BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS** STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 32393

Respondent.

Case No.: 800-2018-047139

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 22, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED: May 23, 2023.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair

Panel B

1	ROB BONTA	·			
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINA SEIN GOOT Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 229094				
3					
4					
5	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702				
	Los Angeles, CA 90013				
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6481 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117	,			
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFORE THE				
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2018-047139			
13	TUAN HUU NGUYEN, M.D.	OAH No. 2022020860			
14	22030 Sherman Way, Ste 211				
15	Canoga Park, CA 91303	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER			
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 32393,				
17	Respondent.				
18					
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-			
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:				
21	<u>PARTIES</u>				
22	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the	e Executive Director of the Medical Board of			
23	California (Board). He brought this action solely	in his official capacity and is represented in this			
24	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Christina Sein Goot, Deputy				
25	Attorney General.				
26	2. Respondent Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D.	(Respondent) is represented in this proceeding			
27	by attorney Seth Weinstein, whose address is: Law Offices of Seth Weinstein, P.C., 16133				
28	Ventura Blvd., Suite 700, Encino, CA 91436.	·			
	li .				

3. On or about June 12, 1978, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 32393 to Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2018-047139, and will expire on July 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2018-047139 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on August 20, 2021. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2018-047139 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2018-047139. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent understand and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2018-047139, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those

charges and allegations.

- 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2018-047139 and that he has thereby subjected his license to disciplinary action.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2018-047139 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and

///

enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 32393 issued to Respondent Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions:

1. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - MAINTAIN RECORDS AND ACCESS TO</u>

<u>RECORDS AND INVENTORIES</u>. Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances ordered, prescribed, dispensed, administered, or possessed by Respondent, and any recommendation or approval which enables a patient or patient's primary caregiver to possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5, during probation, showing all of the following: 1) the name and address of the patient; 2) the date; 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved; and 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances were furnished.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order. All records and any inventories of controlled substances shall be available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the Board or its designee at all times during business hours and shall be retained for the entire term of probation.

2. <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

3. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE – Condition Satisfied. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. In satisfaction of this condition, Respondent may enroll in the medical record keeping course offered by the University of California San Diego Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

2.7

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

5. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 6. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE</u>

 <u>NURSES.</u> During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.
- 7. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 8. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$6,881.25 (six thousand eight hundred eighty-one dollars and twenty-five cents). Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

Payment must be made in full within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board of California. Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board. Failure to comply with the payment plan shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

9. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

10. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

| |//

2.7

ż

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 11. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 12. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model

Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. This term does not include cost recovery, which is due within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board and timely satisfied. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 15. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D. the terms and		
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.		
3	I approve its form and content.		
4	DATED: 09-29-2022		
5	SETH WEINSTEIN, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent		
6			
7	ENDORSEMENT		
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
9	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.		
10	D 40.11 1 24.1		
11	DATED: Respectfully submitted,		
12	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California Judith T. Alvarado		
13	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
14	Sept. 18. Ann. Sept. March 19. Sept. 18. Sept.		
15	CHRISTINA SEIN GOOT		
16	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant		
17			
18			
19			
20	LA2021603193 65448337.docx		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	11		

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D. the terms and		
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above	ve Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.	
3	I approve its form and content.		
4	DATED:		
5	SETH W Attorney	EINSTEIN, ESQ. for Respondent	
6			
7	ENDORSEMENT		
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
9	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.		
10	D 4 (70) /20	D (C.111241	
11	DATED:9/30/22	Respectfully submitted,	
12		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO	
13		Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
14		1 to Chi ant	
15		CHRISTINA SEIN GOOT	
16	·	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant	
17			
18			
19	. ~		
20	LA2021603193 65448337.docx		
21		•	
22			
23			
24			
25	,		
26			
27			
28		•	
		11	

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2018-047139

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1	ROB BONTA				
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TAN N. TRAN				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197775				
5	California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702				
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6535				
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant				
8					
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	STATE OF CA	ADII ORMA			
12	To the Northern estimate Assessment	O N. 000 0010 047120			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2018-047139			
14	Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D. 22030 Sherman Way, Ste 211 Canoga Park, CA 91303	ACCUSATION			
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate				
16	No. A 32393,				
17	Respondent.				
18					
19	PART	<u>TES</u>			
20	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity				
21	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs				
22	(Board).				
23	2. On or about June 12, 1978, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's				
24	Certificate Number A 32393 to Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and				
25	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought				
26	herein and will expire on July 31, 2023, unless renewed.				
27	///	·			
28	///				
		•			

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2004 of the Code states:

The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

- (a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
 - (b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- (c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.
- (d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- (e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.
 - (f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.
- (g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the programs in subdivision (f).
 - (h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.
 - (i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program.
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.

(Gross Negligence – 3 Patients)

11. Respondent Tuan Huu Nguyen, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code for the commission of acts or omissions involving gross negligence in the care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, and 3.¹ The circumstances are as follows:

Patient 1

- 12. Patient 1 (or "patient") is a 52 year old male who was treated by Respondent from approximately 2014 through 2018,² for various conditions including anxiety and chronic low back pain. Patient 1 also had a history of hypertension, and prior treatments for a gunshot wound and thyroid cancer. There was no documentation that Respondent had an adequate treatment plan/objectives for treating Patient 1's conditions (e.g. progress notes, using a Pain Assessment and Documentation Tool (PADT), etc.).
- 13. During his treatment of Patient 1, Respondent prescribed to the patient Xanax (a benzodiazepine and a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code), as well as Norco (an opiate and a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code). There was no documentation in Respondent's records of a history and physical to indicate whether Respondent had inherited Patient 1's use of Norco and Xanax, nor was there any documentation showing that Respondent had confirmed Patient 1's drug use prior to treating with Respondent (e.g. review of medication bottle labels or communication with pharmacists, etc.). There was no documentation of an order(s) for urine testing or in-house lab results of urine drug testing of any kind.
- 14. Additionally, there was no informed consent (discussion of risks and benefits, by Respondent to Patient 1, of the use of controlled substances), and no medical management agreement in the chart. There was no documented explanation by Respondent to justify the need for combining Xanax with Norco, or whether this combination was initiated by Respondent or

¹ The patients are identified by number to protect their privacy.

² These are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board. Patient 1 may have treated with Respondent before or after these dates.

³ Records indicate that Respondent no longer prescribed Norco to Patient 1 after June 28, 2016, but it is not clear whether Respondent was aware that Patient 1 was continuing to use Norco or other narcotics, which may have been prescribed by other doctors, after said date.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

another doctor. Respondent failed to document the medical justification for prescribing this potentially dangerous combination of benzodiazepines (e.g. Xanax) and opiates (e.g. Norco) to Patient 1, and there was no documentation that Patient 1 gave adequate informed consent for being prescribed this potentially dangerous combination of drugs. Moreover, there is no indication that CURES (Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System, a California drug database which monitors Schedules II through V prescriptions for controlled substances) was run on Patient 1 by Respondent, 4 nor did Respondent perform adequate periodic reviews of his treatment of Patient 1.

15. Overall, Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 1, as outlined above, represents an extreme departure from the standard of care for his prescribing a benzodiazepine with an opioid to Patient 1, as well as extreme departures from the standard of care for Respondent's failure to perform an adequate history and physical examination for the patient, as well as Respondent's failure to document an adequate treatment plan, failure to document giving the patient informed consent, and failure to provide adequate periodic reviews of his treatment of the patient.

Patient 2

16. Patient 2 (or "patient") is a 72 year old female who was treated by Respondent from approximately 2012 through 2019.⁵ Patient 2 had a history of chronic pain from several spine surgeries after a motor vehicle accident in 1959, severe bilateral knee arthritis, disc disease, and advanced hip arthritis. Records indicate that from April 2012 onward, Respondent prescribed to Patient 2 Fentanyl patches (an opioid and an dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of the Code), Norco (an opioid), zolpidem (a sleep aid and a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 of

⁴ Per CURES, Patient 1 was receiving prescriptions for oxycodone (an opioid) from another doctor, while Patient 1 was receiving prescriptions for Xanax (a benzodiazepine) from Respondent. This combination of taking an opioid with a benzodiazepine can be dangerous and may be lethal. It is unclear whether Respondent was aware of the oxycodone prescription from the other doctor.

⁵ These are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board. Patient 2 may have treated with Respondent before or after these dates.

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

22 23

21

24

25

26 27

28

the Code), and from at least 2019, Patient 2 was prescribed diazepam (a benzodiazepine) from another doctor.6

- 17. Similarly to Patient 1 above, there was no documentation that Respondent had an adequate treatment plan or objectives for treating Patient 2's conditions (e.g. progress notes, using a Pain Assessment and Documentation Tool (PADT), etc.). Specifically, there was no documentation of informed consent, medication agreement, or urine drug screens for Patient 2 in Respondent's medical charts. There was no documentation of a history and physical to determine who began prescribing the combination of Fentanyl and Norco to Patient 2, nor was there adequate documentation indicating that said combination of drugs was medically justified. Despite prescribing dangerous controlled substances to Patient 2 for a long period of time, Respondent failed to adequately perform periodic reviews to ensure that Patient 2 was properly taking the medications prescribed.
- 18. Overall, Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 2, as outlined above, represents an extreme departure from the standard of care for his prescribing a benzodiazepine with an opioid to Patient 2, as well as extreme departures from the standard of care for Respondent's failure to perform an adequate history and physical examination for the patient, as well as Respondent's failure to document an adequate treatment plan, failure to document giving the patient informed consent, and failure to provide adequate periodic reviews of his treatment of the patient.

Patient 3

Patient 3 (or "patient") is a 52 year old female who was treated by Respondent from approximately 2012 to 2019, for various conditions including obesity, chronic low back pain, hip pain, hypothryroidism, anxiety, tobacco dependence, urinary incontinence, and abdominal pain, as well as epilepsy, which was diagnosed by a neurologist. During the time period Respondent

Again, these are approximate dates based on the medical records which were available to the Board. Patient 3 may have treated with Respondent before or after these dates.

⁶ It is unclear whether or not Respondent was aware of prescriptions from other doctors, and that Patient 2 was taking both opiates and a benzodiazepine. The medical chart indicates that Respondent ran routine CURES reports, which should have made Respondent aware that Patient 2 was taking a potentially lethal combination of drugs.

treated Patient 3, Respondent prescribed various medications to Patient 3 including Norco, Soma, Ambien, Xanax, and Dilaudid.⁸

- 20. Similar to Patients 1 and 2 above, there was no documentation that Respondent had an adequate treatment plan or objectives for treating Patient 3's conditions (e.g. progress notes, using a Pain Assessment and Documentation Tool (PADT), etc.). There was no documentation of informed consent, medication agreement, or urine drug screens for Patient 3 in Respondent's medical charts. Specifically, there was no documentation of a history and physical to indicate whether Patient 3 was previously taking a potentially dangerous combination of benzodiazepines and opioids, a fact which would have made an informed consent to continue this combination of drugs essential. Moreover, Respondent failed to adequately document the medical justification for said combination of drugs he was prescribing to Patient 3. Despite prescribing dangerous controlled substances to Patient 3 for a long period of time, Respondent failed to adequately perform periodic reviews to ensure that Patient 3 was properly taking the medications prescribed.
- 21. Overall, Respondent's care and treatment of Patient 3, as outlined above, represents an extreme departure from the standard of care for his prescribing a benzodiazepine with an opioid to Patient 3, as well as extreme departures from the standard of care for Respondent's failure to perform an adequate history and physical examination for the patient, as well as Respondent's failure to document an adequate treatment plan, failure to document giving the patient informed consent, and failure to provide adequate periodic reviews of his treatment of the patient.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts – 3 Patients)

22. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code for

⁸ These medications are controlled substances, and have serious side effects and risk for addiction. They are also dangerous drugs pursuant to section 4022 of the Code. It also appears that Respondent ran a CURES report on Patient 3 in June and September 2019. This should have alerted Respondent that Patient 3 was receiving simultaneous prescriptions for carisoprodol (Soma-a muscle relaxant), phenobarbital (a barbiturate used to treat epilepsy), zolpidem (a sleep aid), and hydromorphone/Dilaudid (a opioid pain reliever).

1	the commission of acts or omissions involving repeated negligent acts in the care and treatment o		
2	Patients 1, 2, and 3, above.		
3	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
4	(Excessive Prescribing – 3 Patients)		
5	23. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above,		
6	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code, in that Respondent		
7	excessively prescribed dangerous drugs to Patients 1, 2 and 3, above.		
8	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
9	(Furnishing Dangerous Drugs without a Prior Examination or Medical Indication –		
10	3 Patients)		
11.	24. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above,		
12	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242 of the Code, in that Respondent		
13	furnished dangerous drugs to Patients 1, 2 and 3, without conducting an appropriate prior		
14	examination and/or medical indication, above.		
15	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
16	(Inadequate Records – 3 Patients)		
17	25. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above,		
18	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, in that Respondent		
19	failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of his care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, and 3,		
20	above.		
21	<i>///</i>		
22	///		
23	///		
24	<i>///</i>		
25	///		
26	<i>///</i>		
27	<i>///</i>		
28			
l	10		

(TUAN HUU NGUYEN, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2018-047139