BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Nerissa C. Safie, M.D.

Physician's & Surgeon's Certificate No. A 77806

Case No. 800-2018-050681

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 15, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED: May 16, 2023.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Richard E. Thorp, M.D., Chair

Panel B

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General TRINA L. SAUNDERS		
3			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207764		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6516 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11		·	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2018-050681	
13	NERISSA C. SAFIE, M.D.	OAH No. 2022040581	
14	25485 Medical Center Drive, Suite 200	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
15	Murrieta, California 92562	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate A 77806,		
17	Respondent.		
18		·	
19	`		
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of		
24	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this		
25	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Trina L. Saunders, Deputy		
26	Attorney General.		
27	2. Respondent Nerissa C. Safie, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by		
28	attorney Raymond J. McMahon, whose address is	5440 Trabuco Road, Irvine, California 92620.	
	4		

3. On January 30, 2002, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 77806 to Nerissa C. Safie, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050681, and will expire on December 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. A First Amended Accusation in Case No. 800-2018-050681 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 2, 2022. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of the First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050681 is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050681. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with her counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

26 || ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

~~

///

///

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050681, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 10. Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case or factual basis for the charges in the First Amended Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050681, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that she has thereby subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, No. A 77806 to disciplinary action.
- 12. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

A. PUBLIC REPRIMAND.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 77806 issued to Respondent Nerissa C. Safie, M.D., shall be and is hereby Publicly Reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This Public Reprimand is issued in connection with the care and treatment of a single patients, as set forth in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050681, and is as follows:

"In 2016, you were grossly negligent in that you exercised poor judgment in attempting a third endometrial ablation to address abnormal uterine bleeding in a patient, who had suffered perforations of her uterus after each of your prior two attempts of performing the same procedure, as more fully described in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050681."

B. <u>EDUCATION COURSE.</u> In addition, within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at endometrial bleeding and procedures and surgeries to address such conditions and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40

hours were in satisfaction of this condition. 1 C. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY. Respondent is hereby 2 ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, including, but not 3 4 limited to, expert review, amended accusations, legal reviews, investigation(s), and subpoena enforcement, as applicable, in the amount of \$8,242.50 (Eight thousand two hundred and forty-5 6 two dollars and fifty cents). Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to 7 pay such costs shall be considered a violation of this Order. 8 Payment must be made in full within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Order, or by a payment plan approved by the Medical Board of California. Any and all requests for a 9 10 payment plan shall be submitted in writing by Respondent to the Board. Failure to comply with the payment plan shall be considered a violation of this Order. 11 The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility 12 to repay investigation and enforcement costs.** 13 Any failure to fully comply with the terms of this Disciplinary Order shall constitute 14 unprofessional conduct and will subject Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate to 15 further disciplinary action. 16 17 **ACCEPTANCE** I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully 18 discussed it with my attorney, Raymond J. McMahon. I understand the stipulation and the effect 19 it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement 20 and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the 21 Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California. 22 23 DATED: 24 ISSA C. SAFIE 25 Respondent /// 26 /// 27 28 ///

	11 .		
1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Nerissa C. Safie, M.D. the terms and		
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order		
3	I approve its form and content.		
4			
5	DATED: November 21, 2022		
6	RAYMONDA. MCMAHON Attorney for Respondent		
7			
8	ENDORSEMENT		
9	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
10	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.		
11	DATED: November 22, 2022 Respectfully submitted,		
12	ROB BONTA		
13 14	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
15	Supervising Beputy Attenticy General		
16	Lina J. Jamesa		
17	Deputy Attorney General		
18	Attorneys for Complainant		
19			
20			
21	LA2020603923 Safie Stipulation - SDAG Reviewed.docx		
22	Sane Supliation - SDAG Reviewed, dock		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050681

	61		
1	ROB BONTA		
2	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TRINA L. SAUNDERS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207764 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013		
3			
4			
5			
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6516 Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFO	RE THE	
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2018-050681	
13	NERISSA C. SAFIE, M.D.		
14	25485 Medical Center Drive, Suite 200 Murrieta, California 92562	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
15 16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate A No. A 77806,		
17	Respondent.		
18	respondent.		
19			
20	D A D'	PIEC	
21	PARTIES 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity.		
22	 William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board). 		
23			
24	John State of the Commence of		
25	A 77806 to Nerissa C. Safie, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full force and effect at all		
26	times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2023, unless renewed.		
27	//		
28	// //		
- -		·	

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following 3. provisions of the California Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - Section 2227 of the Code states: 4.
 - (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
 - (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
 - (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
 - (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
 - (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
 - (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1.
 - Section 2234 of the Code, states: 5.

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - (d) Incompetence.
- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - (f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- (g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.
- 6. Section 2266 of the Code states: The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

COST RECOVERY

7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 8. Respondent Nerissa C. Safie, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 (b) of the Code, in that she was grossly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient A¹. The circumstances are as follows:
- 9. Patient A, a then 39-year-old female, presented to Trinity Women's Health Group in March 2015, with complaints of abnormal uterine bleeding. Her intake form from March 26,

¹The patients herein are identified by letters to protect their privacy.

.

2015, indicated that she had undergone a bilateral tubal ligation at the time of her last Cesarean section in March 2010.

- 10. Respondent ordered an ultrasound due to Patient A's history of pelvic pain. A pelvic ultrasound was performed at Loma Linda University Medical Center-Murrieta on April 4, 2015, and showed a 4-millimeter endometrial stripe and a uterus measuring 8.1 x 5.3 x 3.9, cm. The patient was placed on Microgestin, a combination low-dose oral contraceptive pill, in April 2015 and switched over to NuvaRing, a combination vaginal contraceptive ring, in November 2015, and finally to MonoNessa, another combination low-dose oral contraceptive pill, in December 2015.
- 11. On January 13, 2016, Patient A met with Respondent to discuss her treatment options. Patient A indicated her desire to proceed with endometrial ablation. Respondent noted the patient's prior surgical history of three Cesarean sections and a normal uterus on examination. Respondent discussed the risks of the procedure including damage to surrounding organs and mentioned alternatives such as medical therapy. Respondent did not document presenting intrauterine devices, such as the levonorgestrel IUD, as an alternative. Patient A signed a hysteroscopy informed consent form, which mentioned the risk of perforation and bowel injury.
- 12. On January 25, 2016, Patient A underwent a hysteroscopy, and dilation and curettage with failed endometrial ablation with Respondent at Loma Linda University Medical Center. An industry representative was present at the time of the procedure. The operative report, which was dictated and signed on the same date, indicates a fluid deficit of 150 cc. Respondent indicated in her operative report that three separate attempts of assessing cavity integrity with NovaSure², all failed. Respondent then abandoned the NovaSure. She inspected the cavity with a hysteroscope. She noted a left-sided perforation. Patient A was observed in the post-anesthesia care unit from 8:32 p.m. to 10:05 p.m., and was discharged with normal vital signs.
- 13. On February 11, 2016, Respondent met with Patient A and discussed the results of the pathology from her surgery, which were benign, and indicated the uterine perforation was the reason for the failed NovaSure. Respondent documented that Patient A wanted to proceed with a

² An endometrial ablation procedure that removes the lining of the uterus.

second attempt of NovaSure endometrial ablation. Respondent documented telling the patient that she would need four weeks to heal from the perforation before safely proceeding with NovaSure endometrial ablation.

- 14. On March 8, 2016, Respondent documented a pre-operative history and physical which reiterated the risks of the procedure, including the risk of damage to surrounding organs and alternatives such as medical therapy, but did not document informing the patient of levonorgestrel IUDs, or other surgical procedures.
- 15. A contemporaneous telephone note from March 8, 2016, written by L. M. indicated that Respondent did not see the patient in her office and instead, on March 11, 2016, generated the history and physical and dated it March 8, 2016.
- 16. On April 4, 2016, Patient A underwent her second attempt at a NovaSure endometrial ablation. An industry representative was again present during the surgery. During this second operation, Respondent described sounding the uterus to 9 cm. The cavity integrity assessment failed twice with a first NovaSure device. A second NovaSure device was used and also failed. Respondent did not specifically examine the endometrial cavity to assess for any perforation during the surgery. She noted that her sound passed "back and forth" without any "break to the integrity of the cavity." Respondent noted using two tenacula on the cervix as well as a Xeroform dressing to minimize CO2 escaping from the cervix without success. Patient A was observed in the post-anesthesia care unit from 8:10 a.m., until 9:25 a.m., and discharged home with normal vital signs.
- 17. On April 25, 2016, Respondent signed a surgery request for Patient A, which was generated by L. M. The request was for HerOption endometrial ablation. It indicated that Respondent indicated that Patient A did not need a pre-operative visit. There is no documented discussion in the aftermath of the second procedure between Respondent and Patient A, and no discussion of her alternatives.
- 18. On May 20, 2016, Patient A presented to Respondent's office at 9:30 a.m. Respondent documented in the progress note that an informed consent was given and that the procedure and risks were explained in detail. No discussion of alternatives to the procedure were documented.

In the procedure note, Respondent described sounding the uterus under ultrasound guidance. She used a cryoprobe to ablate the endometrium along the right cornu for 5 minutes, along the left cornu for 5 ½ minutes, and along the midline for 5 minutes. Per the note, the patient tolerated the procedure well. The note was signed at 10:59 a.m.

- 19. On the evening of May 20, 2016, Patient A was brought to Loma Linda University Medical Center emergency room by ambulance, with severe lower abdominal pain. Patient A was seen by an obstetrician/gynecologist. A CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis without contrast showed a collapsed distal ileum consistent with a small bowel obstruction and mesenteric edema with induration of the pelvic fat and fascia.
- 20. On May 21, 2016, in the early morning, Patient A was taken emergently to the operating room for an exploratory laparotomy. A general surgeon was called in for an intraoperative consultation. It was determined that two loops of small bowel had been injured and were close together, including a gangrenous and pre-gangrenous loop amounting to approximately 1 foot of small bowel. The affected bowel was resected and re-anastomosed. In addition, an appendectomy was performed. The operative note described a posterior uterine wall perforation and small bowel injury. The pathology showed an appendix with peri-appendicitis and gangrenous small bowel measuring 14.5 cm in length with bowel necrosis, acute serositis and abscess, but with viable margins. Patient A was discharged home on post-operative day number three.
 - 21. Patient A was seen by Respondent on May 21, 23, and 24, 2016.
- 22. Respondent's privileges to perform endometrial ablations at Loma Linda University Medical Center in Murrieta were suspended pending a Focus Professional Practice Evaluation (FPPE). The FPPE began on September 8, 2016. Respondent was to complete six endometrial ablation cases by September 8, 2017. An extension was granted until September 2018. Respondent was unable to complete the required cases and her clinical privileges for endometrial ablations were rescinded in 2018. Respondent's conditional privileges were reinstated in February 2020 under the condition that she complete her FPPE.

issued to Nerissa C. Safie, M.D.;

28