# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 800-2020-063344

ln	the	Matter	of	the	<b>Accusation</b>
Αç	gain	st:			

Albert Lai, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 86192

Respondent.

## **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on <u>January 5, 2024</u>.

IT IS SO ORDERED: December 7, 2023.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Laurie Rose Lubiano, J.D., Chair

Panel A

1	Rob Bonta	z –					
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA L. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 179733 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013						
3							
4							
5							
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6475 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant						
7							
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA						
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS						
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA					
11		_					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2020-063344					
13	ALBERT LAI, M.D. 72780 Country Club Drive, # C300	OAH No. 2023010789					
14	Rancho Mirage, CA 92270	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER					
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 86192,	DISCIPLINARY ORDER					
16	Respondent.						
17							
18							
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-						
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:						
21	<u>PARTIES</u>						
22	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of						
23	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this						
24	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Rebecca L. Smith, Deputy						
25	Attorney General.						
26	2. Albert Lai, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Raymond						
27	J. McMahon, whose address is 5440 Trabuco Ro	ad, Irvine, California 92620.					
28	///						
		1					

3. On or about March 3, 2004, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 86192 to Respondent. That license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2020-063344, and will expire on March 31, 2024, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2020-063344 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 20, 2022. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2020-063344 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

## **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2020-063344. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

### **CULPABILITY**

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2020-063344, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2020-063344, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, No. A 86192 to disciplinary action.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

### **CONTINGENCY**

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2020-063344 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

28 | ///

///

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

### DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 86192 issued to Respondent Albert Lai, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for thirty-five (35) months on the following terms and conditions:

- Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than forty (40) hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for sixty-five (65) hours of CME of which forty (40) hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 2. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u>. Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board

or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. <u>MONITORING - PRACTICE</u>. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of

Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within ten (10) calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within five (5) calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within fifteen (15) calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within sixty (60) calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

5. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within fifteen (15) calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 6. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 7. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, in the amount of \$17,343.00 (seventeen thousand three hundred forty-three dollars and no cents). Costs shall be

payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

Payment must be made in full within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board of California. Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board. Failure to comply with the payment plan shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

8. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than ten (10) calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

### 9. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

## Compliance with Probation Unit-

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

## Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

### Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

#### License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's

## 3 4

## 5

6

## 7

## 9

8

## 10 11

## 12

## 14

13

## 15

## 16 17

## 18

## 19

## 20

## 21

## 22

## 23 24

## 25

## 26

## 27 28

## Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing thirty (30) calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 10. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within fifteen (15) calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than thirty (30) calendar days and within fifteen (15) calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least forty (40) hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in nonpractice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds eighteen (18) calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards' Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment

program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than one-hundred twenty (120) calendar days prior to the completion of probation. This term does not include cost recovery, which is due within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board and timely satisfied. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
  Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
  the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his license. The
  Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
  determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate

and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within fifteen (15) calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

- 15. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.
- 16. <u>FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE</u>. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2020-063344 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Raymond J. McMahon. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 07/19/2027

ALBERT LAI, M.C

	Lance to the second of the sec					
1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Albert Lai, M.D. the terms and conditions					
2	and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approv					
3	its form and content.					
4	DATED: July 20, 2023					
5	RAYMOND J. MCMAHON  Attorney for Respondent					
6						
7	ENDORSEMENT					
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully					
9	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.					
10	DATED: Why 21, 2023 Respectfully submitted,					
11						
12	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California					
13	JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General					
14						
15	REBECCA L SMITH					
16	Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Complainant					
17						
18	LA2022603624					
19	66048480.docx					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 800-2020-063344

1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California					
2	JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General					
3	REBECCA L. SMITH Deputy Attorney General					
4	State Bar No. 179733 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702					
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6475					
6	Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant					
7						
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 800-2020-063344					
13 14	ALBERT LAI, M.D. 72780 Country Club Drive, # C300 Rancho Mirage, CA 92270  A C C U S A T I O N					
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate					
16	No. A 86192,					
17	Respondent.					
18	PARTIES					
19	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity					
20	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs					
21	(Board).					
22	2. On or about March 3, 2004, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's					
23	Certificate Number A 86192 to Albert Lai, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full force and					
24	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2024,					
25	unless renewed.					
26	<i>///</i>					
27						
28	///					
	1					
	(ALBERT LAI, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-063344					

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 2004 of the Code states:

The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

- (a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
  - (b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- (c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.
- (d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- (e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.
  - (f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.
- (g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the programs in subdivision (f).
  - (h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.
  - (i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program.
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
  - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.

The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

#### COST RECOVERY

### 9. Section 125.3 of the Code states:

- (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- (b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.
- (c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.
- (d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).
- (e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.
- (f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
- (g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.
- (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs

to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

- (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.
- (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary proceeding.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Gross Negligence)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (b), in that he engaged in gross negligence in the care and treatment of Patient 1. The circumstances are as follows:
- 11. Respondent is a physical medicine and rehabilitation specialist with Desert Pain Specialists, located at 72780 Country Club Drive, Suite C300, Rancho Mirage, California 92270. Respondent has an ownership interest in River's Edge Surgery Center, an outpatient surgery center located at 71-780 San Jacinto Drive, Building D, Rancho Mirage, California 92270.
- 12. On March 13, 2019, Patient 1, a then 81 year-old-male, presented to Respondent's medical office with a chief complaint of left and right knee pain. Patient 1 was referred to Respondent by chiropractor, Dr. M.C. at the Spinal Injury Center, for consideration of stem cell therapy.<sup>2</sup> Respondent evaluated the patient and recommended a right knee joint injection with Restor.<sup>3</sup> In both his Visit Note and Procedure Note, Respondent made the following informed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For privacy purposes, the patient in this Accusation is referred to as Patient 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In a Request for Medical Procedure for Regenerative Treatment dated March 11, 2019, Dr. M.C. requested that Respondent consider stem cell implant ("Restore Product"), 2 mLs to the knee, bilaterally. The request sets forth "[t]he above mentioned patient is being referred to your office for a <u>Stem Cell</u> (<u>Restore Product</u>) procedure for the above diagnosis. The patient has been screened and evaluated for the procedure without contra-indications. Please evaluate and treat."

At the time of his interview with the Board on June 1, 2022, Respondent stated that he obtained Restor from New Life Medical Services. The website for New Life Medical Services (<a href="www.newliferegen.com">www.newliferegen.com</a>) states that "Restore+ is sourced from the Wharton's Jelly of the umbilical cord, Restor+ contains numerous components needed to help regenerate tissue. Restor+ has anti-inflammatory, anti-fibrotic, and analgesic properties that help to heal the injured tissue and reduce pain." The New Life Medical Services website sets forth the following Disclaimer statement: "Our Human Cell, Purified Amniotic Fluid, and Tissue products are not FDA approved or licensed for the prevention, treatment, diagnosis, mitigation and/or cure of any disease or condition, including COVID-19. All statements and opinions provided are for educational and informational purposes only and we do not diagnose or give medical nor legal advice. Individuals interest in natural biologics therapy are urged to review all pertinent

consent notation: "The risks and benefits of the procedure were explained which include but are not limited to bleeding, infection, allergic reaction to medication or contrast dye, nerve damage leading to weakness or paralysis, increase in pain, failure of relief from the procedure, coma, stroke, and death. Consent was obtained by the patient and was in placed in the patient's chart." That same day, Patient 1 executed an authorization and consent for surgery/informed consent for anesthesia form for Respondent to perform a right knee joint injection with Restor at River's Edge Surgery Center. In addition, the patient executed a Patient's Rights Form that set forth that the patient has a right to be fully informed about a treatment or procedure and the expected outcome before it is performed, as well as, receive as much information about any proposed treatment or procedure as he may need in order to give informed consent to refuse this course of medical treatment. There is no documentation of any discussion with the patient or disclosure to the patient that the stem cell procedure (knee joint injection with Restor) is not approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Respondent's office has no notification posted in his office regarding the use of non-FDA approved stem cell therapy.

- 13. The preoperative assessment for the stem cell procedure notes that Patient 1 previously had a left knee joint replacement. Respondent noted that once the right knee joint space was identified, a total of 1 milliliter (mL) of Restor was injected. The patient was noted to have tolerated the procedure well and was discharged in stable condition and instructed to return in one week.
- 14. On March 20, 2019, Patient 1 presented to Respondent with a chief complaint of left knee pain. Respondent evaluated the patient and recommended a left knee joint injection with Restor. In both his Visit Note and Procedure Note, Respondent made the following informed consent notation: "The risks and benefits of the procedure were explained which include but are not limited to bleeding, infection, allergic reaction to medication or contrast dye, nerve damage leading to weakness or paralysis, increase in pain, failure of relief from the procedure, coma, stroke, and death. Consent was obtained by the patient and was in placed in the patient's chart."

information and do their own research before choosing to participate in treatments utilizing natural biologics products." [Emphasis added.]

That same day, Patient 1 executed an authorization and consent for surgery/informed consent for anesthesia form for Respondent to perform a left knee joint injection with Restor at River's Edge Surgery Center as well as a Patient's Rights Form. There is no documentation of any discussion with the patient or any disclosure to the patient that the stem cell procedure (knee joint injection with Restor) is not FDA approved.

- 15. In both his Visit Note and Procedure Note for the stem cell procedure, Respondent documented that once the left knee joint space was identified, a total of 1 mL of Restor was injected.<sup>4</sup> The patient was noted to have tolerated the procedure well and was discharged in stable condition and instructed to return "based upon the patient's follow up call."
- 16. On April 30, 2019, Patient 1 presented to Respondent with complaints of bilateral knee pain. Respondent recommended bilateral knee joint injections. In both his Visit Note and Procedure Note, Respondent made the following informed consent notation: "The risks and benefits of the procedure were explained which include but are not limited to bleeding, infection, allergic reaction to medication or contrast dye, nerve damage leading to weakness or paralysis, increase in pain, failure of relief from the procedure, coma, stroke, and death. Consent was obtained by the patient and was in placed in the patient's chart." That same day, Patient 1 executed an authorization and consent for surgery/informed consent for anesthesia form for Respondent to perform bilateral knee joint injections with Restor at River's Edge Surgery Center as well as a Patient Rights Form. There is no documentation of any discussion with the patient or any disclosure to the patient that the stem cell procedure (knee joint injection with Restor) is not FDA approved nor is it disclosed on the consent or Patient Rights Form.
- 17. With respect to the stem cell procedure, Respondent noted that once the knee joint spaces were identified, a total of 2 mL of Restor was injected between both knees. The patient was noted to have tolerated the procedure well and was discharged in stable condition and instructed to follow up with Respondent as needed.

26 | -///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Pain Management Record and the Allograft Tissue Utilization Report reflect that 2 mL of Restor was used during the March 20, 2019 procedure.

- 18. On May 2, 2019, Respondent's office documented that a call was received from Spinal Injury Center stating that Patient 1's left knee was swollen and warm to the touch and requesting follow up with the patient. That same day, Respondent's nurse called Patient 1, who confirmed that his left knee was swollen and warm to touch. He stated that he had been using ice packs but had not taken any pain medication. The nurse told Patient 1 that he could take ibuprofen and that if he experiences any fever or chills, he should go to the emergency department.
- 19. On May 3, 2019, chiropractor, Dr. M.C. made a house visit to see Patient 1 for his complaint of left knee pain. Dr. M.C. noted that the patient's left knee was warm and swollen. Dr. M.C. prescribed Cipro, an antibiotic. That same day, Respondent's nurse called Patient 1 at which time the patient stated that his pain was less but that his leg was still swollen. Patient 1 stated that his chiropractor saw him at home and gave him antibiotics to take orally.
- 20. On May 7, 2019, Patient 1 presented to Respondent with left knee edema and pain. Respondent performed a left knee joint aspiration. A total of 30 mL of pale, yellow serous fluid was aspirated from the knee joint and sent to the laboratory for testing. Respondent prescribed Cipro and Tramadol for pain.
- 21. On May 9, 2019, Respondent's nurse spoke with Patient 1's daughter, who reported that the patient was doing better, ambulating with no difficulty. Patient 1's daughter was notified that the preliminary results of the left knee joint aspiration was negative for growth or organisms.
- 22. Patient I returned to Respondent's office on May 13, 2019. Respondent noted that the patient had left lower leg edema. Respondent attempted a left knee joint aspiration but no fluid was aspirated. Respondent gave the patient a dexamethasone<sup>5</sup> injection. He instructed the patient to stay off his leg and to return in one week.
- 23. On May 20, 2019, Patient 1 returned to Respondent's office with complaints of continued left knee pain. He stated that he had weakness in the left leg and pain on prolonged walking and standing. Respondent noted that the laboratory results from the May 7, 2019 left knee joint aspiration had no organism growth. Respondent ordered laboratory testing and an MRI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Dexamethasone is a corticosteroid used to treat inflammation.

of the left knee. He prescribed a Medrol Dosepak.<sup>6</sup> Respondent recommended a repeat left knee joint aspiration and instructed the patient to return in one week.

- 24. On May 28, 2019, Patient 1 returned to Respondent's office for a follow-up visit. The patient was noted to have pain, increase diffuse swelling and tenderness on palpation, diffusely. Respondent noted that the laboratory studies were within normal range and that the MRI of the left knee showed no significant changes. Respondent recommended a left knee joint aspiration and the patient declined. Respondent prescribed a Medrol Dosepak. Respondent recommended a repeat left knee joint aspiration and instructed the patient to return in one week.
- 25. On June 3, 2019, Patient 1 presented to the emergency department at Eisenhower Medical Center with severe knee pain. His left knee joint was aspirated and was positive for Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Strep). A blood culture was positive for Micrococcus. Patient 1 was admitted to the hospital with a diagnosis of septic arthritis secondary to stem cell therapy. It was noted that he had left knee edema and the knee was warm to the touch. On June 5, 2019, Patient 1 underwent surgical removal of the left patellofemoral prosthetic component and placement of antibiotic laden calcium phosphate dissolvable cement. Intraoperative cultures showed no growth to date and repeat blood cultures showed no growth to date. He was placed on long-term intravenous antibiotics. Patient 1 was placed on fifty percent (50%) weight bearing of his left lower extremity and underwent physical and occupational therapy at the hospital.
- 26. On June 7, 2019, Patient 1 was transferred to Vibra Rehabilitation Center. He was continued IV antibiotics and underwent rehabilitative care. He was discharged on June 18, 2019. He was noted to have decreased activities of daily living and mobility impairment. He was instructed to continue with physical and occupational therapy. Patient 1 continued to be followed by an infectious disease specialist and IV antibiotics were continued to July 16, 2019. Patient 1 underwent a second left total knee replacement on August 21, 2019 and a left patellar replacement on September 11, 2019.
- 27. The standard of care requires that the patient be informed of any proposed treatment that is not FDA approved and that the physician document the discussion in the patient's medical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Medrol Dosepak is a steroid used to treat inflammation.

record. The patient must be provided with information regarding the proposed treatment in order to make an informed decision regarding the proposed medical intervention. The physician should assess the patient's ability to understand relevant medical information, present relevant information accurately and sensitively, and document the informed consent conversation in the medical record.

28. Respondent failed to inform Patient 1 that the knee joint injections with Restor were not FDA approved and failed to provide Patient 1 with information regarding the nature, risks and alternatives of knee joint injections with Restor. This is an extreme departure from the standard of care.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Provide Notification of Non-FDA Approved Stem Cell Therapy)

- 29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234, subdivision (a), and 684, subdivision (b), of the Code in that he failed to provide Patient 1 with notification of non-FDA approved stem cell therapy. The circumstances are as follows:
- 30. The allegations as set forth in the First Cause for Discipline, above, are incorporated herein by reference.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Unprofessional Conduct)

- 31. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (a), of the Code in that he has engaged in unprofessional conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. The circumstances are as follows:
- 32. The allegations set forth in the First and Second Causes for Discipline are incorporated herein as if fully set forth.

26 | ///

27 || ///

28 || /

### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Medical Records)

- 33. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code in that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate medical records for Patient 1. The circumstances are as follows:
- 34. The allegations as set forth in the First Cause for Discipline, above, are incorporated herein by reference.

#### **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

35. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about January 23, 2019, the Medical Board of California issued a public reprimand in Case No. 800-2015-013709 for Respondent's failure to perform toxicology screening and review CURES reports while managing a patient's intrathecal pump in 2014 and increasing the patient's morphine concentration to greater than 30 mg/ml on one occasion, in violation of section 2234 of Business and Professions Code. In addition to the public reprimand, Respondent was ordered to complete a prescribing practices course, the record of which is incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 86192, issued to Albert Lai, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Albert Lai, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering Albert Lai, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and

27 ///

28 | ///

1	I
. 1	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	per an aga MAAAA
3	DATED: DEC 2 0 2022 WILLIAM PRASIFIKA
4	WILLIAM PRASIFKA Executive Director Medical Board of California
5	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6 7	Complainant
8	LA2022603624
9	65570890.docx
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	12