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, in that he has been convicted of a		
27	crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician, as more		
28	particularly alleged hereinafter:		
	28		
	(DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571		

147. On or about June 26, 2023, the Riverside County District Attorney filed a felony
 criminal complaint against Respondent in the matter of *The People of the State of California vs. Derakhsh Fozouni MD, et al.*, Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. INF2301131. The
 complaint charged Respondent with one felony count of aiding and abetting the unlicensed
 practice of medicine by N.H., in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2052,
 subdivision (b).

148. On or about October 3, 2024, Respondent was convicted upon his plea of guilty to a 7 misdemeanor charge of aiding and abetting the unlicensed practice of medicine by N.H., in 8 violation of Business and Professions Code section 2052, subdivision (b). On or about the same 9 date, Respondent was sentenced to summary probation for twelve months on the following terms 10 and conditions: (1) obey all laws, ordinances, and court orders; (2) be committed to the custody 11 of the Riverside County Sheriff for four days; (3) pay victim restitution in an amount to be 12 determined by the probation department; (4) no out-of-state relocation for more than 45 13 consecutive days in any 12-month period without first contacting the probation department; and 14 (5) pay various fines and fees. 15

16 149. The criminal complaint also charged N.H. with two felony counts. The first count
charged N.H. with making a false prescription for a drug, in violation of Business and Professions
Code section 4324, subdivision (a). The second count charged N.H. with engaging in the
unlicensed practice of medicine, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2052,
subdivision (a). On or about December 6, 2023, N.H. was convicted upon a plea of guilty to a
misdemeanor charge of engaging in the unlicensed practice of medicine, in violation of Business
and Professions Code section 2052, subdivision (a).

#### DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

23

150. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
Complainant alleges that, on or about September 17, 2020, in a prior disciplinary action before
the Medical Board of California, titled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D.*, Case No. 800-2016-027417, Respondent's license was publicly reprimanded in
connection with his care and treatment of a single patient. Respondent was ordered to complete a

1	clinical assessment program, ethics course, medical record keeping course, and education course.		
2	That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.		
3	PRAYER		
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
5	and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:		
6	1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 95051, issued		
7.	to Respondent Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D.;		
8	2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D.'s		
9	authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;		
10	3. Ordering Respondent Derakhsh Fozouni, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the		
11	investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation		
12	monitoring; and		
13	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
14	ACT 2 0 909/		
15	DATED: DET 2 9 2024 JEANS RONES POR		
16	Executive Director Medical Board of California		
1 <b>7</b>	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
18	Complainant		
19	·		
20	SD2022802336 83797917.docx		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	30 (DERAKHSH FOZOUNI, M.D.) SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-069571		