BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Michelle Denise Ward, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 61462

Respondent.

Case No. 800-2022-093768

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 28, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED May 20, 2024.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Reji Varghese Executive Director

1	Rob Bonta	·		
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA L. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 179733			
4				
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013			
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6475 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117	•		
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2022-093768		
12	MICHELLE DENISE WARD, M.D. 2776 North Garey Avenue			
13	Pomona, CA 91767	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER		
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 61462,	LICENSE AND ORDER		
15	Respondent.			
16				
17	·			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AC	GREED by and between the parties to the		
19	above-entitled proceedings that the following i	natters are true:		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>			
21	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the E	Executive Director of the Medical Board of		
22	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this			
23	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Rebecca L. Smith, Deputy			
24	Attorney General.			
25	2. Michelle Denise Ward, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by			
26	attorney Raymond Blessey, whose address is 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 450, Manhattan			
27	Beach, CA 90266-2436.			
28	<i>///</i> .			
		1		

3. On or about September 28, 1987, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 61462 to Respondent. That license expired on April 30, 2021, and has not been renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 800-2022-093768 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2022-093768 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2022-093768. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2022-093768, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline.

Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. Business and Professions Code section 2224, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent part, that the Medical Board "shall delegate to its executive director the authority to adopt a ... stipulation for surrender of a license."
- 12. Respondent understands that, by signing this stipulation, he enables the Executive Director of the Board to issue an order, on behalf of the Board, accepting the surrender of her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 61462 without further notice to, or opportunity to be heard by, Respondent.
- 13. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to the approval of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Executive Director for his consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Executive Director shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, Respondent fully understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Executive Director, on behalf of the Medical Board, considers and acts upon it.
- 14. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Executive Director on behalf of the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, the Executive Director and/or the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the

Executive Director, the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Executive Director on behalf of the Board does not, in his discretion, approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order be rejected for any reason by the Executive Director on behalf of the Board, Respondent will assert no claim that the Executive Director, the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 15. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 16. The parties agree that copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, including copies of the signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, that such copies shall have the same force and effect as originals.
- 17. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree the Executive Director of the Board may, without further notice to or opportunity to be heard by Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order on behalf of the Board:

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 61462, issued to Respondent Michelle Denise Ward, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Board.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a physician and surgeon in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board her pocket license and, if one was issued, her wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked or surrendered license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2022-093768 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.
- 5. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of Four Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$4,960.50) prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- 6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2022-093768 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney Raymond Blessey. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: may 3, 2024

MICHELLE DENISE WARD, M.D.

Respondent

approve its	form and content. 5/13/24	lagrend & blency
DATED:		RAYMOND BLESSEY Attorney for Respondent
	E	<u>NDORSEMENT</u>
		of License and Order is hereby respectfully submi
for consider		f California of the Department of Consumer Affair
DATED:	May 16, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO
		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
		455
		J REBECT L. SMITH Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
LA202460010 66553038.doc		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2022-093768

	1		
1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
2	JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General	•	
3	REBECCA L. SMITH		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 179733		
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6475 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2022-093768	
12	MICHELLE DENISE WARD, M.D.	ACCUSATION	
13	2776 North Garey Avenue Pomona, CA 91767		
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 61462,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17			
18	<u>PARTIES</u>		
19		his Accusation solely in his official capacity as	
20	the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs		
21	(Board).		
22	2. On or about September 28, 1987, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
23	Certificate Number G 61462 to Michelle Denise Ward, M.D. (Respondent). That license expire		
24	on April 30, 2021, and has not been renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise		
28	indicated.		

26 | ///

- (a) The withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any such ground.
- (b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.
- (c) As used in this section, "board" includes an individual who is authorized by any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and "license" includes "certificate," "registration," and "permit."

5. Section 2227 of the Code states:

- (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

If a licensing agency determines that its licentiate's ability to practice his or her

6.

9

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

.. 27

28

profession safely is impaired because the licentiate is mentally ill, or physically ill affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by any one of the following methods:

Section 822 of the Code states:

(a) Revoking the licentiate's certificate or license.

- (b) Suspending the licentiate's right to practice.
- (c) Placing the licentiate on probation.
- (d) Taking such other action in relation to the licentiate as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper.

The licensing section shall not reinstate a revoked or suspended certificate or license until it has received competent evidence of the absence or control of the condition which caused its action and until it is satisfied that with due regard for the public health and safety the person's right to practice his or her profession may be safely reinstated.

7. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - (d) Incompetence.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

- (f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- (g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board no later than 30 calendar days after being notified by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.
- (h) Any action of the licensee, or another person acting on behalf of the licensee, intended to cause their patient or their patient's authorized representative to rescind consent to release the patient's medical records to the board or the Department of Consumer Affairs, Health Quality Investigation Unit.
- (i) Dissuading, intimidating, or tampering with a patient, witness, or any person in an attempt to prevent them from reporting or testifying about a licensee.
- 8. Section 2266 of the Code states:

The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

COST RECOVERY

- 9. Section 125.3 of the Code states:
- (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- (b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.
- (c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.
- (d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).
- (e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.
 - (f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be

conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

- (g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.
- (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.
- (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.
- (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary proceeding.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Inability to Practice)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 822 of the Code, in that she is unable to engage in the practice of medicine due to mental and physical illnesses which impair her ability to safely practice, as indicated by her treating neurologist, Dr. M.P.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that she was grossly negligent in the orthopedic care and treatment of Patient 1.¹ The circumstances are as follows:
- 12. On or about October 3, 2019, Patient 1, a then 55-year-old female, presented to Respondent, an orthopedic surgeon, with lateral-sided right ankle pain. Patient 1 had the ankle pain for approximately one year and underwent conservative treatment without improvement. Respondent diagnosed Patient 1 with right ankle peroneal tendonitis and recommended surgical exploration of the tendons with possible repair versus resection.
 - 13. On or about January 3, 2020, Respondent performed surgery on Patient 1.

¹ The patient is identified herein by number to protect her privacy.

Respondent's operative report reflects that she performed an excision of the right ankle peroneus brevis tendon with anastomosis to the peroneus longus tendon proximally. Respondent noted that there were no complications and the patient was taken to the recovery room in satisfactory condition.

- 14. On or about January 21, 2020, Patient 1 presented to Respondent for a postoperative visit. Patient 1 complained of sharp pains throughout her foot, swelling, and numbness throughout her toes. Respondent noted that the ankle was unremarkable upon inspection with no tenderness to the ankle. Respondent did not identify and document the location and condition of the surgical incision.
- 15. Postoperatively, Patient 1 continued to have lateral-sided ankle pain and pain to the medial side of the ankle. She also noticed that the surgical incision was on the medial side of her ankle and sought a second opinion from another orthopedic surgeon, Dr. S.P.
- 16. On or about April 10, 2020, Patient 1 presented to Dr. S.P. at which time Dr. S.P. concluded that Respondent performed surgery on the wrong side of Patient 1's ankle. The patient had a healing posteromedial incision over the posterior tibial tendon and no incision over the peroneal tendons on the lateral side of the ankle. A postoperative MRI confirmed an absent flexor digitorum longus tendon on the medial side of the ankle.
- 17. Respondent's failure to operate on Patient 1's peroneal tendon as planned and document that she had in fact done so is an extreme departure from the standard of care.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (c), in that she engaged in repeated acts of negligence in connection with her care and treatment of Patient 1. The circumstances are as follows:
- 19. The allegations of the Second Cause for Discipline are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.
- 20. The allegations of gross negligence set forth above in the Second Cause for Discipline also constitute negligence.

(MICHELLE DENISE WARD, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2022-093768