BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No.: 800-2022-085849

Arus Zograbyan, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76345

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 18, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED: September 19, 2024.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

m

Richard E. Thorp, Chair Panel B

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | ROB BONTA Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA L. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 179733 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6475 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant BEFOR MEDICAL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA | OF CALIFORNIA ONSUMER AFFAIRS |
|---|---|---|
| 10 | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 800-2022-085849 |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | ARUS ZOGRABYAN, M.D. 1300 N. Vermont Avenue, Suite 307 Los Angeles, CA 90027-6061 | OAH No. 2024010754 |
| 14 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER |
| 15 | No. A 76345, | |
| 16 17 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | | J |
| 19 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above- | |
| 20 | entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: | |
| 21 | PART | <u>CIES</u> |
| 22 | 1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of | |
| 23 | California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this | |
| 24 | matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Rebecca L. Smith, Deputy | |
| 25 | Attorney General. | |
| 26 | 2. Arus Zograbyan, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney | |
| 27 | Derek O'Reilly-Jones, whose address is 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1750, Los Angeles, | |
| 28 | California 90071-1562. | |
| | | 1 |
| | | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2022-085849) |

| 1 | 3. On or about August 22, 2001, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | No. A 76345 to Respondent. That license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the | |
| 3 | charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2022-085849, and will expire on March 31, 2025, unless | |
| 4 | renewed. | |
| 5 | JURISDICTION | |
| 6 | 4. Accusation No. 800-2022-085849 was filed before the Board, and is currently | |
| 7 | pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were | |
| 8 | properly served on Respondent on December 27, 2023. Respondent timely filed her Notice of | |
| 9 | Defense contesting the Accusation. | |
| 10 | 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2022-085849 is attached as Exhibit A and | |
| 11 | incorporated herein by reference. | |
| 12 | ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS | |
| 13 | 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the | |
| 14 | charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2022-085849. Respondent has also carefully read, | |
| 15 | fully discussed with her counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and | |
| 16 | Disciplinary Order. | |
| 17 | 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a | |
| 18 | hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine | |
| 19 | the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right | |
| 20 | to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of | |
| 21 | documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other | |
| 22 | rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. | |
| 23 | 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and | |
| 24 | every right set forth above. | |
| 25 | CULPABILITY | |
| 26 | 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation | |
| 27 | No. 800-2022-085849, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her | |
| 28 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. | |
| | 2 | |
| | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2022-085849) | |

10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could
 establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800 2022-085849, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that she has
 thereby subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, No. A 76345 to disciplinary action.

11. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's Disciplinary Order set forth below.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. 12. 8 Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical 9 Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and 10 settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the 11 stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek 12 to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails 13 to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary 14 Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal 15 action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having 16 considered this matter. 17

18 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to
19 be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the
20 agreement of the parties in this above entitled matter.

14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile
signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and
enter the following Disciplinary Order:

27 || ///

5

6

7

28 || ///

3

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

A. <u>PUBLIC REPRIMAND</u>.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76345 issued to Respondent Arus Zograbyan, M.D. is Publicly Reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This Public Reprimand, which is issued in connection with Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 1, as set forth in Accusation No. 800-2022-085849, is as follows:

> You committed acts constituting negligence in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2234, subdivisions (b) and (c), with respect to your obstetrical post-partum care and treatment of a single patient in 2018, as set forth in Accusation No. 800-2022-085849.

EDUCATION COURSE. Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of Β. 12 this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval 13 educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than forty (40) hours. The 14 educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or 15 knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at 16 Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) 17 requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its 18 designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. 19 Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for forty (40) hours of CME in satisfaction of this 20 condition. 21

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
designee not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after successfully completing the educational
program(s) or course(s), or not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the effective date of the
Decision, whichever is later.

If Respondent fails to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the educational
program(s) or course(s) within the designated time period, Respondent shall receive a notification
from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days

4

after being so notified. Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until enrollment or
 participation in the educational program(s) or course(s) has been completed. Failure to
 successfully complete the educational program(s) or course(s) outlined above shall constitute
 unprofessional conduct and is grounds for further disciplinary action.

C. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby
ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, in the amount of
\$15,862.40 (fifteen thousand eight hundred sixty-two dollars and forty cents), payable within
sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision. Costs shall be payable to the
Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall constitute unprofessional conduct
and is grounds for further disciplinary action.

Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to theBoard.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve her of the responsibility to repay
investigation and enforcement costs.

FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for 15 D. a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care 16 licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in 17 Accusation No. 800-2022-085849 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by 18 Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or 19 restrict license. Respondent further agrees that if an Accusation is ever filed against her before 20 the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation No. 800-2022-085849 21 shall be deemed true, correct, and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such 22 proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent, before the Board. 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26

27 || ///

28 || ///

5

| 1 | ACCEPTANCE | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully | |
| 3 | discussed it with my attorney, Derek O'Reilly-Jones. I understand the stipulation and the effect it | |
| 4 | will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and | |
| 5 | Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the | |
| 6 | Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California. | |
| 7 | α α - | |
| 8 | DATED: 9-13-2024 | |
| 9 | ARUS ZOGRABYAN, M.D. Respondent | |
| 10 | I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Arus Zograbyan, M.D. the terms and | |
| 11 | conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. | |
| 12 | I approve its form and content. | |
| 13 | DATED: 09/13/2024 Derek O'Reilly-Jones | |
| 14 | Attorney for Respondent | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | ENDORSEMENT | |
| 17 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully | |
| 18 | submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. | |
| 19 | September 16, 2024 | |
| 20 | DATED: Respectfully submitted, ROB BONTA | |
| 21 | Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO | |
| 22 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General | |
| 23 | AST. | |
| 24 | REBERCA L. SMITH | |
| 25 | Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | LA2023603350 67081309.docx | |
| 28 | | |
| | 6 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2022-085849) | |

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2022-085849

| | ľ | |
|---|---|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | ROB BONTA Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA L. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 179733 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6475 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant BEFOR MEDICAL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSTATE OF C | OF CALIFORNIA ONSUMER AFFAIRS |
| 11 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 800-2022-085849 |
| 12 | ARUS ZOGRABYAN, M.D. | ACCUSATION |
| 14 | 1300 N. Vermont Avenue, Suite 307 Los Angeles, CA 90027-6061 | |
| 15 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76345, | |
| 16 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | PAR |] FIES |
| 18 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | this Accusation solely in his official capacity as |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs | |
| 21 | (Board). 2. On or about August 22, 2001, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's | |
| 22 | 2. On or about August 22, 2001, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 76345 to Arus Zograbyan, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full | |
| 23 | force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, | |
| 24 | 2025, unless renewed. | |
| 25 26 | 2023, unless renewed. | |
| 20 | | |
| 27 | /// | |
| _ 20 | 1 | |
| | (ARUS ZOGR | ABYAN, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2022-085849 |

:

:

۰.

•

| 1 | JURISDICTION | |
|----------|-----------------|---|
| 2 | 3. | This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following |
| 3 | laws. All s | ection references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise |
| 4 | indicated. | |
| 5 | 4. | Section 2004 of the Code states: |
| 6 | | The board shall have the responsibility for the following: |
| 7 | Durat | (a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical |
| 8 | Practi | ice Act. |
| 9 | | (b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions. |
| 10 | an ad | (c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or ministrative law judge. |
| 11 | . f. dla | (d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion |
| 12 | or dis | ciplinary actions. |
| 13 | surge | (e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and on certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board. |
| 14 | | (f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs. |
| 15 | progr | (g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the ams in subdivision (f). |
| 16 | | (h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction. |
| 17 | | (i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | 5. | Section 2227 of the Code states: |
| 20 | the M | (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of ledical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government |
| 21 | Code. | , or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the |
| 22 | | sions of this chapter: |
| 23 | | (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board. |
| 24 | 2007 1 | (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one upon order of the board. |
| 25 | | • |
| 26 | monit | (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation coring upon order of the board. |
| 27 28 | requir board | |
| | | |
| | | (ARUS ZOGRABYAN, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2022-085849 |

(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

(b) Gross negligence.

(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

(d) Incompetence.

(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

(f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

(g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.

COST RECOVERY

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

7. Section 125.3 of the Code states:

(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a

disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

(b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.

(c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

(d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).

(e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.

(f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

(g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.

(h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

(i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

(j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary proceeding.

27

111

 $\parallel \parallel$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

4

| 1 | FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | (Gross Negligence) | |
| 3 | 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of | |
| 4 | the Code in that she was grossly negligent in the obstetrical care and treatment of Patient 1. ¹ The | |
| 5 | circumstances are as follows: | |
| 6 | 9. On or about September 25, 2017, Patient 1 was a 33-year-old, Gravida 2 Para 1, | |
| 7 | female who presented to obstetrician, Dr. S.G. ² for prenatal care. She had history of a previous | |
| 8 | uncomplicated cesarean section in 2012. Laboratory tests performed on September 25, 2017, | |
| 9 | reflected that Patient 1 had an A positive blood type with no unusual antibodies. A complete | |
| 10 | blood count (CBC), also performed on September 25, 2017, reflected a hemoglobin of 11.9 ³ and | |
| [1 | hematocrit of 35. ⁴ An anatomy ultrasound was performed on November 29, 2017, at 25 weeks | |
| 2 | gestation, revealing normal anatomy, size equal to dates, and a placenta that was left lateral. No | |
| 3 | previa was noted. On or about February 22, 2018, Patient 1 was scheduled for an elective repeat | |
| 4 | cesarean section to take place at 39 weeks gestation at Hollywood Presbyterian Medical Center | |
| 5 | on March 7, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. | |
| 6 | 10. On the morning of March 7, 2018, Patient 1 was admitted to the hospital's labor and | |
| 7 | delivery department for her scheduled elective repeat cesarean section to be performed by | |
| 8 | Respondent. Patient 1's blood work, performed at 8:30 a.m., revealed a hemoglobin of 11.4, | |
| 9 | Hematocrit of 34.5, and platelets of 274. ⁵ Her blood type was noted to be A positive. | |
| 0 | 11. Respondent performed the elective repeat cesarean section at approximately 10:00 | |
| 1 | a.m. on March 7, 2018. At 10:07 a.m., a viable male infant was delivered. Respondent noted that | |
| 2 | /// | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | ¹ The patient is identified herein by number to protect her privacy. | |
| 5 | ² At all times herein, Respondent was a partner in Dr. S.G.'s obstetrical practice. All references to information prior to November 2017 is for historical purposes. | |
| 6 | ³ Normal hemoglobin reference range is 10.9 to 14.3. | |
| 7 | ⁴ Normal hematocrit reference range is 31.2 to 41.9. | |
| 8 | ⁵ Normal platelet reference range is 179 to 408. | |

-

the patient had placenta accreta, adherent to the posterior uterine wall and lower uterine segment. 1 In her operative report, Respondent set forth the following description: 2 3 "[b]risk bleeding was noted immediately after delivery of the baby. Placenta was delivered manually, and it was obvious that there is no clear plane of 4 separation between the placenta and uterus. Uterus was exteriorized and cleared of clots. After separation of the placenta there was significant bleeding from 5 posterior uterine wall in the lower portion, and also lower uterine segment. However, after the uterine tone was improved, the bleeding had subsided but there 6 was still constant oozing from the lower uterine segment but significantly less. 7 Because the bleeding was less and uterine tone overall was satisfactory, the decision was made to proceed with closure of the uterine incision, and then 8 contacting interventional radiology for uterine artery embolization." 9 12. Patient 1 was noted to have had a 3-liter blood loss in the operating room. Her blood 10 pressure prior to the delivery was 135/60-80. Following the delivery, her blood pressure was 11 noted to be 63/44 and 71/40 and her pulse was 118 to 132. The anesthesia record documented 12 that Patient 1 received both ephedrine and phenylephrine. Patient 1 was also noted as having 13 received Pitocin and Methergine. Patient 1 did not receive tranexamic acid (TXA)⁶ or blood 14 products in the operating room. 15 13. At approximately 10:45 a.m., Patient 1 was transferred to the recovery room where 16 she continued to bleed. At that time, Respondent requested that interventional radiology be 17 contacted to perform a uterine artery embolization.⁷ In addition, Respondent ordered blood 18 products.⁸ The blood transfusion began in the recovery room at approximately 11:15 a.m. 19 Patient 1 was tachycardic with pulse of 140 and blood pressure of 60/30. Respondent noted that 20 the patient had a boggy uterus and blood clots. Thereafter, Respondent recommended proceeding 21 with a hysterectomy "due to ongoing severe bleeding that was unlikely to be controlled by uterine 22 artery embolization." Respondent estimated that the patient had lost an additional approximate 23 ⁶ TXA is an antifibrinolytic agent that can decrease postpartum hemorrhage. 24 25 ⁷ At the time of Respondent's interview with the Board, she stated that the anesthesiologist was not managing Patient 1 in the recovery room so Respondent was the one ordering tests and managing the 26 patient. 27 ⁸ At the time of Respondent's interview with the Board, she stated that she requested blood products from the blood bank and was told that the blood products would be ready in fifteen minutes. 28 6

2.5 liters of blood in the recovery room [for a total of 5.5 liters of blood loss].

14. Laboratory studies performed at 12:25 p.m. reflected a hemoglobin of 7.4, hematocrit 2 of 23, partial thromboplastin time (PTT) of 36.6,⁹ and fibrinogen of 118,¹⁰ all of which were 3 consistent with blood loss anemia and disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). Laboratory 4 studies performed during the total abdominal hysterectomy surgery, at 1:37 p.m., revealed a 5 fibrinogen of 50, PTT of 73.8, and hemoglobin 6.8, consistent with DIC. Respondent noted that 6 there were no complications during the hysterectomy procedure. Following the procedure, 7 Patient 1 remained intubated and was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) in critical 8 9 condition. The blood loss during the hysterectomy procedure was another 1.5 liters.

10 15. Pathology of the uterus revealed fibrin and chorionic villi and trophoblastic cells in
11 contact with the myometrium consistent with placenta accreta syndrome with a placenta increta.
12 16. The total blood loss from the cesarean section, recovery room and hysterectomy was

13 approximately 7 liters.¹¹

1

17. Postoperatively, Patient 1 continued to bleed and was under the massive blood 14 transfusion protocol in the ICU. Later that evening, Patient 1 developed abdominal distention. 15 An abdominal ultrasound revealed a significant amount of blood in the abdomen. Patient 1's 16 hemoglobin dropped from a low value of 9 to a critically low value of 2 within a three-hour 17 period. At approximately 11:00 p.m., Patient 1 also had a drop in her blood pressure. At 12:00 18 a.m. on March 8, 2018, Patient 1 became unresponsive. At that time, Respondent took the patient 19 back to the operating room for an exploratory laparotomy. Respondent was assisted by 20 gynecological oncologist, Dr. S.E. Large blood clots were noted in the abdomen. Dr. S.E. 21 performed an evacuation of the hematomas and a bilateral hypogastric ligation and ureteral 22 dissection. 23

18. Following the exploratory laparotomy, Patient 1 was assessed as being
hemodynamically stable; however, she never regained consciousness. Diagnostic studies,

26 27

28

⁹ Normal PTT reference range is 25 to 35.

¹⁰ Normal fibrinogen reference range is 200 to 400.

¹¹ Total circulating blood volume of the average pregnant woman is 6.5 liters.

including CT scan, MRI, and EEG, were consistent with global diffuse anoxic brain injury. 1 Patient 1's neurologic condition did not improve. She remains in a persistent vegetative state and 2 was transferred from the hospital to a long-term subacute facility on June 16, 2018 for long-term 3 care. 4

5

Proper Management of Postpartum Hemorrhage

Postpartum hemorrhage is defined as accumulated blood loss of equal to or greater 19. 6 than 1 liter of blood loss or blood loss accompanied with signs and symptoms of hypovolemia 7 within 24 hours after the birth process. Stage III hemorrhage is when combined bleeding is 8 greater than 1500 cc's, suspicion of DIC, or abnormal vital signs. The standard of care requires 9 that obstetricians recognize, rapidly assess, and manage postpartum hemorrhage. Step wise 10 management of a stage three hemorrhage involves medications, fluid resuscitation, tamponade of 11 the uterus with mechanical devices, uterine compression sutures, surgical control of bleeding, 12 uterine artery embolization, and finally, hysterectomy. 13

20. Medical management includes uterotonic agents such as oxytocin (Pitocin), 14 Methergine, and TXA. As maternal tachycardia and hypotension may not present in postpartum 15 women until significant blood loss has occurred, the recognition and swift management with fluid 16 resuscitation and initiation of the massive transfusion protocols is necessary to avoid irreversible 17 shock and DIC. If the screened blood is not available, the physician should begin transfusion 18 with O negative blood. Surgical management can be temporizing with techniques such as 19 O'Leary sutures, compression sutures such as B-lynch, or use of the Bakri balloon. These 20 techniques can help control bleeding while blood is ordered and transfused. If the patient is 21 severely hypotensive, uterine or aortic compression can be applied. If the patient has responded 22 to fluid resuscitation and continues to bleed but is stable, then a uterine artery embolization can be 23 considered. If the medications and mechanical attempts are not successful then a peripartum 24 hysterectomy is necessary. The patient should remain in the operating room while fluid and 25 blood resuscitation are performed until she is stable enough for transfer to the recovery area. 26 21. During the elective cesarean section, Patient 1 developed stage III postpartum 27 hemorrhage, with bleeding of 3 liters, hypotension and tachycardia. Respondent ordered the 28 8

administration of Pitocin and Methergine (uterotonics), but failed to order TXA. Respondent was suspicious of placenta accreta syndrome as she had difficulties removing the placenta and Patient continued to ooze. The patient's vital signs reflected the large blood loss with hypotension and tachycardia. The blood pressure was recorded in the operating room to be 70/40 with tachycardia. The anesthesia record documents that both ephedrine and phenylephrine were administered to control the severe hypotension. Respondent's failure to transfuse Patient 1 in the operating room is an extreme departure from the standard of care.

8 Proper Management of Placenta Accreta Syndrome

9 22. Placenta accreta is defined as abnormal trophoblast invasion of the placenta into the
myometrium or the uterine wall. The spectrum includes placenta accreta, increta and percreta
depending on the depth of invasion. Placenta accreta spectrum or morbidly adherent placenta can
be a life threatening condition. Antenatal diagnosis of placenta accreta spectrum by prenatal
ultrasound is ideal for planning the delivery. Although ultrasound evaluation is important, the
absence of ultrasound findings does not preclude the diagnosis of placenta accreta spectrum.

23. In cases of unexpected placenta accreta spectrum, the standard of care requires that 15 the obstetrician recognize and manage the condition with blood products, as well as communicate 16 with the operating room personnel regarding additional personnel to facilitate a higher level of 17 care. If uncontrollable hemorrhage with hypotension is encountered, TXA and blood products 18 should be given and temporizing maneuvers such as O'Leary sutures, B-Lynch compression 19 sutures, abdominal packing, or Bakri balloons should be employed. These techniques can be 2.0 temporizing while the O negative blood or screened blood is brought to the operating room. If 21 the patient is not clinically responding and continues to bleed then a rapid movement to 22 hysterectomy is necessary. Keeping the patient in the operating room while resuscitation efforts 23 are performed will give the greatest flexibility to moving to hysterectomy if necessary if 24 25 temporizing methods are unsuccessful.

26 24. Patient 1 presented with an unexpected finding of placenta accreta syndrome in the
 27 setting of a previous cesarean section. Respondent recognized the condition but failed to follow a
 28 step wise approach in the management and treatment of the hemorrhage associated with the

| 1 | placenta accreta syndrome. As the patient had hypotension, tachycardia in the setting of | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | suspicion for placenta accreta, blood transfusion, medications, and mechanical methods should | |
| 3 | have been used in the operating room. The operating personnel should have been alerted and | |
| 4 | additional staff requested. Temporizing methods should have been employed to stabilize the | |
| 5 | patient and if unsuccessful, rapid movement to hysterectomy should have been performed. | |
| 6 | Respondent's failure to follow a step wise approach with temporizing techniques in the operating | |
| 7 | room while stabilizing Patient 1 with blood transfusions is an extreme departure from the | |
| 8 | standard of care. | |
| 9 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | |
| 10 | (Repeated Negligent Acts) | |
| 11 | 25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (c), | |
| 12 | in that she engaged in repeated acts of negligence in her obstetrical care and treatment of Patient | |
| 13 | 1. The circumstances are as follows: | |
| 14 | 26. The allegations of the First Cause for Discipline are incorporated herein by reference | |
| 15 | as if fully set forth. | |
| 16 | 27. Each of the alleged acts of gross negligence set forth above in the First Cause for | |
| 17 | Discipline is also a negligent act. | |
| 18 | PRAYER | |
| 19 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | |
| 20 | and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision: | |
| 21 | 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 76345, | |
| 22 | issued to Respondent Arus Zograbyan, M.D.; | |
| 23 | 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Arus Zograbyan, M.D.'s | |
| 24 | authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses; | |
| 25 | 3. Ordering Respondent Arus Zograbyan, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the | |
| 26 | investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation | |
| 27 | monitoring; and | |
| 28 | /// | |
| | 10 (ARUS ZOGRABYAN, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2022-085849 | |
| | | |

