BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 800-2019-053374

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Xing-Jian Ren, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 54295

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on December 15, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED: November 16, 2023.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D. Chair

Panel B

1	ROB BONTA				
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231195 California Department of Justice 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, California 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9417 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10	BEFORE THE				
11	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2019-053374			
14	XING-JIAN REN, M.D.	OAH No. 2022070541			
15	7565 Mission Valley Road, Suite 200 San Diego, California 92108	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND			
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 54295,	DISCIPLINARY ORDER			
17	Respondent.				
18					
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-				
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:				
21	<u>PARTIES</u>				
22	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of				
23	California (Board). This action was brought by then Complainant William Prasifka, solely in his				
24	official capacity. Complainant is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of				
25	the State of California, and by Joseph F. McKenna III, Deputy Attorney General.				
26	2. Respondent Xing-Jian Ren, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by				
27	attorney Raymond J. McMahon, Esq., whose address is: 5440 Trabuco Road, Irvine, CA, 92620.				
28	¹ Mr. Prasifka retired on December 20, 2022.				
	11	1			

3. On or about June 7, 1995, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 54295 to Xing-Jian Ren, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2025, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. On March 2, 2022, Accusation No. 800-2019-053374 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 2, 2022. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of the Accusation is attached hereto as Exhibit A and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understands the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-053374. Respondent has also carefully read, discussed with his counsel, and fully understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by his counsel.
- 7. Having the benefit of counsel, Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-053374, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 54295.

9. Respondent stipulates that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie* case or factual basis for the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation; that he gives up his right to contest those charges and allegations contained in the Accusation; and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate to disciplinary action.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-053374 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 54295 issued to Respondent Xing-Jian Ren, M.D., is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for 3 years from the effective date of the Decision on the following terms and conditions:

1. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES – MAINTAIN RECORDS AND ACCESS TO</u> RECORDS AND INVENTORIES.

Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances ordered, prescribed, dispensed, administered, or possessed by Respondent, and any recommendation or approval which enables a patient or patient's primary caregiver to possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5, during probation, showing all of the following: 1) the name and address of the patient; 2) the date; 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved; and 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances were furnished.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order. All records and any inventories of controlled substances shall be available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the Board or its designee at all times during business hours and shall be retained for the entire term of probation.

2. EDUCATION COURSE.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education

(CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

3. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than 6 months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within 1 year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the CME requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u>.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than 6 months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within

I year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the CME requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

5. MONITORING – PRACTICE.

Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties certified. A practice monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the practice monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's practice monitor.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved practice monitor with copies of the Decision and Disciplinary Order and Accusation, and a proposed practice monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision and Disciplinary Order and Accusation, and proposed practice monitoring plan, the practice monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision and Disciplinary Order and Accusation, fully understands the role of a practice monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the practice monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the practice monitor shall submit a revised practice monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved practice monitor.

Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the practice monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a practice monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a practice monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The practice monitor shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practice is within the standards of the practice of medicine and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the practice monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the practice monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement practice monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement practice monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the practice monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement practice monitor is approved and assumes practice monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a practice monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth

 and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

6. <u>SOLO PRACTICE PROHIBITION</u>.

Respondent is prohibited from engaging in the solo practice of medicine. Prohibited solo practice includes, but is not limited to, a practice where: 1) Respondent merely shares office space with another physician but is not affiliated for purposes of providing patient care, or 2) Respondent is the sole physician practitioner at that location.

If Respondent fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

If, during the course of the probation, the Respondent's practice setting changes and the Respondent is no longer practicing in a setting in compliance with this Decision, the Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee within 5 calendar days of the practice setting change. If Respondent fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the practice setting change, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

7. PROHIBITED PRACTICE.

During probation, Respondent will be prohibited from practicing, performing, or treating any patients in the area of pain management, which shall be defined as utilizing pharmacological approaches to prevent, reduce, or eliminate pain of a recurrent or chronic nature. Any new patients must be provided this notification at the time of their initial appointment.

Respondent shall maintain a log of all patients to whom the required oral notification was made. The log shall contain the: 1) patient's name, address and phone number; 2) patient's medical record number, if available; 3) the full name of the person making the notification; 4) the

date the notification was made; and 5) a description of the notification given. Respondent shall keep this log in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order, shall make the log available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises at all times during business hours by the Board or its designee, and shall retain the log for the entire term of probation.

8. NOTIFICATION.

Within 7 days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision and Disciplinary Order and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

9. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE</u> <u>NURSES.</u>

During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.

10. OBEY ALL LAWS.

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

11. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>.

Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, including legal review and expert review, as applicable, twenty eight thousand six hundred thirty one dollars (\$28,631.00). Costs shall be payable to the Board. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of this agreement and shall be deemed an act of unprofessional conduct and a separate and distinct basis for discipline.

Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs, including expert review costs (if applicable).

12. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

13. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility. However, Respondent is permitted to visit patients in their place of residence solely for the limited purposes of certifying and/or recertifying their eligibility for hospice services.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any

 areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

14. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE.

Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

15. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION.

Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards' Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed 2 years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; and Quarterly Declarations.

16. COMPLETION OF PROBATION.

Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. This term does not include cost recovery, which is due within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Board and timely satisfied. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

17. VIOLATION OF PROBATION.

Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

18. LICENSE SURRENDER.

Following the effective date of this Decision, if Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and

DATED:

conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

19. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS.

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

20. FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE.

If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-053374 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Raymond J. McMahon, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED:	07/11/2023	do a mo	
-		XING-JIAN REN, M.D. Respondent	

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Xing-Jian Ren, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

I approve its form and content.

July 11, 2023 Roy 12

RAYMOND J. MCMAHON, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED: July 11, 2023

1.2

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General

JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III
Deputy Attorneys General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2022800036 84011219.docx

1	ROB BONTA			
2	Attorney General of California			
3	ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
	JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 231195 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800			
5	San Diego, California 92101 P.O. Box 85266			
6 7	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9417 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9	· .			
10	BEFORE THE			
11	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 800-2019-053374			
14	XING-JIAN REN, M.D. ACCUSATION			
15	7565 Mission Valley Road, Suite 200 San Diego, California 92108			
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 54295,			
17	Respondent.			
18	Kespondent.			
19				
20	Complainant alleges:			
21	PARTIES			
22	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity			
23	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer			
24	Affairs.			
25	2. On or about June 7, 1995, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No			
26	A 54295 to Xing-Jian Ren, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in			
27	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30			
28	2023, unless renewed.			
	1			

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.
- 5. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
 - (c) Repeated negligent-acts. -To be repeated, there must be two or more

negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constituted repeated negligent acts.

6. Section 2238 of the Code states:

A violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct.

7. Section 2266 of the Code states:

The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

GENERAL STATUTES

- 8. At all times relevant to the acts or omissions alleged herein between and including January 1, 2017 and December 31, 2021, Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (a) stated, in pertinent part:
 - (a) To assist health care practitioners in their efforts to ensure appropriate prescribing, ordering, administering, furnishing, and dispensing of controlled substances, law enforcement and regulatory agencies in their efforts to control the diversion and resultant abuse of Schedule II, Schedule III, and Schedule IV controlled substances, and for statistical analysis, education, and research, the Department of Justice shall, contingent upon the availability of adequate funds in the CURES Fund, maintain the Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) for the electronic monitoring of, and Internet access to information regarding, the prescribing and dispensing of Schedule II, Schedule III, and Schedule IV controlled substances by all practitioners authorized to prescribe, order, administer, furnish, or dispense these controlled substances.
 - 9. As in effect at all times between and including October 2, 2018 and December
- 31, 2021, Health and Safety Code section 11165.4 stated, in pertinent part:
 - (a) (1) (A) (i) A health care practitioner authorized to prescribe, order, administer, or furnish a controlled substance shall consult the CURES database to review a patient's controlled substance history before prescribing a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance to the patient for the first time and at least once every four months thereafter if the substance remains part of the treatment of the patient.

¹ Effective January 1, 2021, subdivision (a) of section 11165 of the Health and Safety Code was amended to add references to Schedule V controlled substances. (See Stats. 2019, c. 677, § 6.)

- (ii) If a health care practitioner authorized to prescribe, order, administer, or furnish a controlled substance is not required, pursuant to an exemption described in subdivision (c), to consult the CURES database the first time he or she prescribes, orders, administers, or furnishes a controlled substance to a patient, he or she shall consult the CURES database to review the patient's controlled substance history before subsequently prescribing a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance to the patient and at least once every four months thereafter if the substance remains part of the treatment of the patient.
- (B) For purposes of this paragraph, first time means the initial occurrence in which a health care practitioner, in his or her role as a health care practitioner, intends to prescribe, order, administer, or furnish a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance to a patient and has not previously prescribed a controlled substance to the patient.
- (2) A health care practitioner shall obtain a patient's controlled substance history from the CURES database no earlier than 24 hours, or the previous business day, before he or she prescribes, orders, administers, or furnishes a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance to the patient.
- (d) (1) A health care practitioner who fails to consult the CURES database, as described in subdivision (a), shall be referred to the appropriate state professional licensing board solely for administrative sanctions, as deemed appropriate by that board.

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

PERTINENT DRUG INFORMATION

11. Opioids are Schedule II controlled substances pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, and are a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022. The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has identified opioids as a drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA Resource Guide (2017 Edition), at pp. 38-39.)

- 12. Benzodiazepines are Schedule IV controlled substances pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, and are a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022. The risk of respiratory depression, drug overdose, and death is increased with the concomitant use of benzodiazepines and opioids. The DEA has identified benzodiazepines as a drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA Resource Guide (2017 Edition), at p. 59.)
- 13. Soma, a muscle relaxant, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022. Soma is a brand name for carisoprodol. The risk of respiratory depression, drug overdose, and death is increased with the concomitant use of Soma, opioids, benzodiazepines, and sedatives. According to the DEA, Office of Diversion Control, published comment on carisoprodol, dated March 2014, "[c]arisoprodol abuse has escalated in the last decade in the United States... According to Diversion Drug Trends, published by the [DEA] on the trends in diversion of controlled and non-controlled pharmaceuticals, carisoprodol continues to be one of the most commonly diverted drugs. Diversion and abuse of carisoprodol is prevalent throughout the country. ... Diversion methods include doctor shopping for the purposes of obtaining multiple prescriptions and forging prescriptions."
- 14. Ambien, a sedative, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Code section 4022. Ambien is a brand name for zolpidem tartrate. Ambien is used for the short-term treatment of insomnia, typically two to three (2 to 3) weeks. Ambien has central nervous system depressant effects.

PERTINENT CASE INFORMATION

15. On September 14, 2021, Respondent, with his attorney present, was interviewed by a Division of Investigation investigator and a district medical consultant working on behalf of the Board. During the interview, Respondent answered a number of general background questions. Respondent also answered questions about specific patients seen by him and a physician assistant whom he supervised, which are relevant to the charges and allegations brought in Accusation No. 800-2019-053374.

- 16. For a comparison of opioid doses, morphine equivalent dose was developed to equate the many different opioids into one standard value. This standard value is based on morphine and its potency. A morphine equivalency is commonly referred to as MED, MEDD, MME, or MEq.
- 17. The Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) is a program operated by the California Department of Justice (DOJ) to assist health care practitioners in their efforts to ensure appropriate prescribing of controlled substances, and law enforcement and regulatory agencies in their efforts to control diversion and abuse of controlled substances. (Health & Saf. Code, § 11165.) California law requires dispensing pharmacies to report to the DOJ the dispensing of Schedule II, III, IV and V controlled substances as soon as reasonably possible after the prescriptions are filled. (Health & Saf. Code, § 11165, subd. (d).)

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

18. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 54295 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined in section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patients A and B,² as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

19. Patient A

- (a) Between in or around February 2017 to June 2021, Respondent³ rendered care as a primary care physician to Patient A, an adult, female patient with a history of ailments including, but not limited to: chronic neck and back pains, chronic abdominal pain, anxiety, depression, and insomnia. During that timeframe, Respondent also coordinated care of Patient A's pulmonary sarcoidosis and thyroid cancer with cardiology, pulmonary, and endocrinology specialties.⁴
- (b) In or around March 2017, Patient A was evaluated by a pain management specialist who recommended weaning her off of opiate therapy.

² To protect the privacy of the patients involved in this matter, patient names have not been included in this pleading. Respondent is aware of the identities of Patients A and B.

³ Respondent has board certifications in Internal Medicine and Geriatrics. Respondent has no fellowship or other specialty training in the field of Pain Medicine.

⁴ A physician assistant (PA) supervised by Respondent also provided care to Patient A.

2

- In or around February 2018, Patient A was evaluated by a rheumatology specialist who also recommended weaning her off of opiate therapy.
- Between in or around March 2017 to 2021, Respondent issued recurring prescriptions of opiates to Patient A and at high morphine equivalency dosage levels. During that same timeframe, Respondent diagnosed Patient A with narcotic/opioid dependence.
- Between in or around 2017 to 2021, Respondent treated Patient A's anxiety, depression, and insomnia issues with medication management, and he issued recurring prescriptions of benzodiazepines⁵, antidepressants⁶, and sedatives.7
- (f) During Respondent's care and treatment of Patient A's mental health and insomnia issues he did not consult with and/or refer her to mental health providers for managing her persistent anxiety; he did not perform a comprehensive anxiety evaluation before prescribing alprazolam to her; he did not adequately document the medical justification for discontinuing alprazolam and replacing it with diazepam, a stronger benzodiazepine; he did not attempt to use a serotonergic antidepressant (SSRI) or a serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) to reduce her benzodiazepine dependency; and he did not recognize her benzodiazepine dependency and refer her to a drug treatment program.
- Between in or around March 2017 to October 2018, Respondent routinely prescribed Soma to Patient A for concurrent use with other central nervous system (CNS) depressant medications that he routinely prescribed to this patient.
- Between in or around February 2017 to June 2021, Respondent did not consult the CURES database to review Patient A's controlled substance history.

1111

Diazepam and alprazolam.Trazodone.

- (i) Between in or around February 2017 to June 2021, Respondent did not obtain routine urine toxicology testing of Patient A.
- (j) On or about July 12, 2020, Patient A was hospitalized in an intensive care unit (ICU) due to acute hypoxic respiratory failure. Patient A was hospitalized for more than a week in the ICU and was later discharged with chronic home oxygen therapy. Respondent, despite Patient A becoming chronically oxygen dependent, did not reduce Patient A's opiate dosage after her discharge from the ICU.
- (k) Respondent's medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A did not adequately document relevant physical examinations nor the "5 A's" of pain management (i.e., analgesia, affect, activities of daily living, adverse effects, and aberrant drug-related behaviors.).
- (I) Respondent's medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A did not document that he ever performed an independent assessment of Patient A's opiate needs.
- (m) Respondent did not evaluate and/or document evaluating in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A, what the potential gastrointestinal side effects of long-term opiate therapy would be on this patient.
- (n) Respondent, despite recommendations from both rheumatology and pain management specialists to wean Patient A off of opiate drug therapy, did not taper down her oxycodone dosage to minimize gastrointestinal and pulmonary complications during the four (4) years of pain management therapy for this patient.
- 20. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Respondent improperly initiated and monitored Patient A's use of opiate pain medications;

- (b) Respondent improperly managed Patient A's generalized anxiety disorder; and
- (c) Respondent improperly prescribed Soma for concurrent use with other CNS depressant medications, which increased Patient A's risk of accidental fatal drug overdose.

21. Patient B

- (a) Between in or around May 2017 to May 2019, Respondent rendered care as a primary care physician to Patient B, an adult, female patient with a history of ailments including, but not limited to: chronic neck and low back pains, anxiety, depression, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).
- (b) During Respondent's care and treatment of Patient B's chronic pain issues, Respondent did not perform and/or document performing in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient B, a relevant musculoskeletal examination of this patient.
- (c) Respondent did not monitor and/or document monitoring in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient B, the benefits and side-effects of the opiates being taken by this patient.
- (d) Respondent did not evaluate and/or document evaluating in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient B, the use of less potent narcotics other than hydrocodone.
- (e) Respondent did not evaluate and/or document evaluating in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient B, the decision to escalate this patient's hydrocodone dosage from 20 mg daily to 60 mg daily.
- (f) Between in or around May 2017 to May 2019, Respondent did not consult the CURES database to review Patient B's controlled substance history.
- (g) Between in or around May 2017 to May 2019, Respondent did not obtain routine urine toxicology testing of Patient B.

- 22. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient B including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Respondent improperly initiated and monitored Patient B's use of opiate pain medications.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

23. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.

A 54295 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined in section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patients A and B, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

24. Patient A

- (a) Paragraphs 19 and 20, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- (b) Respondent did not evaluate and/or document evaluating in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A, the use of safer pharmacotherapy like serotoninergic medications (SSRI and SNRI), gabapentin, and pregabalin.
- (c) Respondent did not refer and/or document a referral in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A, the need for her to seek mental health treatment for anxiety and insomnia.
- (d) Respondent did not perform an independent assessment of chronic insomnia and/or document an assessment in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A, before refilling her prescription for Ambien.
- (e) Respondent did not evaluate and/or document evaluating in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A, the use of safer alternatives (e.g., melatonin and antihistamines) to manage her insomnia.

- (f) Respondent issued recurring prescriptions of Ambien to Patient A for concurrent use with opiates and benzodiazepines.
- (g) Respondent did not evaluate and/or document evaluating in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A, the use of safer non-addictive muscle relaxants prior to issuing recurring prescriptions of Soma to this patient.
- (h) Respondent's medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A did not adequately document a medical indication for prescribing Soma for a prolonged period of time (i.e., 18 months) to this patient.
- (i) Respondent's medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A did not adequately document a medical indication for why he issued recurring prescriptions of opiates and benzodiazepines for concurrent use by this patient.
- (j) Respondent's medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient A did not document that he had obtained informed consent and/or a signed pain management agreement with this patient.
- 25. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Paragraphs 19 and 20, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein;
 - (b) Respondent failed to evaluate and/or document evaluating in Patient A's medical records the use of safer pharmacotherapy like serotoninergic medications (SSRI and SNRI), gabapentin, and pregabalin;
 - (c) Respondent failed to refer and/or document referring in Patient A's medical records the need for her to seek mental health treatment for anxiety and insomnia;

- (d) Respondent failed to perform an independent assessment of chronic insomnia and/or document an assessment in Patient A's medical records before refilling her prescription for Ambien;
- (e) Respondent failed to evaluate and/or document evaluating in Patient A's medical records the use of safer alternatives (e.g., melatonin and antihistamines) to manage her insomnia;
- (f) Respondent issued recurring prescriptions of Ambien to Patient A for concurrent use with opiates and benzodiazepines;
- (g) Respondent failed to evaluate and/or document evaluating in Patient A's medical records the use of safer non-addictive muscle relaxants prior to issuing recurring prescriptions of Soma to this patient;
- (h) Respondent failed to adequately document in Patient A's medical records a medical indication for prescribing Soma for a prolonged period of time to this patient;
- (i) Respondent failed to adequately document in Patient A's medical records

 a medical indication for why he issued recurring prescriptions of opiates
 and benzodiazepines for concurrent use by this patient; and
- (j) Respondent failed to document in Patient A's medical records that he had obtained informed consent and/or a signed pain management agreement with this patient.

26. Patient B

- (a) Paragraphs 21 and 22, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- Respondent did not evaluate and/or document evaluating in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient B, the use of safer alternative drugs such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) and/or topical therapies to manage her chronic pain.

- (c) Respondent did not refer Patient B to physical therapy, chiropractic manipulation, or acupuncture, and/or document a referral in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of this patient.
- (d) On or about May 18, 2017, Respondent issued a recurring prescription of Soma to Patient B at her initial office visit with Respondent.
- (e) Respondent did not evaluate and/or document evaluating in the medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient B, the use of safer non-addictive muscle relaxants prior to issuing recurring prescriptions of Soma to this patient.
- (f) Respondent's medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient B did not adequately document a medical indication for prescribing Soma for a prolonged period of time to this patient.
- (g) Respondent's medical records pertaining to his care and treatment of Patient B did not document that he had obtained informed consent and/or a signed pain management agreement with this patient.
- 27. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient B including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Paragraphs 21 and 22, above, are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein;
 - (b) Respondent failed to evaluate and/or document evaluating in Patient B's medical records the use of safer alternative drugs such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) and/or topical therapies to manage her chronic pain;
 - (c) Respondent failed to refer and/or document a referral in Patient B's medical records for this patient to physical therapy, chiropractic manipulation, and/or acupuncture;

- (d) Respondent failed to evaluate and/or document evaluating in Patient B's medical records the use of safer non-addictive muscle relaxants prior to issuing recurring prescriptions of Soma to this patient;
- (e) Respondent failed to adequately document in Patient B's medical records a medical indication for prescribing Soma for a prolonged period of time to this patient; and
- (f) Respondent failed to document in Patient B's medical records that he had obtained informed consent and/or a signed pain management agreement with this patient.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Consult CURES)

28. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
No. A 54295 to disciplinary action under section 2227 of the Code and section 11165.4,
subdivision (d), paragraph (1) of the Health and Safety Code, as well as sections 2227 and 2234,
as defined by section 2238, of the Code, in that on one or more occasions on or after October 2,
2018, he failed to consult the CURES database to review Patient A's or Patient B's controlled
substance history before prescribing to either of them a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV
controlled substance(s) for the first time, or at least once every four months if the controlled
substance(s) remained part of the respective patient's treatment, as more particularly alleged in
paragraphs 19(h) and 21(f), above, which are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth
herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

29. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
No. A 54295 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2266, of
the Code, in that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of
services to Patient A or Patient B, or both, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 18
through 28, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of the Medical Practice Act)

30. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 54295 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234. subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, one or more provisions of the Medical Practice Act as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 18 through 29, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged. and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 54295. issued to Respondent Xing-Jian Ren, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Xing-Jian Ren, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and advanced practice nurses;
- Ordering Respondent Xing-Jian Ren, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the 3. investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 4.

DATED: MAR 0 2 2022

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2022800036 / 83285589.docx