BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 800-2023-101989

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 80894

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 10, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED: September 10, 2024 .

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Michelle A. Bholat, MD

Michelle A. Bholat, M.D., Interim Chair Panel A

Į1		•	
1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General VLADIMIR SHALKEVICH		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 173955	•	
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6538 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	,		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2023-101989	
13	PRITI NIMESHKUMAR DESAI, M.D.	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	Emanate Health 315 North Third Avenue, Suite 205	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
15 [Covina, California 91723		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 80894,		
17	Respondent.	·	
18		.	
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above		
20 °	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
21	PARTIES		
22	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of		
23	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this		
24	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Vladimir Shalkevich,		
25	Deputy Attorney General.		
26	2. Respondent Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this		
27	proceeding by attorneys Kent Thomas Brandmeyer and Jeannette Van Horst, 385 East Colorado		
28	Boulevard, Suite 200 Pasadena, California 91101-1988.		
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Priti Nime	shkumar Desai, M.D., Case No. 800-2023-101989)	

3. On October 25, 2002, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 80894 to Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2023-101989, and will expire on September 30, 2024, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2023-101989 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 15, 2024. Respondent timely filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2023-101989 is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2023-101989. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with her counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2023-101989, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

<u>19</u>

- 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2023-101989, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that she has thereby subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 80894 to disciplinary action.
- 11. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

12. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 14. Respondent agrees that if she ever petitions for early termination or modification of the Decision, or if an accusation is filed against her before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2023-101989 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

15. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

A: PUBLIC REPRIMAND

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 80894, issued to Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. (Respondent) shall be and is hereby publicly reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This public reprimand is issued because Respondent provided childhood vaccine exemptions to three pediatric patients, which were alleged to have constituted three separate acts of gross negligence, repeated negligent acts and incompetence, as set forth in Accusation number 800-2023-101989.

B: REMEDIAL EDUCATION

1. <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u>. Respondent is ordered to complete an Education Course within one year of the effective date of this Decision. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision to submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge alleged in the Accusation No. 800-2023-101989 and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

Respondent's failure to complete the Education Course shall be deemed unprofessional

ir ...

conduct in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2234.

MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Respondent is ordered to complete a Medical Record Keeping Course within one year of the effective date of this Decision. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

Failure to timely complete the Record Keeping Course shall be deemed unprofessional conduct in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2234.

2. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Respondent is ordered to complete a Professionalism Program (Ethics Course) within one year of the effective date of this Decision. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not

24

25

26

27

28

later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

Failure to timely complete the Professionalism Program shall constitute unprofessional conduct in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2234.

FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE.

If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2023-101989 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

C: COST RECOVERY

Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$ 12,080.00. Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered unprofessional conduct in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2234.

///

]//

ACCEPTANCE 2 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Kent Thomas Brandmeyer. I understand the stipulation and the 3 effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated 4 5 Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be 6 bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California. 8 DATED: SHKUMAR DESAI, M.D. 9 Respondent I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. the terms 10 and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary 11 Order. I approve its formand content. 12 **J**uly 24, 2024 13 DATED: KENT THOMAS BRANDMEYER 14 Attorney for Respondent 15 **ENDORSEMENT** 16 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully 17 submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. 18 19 Respectfully submitted, DATED: July 24, 2024 20 **ROB BONTA** Attorney General of California 21 ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General 22 23 24 VLADIMIR SHALKEVICH Deputy Attorney General 25 Attorneys for Complainant 26

LA2024601032 66929188.docx

27

28

1

Exhibit A Accusation No. 800-2023-101989

1	Rob Bonta		
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General VLADIMIR SHALKEVICH		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 173955		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6538 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117		
7.	Attorneys for Complainant	· ·	
8			
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2023-101989	
12	PRITI NIMESHKUMAR DESAI, M.D.	ACCUSATION	
13	Emanate Health 315 North Third Avenue, Suite 205		
14	Covina, California 91723		
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 80894,		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	PAR	TIES	
19	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as		
20 j	the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board).		
21	2. On October 25, 2002, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number		
22	A 80894 to Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full force and		
23	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2024,		
24	unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise		
28			

(PRITI NIMESHKUMAR DESAI, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2023-101989

indicated.

4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.

5. Section 2220 of the Code states:

Except as otherwise provided by law, the board may take action against all persons guilty of violating this chapter. The board shall enforce and administer this article as to physician and surgeon certificate holders, including those who hold certificates that do not permit them to practice medicine, such as, but not limited to, retired, inactive, or disabled status certificate holders, and the board shall have all the powers granted in this chapter for these purposes including, but not limited to:

- (a) Investigating complaints from the public, from other licensees, from health care facilities, or from the board that a physician and surgeon may be guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board shall investigate the circumstances underlying a report received pursuant to Section 805 or 805.01 within 30 days to determine if an interim suspension order or temporary restraining order should be issued. The board shall otherwise provide timely disposition of the reports received pursuant to Section 805 and Section 805.01.
- (b) Investigating the circumstances of practice of any physician and surgeon where there have been any judgments, settlements, or arbitration awards requiring the physician and surgeon or his or her professional liability insurer to pay an amount in damages in excess of a cumulative total of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) with respect to any claim that injury or damage was proximately caused by the physician's and surgeon's error, negligence, or omission.
- (c) Investigating the nature and causes of injuries from cases which shall be reported of a high number of judgments, settlements, or arbitration awards against a physician and surgeon.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

- (11) Any other disease deemed appropriate by the department, taking into consideration the recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, the American Academy of Pediatrics, and the American Academy of Family Physicians.
- (b) That the persons required to be immunized be allowed to obtain immunizations from whatever medical source they so desire, subject only to the condition that the immunization be performed in accordance with the regulations of the department and that a record of the immunization is made in accordance with the regulations.
 - (c) Exemptions from immunization for medical reasons.
- (d) For the keeping of adequate records of immunization so that health departments, schools, and other institutions, parents or guardians, and the persons immunized will be able to ascertain that a child is fully or only partially immunized, and so that appropriate public agencies will be able to ascertain the immunization needs of groups of children in schools or other institutions.
- (e) Incentives to public health authorities to design innovative and creative programs that will promote and achieve full and timely immunization of children.
- 9. Section 120370 of the Health and Safety Code states:
- (a) (1) Prior to January 1, 2021, if the parent or guardian files with the governing authority a written statement by a licensed physician and surgeon to the effect that the physical condition of the child is such, or medical circumstances relating to the child are such, that immunization is not considered safe, indicating the specific nature and probable duration of the medical condition or circumstances, including, but not limited to, family medical history, for which the physician and surgeon does not recommend immunization, that child shall be exempt from the requirements of this chapter, except for Section 120380, and exempt from Sections 120400, 120405, 120410, and 120415 to the extent indicated by the physician and surgeon's statement.
- (2) Commencing January 1, 2020, a child who has a medical exemption issued before January 1, 2020, shall be allowed continued enrollment to any public or private elementary or secondary school, child care center, day nursery, nursery school, family day care home, or developmental center within the state until the child enrolls in the next grade span.

For purposes of this subdivision, "grade span" means each of the following:

- (A) Birth to preschool, inclusive.
- (B) Kindergarten and grades 1 to 6, inclusive, including transitional kindergarten.
 - (C) Grades 7 to 12, inclusive.
- (3) Except as provided in this subdivision, on and after July 1, 2021, the governing authority shall not unconditionally admit or readmit to any of those institutions specified in this subdivision, or admit or advance any pupil to 7th grade level, unless the pupil has been immunized pursuant to Section 120335 or the parent or guardian files a medical exemption form that complies with Section 120372.

(b) If there is good cause to believe that a child has been exposed to a disease listed in subdivision (b) of Section 120335 and the child's documentary proof of immunization status does not show proof of immunization against that disease, that child may be temporarily excluded from the school or institution until the local health officer is satisfied that the child is no longer at risk of developing or transmitting the disease.

10. Section 120372 of the Health and Safety Code states:

- (a) (1) By January 1, 2021, the department shall develop and make available for use by licensed physicians and surgeons an electronic, standardized, statewide medical exemption certification form that shall be transmitted directly to the department's California Immunization Registry (CAIR) established pursuant to Section 120440. Pursuant to Section 120375, the form shall be printed, signed, and submitted directly to the school or institution at which the child will attend, submitted directly to the governing authority of the school or institution, or submitted to that governing authority through the CAIR where applicable. Notwithstanding Section 120370, commencing January 1, 2021, the standardized form shall be the only documentation of a medical exemption that the governing authority may accept.
 - (2) At a minimum, the form shall require all of the following information:
- (A) The name, California medical license number, business address, and telephone number of the physician and surgeon who issued the medical exemption, and of the primary care physician of the child, if different from the physician and surgeon who issued the medical exemption.
- (B) The name of the child for whom the exemption is sought, the name and address of the child's parent or guardian, and the name and address of the child's school or other institution.
- (C) A statement certifying that the physician and surgeon has conducted a physical examination and evaluation of the child consistent with the relevant standard of care and complied with all applicable requirements of this section.
- (D) Whether the physician and surgeon who issued the medical exemption is the child's primary care physician. If the issuing physician and surgeon is not the child's primary care physician, the issuing physician and surgeon shall also provide an explanation as to why the issuing physician and not the primary care physician is filling out the medical exemption form.
 - (E) How long the physician and surgeon has been treating the child.
- (F) A description of the medical basis for which the exemption for each individual immunization is sought. Each specific immunization shall be listed separately and space on the form shall be provided to allow for the inclusion of descriptive information for each immunization for which the exemption is sought.
- (G) Whether the medical exemption is permanent or temporary, including the date upon which a temporary medical exemption will expire. A temporary exemption shall not exceed one year. All medical exemptions shall not extend beyond the grade span, as defined in Section 120370.
- (H) An authorization for the department to contact the issuing physician and surgeon for purposes of this section and for the release of records related to the medical exemption to the department, the Medical Board of California, and the

- (C) A medical exemption that the reviewing immunization department staff member determines to be inappropriate or otherwise invalid under subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall also be reviewed by the State Public Health Officer or a physician and surgeon from the department's immunization program designated by the State Public Health Officer. Pursuant to this review, the State Public Health Officer or physician and surgeon designee may revoke the medical exemption.
- (4) Medical exemptions issued prior to January 1, 2020, shall not be revoked unless the exemption was issued by a physician or surgeon that has been subject to disciplinary action by the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California.
- (5) The department shall notify the parent or guardian, issuing physician and surgeon, the school or institution, and the local public health officer with jurisdiction over the school or institution of a denial or revocation under this subdivision.
- (6) If a medical exemption is revoked pursuant to this subdivision, the child shall continue in attendance. However, within 30 calendar days of the revocation, the child shall commence the immunization schedule required for conditional admittance under Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 6000) of Division 1 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations in order to remain in attendance, unless an appeal is filed pursuant to Section 120372.05 within that 30-day time period, in which case the child shall continue in attendance and shall not be required to otherwise comply with immunization requirements unless and until the revocation is upheld on appeal.
- (7) (A) If the department determines that a physician's and surgeon's practice is contributing to a public health risk in one or more communities, the department shall report the physician and surgeon to the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, as appropriate. The department shall not accept a medical exemption form from the physician and surgeon until the physician and surgeon demonstrates to the department that the public health risk no longer exists, but in no event shall the physician and surgeon be barred from submitting these forms for less than two years.
- (B) If there is a pending accusation against a physician and surgeon with the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California relating to immunization standards of care, the department shall not accept a medical exemption form from the physician and surgeon unless and until the accusation is resolved in favor of the physician and surgeon.
- (C) If a physician and surgeon licensed with the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California is on probation for action relating to immunization standards of care, the department and governing authority shall not accept a medical exemption form from the physician and surgeon unless and until the probation has been terminated.
- (8) The department shall notify the Medical Board of California or the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, as appropriate, of any physician and surgeon who has five or more medical exemption forms in a calendar year that are revoked pursuant to this subdivision.
- (9) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a clinically trained immunization program staff member who is a physician and surgeon or a registered nurse may review any exemption in the CAIR or other state database as necessary to protect public health.

(PRITI NIMESHKUMAR DESAI, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2023-101989

licensed day care facilities. At a child's initial enrollment, and at certain milestones thereafter, school or day care personnel must obtain confirmation that the child has received specified immunizations or that there is a valid medical exemption from the vaccine requirement. The mandatory immunization statutes list 10 diseases or disease-causing organisms against which a child must receive immunization: diphtheria, hepatitis B, haemophilus influenzae type b, measles, mumps, pertussis (whooping cough), poliomyelitis (polio), rubella (German measles), tetanus, and varicella (chickenpox).

- 13. In 2015, the California Legislature amended Health and Safety Code section 120325 to eliminate personal beliefs as a basis for exemption from required immunizations for schoolaged children. As a consequence, school-aged children not subject to any other exception were required to have immunizations for 10 vaccine-preventable childhood illnesses as a condition of public school attendance.
- 14. Medical exemptions for vaccinations fall into two categories referred to as "precautions" and "contraindications." A "precaution" is a condition in a recipient that might increase the risk for a serious adverse reaction, might cause diagnostic confusion, or might compromise the ability of the vaccine to produce immunity. A "contraindication" increases the risk for a serious adverse reaction. There are specific contraindications and precautions that apply to individual vaccines. Some precautions are common to all vaccines, such as delaying vaccination due to a moderate or severe illness in the child; in which case such a precaution would be temporary. The presence of a contraindication means that a vaccine should not be administered.
- 15. Immunization exemptions in California are provided by a licensed physician in a written statement that includes 1) that the patient has a physical condition or medical circumstance such that the required immunization is not indicated, 2) which vaccines are exempted, 3) whether the exemption is permanent or temporary, and 4) the expiration date for the exemption, if temporary.

¹ https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/acip-recs/general-recs/contraindications.html

- 16. As of January 1, 2021, all new medical exemptions for school and child care entry must be issued through CAIR-ME, a website maintained by the California Department of Public Health.² CAIR-ME is a secure site for physicians to issue and manage standardized medical exemptions for children in school or child care. Parents use the same site to request medical exemptions from vaccination for their children. Schools and child care facilities can monitor and get updates for medical exemptions issued for children in attendance.
- 17. Patients 1, 2 and 3³ are all minors and related by birth to one another. During the time period pertinent to this Accusation, Patients 1, 2, and 3 were under the medical care of Respondent.

Patient 1

- 18. On or about June 2, 2022, Respondent, a pediatrician, saw Patient 1, a male child with a date of birth (DOB) of 4/20/2021, with the following chief complaint: "13 mon Follow up; C/O Pt. was taken to ER on 4/20 for fever of 104.4 and febrile seizure, mother does not want to vaccinate pt. anymore. Watery stringy stool, fussy on/off." Under the chart note, in response to "History of Present Illness," the following was written: "here for flu febrile seizure post 12 mo vaccinations; hx of fever x 1 day post 2 mo shots as well. since then mom does only few shots at a time; c/o loose watery stools with mucus without blood x l mo ever since milk switched to whole milk." This chart note was included with the CAIR-ME medical exemption form to Patient 1 described below.
- 19. On or about January 12, 2023, Respondent issued a one-year CAIR-ME medical exemption to Patient 1 for the following vaccines and based on the following reasons:

Medical Basis for Exemption: Other Condition

Other Condition Specified: FEBRILE SEIZURE POST VACCINES

Description of Condition: "pt had high fever of 103 after primary series of vaccination and had febrile seizure"

² CAIR-ME stands for the California Immunization Registry Medical Exemption web site https://cair.cdph.ca.gov/exemptions/home

³ Letters are used in lieu of patient names to address privacy concerns.

Patient Medically Exempt for: DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, VAR/VZV Onset Date: 01/11/2023

Exemption Expiration Date: Temporary, expiring 1/10/2024

- 20. During her interview with the Board investigators, Respondent explained that high fever is an indication for medical exemption for immunization per the American Academy of Pediatrics for the DTaP-IPV-HepB vaccine.
- 21. For pertussis vaccines, a progressive or unstable neurologic disorder, including infantile spasms, uncontrolled seizures or progressive encephalopathy is considered a precaution. Patient 1 suffered a simple febrile seizure, for which no further work up was performed. Consequently, Patient 1's neurologic status was stable and not progressive.
- 22. For an MMRV vaccine, personal or family history of seizures of any etiology is considered a precaution. Personal or a family history of seizures of any etiology are not precautions or contraindications for MMR or VAR vaccines.
- 23. The CDC [Contraindications and Precautions: General Best Practice Guidelines for Immunization, TABLE 4-2 lists "Conditions incorrectly perceived as contraindications or precautions to vaccination" (i.e., vaccines may be given under these conditions)] specifically states for DTaP vaccines, that these are conditions commonly misperceived as contraindications or precautions:
 - Fever within 48 hours after vaccination with a previous dose of DTP or DTaP
 - Seizure: S:3 days after receiving a previous dose of DTP/DTaP
- 24. The American Academy of Pediatrics does not list high fever after a previous dose of DTaPIPV- HepB as a contraindication or an indication for medical exemption for immunization for the DTaP-IPV-HepB vaccine or its components.
- 25. The febrile seizure occurred following HepA and PCV vaccines, rather than DTaP-IPV-HepB. Therefore, with the above considerations for Patient A, teething, history of febrile seizure following vaccination, history of fever up to 103 following vaccination, hemangioma, and lactose intolerance are not considered contraindications or precautions for routine immunizations by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the American Academy of Pediatrics.

•

Patient 2

- 26. On or about August 4, 2022, Respondent saw Patient 2, a female child with a DOB of 12/27/2017, with a documented complaint as follows: "[w]ants more information on Medical Exemption form for vaccines. Also requesting derm ref." Under the chart note in response to "History of Present Illness," the following was written "LABS WNL. pt c/o on and off rashes on cheek from last 2 years. had 3 or 4 flares up till now and always consistent with vaccines timing; have tried multiple times oral abx and topical abx and steroids cream." This chart note was included with the CAIR-ME medical exemption form to Patient 2 described below.
- 27. On or about August 3, 2023, Respondent issued a CAIR-ME medical exemption form to Patient 2 for the following vaccines and based on the following reasons:

Medical Basis for Exemption: Other Condition

Onset Date: 01/01/2022

Other Condition Specified: FEBRILE ILLNESS AND RASH

Description of Condition: PT DEVELOPS WORSENING OF HER EXISTING RASH AND FEVER POST VACCINATION

Patient Medically Exempt for: DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, VAR/VZV

Exemption Expiration Date: Temporary, expiring 8/3/2024

- 28. During her interview with the Board investigators Respondent explained that febrile illness and rash is an indication for medical exemption for immunization per the American Academy of Pediatrics.
- 29. There is no concern stated that the patient had a rash that would be indication of an anaphylactic reaction to vaccines.
- 30. An acute febrile illness may be considered a general precaution to immunization. However the American Academy of Pediatrics does not list febrile illness and rash as an indication for medical exemption for immunization.
- 31. History of thrush, colic, teething, seborrhea, impetigo, staph scalded skin syndrome, vasculitis vs ecchymosis, papular dermatitis, autism vs sensory issues, URI, eczema, dermatitis vs

.,

discoid lupus are not considered contraindications or precautions for routine immunizations by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the American Academy of Pediatrics.

Patient 3

- 32. On or about March 2, 2016, Respondent saw Patient 3, a female child with a DOB of 4/20/2011, who had been coughing for a week. Patient 3 had been diagnosed as autistic at 2 years of age. Patient 3 was noted to be well nourished and developed. Additionally, Patient 3 was noted to have received the following: "DTaP #5 given. IPV #4 given. MMR #2 given. Varieella #2 given. TB risk assessment done and PPD placed." This chart note was included with the CAIR-ME medical exemption form to Patient 3 described below.
- 33. On or about May 15, 2023, Respondent issued a CAIR-ME medical exemption form to Patient 3 for the following vaccines and based on the following reasons:

Medical Basis for Exemption: Other Condition

Other Condition Specified: Autism

Description of Condition: Patient has autism

Patient Medically Exempt for: DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, VAR/VZV

Onset Date: 05/15/2023

Exemption Expiration Date: Permanent, expiring at the end of the selected grade span

- 34. During her [subject interview], Respondent explained that autism is a contraindication for administration of MMR vaccine per her peer review and journals.
- 35. The American Academy of Pediatrics states that it is false to claim that vaccines cause autism. A history of autism, nose bleeds, papular dermatitis, obesity, acne, mother wanting to refrain from vaccination are not considered contraindications or precautions for routine immunizations by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the American Academy of Pediatrics.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

36. Respondent Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that Respondent committed gross negligence in her

care and treatment of Patient 1, Patient 2 and Patient 3, as alleged in paragraphs 12 through 35, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. The circumstances are as follows:

- 37. Allegations of Paragraphs 12 through 35 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 38. Each of the following was an extreme departure from the standard of care, as well as an instance of a demonstrated lack of knowledge or ability:

Respondent Issued Vaccine Exemptions for Inappropriate Medical Reasons:

- A) Respondent issued to Patient 1 a temporary one-year exemption from vaccinations DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, and VAR/VZV for inappropriate medical reasons.
- B) Respondent issued to Patient 2 a temporary one-year exemption from DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, and VAR/VZV vaccinations for inappropriate medical reasons.
- C) Respondent issued to Patient 3 a permanent exemption from DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, and VAR/VZV for inappropriate medical reasons.

Respondent Improperly Issued All-Encompassing Vaccine Exemptions:

- D) Respondent issued to Patient 1 a temporary one-year exemption from DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, and VAR/VZV vaccinations even though those vaccines do not have common ingredients that would serve as a contraindication to all of the vaccines Respondent exempted Patient 1.
- E) Respondent issued to Patient 2 a temporary one-year exemption from DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, and VAR/VZV vaccinations even though those vaccines do not have common ingredients that would serve as a contraindication to all of the vaccines Respondent exempted Patient 2.
- F) Respondent issued to Patient 3 a permanent exemption from DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, and VAR/VZV vaccinations even though those vaccines do not have common ingredients that would serve as a contraindication to all of the vaccines Respondent exempted Patient 3.

//

Respondent Issued a Permanent Vaccine Exemption Inappropriately:

G) Respondent issued to Patient 3 a permanent exemption from DTaP, HepB, Hib, IPV, MMR, Tdap, and VAR/VZV, when a permanent exemption was not appropriate based on Respondent's diagnoses of Patient C.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 39. Respondent Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that she committed repeated acts of negligence in her care and treatment of Patients 1, 2 and 3. The circumstances are as follows:
- 40. The allegations of the First Cause for Discipline are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. The facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline, above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, represent repeated acts of negligence.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incompetence)

- 42. Respondent Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d), of the Code, in that she demonstrated incompetence in connection with her care and treatment of Patients 1, 2 and 3. The circumstances are as follows:
- 43. The allegations of the First Cause for Discipline are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 44. The facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline, above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, represent incompetence. As such, cause for discipline exists.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

45. Respondent Priti Nimeshkumar Desai, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, in that she failed to maintain adequate and accurate records. As set