## BEFORE THE PODIATRIC MEDICAL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No: 500-2021-001178

Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M.

Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License No. E-4263

Respondent.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby accepted and adopted by the Podiatric Medical Board of the Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on AUG 1 5 2024

IT IS SO ORDERED: JUL 1 6 2024

PODIATRIC MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Carolyn McAloon, DPM, President

1	Rob Bonta	
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL	
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	WENDY WIDLUS Deputy Attorney General	,
4	State Bar No. 82958 California Department of Justice	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6457	
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 E-mail: Wendy.Widlus@doj.ca.gov	<i>,</i>
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
- [	BEFORE	
10	PODIATRIC MEDI DEPARTMENT OF CON	
11	STATE OF CAL	
12		
13	<u> </u>	Case No. 500-2021-001178
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	-
15	CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.	OAH No. 2023040829
16	1551 Bishop Street, Suite. 310 C San Luis Obispo, California 93401	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
17	Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License DPM 4236,	
18	Responden	
19		
20		
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	<b>EED</b> by and between the parties to the above-
22	entitled proceedings that the following matters are to	ue:
23	PARTI	<u>28</u>
24	1. Brian Naslund (Complainant) is the Exe	cutive Officer of the Podiatric Medical Board
25	(Board). He brought this action solely in his official	capacity and is represented in this matter by
26	Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of Califor	nia, by Wendy Widlus, Deputy Attorney
27	General.	
28	2. Respondent Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.	M. (Respondent) is represented in this

1	proceeding by attorney James R. Parrett of 3610 Fourteenth Street, P.O. Box 1299, Riverside, CA
2	92502.
3	3. On November 3, 1999, the Board issued Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License No.
4	DPM 4236 to Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M. (Respondent). That license was in full force and
5	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 500-2021-001178, and will
6	expire on May 31, 2025, unless renewed.
7	JURISDICTION
8	4. Accusation No. 500-2021-001178 was filed before the Board and is currently pendin
9	against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly
10	served on Respondent on December 16, 2022. Respondent timely filed a Notice of Defense
11	contesting the Accusation.
12	5. A copy of Accusation No. 500-2021-001178 is attached as Exhibit A and is
13	incorporated herein by reference.
14	ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS
15	6. Respondent has carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understands the
16	charges and allegations in Accusation No. 500-2021-001178. Respondent has also carefully read
17	fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and
18	Disciplinary Order.
1 <b>9</b>	7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a
20	hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine
21	the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right
22	to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of
23	documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other
24	rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
25	8. Having the benefit of counsel, Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently
26	waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.
27	CULPABILITY
28	9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation
	2

No. 500-2021-001178, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his
 Podiatrist License.

10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline if sustained. Respondent believes that he could present evidence disputing the factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, but he hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

9 11. Respondent agrees that his Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License is subject to
10 discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the
11 Disciplinary Order below.

12. If an Accusation and/or Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against the Respondent 12 before the Board, or Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, 13 and/or file a petition for reinstatement of a license, before the Board or any other health care 14 licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in 15 the Accusation No. 500-2021-001178 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and fully admitted by 16 Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any disciplinary proceeding seeking to 17 deny, restrict, or revoke licensure or any petition proceeding seeking to reinstate licensure or 18 modify probation. 19

20

23

### **CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION**

21 13. Respondent Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M. has been licensed for over three decades
22 and has never been the subject of any disciplinary action.

### CONTINGENCY

14. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Podiatric Medical Board.
Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Podiatric
Medical Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and
settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the
stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek
to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails

toSTUBBLEATE SUBSTITUEMENT & DUSSIBHINAROARE, ER Chipunated Sterner Part Stre Diserplinary 178)

ł	· · ·
1	Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal
2	action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having
3	considered this matter.
4	15. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
5	copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile
6	signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
7	16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
8	the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following
9	Disciplinary Order:
10	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Respondent Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M. shall be
12	and is hereby Publicly Reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code
13	sections 2222 and 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This Public Reprimand, which is issued in
14	connection with Accusation No. 500-2021-001178, is as follows:
15	In March 2020, the Respondent diagnosed Patient 1 with gout, prescribed Allopurinol
16	and continued to treat the patient with Allopurinol after the patient's bloodwork was negative for gout. Respondent then treated Patient 1 on March 4, 2020, April 23,
<b>17</b> ·	2020, and May 27, 2020, with steroid injections into Patient 1's first metatarsophalangeal joint of his right foot, as more fully described in Accusation No.
18	500-2021-001178.
19	1. <u>PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE</u> Within 60 days of the effective date of
20	this Decision and Order, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices, at
21	Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall
22	successfully complete the course within 6 months of the effective date of this Decision and Order.
23	Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
24	designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than
25	6 months after the effective date of this Decision and Order, whichever is later.
26	A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the
27	Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board
28	or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have
	4
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT & DISCIPLINARY ORDER (Christopher Byrne, DPM, Case 500-2021-001178)

been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of
 this Decision.

Any failure to fully comply with this term and condition of the Decision and Order shall
constitute unprofessional conduct and will subject Respondent's Doctor of Podiatric Medicine
License to further disciplinary action.

6 3. <u>COST RECOVERY</u> Within 90 calendar days from the effective date of the Decision 7 or other period agreed to by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall reimburse the Board the 8 amount of \$19,472.50 for its investigative and prosecution costs. The filing of bankruptcy or 9 period of non-practice by Respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his obligation to 10 reimburse the Board for its costs.

11 //

 $\parallel$ 

 $\parallel$ 



1	
1	ACCEPTANCE
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully
3	discussed it with my attorney, James R. Parrett. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will
4	have on my Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and
5	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the
6	Decision and Order of the Podiatric Medical Board.
7	
. 8 9	DATED: <u>20272024</u> CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNED.P.M. Respondent
. 10	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M. the terms
11	and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
12	Order. I approve its form and content.
, 13	DATED: February 2, 2024
14	JAMES R. PARRETT Attorney for Respondent
15	
16	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
17	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
18	submitted for consideration by the Podiatric Medical Board.
1 <b>9</b>	2/2/2024
20	DATED: Respectfully submitted,
21	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
22	ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General
23	Wendy Widlus
24	Wendy Widlus
25	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
26	Auorneys jor Complainani
27	
28	
:	6

## Exhibit A

Ĩ

# Accusation No. 500-2021-001178

ł

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General WENDY WIDLUS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 82958 California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6457 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 E-mail: Wendy.Widlus@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	ETHË
10	PODIATRIC ME	DICAL BOARD
11	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 500-2021-001178
14 15	CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M. 1551 Bishop Street, Suite. 310C San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	ACCUSATION
16		
17	Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License No. 4236,	
18	Respondent.	
19		
.0	PART	TIES
1	1. Brian Naslund (Complainant) brings t	his Accusation solely in his official capacity as
2	the Executive Officer of the Podiatric Medical Bo	ard (Board).
3	2. On November 3, 1999, the Board issu	ed Podiatrist License Number DPM 4236 to
24	Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M. (Respondent). The	at license was in full force and effect at all times
25	relevant to the charges brought herein and will ex	pire on May 31, 2023, unless renewed.
26	JURISD	ICTION
27 28	3. This Accusation is brought before the	Board under the authority of the following
		1
		(CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.) ACCUSATION

Ń

.

1	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise
2	indicated.
3	4. Section 2229 of the Code states:
4	(a) Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the Division of
5	Medical Quality, the California Board of Podiatric Medicine, and administrative law judges of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel in exercising their disciplinary authority.
6	(b) In exercising his or her disciplinary authority an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel, the division, or the California Panel of Padiatria
7	the Medical Quality Hearing Panel, the division, or the California Board of Podiatric Medicine, shall, wherever possible, take action that is calculated to aid in the
8	rehabilitation of the licensee, or where, due to a lack of continuing education or other reasons, restriction on scope of practice is indicated, to order restrictions as are indicated by the evidence.
9	
10	(c) It is the intent of the Legislature that the division, the California Board of Podiatric Medicine, and the enforcement program shall seek out those licensees who have demonstrated deficiencies in competency and then take those actions as are
11	indicated, with priority given to those measures, including further education, restrictions from practice, or other means, that will remove those deficiencies. Where
12	rehabilitation and protection are inconsistent, protection shall be paramount.
13	5. Section 2222 of the Code states:
14	The California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall enforce and administer this
15	article as to doctors of podiatric medicine. Any acts of unprofessional conduct or other violations proscribed by this chapter are applicable to licensed doctors of podiatric medicine and wherever the Medical Quality Hearing Panel established
16 17	under Section 11371 of the Government Code is vested with the authority to enforce and carry out this chapter as to licensed doctors of podiatric medicine.
18	The California Board of Podiatric Medicine may order the denial of an
19	application or issue a certificate subject to conditions as set forth in Section 2221, or order the revocation, suspension, or other restriction of, or the modification of that
20	penalty, and the reinstatement of any certificate of a doctor of podiatric medicine within its authority as granted by this chapter and in conjunction with the administrative hearing procedures established pursuant to Sections 11371, 11372,
21	11373, and 11529 of the Government Code. For these purposes, the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall exercise the powers granted and be governed by the
22	procedures set forth in this chapter.
23	6. Section 2497 of the Code states:
24	(a) The board may order the denial of an application for, or the suspension of, or the revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a certificate
25	to practice podiatric medicine for any of the causes set forth in Article 12 (commencing with Section 2220) in accordance with Section 2222.
26	(b) The board may hear all matters, including but not limited to, any contested
27 28	case or may assign any such matters to an administrative law judge. The proceedings shall be held in accordance with Section 2230. If a contested case is heard by the board itself, the administrative law judge who presided at the hearing shall be present
20	2
	(CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.) ACCUSATION
•	

•

ľ	· ·
	during the board's consideration of the case and shall assist and advise the board.
1	7. Section 2227 of the Code states:
2	(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of
3	the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered
4	into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
5	(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
6	(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one
7	year upon order of the board.
8	(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
9	(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a
10	requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
11	(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of
12	probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
13	(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations,
14 15	continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made
16	available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.
17	8. Section 2234 of the Code states in pertinent part:
18	The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
19	
20	
21	(b) Gross negligence.
22	(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a
23	separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
24	(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically
25	appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
26	(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or
27	omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure
28	constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
	3
	(CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.) ACCUSATI

(CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.) ACCUSATION

.

1	•••
2	COST RECOVERY
3	
4	9. Section 2497.5 of the Code states:
5	(a) The board may request the administrative law judge, under his or her proposed decision in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, to
6	direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of
7	the case.
8	(b) The costs to be assessed shall be fixed by the administrative law judge and shall not be increased by the board unless the board does not adopt a proposed
9	decision and in making its own decision finds grounds for increasing the costs to be assessed, not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and
10	prosecution of the case.
11	(c) When the payment directed in the board's order for payment of costs is not made by the licensee, the board may enforce the order for payment by bringing an
12	action in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee directed to pay costs.
13	(d) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision
14	shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
15	(e)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or
16	reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
17	(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion,
18 19	conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within one year period for those unpaid costs.
	(f) All costs recovered under this section shall be deposited in the Board of
20 21	Podiatric Medicine Fund as a reimbursement in either the fiscal year in which the costs are actually recovered or the previous fiscal year, as the board may direct.
22	DEFINITIONS
23	10. A dermal ulceration plantar is defined as follows: "dermal" relates to the skin or
24	dermis; "plantar" refers to the sole of the foot; an "ulceration" is an ulcer, i.e., a patch of tissue
25	that is discontinuous with the surrounding tissue because the tissue within the ulcer has decayed
26	or died and been swept away.
27	11. The first metatarsophalangeal joint is commonly known as the big toe joint. It is a
28	"synovial" joint [a type of joint which is surrounded by a thick flexible membrane forming a sac
	4 (CURISTORUED M. RVENE, D.B.M.) ACCURATION
1	(CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.) ACCUSATION

.

. •

into which is secreted a viscous fluid that lubricates the joint] that connects the metatarsal bones of the foot to the proximal phalanges of the toes. This type of joint permits motion and performs a major role in walking.

12. Gout is sudden, severe pain in one or more joints caused by abnormally high levels of 4 a substance called uric acid in the blood.

13. Allopurinol is a medication used for the treatment and prevention of chronic gout 6 attacks and certain types of kidney stones. It is also used to treat elevated uric acid levels in the 7 blood and urine. 8

9 14. Colchicine is used to prevent gout attacks in adults and is also used to relieve the pain of gout attacks when they occur. Colchicine is not a pain reliever and cannot be used to treat pain 10 that is not caused by gout. Colchicine is in a class of medications called anti-gout agents. It works 11 by stopping the natural processes that cause swelling and other symptoms of gout. 12

Steroid injections are injections of man-made drugs which are similar to cortisol, a 15. 13 hormone the body produces in the adrenal glands. Steroid injections ease inflammation and slow 14 the body's immune system. Steroid injections are used to treat many kinds of inflammatory 15 conditions. 16

17 16. Peripheral artery disease (also called peripheral arterial disease) is defined as a common condition in which narrowed arteries reduce blood flow to the arms or legs. In 18 peripheral artery disease, the legs or arms — usually the legs — do not receive enough blood 19 flow to keep up with demand. This may cause leg pain when walking and other symptoms. 20 Peripheral artery disease is usually a sign of a buildup of fatty deposits in the arteries or 21 atherosclerosis which causes narrowing of the arteries that can reduce blood flow in the legs and 22 sometimes the arms. 23

An intra-articular injection is an injection given in a joint to treat conditions like gout, 17. 24 tendinitis, bursitis, rheumatoid arthritis, carpal tunnel syndrome, psoriatic arthritis, and sometimes 25 osteoarthritis. In the procedure, the affected joint is injected with a hypodermic needle through 26 which anti-inflammatory agents are delivered. 27

28

1

2

3

5

Sesamoid bones can be present as a normal variant in the body or form in response to 18.

strain. The kneecap is the largest sesamoid bone in the body. Sesamoids act like pulleys,
 providing a smooth surface for tendons to slide over, increasing the tendon's ability to transmit
 muscular forces.

19. Osteomyelitis is an infection in a bone. Infections can reach a bone by traveling
through the bloodstream or spreading from nearby tissue or can also begin in the bone itself if an
injury exposes the bone to germs. People with chronic health conditions, such as diabetes or
kidney failure, are more at risk of developing osteomyelitis. People may develop osteomyelitis in
their feet if they have foot ulcers although osteomyelitis can be successfully treated. Most people
need surgery to remove areas of the bone that have died. After surgery the patient will often
require strong intravenous antibiotics.

#### 11

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

12 20. The Board opened an investigation into the Respondent's care of Patient 1<sup>1</sup> which
13 disclosed Patient 1 went to see Respondent after Patient 1 developed a callus on the bottom of his
14 right-side big toe which was infected and oozing.

15 21. In January 2020, Patient 1 initially saw Respondent's physician assistant who cut
open the infected callus and found a hole inside. Patient 1 was treated for the ulceration from
January 2020 through early March 2020.

18 22. In March 2020, the Respondent diagnosed Patient 1 with gout and prescribed
19 Allopurinol. The Respondent continued to treat the patient with Allopurinol. For approximately
20 six months, Patient 1 occasionally saw Respondent to check on the progress of Patient 1's toe
21 whose condition failed to improve and became worse.

22

23

23. Respondent continued to treat Patient 1 for gout with Allopurinol after the patient's bloodwork was negative for gout.

24 24. Respondent then treated Patient 1 with steroid injections which he delivered via a
25 plantar approach into Patient 1's first metatarsophalangeal joint of his right foot via injections on
26 March 4, 2020, April 23, 2020, and May 27, 2020.

27

28

<sup>1</sup> The name of the patients and/or witnesses are anonymized to protect their privacy rights. The names will be provided to the Respondent upon written request for discovery.

25. On May 27, 2020, the date of the third steroid injection, the Respondent observed a
 notable instability on Patient 1's first metatarsophalangeal joint. An MRI of Patient 1's foot
 confirmed Patient 1's first metatarsophalangeal joint of his right foot was now dislocated. The
 Respondent did not provide the MRI results to Patient 1.

5 26. The Respondent provided Patient 1 with an orthopedic boot. The Respondent also
6 suggested placing pins and screws inside of the toe. Patient 1's toe continued to get worse and
7 failed to improve.

8 27. When the condition of Patient 1's toe continued to worsen, Patient 1 went to his
9 primary care physician, who observed that Patient 1 was still wearing the boot but not healing.
10 Patient 1's primary care physician suggested the see another podiatrist for a second opinion.

28. Patient 1 saw another podiatrist who reviewed the patient's files and the MRI and
stated Patient 1 needed exploratory surgery to observe the damage and to clean the wound.

29. The second podiatrist performed the surgery and tried to put Patient 1's toe in place
but was unable to do so. The second podiatrist informed Patient 1 that one of the tendons in his
toe was gone and explained that Patient 1's tendon was either severed or the infection had
destroyed it. The second podiatrist cleaned out Patient 1's infection and prescribed a high dose of
antibiotics for him.

30. After taking the antibiotics Patient 1 followed up with the second podiatrist
approximately three weeks later. At that time the second podiatrist informed Patient 1 that she
would need to amputate his big toe so the infection didn't spread to other toes or parts of his foot.
In addition to amputating Patient 1's big toe, she also removed part of the metatarsal of Patient 1's
foot above his big toe.

31. After the amputation, Patient 1 spent approximately six months in a wheelchair.
Patient 1 stated the wound healed well and he did not experience any further issues with his foot
problems after the recuperation period. However, Patient 1 has a difficult time with his balance
as well as with orientating himself. Patient 1 has had to make many adjustments to his daily life
as a result.

28

32. The standard of care does not permit giving multiple articular injections through

. 1	tendon and sesamoids into the first metatarsophalangeal joint of a patient with confirmed
2	peripheral artery disease and poor circulation.
3	33. The standard of care does not permit giving multiple steroid injections in an area of
4	prior ulceration.
5	34. The standard of care for the treatment of gout does not permit giving a patient
·6	multiple steroid injections without confirming a diagnosis of gout through laboratory tests.
7	35. The standard of care for the treatment of gout is to give a single steroid injection
8	option, perform blood tests for gout, and provide the patient with a prescription for Colchicine.
9	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Gross Negligence)
11	36. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of
12	the Code, in that he was grossly negligent when he engaged in the conduct described above in
13	paragraphs 20 through 31, including but not limited to, the following:
14	A. Respondent's treatments of multiple steroid injections delivered via a plantar
15	approach into the patient's first metatarsophalangeal joint of his right foot on March 4, 2020,
16	April 23, 2020, and May 27, 2020, to a patient with confirmed peripheral arterial disease and poor
17	circulation were each extreme departures from the standard of care.
<b>18</b> -	B. Respondent's treatments of multiple steroid injections delivered to Patient 1's
19	foot into an area of the foot with confirmed prior ulceration via a plantar approach into Patient 1's
20	first metatarsophalangeal joint of his right foot on March 4, 2020, April 23, 2020, and May 27,
21	2020, were each extreme departures from the standard of care.
22	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Repeated Negligent Acts)
24	37. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of
25	the Code, in that he was negligent in his care and treatment of Patient 1. The circumstances are as
26	follows:
27	38. Respondent injected steroids via a plantar approach into Patient 1's first
28	metatarsophalangeal joint of his right foot on multiple occasions to treat Patient 1 for acute gout.
•	(CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.) ACCUSATION

1	39.	The Respondent prescribed only Allopurinol to Patient 1 to treat what he believed to
2	be Patient	1's acute gout.
3	40.	The allegations of the First Cause for Discipline are incorporated herein by reference
4	as if fully a	set forth.
5	41.	Respondent's acts and/or omissions as outlined in in paragraphs 20 through 26, above,
6	whether pr	oven individually, jointly or in any combination thereof, constitute repeated negligent
7	acts, pursu	ant to section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code. Therefore, cause for discipline exists.
8		THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	х	(Unprofessional Conduct)
10	42.	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 of the code in that
11	Responder	nt engaged in unprofessional conduct. The circumstances are as follows:
12	43.	The allegations of the First and Second Causes for Discipline are incorporated herein
13	by referen	ce as if fully set forth.
14	44.	Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in in paragraphs 20 through 26,
15	above, whe	ether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute
16	unprofessi	onal conduct.
17	//	
18	//	
19	//	
20	11,	
21	//	
22	//	
23	//	
24		
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	
	[ 	9
		(CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.) ACCUSATION

1	PRAYER
2	WHEREFORE, the Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
3	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Podiatric Medical Board issue a decision:
4	1. Revoking or suspending Doctor of Podiatric Medicine License No. 4236, issued to
5	Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M.;
6	2. Ordering Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M to pay the Podiatric Medical Board the
7	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and
8	Professions Code section 2497.5;
9	3. Ordering Christopher M. Byrne, D.P.M if placed on probation, to pay the costs of
10	probation monitoring; and,
11	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
12	$\frown$
13	
14	DATED: DEC 1 6 2022
. 15	BRIAN NASLUND
16	Executive Officer Podiatric Medical Board
17	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
18	Complainant
19	
20	LA2022602841 65614454.docx
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	· ·
	10
	(CHRISTOPHER M. BYRNE, D.P.M.) ACCUSATION

.