BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of t Against:	he Accusation		
Joseph Andrew	Mollick, M.D.	Case No.	800-2021-080838
Physician's and Certificate No. A			
	Respondent.		

DECISION

The attached DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 30, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED June 30, 2023.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Reji Varghese Executive Director

1	ROB BONTA					
2	Attorney General of California GREG W. CHAMBERS					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237509					
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004					
5	Telephone: (415) 510-3382 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	·				
6	Attorneys for Complainant	•				
7	BEFOR	E THE				
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
9	STATE OF C.					
10						
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against,	Case No. 800-2021-080838				
12	JOSEPH ANDREW MOLLICK, M.D. 1280 Sharon Park Drive, Apt. 37	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				
13	Menlo Park, CA 94025-7035	[Gov. Code, §11520]				
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76134	[Gov. Code, 911320]				
15	Respondent.	' 				
16						
17						
18	<u>FINDINGS</u>	OF FACT				
19	1. On or about March 30, 2023, Compla	inant Reji Varghese, in his then official capacity				
20	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs,					
21	filed Accusation No. 800-2021-080838 against JOSEPH ANDREW MOLLICK, M.D.					
22	(Respondent) before the Medical Board of California.					
23	2. On or about August 8, 2001, the Medical Board of California (Board) issued					
24	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76134 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's					
25	Certificate expired on September 30, 2022, and has not been renewed. (Exhibit Package ¹ , Exhibit					
26	1, Certificate of Licensure.)					
27						
28	"Exhibit Package."	Decision and Order is submitted herewith as the				
		1				

(JOSEPH ANDREW MOLLICK, M.D.) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER (800-2021-080838)

3. On or about January 9, 2023, in *United States v. Joseph Andrew Mollick*, United States District Court – Northern District of California, Case No. 3:21-CR-00452-VC, Respondent pleaded guilty to one count of Possession of Child Pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§2252 (a)(4)(B) and (b)(2). (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 2, Conviction – ECF 54 and ECF 55.)

- 4. Respondent admitted that he knowingly possessed materials that he knew contained depictions of prepubescent minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct; that he knew each visual depiction showed a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct; that production of such visual depictions involved use of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct; and the visual depiction had been transported using any means or facility of interstate commerce or that each visual depiction had been produced using material that had been mailed, shipped or transported using any means or facility of interstate commerce or in or affecting interstate commerce by any means including by computer.
- 5. Respondent admitted to knowingly possessing at least 2,000 images and videos depicting minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct.
- 6. On or about March 30, 2023, Samuel Guardado, an employee of the Department of Consumer Affairs, served by U.S. Certified Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 800-2021-080838, Statement to Respondent, Request for Discovery, Government Code section s 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, and Notice of Defense (2 copies) to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 1280 Sharon Park Drive, Apt. 37, Menlo Park, CA 94025-7035. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 3, a copy of the Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service.)
- 7. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 8. On or about April 1, 2023, Respondent signed the green certified receipt for the mailed Accusation. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 4, a copy of the green signed return receipt for the mailed Accusation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service.)
- 9. On or about May 15, 2023, an employee of the Attorney General's Office sent a Courtesy Notice of Default upon Respondent at Respondent's address of record by certified mail and E-mail. The address of record was and is 1280 Sharon Park Drive, Apt. 37, Menlo Park, CA

94025-7035. The Courtesy Notice of Default advised Respondent of the service of the Accusation and provided Respondent with an opportunity to file a Notice of Defense and request relief from default. The Courtesy Notice of Default provided Respondent with a copy of the Accusation, the Statement to Respondent, a Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and discovery statutes, and advised Respondent that he was in default. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 5, copy of the Courtesy Notice of Default and Declaration of Service.)

- 10. On May 15, 2023, Respondent sent correspondence to the Attorney General's Office after receiving email correspondence containing the Courtesy Notice of Default. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 6, copy of May 15, 2023 email from Respondent.)
- 11. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 800-2021-080838.
- 12. On June 20, 2023, an employee of the Attorney General's Office received a document entitled "Cost of Suit Summary," and a document entitled "Default Costs," which showed that the Department of Justice has billed the Medical Board of California \$5,446.25 for the time spent working in the above entitled case through June 20, 2023. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 7, Certification of Prosecution Costs: Declaration of Greg W. Chambers.)
- 13. Cost incurred by the Board investigating the matter amounted to \$170.00. (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 8, Declaration of Investigative Activity, signed on May 11, 2023, by Jaimee Tassio, Supervising Investigator I.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

- 14. California Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."

- 15. Respondent failed to filed a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 800-2021-081527.
 - 16. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 17. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 800-2021-080838 are true.
- 18. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have violated the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. Licensee's failure to comply will result in the license not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent JOSEPH ANDREW MOLLICK,
 M.D. has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76134 to discipline.
- 2. A copy of the Accusation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached.
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in the Exhibit Package, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. 800-2021-080838 are true:

- a. On or about November 9, 2022, in *United States v. Joseph Andrew Mollick*,
 United States District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 3:21-CR-00452-VC,
 Respondent pleaded guilty to one count of Possession of Child Pornography in violation of 18
 U.S.C. §§2252 (a)(4)(B) and (b)(2). (Exhibit Package, Exhibit 2, Conviction ECF 54 and ECF 55.)
- b. Respondent committed unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code sections 2234(f) and 2236, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76134, heretofore issued to Respondent JOSEPH ANDREW MOLLICK, M.D., is revoked. Respondent JOSEPH ANDREW MOLLICK, M.D. is ordered to pay the Board the costs of investigation and enforcement of this case in the amount of \$5,616.25.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effect at 5:00 p.m. on July 30,2023.

It is so ORDERED June 30, 2023

Reji Varghese, Executive Director FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1 2 3 4 5 6	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California GREG W. CHAMBERS Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237509 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3382 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant					
7	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
8						
9						
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2021-080838				
11	JOSEPH ANDREW MOLLICK, M.D.	ACCUSATION				
12 13	1280 Sharon Park Drive, Apt 37 Menlo Park, CA 94025-7035	ACCUSATION				
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 76134,					
15	Respondent.					
16						
17						
18	<u>PAR</u>	TIES				
19	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) brings	this Accusation solely in his official capacity as				
20	the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer					
21	Affairs (Board).					
22	2. On or about August 8, 2001, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's					
23	Certificate Number A 76134 to Joseph Andrew Mollick, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's					
24	and Surgeon's Certificate expired on September 30, 2022, and has not been renewed.					
25	JURISD	ICTION				
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following					
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise					
28	indicated.					
	1					

- 4. Section 2221 of the Code states:
- (a) The board may deny a physician's and surgeon's certificate to an applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct or of any cause that would subject a licensee to revocation or suspension of their license. The board, in its sole discretion, may issue a probationary physician's and surgeon's certificate to an applicant subject to terms and conditions, including, but not limited to, any of the following conditions of probation:
 - (1) Practice limited to a supervised, structured environment where the licensee's activities shall be supervised by another physician and surgeon.
 - (2) Total or partial restrictions on drug prescribing privileges for controlled substances.
 - (3) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.
 - (4) Ongoing participation in a specified rehabilitation program.
 - (5) Enrollment and successful completion of a clinical training program.
 - (6) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.
 - (7) Restrictions against engaging in certain types of medical practice.
 - (8) Compliance with all provisions of this chapter.
 - (9) Payment of the cost of probation monitoring.
- (b) The board may modify or terminate the terms and conditions imposed on the probationary certificate upon receipt of a petition from the licensee. The board may assign the petition to an administrative law judge designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code.

 After a hearing on the petition, the administrative law judge shall provide a proposed decision to the board.
- (c) The board shall deny a physician's and surgeon's certificate to an applicant who is required to register pursuant to Section 290 of the Penal Code. This subdivision does not apply to an applicant who is required to register as a sex offender pursuant to Section 290 of the Penal Code solely because of a misdemeanor conviction under Section 314 of the Penal Code.
- (d) An applicant shall not be eligible to reapply for a physician's and surgeon's certificate for a minimum of three years from the effective date of the denial of his or her application, except

that the board may, in its discretion and for good cause demonstrated, permit reapplication after not less than one year has elapsed from the effective date of the denial.

- (e) The board shall disclose a probationary physician's and surgeon's certificate issued pursuant to this section and the operative statement of issues to an inquiring member of the public and shall post the certificate and statement on the board's internet website for 10 years from issuance.
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - 6. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure

constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

- (d) Incompetence.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - (f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- (g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.
- 7. Section 2236 of the Code states:
- (a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
- (b) The district attorney, city attorney, or other prosecuting agency shall notify the Medical Board of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and describe the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.
- (c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- (d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction

shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred.

- 8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:
- (a) For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes, misconduct, or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of state or federal law governing the applicant's or licensee's professional practice.
- (b) In making the substantial relationship determination required under subdivision (a) for a crime, the board shall consider the following criteria:
 - (1) The nature and gravity of the crime;
 - (2) The number of years elapsed since the date of the crime; and
 - (3) The nature and duties of the profession.

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Conviction)

10. Respondent Joseph Andrew Mollick, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234 [unprofessional conduct] and 2236 [conviction] of the Code, and California Code

of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, [conviction] in that Respondent's conviction is unprofessional conduct under the law. The circumstances are as follows:

- 11. On or about November 9, 2022, Respondent pleaded guilty to one count of Possession of Child Pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§2252 (a)(4)(B) and (b)(2).
- 12. Respondent admitted that he knowingly possessed materials that he knew contained depictions of prepubescent minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct; that he knew each visual depiction showed a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct; that production of such visual depictions involved use of a minor engaged in sexually explicit conduct; and the visual depiction had been transported using any means or facility of interstate commerce or that each visual depiction had been produced using material that had been mailed, shipped or transported using any means or facility of interstate commerce or in or affecting interstate commerce by any means including by computer.
- 13. Respondent admitted to knowingly possessing at least 2,000 images and videos depicting minors engaged in sexually explicit conduct.

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 76134, issued to Joseph Andrew Mollick, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Joseph Andrew Mollick, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering Joseph Andrew Mollick, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and

|| ///

26 || ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

		-		
1	4. Ta	aking such other and f	further action as deemed necessary and proj	per.
2				
3	DATED:	MAK 3 0 2023	· ·	
4			REJI VARGHESE Interim Executive Director	
5			Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
6			Complainant	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16			•	•
17				
18				
19				
20				
21	ı			
22				
23				
24				
2526				•
27				
28				