### **BEFORE THE** MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS** STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Pradeep Kumar Singh, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 46155

Respondent.

Case No.: 800-2018-049688

#### DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on <u>September 22, 2023</u>.

IT IS SO ORDERED: August 24, 2023.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair

Panel B

		•		
1	ROB BONTA			
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General COLLEEN M. MCGURRIN			
3				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar Number 147250 California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702			
5				
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6546			
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant			
8				
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA		
12	T. d. M. d. Cd. A. d. A. d. A. d. d.	1 C N 000 2010 040000		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2018-049688		
14	PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH, M.D. 615 W. Avenue Q, Suite A	OAH No. 2022050325		
15	Palmdale, CA 93551-3887	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 46155			
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-		
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
21	PART	<u> </u>		
22	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the Ir	terim Executive Director of the Medical Board		
23	of California (Board). His predecessor brought this action solely in his official capacity and			
24	Complainant is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of			
25	California, by Colleen M. McGurrin, Deputy Attorney General.			
26	2. Pradeep Kumar Singh, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by			
27	attorneys Kent T. Brandmeyer and Jeannette Van Horst of the firm Law + Brandmeyer, LLP,			
28	whose address is 385 East Colorado Boulevard, Suite 200, Pasadena, CA 91101-1988.			
		1		

3. On or about June 5, 1989, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 46155 to Pradeep Kumar Singh, M.D., Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2018-049688, and will expire on November 30, 2024, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2018-049688 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 8, 2021. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2018-049688 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2018-049688. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent freely, voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2018-049688, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 10. Respondent agrees that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2018-049688, a true and correct copy which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and has thereby given up his rights to contest those charges, and agrees that he has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 46155 to disciplinary action as set forth below.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2018-049688 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

//

15. In consideration of the foregoing agreements and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 46155 issued to Respondent PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions:

- 1. EDUCATION COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) that shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge, shall be Category I certified, and shall be in the area(s) of prescribing to and/or treating patient(s) with a history of substance abuse and/or addiction, and/or any other area(s) determined by the Board or its designee. These educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 2. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing

Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. <u>PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE)</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that

 meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

5. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

6. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE</u>

<u>NURSES.</u> During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.

7. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

8. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, including, but not limited to, expert review, amended accusations, legal reviews, analysis and strategy, hearing preparation and other enforcement activities, in the amount of \$16,000 (sixteen thousand dollars and no cents). Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

Payment must be made in full within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board of California. Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board. Failure to comply with the payment plan shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs, including expert review costs.

9. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

#### 10. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

#### Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

#### Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business

and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

#### Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

#### License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

#### Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 11. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 12. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of

probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing..

- 13. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. This term does not include cost recovery, which is due within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board and timely satisfied. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 14. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until

the matter is final.

- 15. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
  Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
  the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
  The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
  determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
  and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
  shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
  designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
  to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
  application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 16. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.
- 17. <u>FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE</u>. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2018-049688 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

//

//

//

//

//

- //

1	ACCEPTANCE		
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have full		
3	discussed it with my attorneys, Kent T. Brandmeyer and Jeanette Van Horst. I understand the		
4	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number		
5	A 46155. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order freely, voluntarily,		
6	knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical		
7	Board of California.		
8			
9	DATED: 5/31/23 Prodech Kr.S.C		
10	PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH, M.D. Respondent		
11	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Pradeep Kumar Singh, M.D. the terms and		
12	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order		
13	I approve its form and content.		
14	1 0		
15	DATED: June 1, 2023		
16	KENT T. BRANDMEYER, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent		
17	Thin on our many		
18	ENDORSEMENT  The foregoing Stimulated Settlement and Direction Co. 1 1 1		
19	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
20	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.		
21	DATED: June 1, 2023 Respectfully submitted,		
22	ROB BONTA		
23	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL		
24	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
25	Colleen M. McGurrin		
26	COLLEEN M. MCGURRIN Deputy Attorney General		
27	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	21602966; 65949273.doex		
	11		

## Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2018-049688

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TAN N. TRAN		
4	Deputy Attorney General		
ľ	State Bar No. 197775 California Department of Justice		
5	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6535 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA	
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2018-049688	
	PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH, M.D. 615 W. Avenue Q, Suite A	ACCUSATION	
14	Palmdale, CA 93551-3887		
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	·	
16	No. A 46155,		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	PART	<u>YIES</u>	
20	<ol> <li>William Prasifka (Complainant) bring</li> </ol>	s this Accusation solely in his official capacity	
21	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs		
22	(Board).		
23	2. On or about June 5, 1989, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
24	Number A 46155 to Pradeep Kumar Singh, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's		
25	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will		
26	expire on November 30, 2022, unless renewed.		
27	<i>III</i>	· ·	
28	<i>III</i>		
	1	•	
	(PRADEEP KUMAR SINGH, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2018-049688		

#### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
  - Section 2004 of the Code states:

The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

- (a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
  - (b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- (c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.
- (d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- (e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.
  - (f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.
- (g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the programs in subdivision (f).
  - (h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.
  - (i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program.
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
  - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.

- (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

#### 6. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
  - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
  - (d) Incompetence.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
  - (f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- (g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.
- 7. Section 2241 of the Code states:
- (a) A physician and surgeon may prescribe, dispense, or administer prescription drugs, including prescription controlled substances, to an addict under his or her treatment for a purpose other than maintenance on, or detoxification from,

prescription drugs or controlled substances.

- (b) A physician and surgeon may prescribe, dispense, or administer prescription drugs or prescription controlled substances to an addict for purposes of maintenance on, or detoxification from, prescription drugs or controlled substances only as set forth in subdivision (c) or in Sections 11215, 11217, 11217.5, 11218, 11219, and 11220 of the Health and Safety Code. Nothing in this subdivision shall authorize a physician and surgeon to prescribe, dispense, or administer dangerous drugs or controlled substances to a person he or she knows or reasonably believes is using or will use the drugs or substances for a nonmedical purpose.
- (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), prescription drugs or controlled substances may also be administered or applied by a physician and surgeon, or by a registered nurse acting under his or her instruction and supervision, under the following circumstances:
- (1) Emergency treatment of a patient whose addiction is complicated by the presence of incurable disease, acute accident, illness, or injury, or the infirmities attendant upon age.
- (2) Treatment of addicts in state-licensed institutions where the patient is kept under restraint and control, or in city or county jails or state prisons.
- (3) Treatment of addicts as provided for by Section 11217.5 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (d)(1) For purposes of this section and Section 2241.5, addict means a person whose actions are characterized by craving in combination with one or more of the following:
  - (A) Impaired control over drug use.
  - (B) Compulsive use.
  - (C) Continued use despite harm.
- (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), a person whose drug-seeking behavior is primarily due to the inadequate control of pain is not an addict within the meaning of this section or Section 2241.5.

#### 8. Section 2242 of the Code states:

- (a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct. An appropriate prior examination does not require a synchronous interaction between the patient and the licensee and can be achieved through the use of telehealth, including, but not limited to, a self-screening tool or a questionnaire, provided that the licensee complies with the appropriate standard of care
- (b) No licensee shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this section if, at the time the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished, any of the following applies:

- (1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return of the patient's practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.
- (2) The licensee transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:
- (A) The practitioner had consulted with the registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse who had reviewed the patient's records.
- (B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.
- (3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refill.
- (4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety Code.
- 9. Section 2266 of the Code states:

The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

#### 10. Section 725 of the Code states:

- (a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language pathologist, or audiologist.
- (b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than six hundred dollars (\$600), or by imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days, or by both that fine and imprisonment.
- (c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.
- (d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5.

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Gross Negligence/Repeated Negligent Acts - 3 Patients)

11. Respondent Pradeep Kumar Singh, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivisions (b) and (c), of the Code for the commission of acts or omissions involving gross negligence/repeated negligent acts in the care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, and 3.1 The circumstances are as follows:

#### Patient 1

- 12. Patient 1 (or "patient") is a forty-seven-year-old female, who was treated by Respondent from approximately 2016 to 2020,<sup>2</sup> for various maladies including hypertension, degenerative joint disease of the lumbar and cervical spine, anxiety disorder, and schizoaffective disorder. Per CURES (Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System, a drug monitoring database for Schedule II through V controlled substances dispensed in California), Respondent prescribed to Patient 1 tramadol, acetaminophen with codeine, lorazepam, clonazepam, and carisoprodol.<sup>3</sup> The vast majority of visits during 2016 through 2020 were "noshows." Despite Respondent's claim that he did not prescribe controlled medications to Patient 1 during her "no-shows," CURES refutes Respondent's claim and shows that prescriptions were routinely written by Respondent to Patient 1 during many of the "no-show" dates.
- 13. Respondent's treatment of Patient 1 during the period of 2016 through 2020 failed to adequately document an appropriate rationale or provide adequate supportive evidence for his diagnoses. During the above time period, Respondent also failed to provide appropriate medical indication for his prescribing of controlled substances to Patient 1, and Respondent failed to adequately document reasonable treatment plans consistent with Patient 1's presentation. With the exception of the occasional documentation of chief complaints and vital signs, Respondent's failure to provide legible progress notes (e.g. documenting assessments, treatment plans, history, pertinent positives/negatives, etc.), and his failure to register for CURES and implement routine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The patients are identified by number to protect their privacy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board. Patient 1 may have treated with Respondent before or after these dates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These medications are controlled substances, and have serious side effects and risk for addiction. They are also dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022 of the Code.

countermeasures to manage Patient 1's potential misuse of controlled substances also represent departures from the standard of care. Most significantly, Respondent's issuance of prescriptions to Patient 1 for more than two years without office visits represents an extreme departure from the standard of care.

14. The above departures committed by Respondent demonstrates an extreme departure from the standard of care with respect to Respondent's overall care of Patient 1, as well as repeated acts of negligence.

#### Patient 2

- 15. Patient 2 (or "patient") is a sixty-four-year-old female, who was treated by Respondent from approximately 2017 through 2020.<sup>4</sup> Patient 2 had a history of hypertension, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), cardiac dysrhythmias (heart rhythm abnormality), liver cirrhosis, gastroesophageal reflux, lumbosacral spine and chronic pain syndrome, and severe generalized anxiety disorder. Significantly, Patient 2 had a history of opioid dependence, and a urine drug screen on October 28, 2019 showed positive results for opiates and marijuana intake.<sup>5</sup>
- 16. Per CURES, Patient 2 was receiving chronic prescriptions for acetaminophen with codeine (painkiller), carisoprodol (muscle relaxant/painkiller), temazepam (a sedative used to treat insomnia), and lorazepam (a sedative used to alleviate anxiety).
- 17. Similar to the above patient, during the period of 2017 through 2020, Respondent failed to adequately document an appropriate rationale or provide adequate supportive evidence for his diagnoses involving Patient 2. During the above time period, Respondent failed to provide appropriate medical indication for his prescribing of controlled substances to Patient 2, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Again, these are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board. Patient 2 may have treated with Respondent before or after these dates. Similar to Patient 1, records also show that Patient 2 failed to show up for her scheduled visits on multiple occasions during 2017 through 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Apparently, Patient 2 was using illicit drugs while also taking dangerous opioid medications, despite having a pain contract in April 2013. It is unclear whether or not Respondent had explained to Patient 2 the purpose of having a pain contract. Also, per a progress note on August 26, 2020, a pain management physician who was also treating Patient 2 outlined a plan to wean Patient 2 off controlled substances and to use a multi-modal approach, including the use of non-opioid medication.

Respondent failed to adequately document reasonable treatment plans consistent with Patient 2's presentation. With the exception of the occasional documentation of chief complaints and vital signs, Respondent's failure to provide legible progress notes (e.g. documenting assessments, treatment plans, history, pertinent positives/negatives, etc.), his failure to register for CURES and failure to implement routine countermeasures to manage Patient 2's potential misuse of controlled substances, also represent departures from the standard of care. In addition, Respondent failed to implement a plan to limit Patient 2's use of narcotics, and failed to refer Patient 2 to drug recovery treatment, despite noting that Patient 2 had a history of opioid dependence and marijuana use.

18. The above multiple failures on the part of Respondent demonstrate an extreme departure from the standard of care with respect to Respondent's overall care of Patient 2 during 2017 through 2020, as well as repeated acts of negligence.

#### Patient 3

- 19. Patient 3 (or "patient") is a sixty-eight-year-old female, who treated with Respondent from approximately 2017 through 2020,<sup>6</sup> for various conditions including hypertension, asthma, seizure disorder, anxiety disorder, hypothryroidism, lumbar spine and cervical issues, chronic pain syndrome, and schizoaffective disorder. Per CURES, Respondent prescribed to Patient 3 tramadol, acetaminophen with codeine, alprazolam/Xanax, carisoprodol, and phenobarbital.<sup>7</sup>
- 20. During the period of 2017 through 2020, Respondent failed to adequately document an appropriate rationale or provide adequate supportive evidence for his diagnoses of Patient 3. During said time period, Respondent failed to provide appropriate medical indication for his prescribing of controlled substances to Patient 3, and Respondent failed to document reasonable treatment plans consistent with Patient 3's presentation. Similar to the above patients,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Again, these are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board. Similar to the above patients, the records showed that Patient 3 also failed to show for her scheduled appointments on multiple occasions. It should also be noted that per Respondent, Patient 3 was a long-time patient who had been treating with Respondent for about 15 years.

<sup>7</sup> These medications are also controlled substances, and have serious side effects and risk

for addiction. They are also dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022 of the Code. Per Respondent, he did not prescribe oxycodone (an opioid painkiller) for Patient 3, and that Patient 3 was under the care of pain management.

Respondent's failure to provide legible progress notes (e.g. documenting assessments, treatment plans, history, pertinent positives/negatives, etc.), and his failure to register for CURES and his failure to implement routine countermeasures to manage Patient 3's potential misuse of controlled substances also represents departures from the standard of care.

21. Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 3, as outlined above, represents an extreme departure from the standard of care for excessive narcotic prescribing to Patient 3, without adequate documentation. Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 3, as outlined above, also demonstrates an extreme departure from the standard of care with respect to Respondent's overall care of Patient 3, as well as repeated acts of negligence.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Excessive Prescribing – 3 Patients)

22. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code, in that Respondent excessively prescribed dangerous drugs to Patients 1, 2, and 3.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Furnishing Dangerous Drugs without a Prior Examination or Medical Indication – Patient 1)

23. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242 of the Code, in that Respondent furnished dangerous drugs to Patient 1 without conducting an appropriate prior examination and/or medical indication, as shown by Respondent's continued prescriptions to Patient 1 for more than two years without office visits.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Prescribing to an Addict – Patient 2)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2241 of the Code in that Respondent prescribed controlled substances to Patient 2, who had a history of opioid dependence and marijuana use.

	II		
1	25. The facts and circumstances in the First Cause for Discipline, above, are incorporated		
2	by reference as if set forth in full herein.		
3	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
4	(Inadequate Records – 3 Patients)		
5	26. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above,		
6	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, in that Respondent		
7	failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of his care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, and 3,		
8	above.		
9	<u>PRAYER</u>		
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
11	and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:		
12	1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 46155,		
13	issued to Respondent Pradeep Kumar Singh, M.D.;		
14	2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Pradeep Kumar Singh,		
15	M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;		
16	3. Ordering Respondent Pradeep Kumar Singh, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the		
17	Board the costs of probation monitoring; and		
18	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
19	OCT 0 8 2021 PMA D		
20	DATED:		
21	Executive Director  Medical Board of California		
22	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
23	Complainant		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			