1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
2	EDWARD KIM Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINA SEIN GOOT Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 229094	
3		
4		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6481 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2021-082975
12	ELISA CUELLAR ALVARADO, M.D. 630 S. Raymond Ave., Suite 340	ACCUSATION
13	Pasadena, CA 91105	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 98438,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	DADWIEG	
18	PARTIES Of the state of the st	
19	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as	
20	the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs	
21	(Board).	
22	2. On or about December 29, 2006, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's	
23	Certificate Number A 98438 to Elisa Cuellar Alvarado, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and	
24	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
25	herein and will expire on August 31, 2024, unless renewed.	
26	JURISDICTION	
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following	
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise	
	1	

(ELISA CUELLAR ALVARADO, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2021-082975

continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

6. Section 2228 of the Code states:

The authority of the board or the California Board of Podiatric Medicine to discipline a licensee by placing him or her on probation includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Requiring the licensee to obtain additional professional training and to pass an examination upon the completion of the training. The examination may be written or oral, or both, and may be a practical or clinical examination, or both, at the option of the board or the administrative law judge.
- (b) Requiring the licensee to submit to a complete diagnostic examination by one or more physicians and surgeons appointed by the board. If an examination is ordered, the board shall receive and consider any other report of a complete diagnostic examination given by one or more physicians and surgeons of the licensee's choice.
- (c) Restricting or limiting the extent, scope, or type of practice of the licensee, including requiring notice to applicable patients that the licensee is unable to perform the indicated treatment, where appropriate.
- (d) Providing the option of alternative community service in cases other than violations relating to quality of care.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 2234 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

- (g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.
- (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.
- (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.
- (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary proceeding.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. At all times relevant to the allegations herein, Respondent was a licensed physician practicing internal medicine.

Patient 1¹

- 11. Respondent first began treating Patient 1, then a 46-year-old female, in or around 2010. Respondent served as Patient 1's primary care physician. From in or around 2017 through 2021,² Respondent regularly treated Patient 1 for various conditions, including chronic low back pain, which was diagnosed as multifactorial with a combination of progressive degenerative disc disease and inflammatory arthritis with mixed connective tissue disease. Respondent prescribed the same dosage of Vicodin (hydrocodone with acetaminophen) ³ to Patient 1 from at least in or around 2018 through 2021 for her back pain.
- 12. Patient 1 also suffered from chronic anxiety, which Respondent treated with Xanax (alprazolam),⁴ 1 mg three times per day. Respondent regularly prescribed alprazolam from at

¹ Patients are identified by number to protect their privacy.

² The medical records that were available to the Board spanned this time period.

³ Vicodin is a brand name for hydrocodone with acetaminophen. Hydrocodone is a semi-synthetic opioid form of codeine. It is a narcotic analgesic taken orally for relief of moderate to severe pain.

¹⁴ Alprazolam is a benzodiazepine drug used to treat anxiety disorders, panic disorders, and anxiety caused by depression. Benzodiazepines are a class of drugs that produce central nervous system (CNS) depression. They are used therapeutically to produce sedation, induce sleep, relieve anxiety and muscle spasms, and to prevent seizures.

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

> 27 28

least in or around 2018 through 2021. Between in or around 2017 and 2021, Respondent repeatedly listed Patient 1's anxiety in the "Problems" list in Patient 1's chart. However, the one and only time when Respondent addressed Patient 1's anxiety in the note portion of the chart was for her visit on or about September 2, 2021. On or about September 2, 2021, Respondent's nurse practitioner wrote "Anxiety stable on Xanax," in the "Plan" portion of that chart note. Respondent did not document medications that had been tried and failed before prescribing alprazolam. Respondent also failed to document a discussion of the risks of alprazolam (a benzodiazepine) use in combination with Vicodin (an opioid).

In an interview with Board representatives, Respondent admitted that she did not have a signed medication management agreement with Patient 1. Patient 1 had been using opioid medications long term (monthly for years), which warranted the use of a medication management agreement.

Patient 2

- On or about October 18, 2017, Respondent first began treating Patient 2, then a 71year-old male. Respondent served as Patient 2's primary care physician from in or around 2017 through 2021. Patient 2 had a history of chronic leg pain as a result of restless leg syndrome. Prior to establishing care with Respondent, Patient 2 had been taking tramadol⁵ and Tylenol (acetaminophen) with codeine.6
- The first time Respondent performed a leg or neurological exam on Patient 2 was on or about November 18, 2018, more than one year after Patient 2 first began treating with Respondent. Throughout the course of treatment, Respondent treated Patient 2's restless leg syndrome by prescribing Tylenol with codeine and tramadol, 50 mg tablets, 8 tablets per day, which equates to a daily dose of 400 mg of tramadol. This is above the daily maximum dose recommended by the FDA, which is 300 mg per day in a patient aged 65 or older. Moreover, the initial pharmacologic therapies for restless leg syndrome include gabapentoids⁷ and

⁵ Tramadol is an opioid analgesic used to help relieve moderate to moderately severe pain.
⁶ Codeine is an opioid pain reliever used to treat mild to moderately severe pain.
⁷ "Gabapentin" is an anticonvulsant medication used to treat partial seizures, neuropathic pain, hot flashes, and restless leg syndrome.

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

dopaminergic⁸ agents. Severe cases sometimes require the use of a benzodiazepine, but opioids are not generally used in treating restless leg syndrome. The use of two opioids (high doses of Tylenol with codeine in addition to tramadol) is not the standard of care for restless leg syndrome.

- During the course of treatment of Patient 2, Respondent also failed to adequately document a description of the pain experienced by Patient 2, including, without limitation, a justification for treatment with the drugs that she prescribed to him including the narcotics. Respondent only documented two visits with a physical exam and neither exam had findings consistent with radiculopathy or severe pain syndromes. The records did not demonstrate an indication for two separate narcotics (Tylenol with codeine and tramadol) for pain management.
- Respondent also prescribed multiple benzodiazepines to Patient 2 throughout the course of treatment. Since in or around 2018, Respondent regularly prescribed 1 mg per day of lorazepam.⁹ and intermittently prescribed temazepam¹⁰ for insomnia. Respondent was also aware that Patient 2's psychiatrist was also prescribing Adderall¹¹ to the patient. Thus, during the course of Respondent's treatment of Patient 2, the patient was taking an amphetamine, two opioids, and two benzodiazepines. The combination of these controlled substances increases the potential risk of sedation, addiction, and seizures. Respondent failed to document a discussion with Patient 2 regarding the risks of using these controlled substances concomitantly and the potential hazards of addiction, somnolence, and/or seizures.
- In an interview with Board representatives, Respondent acknowledged that she did not have a signed medication management agreement with Patient 2 and did not perform toxicology testing to screen for diversion of medications.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of 19.

⁸ Dopaminergic means "related to dopamine" (literally, "working on dopamine"), dopamine being a common neurotransmitter. Dopaminergic substances or actions increase dopamine-related activity in the brain

Dorazepam is a benzodiazepine used to treat anxiety disorders.

¹⁰ Temazepam is a benzodiazepine used to treat insomnia.

¹¹ Adderall is a brand name of a combination of two stimulant drugs, amphetamine and dextroamphetamine. It is generally used to treat attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

the Code, in that she committed gross negligence in the course of her care and treatment of Patient 2. The circumstances are as follows:

- 20. The allegations of paragraphs 14 through 18 are incorporated by reference herein as if set forth in full.
- 21. With respect to Patient 2, Respondent's prescribing of two opioid medications (Tylenol with codeine and tramadol) for restless leg syndrome, and a tramadol dose above the recommended daily maximum dose for patients aged 65 or older, constitutes gross negligence.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that she committed repeated negligent acts in the course of her care and treatment of Patients 1 and 2. The circumstances are as follows:
- 23. The allegations of paragraphs 10 through 18 are incorporated by reference herein as if set forth in full.
- 24. Respondent's treatment of Patients 1 and 2 includes the following acts and/or omissions which constitute repeated negligent acts:

Patient 1

- a. The lack of a signed medication management agreement.
- b. Respondent's failure to document alternative options or medications that had been tried and failed before prescribing a benzodiazepine (Xanax) and the risks of harm of concomitant opioid (Vicodin) use.

Patient 2

27

28

- c. The allegations of the First Cause for Discipline are incorporated by reference herein as if set forth in full.
- d. Respondent's failure to document the need for persistent use of opioids and the failure to document monitoring of appropriate use (e.g., toxicology testing).
- e. Respondent's failure to document a discussion of the risks, benefits, and alternatives to polysubstance use.