BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 800-2020-065831

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

David Opai-Tetteh, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 53194

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 27, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED: September 27, 2023.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair

Panel B

	·		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA L. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 179733 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6475 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant		
8 9 10	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12 13 14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: DAVID OPAI-TETTEH, M.D. 884 Decatur Circle Claremont, CA 91711-2206 Case No. 800-2020-065831 OAH No. 2023010876 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
15 16 17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 53194, Respondent.		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of		
22	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this		
23	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Rebecca L. Smith, Deputy		
24	Attorney General.		
25	2. David Opai-Tetteh, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorneys		
26	Peter R. Osinoff, whose address is 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1750, Los Angeles, California		
27	90071, and Femi J. Banjo, whose address is 401 South Main Street, Suite 212, Pomona,		
28	California 91766.		
	1		

3. On or about June 15, 1994, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 53194 to Respondent. That license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2020-065831, and will expire on April 30, 2024, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2020-065831 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 8, 2022. Respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2020-065831 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2020-065831. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2020-065831, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2020-065831, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, No. A 53194 to disciplinary action.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2020-065831 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

///

1 ///

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 53194 issued to Respondent DAVID OPAI-TETTEH, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for thirty-five (35) months on the following terms and conditions:

- 1. <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u>. Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than forty (40) hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for sixty-five (65) hours of CME of which forty (40) hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 2. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the

Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in a clinician-patient communication course approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The clinician-patient communication course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A clinician-patient communication course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. <u>PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES PROGRAM</u>. Within sixty (60) calendar days from the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professional boundaries program approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent, at the program's

discretion, shall undergo and complete the program's assessment of Respondent's competency, mental health and/or neuropsychological performance, and at minimum, a twenty-four (24) hour program of interactive education and training in the area of boundaries, which takes into account data obtained from the assessment and from the Decision(s), Accusation(s) and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. The program shall evaluate Respondent at the end of the training and the program shall provide any data from the assessment and training as well as the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee.

Failure to complete the entire program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment shall constitute a violation of probation unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Based on Respondent's performance in and evaluations from the assessment, education, and training, the program shall advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for additional education, training, psychotherapy and other measures necessary to ensure that Respondent can practice medicine safely. Respondent shall comply with program recommendations. At the completion of the program, Respondent shall submit to a final evaluation. The program shall provide the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee. The professional boundaries program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

The program has the authority to determine whether or not Respondent successfully completed the program.

A professional boundaries course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

If Respondent fails to complete the program within the designated time period, Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee that Respondent failed to complete the program.

///

2.7

5. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within fifteen (15) calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 6. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE</u>

 <u>NURSES.</u> During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.
- 7. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 8. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, in the amount of \$17,268.80 (seventeen thousand two hundred sixty-eight dollars and eighty cents). Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

Payment must be made in full within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board of California. Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board. Failure to comply with the payment plan shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

9. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been

compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than ten (10) calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

10. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing thirty (30) calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

11. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the

probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

12. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within fifteen (15) calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than thirty (30) calendar days and within fifteen (15) calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least forty (40) hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds eighteen (18) calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards' Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- 13. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than one-hundred twenty (120) calendar days prior to the completion of probation. This term does not include cost recovery, which is due within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board and timely satisfied. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within fifteen (15) calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 16. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

17. FITURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2020-065831 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorneys, Peter R. Osinoff and Femi J. Banjo. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

DAVID OPAI-TETTEH, M.D. Respandent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent David Opai-Tettch, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

Lapprove its form and content

DATED

PEVER R. OSINOFF FEMI I. BANJO Altorneys for Respondent

The state of the s

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED July 7, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

REBECCAL. SMITH
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

LA2022603778 66066399.doox

ll l	•		
1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
2	JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA L. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 179733 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702		
3			
4			
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6475	•	
6	Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant	•	
7	BEFORE THE		
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2020-065831	
12	DAVID OPAI-TETTEH, M.D. 884 Decatur Circle	ACCUSATION	
13	Claremont, CA 91711		
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 53194,		
15	Respondent.	·	
16	DADTIES		
17	PARTIES 1. VVIII - Durid - (Consultinum) beings this Assusation solely in his official consulty.		
18	1. William Prasifika (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity		
19	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs		
20	(Board).		
21	2. On or about June 15, 1994, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
22	Certificate Number A 53194 to David Opai-Tetteh, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full		
23	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30,		
24	2024, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION On the second of the following of the follo		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise		
28	indicated.		
·	1		

(DAVID OPAI-TETTEH, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2020-065831

4. Section 2004 of the Code states:

The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

- (a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
 - (b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- (c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.
- (d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- (e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.
 - (f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.
- (g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the programs in subdivision (f).
 - (h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.
 - (i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program.
- 5. Section 2220 of the Code states:

Except as otherwise provided by law, the board may take action against all persons guilty of violating this chapter. The board shall enforce and administer this article as to physician and surgeon certificate holders, including those who hold certificates that do not permit them to practice medicine, such as, but not limited to, retired, inactive, or disabled status certificate holders, and the board shall have all the powers granted in this chapter for these purposes including, but not limited to:

- (a) Investigating complaints from the public, from other licensees, from health care facilities, or from the board that a physician and surgeon may be guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board shall investigate the circumstances underlying a report received pursuant to Section 805 or 805.01 within 30 days to determine if an interim suspension order or temporary restraining order should be issued. The board shall otherwise provide timely disposition of the reports received pursuant to Section 805 and Section 805.01.
- (b) Investigating the circumstances of practice of any physician and surgeon where there have been any judgments, settlements, or arbitration awards requiring the physician and surgeon or his or her professional liability insurer to pay an amount in damages in excess of a cumulative total of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) with respect to any claim that injury or damage was proximately caused by the physician's and surgeon's error, negligence, or omission.
- (c) Investigating the nature and causes of injuries from cases which shall be reported of a high number of judgments, settlements, or arbitration awards against a physician and surgeon.

2

3

.5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure

- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and
 - (f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- (g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.
- (a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language pathologist, or
- (b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than six hundred dollars (\$600), or by imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than
- (c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.
- (d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5.

The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional

- (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the
 - (b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 the Code, in that he engaged in gross negligence in the care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, and 3.1 The circumstances are as follows:

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of

PATIENT 1:

- Patient 1, a then 43 year-old-female patient, began receiving dermatology care and 12. treatment at Advanced Dermatology & Plastic Surgery Medical Centers, Inc. (Advanced Dermatology) in Lakewood in 2014. Respondent, a dermatologist at Advanced Dermatology, provided care and treatment to Patient 1 for skin and mole evaluations on multiple occasions from September 28, 2016 through March 18, 2020. Patient 1 stated that she had been seeing Respondent for years for all of her skin cancers.
- Respondent's progress notes, maintained in Patient 1's medical records from Advanced Dermatology, are handwritten, very brief, and somewhat difficult to decipher.
- On December 6, 2017, Respondent performed five skin biopsies on Patient 1 to rule . 14. out malignancy. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives to his treatment recommendation. There is no informed consent documentation signed by Patient 1. No evidence of atypia or malignancy was found in three of the biopsies. The fourth biopsy result reflected atypical (dysplastic) compound melanocytic nevus with architectural disorder and mild cytologic atypia with no evidence of malignancy. The result of the fifth biopsy was not set forth on page 1 of the 2-page pathology report. The second page of the pathology report is not included in Patient 1's medical records. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the biopsy results.
- On February 20, 2018, Respondent performed four shave skin biopsies on Patient 1 to rule out dysplasia. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives to his treatment recommendation. There is no informed consent

¹ For privacy purposes, the patients in this Accusation are referred to as Patients 1, 2, and 3, with their identities disclosed to Respondent in discovery.

documentation signed by Patient 1. No significant atypia was seen on three of the biopsies. The fourth biopsy showed solar lentigo.² Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the biopsy results.

- 16. On October 11, 2018, Respondent performed two skin biopsies on Patient 1 to rule out malignancy. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives to his treatment recommendation. There is no informed consent documentation signed by Patient 1. No evidence of atypia or malignancy was reported on October 15, 2018. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the biopsy results.
- 17. On December 20, 2019, Respondent performed four skin biopsies for irregular moles on Patient 1. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives to his treatment recommendation. There is no informed consent documentation signed by Patient 1. There is no pathology report in Patient 1's medical records for the four skin biopsies nor did Respondent document any discussion with Patient 1 regarding the biopsy results.
- 18. On February 4, 2020, Respondent performed three shave skin biopsies on Patient 1 to rule out malignancy. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives to his treatment recommendation. There is no informed consent documentation signed by Patient 1. The right thigh shave biopsy was reported as dermatofibroma.³ The upper scapular shave biopsy was reported as lentiginous junctional nevus with mild atypical melanocytic hyperplasia⁴ with a clear histologic tissue edge. The upper spinal area shave biopsy was reported as intradermal melanocytic nevus present at the histologic tissue edge with no atypia seen. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the biopsy results.

² Solar lentigo, also called liver spots, is a harmless patch of flat tan, brown, or black spots on the skin that are common with age.

³ Dermatofibroma is a noncancerous skin growth.

⁴ Atypical melanocytic hyperplasias are pigmented moles that contain abnormal cells.

- 19. On March 18, 2020, Respondent performed four shave skin biopsies. Respondent did not document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives to his treatment recommendation. There is no informed consent documentation signed by the patient. The right upper paraspinal shave biopsy was reported as compound nevus with mild atypical melanocytic hyperplasia without margin involvement. The right trapezius shave biopsy was reported as seborrheic keratosis.⁵ The right scapular lower lateral shave biopsy was reported as solar lentigo. The right scapular shave biopsy was reported as a lentiginous junctional nevus with mild atypical melanocytic hyperplasia, with margins involved.
- 20. At the time of his interview with the Board on February 15, 2022, Respondent stated that atypical melanocytes is an "alarming bell" and if the margins are involved, he calls the patient immediately to notify them that further surgery is necessary to clear the margins. There is no documentation in Patient 1's medical records reflecting that Respondent contacted Patient 1 regarding the "mild atypical melanocytic hyperplasia with margins involved" found on pathology.
- 21. When a patient has benign skin lesions, including nevi with mild atypia, no further treatment is necessary. While annual screening may be warranted, regular monitoring by a dermatologist is not necessary. Patient 1's medical records reflect twenty-two skin biopsies performed by Respondent. Pathology reports for eighteen of the skin biopsies reflect that the skin samples were benign or moles with mild atypia. Patient 1's medical records do not reflect any diagnosis of skin cancer, though Patient 1 stated she was being treated by Respondent for skin cancer. Respondent excessively treated Patient 1. This is a simple departure from the standard of care,
- 22. When a biopsy is performed, the standard of care requires that the ordering physician communicate the biopsy results to the patient and document that discussion in the patient's chart. Respondent failed to document any discussions with Patient 1 regarding any of the biopsy results. This is a simple departure from the standard of care.
- 23. On March 19, 2020, Patient 1 made a complaint with the Medical Board of California, stating that she had been Respondent's patient for over a year and had received

⁵ Seborrheic keratosis is a common noncancerous (benign) skin growth.

treatment from Respondent for her skin cancer. She stated that during that time, Respondent was inappropriate, made sexual comments, solicited a romantic relationship and touched areas where treatment was not being performed. Patient 1 said that Respondent would tell her that he liked her, that she was pretty, and that he wanted to go sailing with her. Patient 1 stated that Respondent had called and texted her regarding non-medical issues. Patient 1 stated that Respondent would hug her at the time of her visits causing Patient 1 to bring her husband or daughter to her appointments. Patient 1 stated that at a visit when her husband was present, Respondent told Patient 1's husband that they should switch wives. Patient 1 stated that at the time of her last visit with Respondent, he hugged her and grabbed her breast.⁶

- 24. The standard of care requires that physician maintain a professional demeanor during patient visits. A patient presents to a physician for medical care, not friendship. It is inappropriate for a physician to attempt to initiate a personal relationship with a patient. There are individual circumstances where a mutual, friendly, non-sexual relationship develops between a physician and a patient; however, this needs to be clearly mutual.
- 25. It is inappropriate for a physician to tell a patient that she is pretty, ask a patient to meet outside of the medical office setting, or hug a patient. Under no circumstance is it appropriate for a physician to squeeze a patient's breast and hug to say goodbye.
- 26. Casual conversation can occur at a medical appointment; however, conversations of a sexual nature, unrelated to the medical issue at hand, are not appropriate.
- 27. Respondent committed a simple departure from the standard of care in discussing how pretty the patient was and asking her to meet him outside of the office.
- 28. Respondent committed a simple departure from the standard of care in hugging Patient 1.
- 29. Respondent committed a simple departure from the standard of care in suggesting a wife swap with Patient 1's husband.

⁶ At the time of his interview with the Board, Respondent denied Patient 1's allegations, other than possibly sending a Merry Christmas and Happy New Year group text to all his patients.

.

///

///

30. Patient 2 is Patient 1's daughter. Patient 2 accompanied Patient 1 to some of Patient 1's dermatology appointments. Patient 2 stated that when Respondent learned that Patient 2 was a law student, he said something along the lines of, "I'm going to need you to help me because I'm going to get myself into a lot of trouble with your mom. She's way prettier than my wife."

- 31. While sometimes casual conversation can put an anxious patient at ease, discussions with patients at medical appointments should revolve around medical care. The physician must maintain a professional demeanor. Respondent's comments to Patient 2 about getting in trouble with Patient 2's mother and Patient 1 being pretty have no medical basis and are inappropriate. This is a simple departure from the standard of care.
- 32. Patient 2's medical records from Advanced Dermatology reflect that Patient 2 presented to Respondent on August 17, 2016, for an evaluation of a neoplasm on her right clavicle. Patient 2 next saw Respondent on September 28, 2016, at which time Respondent documented that he performed a biopsy of the neoplasm on Patient 2's right clavicle. Respondent did not document any discussion with Patient 2 regarding the risks, benefits, and alternatives to his treatment recommendation. There is no informed consent documentation signed by the patient. There is no pathology report in Patient 2's medical records for the September 28th biopsy and Respondent did not document any discussion with Patient 2 regarding the biopsy results.
- 33. Patient 2 states that she saw Respondent in February 2020, for a foot rash and that Respondent prescribed glycopyrrolate⁷ for her foot rash. Patient 2's medical records from Advanced Dermatology contain a pathology report that reflects that on February 25, 2020, nail fragments from Patient 2's left foot were submitted for evaluation. There is no progress note for a February 2020 visit. On March 26, 2020, the nail fragments were reported as benign and negative for diagnostic fungal organisms.

⁷ Glycopyrrolate, a cerebral palsy medication, is also commonly used to decrease sweating, especially on the palms and soles and can be prescribed for foot rashes. Foot rashes can be from fungus, and they can be from sweating.

- 34. The standard of care for medical visit documentation requires that the physician document a chief complaint, history of present illness, physical examination, assessment, and plan. A discussion of treatment options and alternatives should also be documented.
- 35. Although Respondent submitted a sampling of Patient 2's toe nails for tissue pathology and prescribed medication for her complaint of a foot rash, he failed to document Patient 2's visit regarding her foot rash. This is a simple departure from the standard of care.

PATIENT 3:

- 36. On April 6, 2020, Patient 3, a then 29-year-old female patient, presented to Respondent with complaints of an itchy rash for 2 weeks. In Patient 3's medical records, Respondent documented that the patient had a history of sensitive skin and was allergic to penicillin and sulfur. He noted that Patient 3 underwent dental treatment with erythromycin three weeks prior. Respondent documented a physical examination, noting a maculopapular rash of the trunks and limbs. Respondent's assessment was that the patient had a hypersensitivity reaction. Respondent performed a punch biopsy of the left cubital forearm and left lower forearm. He prescribed Prednisone (a corticosteroid), Lidex (a topical steroid), Periactin (an anthistamine), Singulair (an anti-inflammatory), and calcium.
- 37. Patient 3 stated that at the time of Respondent's April 6, 2020 evaluation of her, Respondent inappropriately touched her breast and stated, "I will have to touch you all over like on your legs on your boobs," even though he was taking a biopsy on her arm. Patient 3 also stated that after the procedure, Respondent ran his finger down her entire back and hip and said, "see you later."
- 38. The dermatopathology report reflected dermatofibroma of the left cubital forearm and superficial perivascular dermatitis of the left lower forearm. The dermatopathologist noted that the histopathologic differential diagnosis for the superficial perivascular dermatitis includes urticaria, a viral exanthema, a light reaction, an arthropod bite reaction, and a drug eruption and that "[e]orrelation with total clinical information is essential."
- 39. Patient 3 had a follow up appointment scheduled for April 20, 2020. She cancelled the appointment and did not have any further contact with Respondent.

- 40. Uritearia (hives) is a clinical diagnosis that must be distinguished from other rashes by the fact that it is an elevated plaque. It is often necessary to touch a urticarial rash in order to make the diagnosis.
- 41. Acute urticaria is defined as hives for less than six weeks. Chronic urticaria is hives for greater than 6 weeks. A biopsy is not indicated for a complaint of hives for two weeks. The biopsies that were taken of Patient 3's "rash" were of benign skin growths.
- 42. Respondent failed to recognize benign skin growths from a rash and inappropriately ordered a medical workup of acute urticaria. This is a simple departure from the standard of care.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Excessive Administration of Treatment - Patient 1)

- 43. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code in that he excessively administered treatment to Patient 1. Paragraphs 12 and 21 above are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein. The circumstances are as follows:
- 44. When a patient has benign skin lesions, including nevi with mild atypia, no further treatment is necessary. While annual screening may be warranted, regular monitoring by a dermatologist is not necessary. Patient 1's medical records reflect twenty-two skin biopsies performed by Respondent. Pathology reports for eighteen of the skin biopsies reflect that the skin samples were benign or moles with mild atypia. Patient 1's medical records do not reflect any diagnosis of skin cancer, though Patient 1 stated she was being treated by Respondent for skin cancer. Respondent excessively treated Patient 1.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Medical Records)

- 45. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2266, in that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate records for Patients 1, 2, and 3. The circumstances are as follows:
- 46. The allegations as set forth in the First Cause for Discipline, above, are incorporated herein by reference.

///

LA2022603778 65580795.docx

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 53194, issued to David Opai-Tetteh, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of David Opai-Tetteh, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering David Opai-Tetteh, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: OEC 0 8 2022

WILLIAM PRASIFKA

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant