# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D.

Physician's & Surgeon's Certificate No. G 62426

Respondent.

Case No. 800-2021-075620

## **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 6, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED: May 7, 2024.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Laurie Rose Lubiano, J.D., Chair

Panel A

	•					
1	ROB BONTA					
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General  LATRICE R. HEMPHILL					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 285973 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
5						
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6198 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE					
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2021-075620				
13	DANIEL PEDRO LOPEZ, M.D.	OAH No. 2023090278				
14	625 34th Street, Suite 100 Bakersfield, CA 93301-2307	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND				
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 62426,	DISCIPLINARY ORDER				
16	Respondent.					
17						
18						
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-				
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	e true:				
21	PART	<u>ries</u>				
22	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the E	xecutive Director of the Medical Board of				
23	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in thi					
24	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Latrice R. Hemphill, Deput					
25	Attorney General.					
26	2. Respondent Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding					
27	by attorney Albert J. Garcia, whose address is: 20	001 Addison Street, Suite 300, Berkeley, CA				
28	94704.					
		1				

3. On or about March 7, 1988, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 62426 to Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2021-075620, and will expire on September 30, 2025, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2021-075620 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on August 4, 2023. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2021-075620 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

## **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2021-075620. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

9. Respondent admits the truth of the Second Cause for Discipline in Accusation No. 800-2021-075620.

///

///

10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

### **CONTINGENCY**

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 62426 issued to Respondent Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D. is hereby Publicly Reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This Public Reprimand, which is issued in connection with Accusation No. 800-2021-075620, is as follows:

On or about September 28, 2017, you assumed care for a patient in active labor. During your care and treatment of that patient, you maintained inadequate medical records, as more fully described in Accusation No. 800-2021-075620.

1. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

2. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$15,694.00 (fifteen thousand six hundred and ninety-four dollars). Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

Payment must be made in full within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board of California. Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board. Failure to comply with the payment plan shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

1	ACCEPTANCE		
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully		
3	discussed it with my attorney, Albert J. Garcia. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will		
4	have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and		
5	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the		
6	Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.		
7			
8	DATED: March 19. 2024 Daniel P. Lopez, M.D.  DANIEL PEDRO LOPEZ, M.D.		
9	DANIEL PEDRO LOPEZ, M.D.  Respondent		
10	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D. the terms and		
11	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order		
12	I approve its form and content.		
13			
14	DATED: March 19, 2024 Albert Garcia ALBERT J. GARCIA		
15	ALBERT Y. GARCIA  Attorney for Respondent		
16	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
17	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
18	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.		
19			
20			
21	DATED: Respectfully submitted,		
22	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO		
23	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
24			
25	LATRICE R. HEMPHILL		
26	Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Complainant		
27	LA2023601535		
28	66608400.docx		
	5		

1	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>			
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have ful			
3	discussed it with my attorney, Albert J. Garcia. I understand the stipulation and the effect it wil			
4	have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and			
5	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the			
6	Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.			
7				
8	DATED:			
9	DANIEL PEDRO LOPEZ, M.D. Respondent			
0	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D. the terms and			
1	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order			
2	I approve its form and content.			
3				
4	DATED:			
15	ALBERT J. GARCIA Attorney for Respondent			
16	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>			
7	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully			
8	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.			
9				
20	March 00, 0004			
21	DATED: March 20, 2024 Respectfully submitted,			
22	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California			
23	JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
24	Stemphilo			
25	LATRICE R. HEMPHILL			
26	Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Complainant			
27	LA2023601535 66608400.docx			

1	Rob Bonta			
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General  LATRICE R. HEMPHILL			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 285973			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	·		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6198 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORI	<b>к</b> тнк		
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2021-075620		
13	DANIEL PEDRO LOPEZ, M.D.	ACCUSATION		
14	625 34th Street, Suite 100 Bakersfield, CA 93301			
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 62426,	·		
16	Respondent.			
17				
18		•		
19	PART			
20		his Accusation solely in his official capacity as		
21	the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs			
22	(Board).			
23	2. On or about March 7, 1988, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's			
24	Certificate Number G 62426 to Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and			
25	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought			
26	herein and will expire on September 30, 2023, unless renewed.			
27	///			
28	///			
	1			

(DANIEL PEDRO LOPEZ, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2021-075620

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:
  - (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
    - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
  - (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
  - (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
  - (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
  - (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
  - (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.
  - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
  - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
  - (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically

(DANIEL PEDRO LOPEZ, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2021-075620

///

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 12. On or about September 27, 2017, Patient 1<sup>1</sup> presented to the labor and delivery unit at Kaiser Permanente South Bay Medical Center. Patient 1 was one (1) centimeter (cm) dilated at 39 weeks gestation and complained of contractions. Patient 1 was admitted into the hospital due to spontaneous rupture of membranes (SROM).<sup>2</sup> Patient 1's obstetric history included a prior cesarean section and testing positive for Group B streptococcus.<sup>3</sup> Despite the previous cesarean section, Patient 1 consented to a trial of labor after caesarean.
- 13. Respondent was one of three obstetricians on call on September 27, 2017.

  Respondent assumed care for Patient 1 around midnight on September 28, 2017. Respondent was attending to at least one other patient during the night.
- 14. After a nurse was unable to get a readable fetal heart rate and noticed variability changes in Patient 1's labor, Respondent was called to the delivery room. Patient 1's labor progressed rapidly and by 3:25 a.m., Patient 1 reached nine (9) cm dilation. Around 3:30 a.m., Respondent placed a fetal scalp electrode<sup>4</sup> on Patient 1's fetus. After which, Respondent returned to another patient's room.
- 15. Around 4:30 a.m., Respondent was again called to Patient 1's room. Respondent evaluated the fetal heart rate monitor and Patient 1 was found to have deep variable decelerations of the fetal heart rate, and the fetal heart rate tracings were noted to be Category II.
- 16. Respondent questioned why the attending nurse failed to call another physician, since he was back and forth with another patient. Respondent also questioned why the nurse failed to give Patient 1 the necessary antibiotics to address her Group B streptococcus, before the delivery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The patient is identified as "Patient 1" in this Accusation to protect her privacy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The spontaneous rupture of membranes is when a woman leaks amniotic fluid before labor begins. If the membranes rupture too soon, the fetus is at risk for premature birth or infection.

infection.

3 Group B streptococcus is a bacterium that is normally found in the intestines and genital tract of some pregnant women. It is typically not harmful to the woman, but it can cause serious infections to the baby, including infections of the blood, spinal fluid and lungs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A fetal scalp electrode, also known as a fetal heart rate monitor, is a spiral wire that can be placed on the scalp of the fetus to monitor their heart rate.

- 17. Respondent quickly examined Patient 1 and instructed her to begin pushing. Due to the severe variable decelerations and maternal exhaustion, Respondent placed a vacuum on the baby to expedite the delivery. The baby was born at 4:55 a.m. Respondent noted complications associated with a tight nuchal cord.<sup>5</sup>
- 18. The baby's Apgar<sup>6</sup> scores at one minute, five minutes, and 10 minutes after birth were 3, 5, and 7, respectively. After a neurological exam and a diagnosis of metabolic acidosis,<sup>7</sup> the baby was transferred to the neonatal intensive care unit at Kaiser Permanente Downey Medical Center. The baby underwent further testing and was discharged on or about October 12, 2017, with a diagnosis of neonatal hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy<sup>8</sup> and convulsions.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (c) in that he was repeatedly negligent in his care and treatment of Patient 1. The circumstances are as follows:
- 20. Complainant hereby re-alleges the facts set forth in paragraphs 12 through 17, above, as though fully set forth.
- 21. The ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 116 (2010), Management of Intrapartum Fetal Heart Rate Tracings, provides that Category II tracings require evaluation, continued surveillance, initiation of appropriate corrective measures when indicated, and reevaluation. Once identified, these tracings may require more frequent evaluation, documentation, and continued surveillance, unless they revert to Category I.

<sup>5</sup> A nuchal cord happens when a baby's umbilical cord becomes wrapped around their neck in the womb. This can cause obstruction of blood flow and the fetus essentially experiences

Apgar is a test performed on a baby immediately at birth to determine how well the baby tolerated the birthing process. The provider examines the baby's breathing effort, heart rate, muscle tone, reflexes, and skin color, assigning a score of 0-2 to each category, for a total maximum score of 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Metabolic acidosis is a condition in which too much acid accumulates in the body.

<sup>8</sup> Neonatal hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy is a type of brain damage, which is caused by a lack of oxygen to the brain before or shortly after birth.

- 22. Respondent indicated that Patient 1's fetal heart rate tracing was Category II level during her labor. However, Respondent failed to document any evaluation, surveillance, or resuscitative measures performed throughout Patient 1's course of labor. This failure to document constitutes a simple departure from the standard of care.
- 23. According to the ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 116, amnioinfusion<sup>9</sup> has been shown to decrease the recurrence of variable decelerations, as well as the rate of cesarean delivery for abnormal fetal heart rate patterns.
- 24. Respondent failed to document intrauterine resuscitative efforts, nor did Respondent perform an amnioinfusion to attempt to alleviate variable decelerations of the fetal heart rate.

  These failures constitute a simple departure from the standard of care.
- 25. The standard of care requires communication between all members of the health care team to ensure patient safety. There was very little and poor communication between the nursing staff and Respondent during Patient 1's labor. This constitutes a simple departure from the standard of care.
- 26. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 205 (2019), Vaginal Birth After Cesarean Delivery, provides that continuous fetal heart rate monitoring during a TOLAC is recommended. There were several instances in which the fetal heart rate strip provided inadequate fetal monitoring surveillance or no fetal monitoring at all. Respondent did not ensure that the fetal heart rate strip was affixed and working properly. These deficiencies constitute a simple departure from the standard of care.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Failure to Maintain Adequate Records)

- 27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2266 in that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate medical records documenting his care and treatment of Patient
- 1. The circumstances are as follows:

///

<sup>9</sup> Amnioinfusion is a method in which fluid is instilled into the amniotic/uterine cavity. Augmenting amniotic fluid volume may decrease or eliminate problems, such as severe variable decelerations during labor.

1	28.	28. The allegations in paragraphs 20 through 24, above, are incorporated herein by			
2	reference a	reference as if fully set forth.			
3	<u>PRAYER</u>				
4	WHE	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,			
5	and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:				
6	1.	1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 62426,			
7	issued to Respondent Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D.;				
8	2.	2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Daniel Pedro Lopez,			
9	M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;				
10	3. Ordering Respondent Daniel Pedro Lopez, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the				
11	investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation				
12	monitoring; and				
13	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.				
14					
15	DATED:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
16		Exe	TVARGHESE cutive Director		
17		Deți	dical Board of California eartment of Consumer Affairs e of California		
18			nplainant		
19					
20	LA20236015				
21	65976232.do	ocx			
22					
23					
24			·		
25					
26					
27					
28					
	8				
	(DANIEL PEDRO LOPEZ, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2021-075620				