BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No.: 800-2019-055992

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Christopher Chew Wong, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 99051

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 16, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED: <u>May 17, 2023</u>.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair

Panel B

1	ROB BONTA			
2	Attorney General of California STEVE MUNI			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JANNSEN TAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237826			
4				
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255			
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7549			
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 Attorneys for Complainant			
8				
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA		
12				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2019-055992		
14	CHRISTOPHER CHEW WONG, M.D. 2100 Webster St. Ste. 207	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
15	San Francisco CA 94115	DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 99051	·		
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	·			
20				
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-			
22	entitled proceedings that the following matters are	true:		
23	<u>PARTIES</u>			
24	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) is the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board			
25	of California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in			
26	this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Jannsen Tan, Deputy			
27	Attorney General.			
28				

- 2. Respondent Christopher Chew Wong, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Adam Glen Slote, whose address is: 50 California Street, 34th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111.
- 3. On or about February 23, 2007, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 99051 to Christopher Chew Wong, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2019-055992, and will expire on December 31, 2024, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2019-055992 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 4, 2022. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2019-055992 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2019-055992. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2019-055992, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case or factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

12. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 14. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the

Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-055992 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

- 15. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 16. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in this matter.
- 17. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 99051 issued to Respondent CHRISTOPHER CHEW WONG, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for 35 months on the following terms and conditions:

1. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board

or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

2. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>MONITORING - PRACTICE/BILLING</u>. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or

personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely, billing appropriately or both. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the

name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

4. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED PRACTICE
 NURSES. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.
- 6. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 7. <u>INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY</u>. Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, including, but not

limited to, expert review, amended accusations, legal reviews, investigation(s), and subpoena enforcement, as applicable, in the amount of \$13,726 (thirteen thousand seven hundred twenty six dollars). Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

Payment must be made in full within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board of California. Any and all requests for a payment plan shall be submitted in writing by respondent to the Board. Failure to comply with the payment plan shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs, including expert review costs.

8. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

9. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021, subdivision (b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice

Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 10. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 11. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If Respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, Respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve Respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar

months, Respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Boards's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a Respondent residing outside of California will relieve
Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the
exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws;
General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations.

- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. This term does not include cost recovery, which is due within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board and timely satisfied. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in

determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subjec
to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

- 15. <u>PROBATION MONITORING COSTS</u>. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.
- 16. <u>FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE</u>. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-055992 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Adam Glen Slote. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 03 / 06 / 2023

Christopher Wong, MD

CHRISTOPHER CHEW WONG, M.D. Respondent

	k1 .		
1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Christopher Chew Wong, M.D. the term		
2	and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary		
3	Order. I approve its form and content.	Adam Slote	
4	DATED: 03 / 06 / 2023		
5		DAM GLEN SLOTE torney for Respondent	
6			
7	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
9	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.		
10	3/21/2023		
11	DATED:	Respectfully submitted,	
12		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California	
13		STEVE MUNI Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
14		Jannsen Tan	
15	,	J Jannsen Tan	
16		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant	
17		1.	
18	·		
19	·		
20	SF2022400301 36947306.docx		
21			
22		·	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	i :		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2019-055992

1	ROB BONTA			
2	Attorney General of California JANE ZACK SIMON			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAWRENCE MERCER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 111898 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004			
4				
5				
6	Telephone: (415) 510-3488 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	DELLOD	n griffin		
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11				
j	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2019-055992		
12	Christopher Chew Wong, M.D.	ACCUSATION		
13	2100 Webster St., Ste. 207 San Francisco, CA 94115	ACCUSATION		
14	San Francisco, CA 94113			
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate			
16	No. A 99051,			
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	PART	<u>TES</u>		
20	NAME OF THE PARTY			
21	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity			
22	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of	California, Department of Consumer Affairs		
23	(Board).			
24	2. On or about February 23, 2007, the M	edical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
25	Certificate Number A 99051 to Christopher Chew	Wong, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's		
26	and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effe	ect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
27	herein and will expire on December 31, 2022, unle	ess renewed.		
28	·			

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- ...(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
- 5. Section 2266 of the Code states: The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

COST RECOVERY

6. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts/Inadequate Records)

(Patient 1)

- 7. Respondent Christopher Chew Wong, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234 and/or 2234(c) and/or 2266 in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct and repeated acts of negligence in his care and treatment of Patient 1.¹ The circumstances are as follows:
- 8. Patient 1, a 43-year old female, was first seen by Respondent on January 24, 2018. Patient 1 had been under the care of a different physician in the same clinic for several years and was being treated for multiple chronic conditions, including neck and knee pain, migraine headaches and anxiety. At her first visit with Respondent, he noted that her current medications included alprazolam (a benzodiazepine used to treat anxiety), 2 mg, QD, Norco (a short-acting opioid medication used to treat moderate to severe pain), 10/325 mg, 1-2 Q 4-6 hours, and Percocet (a short-acting opioid medication used to treat moderate to severe pain), 10/325 mg, 1-2 Q 4-6 hours. Over the next twenty-six months, Respondent prescribed opioids and benzodiazepines to Patient 1 in increasing dosages.
- 9. At all relevant times during Respondent's care and treatment of the patient, the standard of care for prescribing controlled substances for chronic pain was to adhere to generally accepted guidelines, including those published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Medical Board of California.
- 10. In his records and at a subsequent interview with the Board's investigator, Respondent noted that Norco was prescribed to Patient 1 for treatment of knee pain and Percocet was prescribed for pain related to the patient's migraine headaches. However, musculoskeletal pain and pain related to migraines are not drug-specific and combinations of two or more short-acting opioids increase the risk of overuse and dependency. An examination of the knees is not documented and no abnormalities were found on examination of the neck and back over the

¹ Patient names are withheld to protect privacy interests.

course of treatment, so that the medical indication for long-term opioid therapy is not explained in Respondent's documentation. Respondent did not perform and/or did not document a risk stratification of the patient's opioid addiction risks albeit she had several indicators of elevated addiction potential. On September 13, 2018, Respondent increased the monthly prescription for Norco to 90 tablets, apparently based on the patient's telephone request for that amount "because she takes more." Respondent prescribed the Morphine Milligram Equivalent (MME) of 60 mg/day, and this level of opioid medication placed the patient at risk for drug toxicity and medication abuse. Respondent maintained Patient 1 on opioid treatment for her chronic migraine headaches despite information from her treating neurologist that the medication might be causing rebound headaches.

- 11. From the outset of treatment the patient complained to Respondent of increased stress because a seriously ill relative had moved in to live with her and Respondent agreed to increase her alprazolam from QD to BID, so that she would be taking 4 mg daily. Respondent did not perform and/or did not document a comprehensive evaluation of the patient's anxiety. Ten months later, on October 15, 2018, the patient again complained to Respondent of increased stressors at home and Respondent increased the dosage to 2 mg TID, so that she would be taking 6 mg daily. Respondent did not perform and/or did not document a comprehensive evaluation of the patient's anxiety. On January 14, 2019, Respondent added a second benzodiazepine, clonazepam, 1 mg, HS. Respondent increased the dosage of clonazepam in February and again in March 2019, so that the patient was receiving clonazepam, 1 mg, TID, or 3 milligrams/day. In prescribing benzodiazepines to a patient on long-term opioid therapy, Respondent placed the patient at significant risk of overdose and respiratory failure.
- 12. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct and Respondent's certificate is subject to discipline pursuant to Sections 2234 and/or 2234(c) and/or 2266 in that Respondent failed to follow generally accepted guidelines for prescribing controlled substances including, but not limited to the following:
- A. Respondent failed to appropriately evaluate multiple chronic conditions, including musculoskeletal pain and anxiety;

- B. Respondent inappropriately prescribed chronic opioid therapy in high dosages and without performing a risk stratification for potential addiction or appropriately managing the patient's use to prevent overuse and abuse;
- C. Respondent inappropriately prescribed multiple concurrent short-acting opioids and multiple benzodiazepines, as well as combinations of opioids and benzodiazepines;
- D. Respondent failed to document a rationale for his prescribing, consideration of nonopioid therapies, medication monitoring or informed consent.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts/Inadequate Records)

(Patient 2)

- 13. Respondent Christopher Chew Wong, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234 and/or 2234(c) and/or 2266 in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct and repeated acts of negligence in his care and treatment of Patient 2. The circumstances are as follows:
 - 14. Complainant incorporates Paragraph 9, above.
- 15. Patient 2, a 40-year old female, came under Respondent's care in 2015 for multiple chronic conditions including porphyria (a painful disorder of the blood), fibromyalgia, migraine headaches, depression and anxiety. Patient 2 had been prescribed the opioid medications MS Contin, 60 mg, BID, hydromorphone, 2 mg, TID, and a benzodiazepine, lorazepam, 1 mg, TID, by her hematologist, who turned over responsibility for prescribing to the patient at the end of 2016. In early 2017, Patient 2 was evaluated for her chronic opioid dependence by pain management specialists at UCSF, who recommended a taper of the opioid therapy. Respondent was aware of the recommendations to taper the patient's opioid medications, but he decided to maintain her on opioid therapy until her psychiatric issues were better controlled.
- 16. Respondent maintained Patient 2 on a high dose opioid regimen and at an MME (greater than 100 MME daily) exceeding guidelines for maximum MME for several years. During this time, he did not consider and/or did not document consideration of non-opioid treatment for the patient's fibromyalgia, such as non-addictive medications and physical therapy. Respondent

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

did not perform and/or did not document a risk stratification of the patient's opioid addiction risk
albeit she had several indicators of elevated addiction potential, nor did Respondent document
informed consent or monitor the patient's medication use. Overall, Respondent's charting was
inadequate in that clinic notes were all template and appeared similar from visit to visit. He also
maintained Patient 2 on benzodiazepines concurrent with her high opioid medication, placing he
at elevated risk for overdose and respiratory arrest.

- Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct and Respondent's certificate is subject 17. to discipline pursuant to Sections 2234 and/or 2234(c) and/or 2266 in that Respondent failed to follow generally accepted guidelines for prescribing controlled substances including, but not limited to the following:
- Respondent initiated high dose opioid therapy without performing a risk stratification of the patient or recommending non-opioid treatment of her fibromyalgia;
- Respondent prescribed chronic opioid medications at an MME exceeding recommended maximum dosages;
- C. Respondent inappropriately prescribed a combination of opioids and benzodiazepines;
- D. Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate records, including informed consent, the rationale for maintaining the patient on high dose opioid therapy or consideration of non-opioid treatments.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts/Inadequate Records)

(Patient 3)

- Respondent Christopher Chew Wong, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under 18, sections 2234 and/or 2234(c) and/or 2266 in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct and repeated acts of negligence in his care and treatment of Patient 3. The circumstances are as follows:
 - 19. Complainant incorporates Paragraph 9, above.

23

24

25

26

- as 2009, when she was 34-years old. Based on his clinical examinations in the office, Respondent diagnosed her with cervical radiculopathy and low back pain. Respondent prescribed an opioid, Percocet, 10/325, which was switched to oxycodone, 15 mg, TID in October 2010; at that time, the patient complained that three Percocet several times a day were not controlling her pain and she requested oxycodone. From that point until 2019, Respondent prescribed oxycodone to Patient 3 without evaluating her neck and back pain by radiologic examination or specialty consultation. Respondent did not consider and/or did not document consideration of non-opioid treatments, nor did he perform a risk stratification to assess the patient's opioid addiction risks. Respondent's records lack documented informed consent, urine toxicology screens or consideration of non-opioid multidisciplinary management of the patient's pain.
- 21. Over the period between 2010 and 2019, Respondent continued to prescribe oxycodone without performing a thorough evaluation of the patient's conditions. According to Respondent's records, between 2014 and 2018, Patient 3 was seen only occasionally, and possibly as few as six times, while she was prescribed potent opioids. In 2018, Respondent increased the dosage from 60 to 90 tablets per month. In a subsequent interview with the Board's investigator, Respondent acknowledged that he inappropriately deferred to Patient 3, who was a nurse, regarding her pain levels and pain medication needs.
- 22. Although Respondent did periodically check CURES reports, he failed to note that, between 2013 and 2019, the patient was at various times seeing other physicians and obtaining Suboxone and buprenorphine drugs in the class of opioid agonist-antagonists apparently to treat opioid abuse. In a subsequent interview with the Board, Respondent acknowledged that he should have looked more closely at the CURES reports he had available to him.
- 23. In 2016, Respondent began prescribing a benzodiazepine, lorazepam, to address the patient's anxiety. He did so without conducting a complete evaluation of her generalized anxiety and, of significance given her ongoing opioid therapy, without considering other safer anxiolytics such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) antidepressant medications. Similarly,

diabetes, peripheral neuropathy and lupus. She also suffered from osteoarthritis of the knees and

on December 8, 2014. This elderly patient had multiple medical issues, including COPD,

26

27

had been on an opioid medication regimen, including oxycodone, 40 mg, BID, before she came under Respondent's care. Respondent did not re-evaluate and/or did not document a re-evaluation of high dose opioid therapy in an elderly patient with comorbidities, but continued the patient on oxycodone, with a daily MME of 120 mg. Respondent did not consider and/or did not document non-opioid alternative medications or referrals for orthopedic care through 2016, when the patient's knee pain increased to a level requiring hospitalization. In the hospital, the patient's opioid dosage was increased to 160 mg daily, with an MME of 240 mg. At a subsequent interview with the Board's investigator, Respondent stated that he was actively trying to transition the patient to an orthopedic surgeon and pain management specialist, but the records available do not include consultations and CURES reports show that Patient 4 was maintained on extremely high dose opioid therapy through 2019. Additional inadequacies in Respondent's records include failure to document a detailed knee examination, failure to document opioid monitoring and failure to document informed consent to high dose opioid therapy.

- 28. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct and Respondent's certificate is subject to discipline pursuant to Sections 2234 and/or 2234(c) and/or 2266 in that Respondent failed to follow generally accepted guidelines for prescribing controlled substances including, but not limited to the following:
- A. Respondent failed to re-evaluate high dose opioid therapy in an elderly patient with multiple comorbidities and failed to consider and/or to document alternative therapies and appropriate consultations to reduce the patient's opioid use;
- B. Respondent failed to keep adequate records of evaluations of the patient's osteoarthritis of the knees, risk stratification or informed consent and monitoring of the high dose opioid therapy.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 99051, issued to Christopher Chew Wong, M.D.;

- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Christopher Chew Wong, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering Christopher Chew Wong, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:

MAY 0 4 2022

WILLIAM PRASIFKA

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2022400301 43158834.docx