

**BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**In the Matter of the First Amended
Accusation Against:**

Eric Scott Bianchini, M.D.

**Physician's & Surgeon's
Certificate No. G 70838**

Respondent.

Case No. 800-2018-044707


DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 3, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED: September 1, 2022.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA



**Laurie Rose Lubiano, J.D., Chair
Panel A**

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 ROSEMARY F. LUZON
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 221544
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9074
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9
10 **BEFORE THE**
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation
14 Against:

15 **ERIC SCOTT BIANCHINI, M.D.**
16 **1232 Myrtle Avenue**
San Diego, CA 92103

17 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
18 **No. G 70838,**

19 Respondent.

Case No. 800-2018-044707

OAH No. 2021070164

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
DISCIPLINARY ORDER

20
21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
22 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

23 **PARTIES**

24 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of
25 California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this
26 matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Rosemary F. Luzon, Deputy
27 Attorney General.

28 ///

2. Respondent Eric Scott Bianchini, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Robert W. Frank, Esq., whose address is: Neil, Dymott, Frank, McCabe & Hudson APLC, 110 West A Street, Suite 1200, San Diego, CA 92101.

3. On or about March 4, 1991, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 70838 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707, and will expire on January 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. On or about March 3, 2022, First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on or about March 3, 2022, at his address of record. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the First Amended Accusation.

5. A true and correct copy of First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by his attorney, Robert W. Frank, Esq.

///

1 8. Having the benefit of counsel, Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently
2 waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

3 **CULPABILITY**

4 9. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could
5 establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations in First Amended
6 Accusation No. 800-2018-044707, and Respondent hereby gives up his rights to contest those
7 charges. Respondent further agrees that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's
8 Certificate No. G 70838 to disciplinary action.

9 10. Respondent agrees that if an accusation is ever filed against him before the Board, all
10 of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707 shall
11 be deemed true, correct, and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or
12 any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.

13 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 70838 is
14 subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth
15 in the Disciplinary Order below.

16 **CONTINGENCY**

17 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California.
18 Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical
19 Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and
20 settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the
21 stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek
22 to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails
23 to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
24 Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal
25 action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having
26 considered this matter.

27 ///

28 ///

13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.

14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Eric Scott Bianchini, M.D., Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 70838, shall be and is hereby Publicly Reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a), subsection (4). This Public Reprimand is issued in connection with the allegations relating to Respondent's care and treatment of Patient A, which are set forth in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707, as follows:

1. PUBLIC REPRIMAND.

On or about March 9, 2015, you failed to adequately manage your care and treatment of Patient A, in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 2234, as more fully described in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707, a true and copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2. EDUCATION COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of

1 licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an
2 examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of
3 attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

4 3. CLINICAL COMPETENCE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM. Within 60 calendar days
5 of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical competence assessment
6 program approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall successfully
7 complete the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment unless
8 the Board or its designee agrees in writing to an extension of that time.

9 The program shall consist of a comprehensive assessment of Respondent's physical and
10 mental health and the six general domains of clinical competence as defined by the Accreditation
11 Council on Graduate Medical Education and American Board of Medical Specialties pertaining to
12 Respondent's current or intended area of practice. The program shall take into account data
13 obtained from the pre-assessment, self-report forms and interview, and the Decision, First
14 Amended Accusation, and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant.
15 The program shall require Respondent's on-site participation for a minimum of three (3) and no
16 more than five (5) days as determined by the program for the assessment and clinical education
17 evaluation. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical competence
18 assessment program.

19 At the end of the evaluation, the program will submit a report to the Board or its designee
20 which unequivocally states whether the Respondent has demonstrated the ability to practice
21 safely and independently. Based on Respondent's performance on the clinical competence
22 assessment, the program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the
23 scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, evaluation or treatment for any
24 medical condition or psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of
25 medicine. Respondent shall comply with the program's recommendations.

26 Determination as to whether Respondent successfully completed the clinical competence
27 assessment program is solely within the program's jurisdiction.

28 ///

1 If Respondent fails to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the clinical
2 competence assessment program within the designated time period, Respondent shall receive a
3 notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3)
4 calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine
5 until enrollment or participation in the outstanding portions of the clinical competence assessment
6 program have been completed. If the Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical
7 competence assessment program, the Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until a
8 final decision has been rendered on the accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. The
9 cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

10 4. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY. Respondent is hereby
11 ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of
12 \$17,920.00 (seventeen thousand nine hundred twenty dollars and zero cents). Costs shall be
13 payable to the Medical Board of California. One-third of said amount, *i.e.*, \$5,973.33 (five
14 thousand nine hundred seventy-three dollars and thirty-three cents), shall be paid to the Board
15 within 14 calendar days from the effective date of this Decision. The remaining balance shall be
16 paid to the Board, in equal monthly payments, within 24 months from the effective date of this
17 Decision. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

18 Any and all requests for an alternate payment plan shall be submitted in writing by
19 Respondent to the Board.

20 The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility
21 to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

22 5. FAILURE TO COMPLY. Any failure by Respondent to comply with the terms and
23 conditions of the Disciplinary Order set forth above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and
24 grounds for further disciplinary action.

25 6. FUTURE ADMISSIONS CLAUSE. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for
26 a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care
27 licensing action agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in
28 First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and

1 admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding
2 seeking to deny or restrict license.

3 ACCEPTANCE

4 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully
5 discussed it with my attorney, Robert W. Frank, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it
6 will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 70838. I enter into this Stipulated
7 Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be
8 bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

9
10 DATED: APRIL 6, 2022

Eric Scott Bianchini M.D.

ERIC SCOTT BIANCHINI, M.D.
Respondent

11
12
13 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Eric Scott Bianchini, M.D. the terms and
14 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
15 I approve its form and content.

16
17 DATED: 4-6-22



ROBERT W. FRANK, ESQ.
Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 4/6/22

Respectfully submitted,

ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General



Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2021800597
83344135.docx

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-044707

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 ROSEMARY F. LUZON
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 221544
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9074
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9
10 **BEFORE THE**
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
12 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

13 In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation
14 Against:

15 **Eric Scott Bianchini, M.D.**
1232 Myrtle Avenue
16 San Diego, CA 92103

17 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
No. G 70838,

18 Respondent.

Case No. 800-2018-044707

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

19
20 Complainant alleges:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in his
23 official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of
24 Consumer Affairs (Board).

25 2. On or about March 4, 1991, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's
26 Certificate No. G 70838 to Eric Scott Bianchini, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and
27 Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
28 herein and will expire on January 31, 2023, unless renewed.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
84

(ERIC SCOTT BIANCHINI, M.D.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION CASE NO. 800-2018-044707

1 (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically
2 appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single
negligent act.

3 (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or
4 omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but
5 not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the
licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure
constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

6 ...

7 COST RECOVERY

8 7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
9 administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of
10 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
11 enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being
12 renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be
13 included in a stipulated settlement.

14 8. Section 125.3 of the Code states:

15 (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a
16 disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the
17 Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the
administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or
18 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the
investigation and enforcement of the case.

19 (b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the
order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.

20 (c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where
21 actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its
designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of
22 investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of
investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not
23 limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

24 (d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount
of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested
25 pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard
to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board
26 may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if
the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to
subdivision (a).

27 (e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as
28 directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any

1 appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights
2 the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.

3 (f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be
4 conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment. as of

5 (g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or
6 reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered
7 under this section.

8 (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion,
9 conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any
10 licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement
11 with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid
12 costs.

13 (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement
14 for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs
15 to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

16 (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of
17 the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

18 (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in
19 that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative
20 disciplinary proceeding.

21 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

22 **(Repeated Negligent Acts)**

23 9. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 70838 to
24 disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of
25 the Code, in that he committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A, as
26 more particularly alleged hereinafter:¹

27 10. On or about March 9, 2015, Patient A was admitted to Eisenhower Medical Center in
28 Rancho Mirage, California for lumbar spine surgery. Respondent was the anesthesiologist.
Patient A was then 75 years old with symptomatic lumbar degenerative scoliosis and stenosis,
who had failed conservative management. Patient A's medical history included chronic
obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), HIV, ataxia, esophageal reflux disease, obstructive sleep
apnea with CPAP, right bundle branch block, and peripheral neuropathy. Patient A was also
noted to have left-sided foot drop and bilateral severe neuropathy. The planned procedure was a
multilevel (L2-S2) lumbar decompression and fusion.

¹ References to "Patient A" herein are used to protect patient privacy.

1 11. On or about March 9, 2015, Respondent completed a pre-anesthesia history and
2 physical for Patient A. Respondent's review of systems included a history of transient ischemic
3 attack (TIA) in 2000 and 2010, with unsteady and slurred speech, a pre-operative EKG on March
4 2, 2015, which showed sinus bradycardia with a right bundle branch block, and a stress test on
5 March 2, 2015, which was negative for ischemia or infarction with an ejection fraction of 54%.
6 Patient A was noted to be 6'1" tall and 230 pounds, with a BMI of 30. His pre-operative vital
7 signs included a blood pressure of 155/95 as of 05:30. Respondent assessed Patient A as having
8 an America Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) score of 3, which represents a patient with severe
9 systemic disease.

10 12. The surgery lasted approximately 10 hours. Anesthesia was started at 07:27 and
11 ended at 19:00, for a total anesthesia time of 11 hours and 33 minutes. Patient A lost
12 approximately 3,500 ml of blood during the surgery. In response to the blood loss, Patient A was
13 transfused 1,100 ml of cell saver blood through use of the OrthoPAT®, a blood salvage device.
14 However, no fresh frozen plasma (FFP) or platelets were transfused.

15 13. At approximately 17:00, Patient A's laboratory results showed a low hematocrit (Hct)
16 level of 26.3%, a low hemoglobin (Hgb) level of 8.5 g/dL, and a low platelet count of 99 K/uL.
17 In addition, Patient A had a PT INR (Pro Thrombin International Normalized ratio) of 1.3. No
18 FFP or platelets were administered in response to these indications that Patient A was behind in
19 red blood cells (RBCs), platelets, and clotting factors.

20 14. At the beginning of the surgery, Respondent placed a radial arterial line in order to
21 monitor Patient A's blood pressure due to the surgeon's request for induced hypotension. Blood
22 pressure readings from the arterial line were recorded until approximately 15:25, at which point
23 the readings ceased. From that point until the end of the surgery, blood pressure readings were
24 obtained from the non-invasive blood pressure cuff only. Nevertheless, induced hypotension
25 continued to be used for the remainder of the surgery.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 15. Patient A's total urine output for the duration of the procedure was 500 ml. Of this
2 amount, 350 ml of the total urine output was documented at approximately 07:45, closer to the
3 start of the surgery. The remaining 150 mL of urine output occurred at 09:30 (50 ml), 10:30 (40
4 ml), 11:45 (30 ml), 13:00 (10 ml), and 17:30 (20 ml).

5 16. Patient A's postoperative course included respiratory failure with prolonged
6 ventilation and tracheostomy placement, renal failure requiring temporary renal dialysis, and loss
7 of vision in the left eye. Patient A remained hospitalized until on or about April 7, 2015, when he
8 was discharged to a long-term care facility.

9 17. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient A,
10 which included, but were not limited to the following:

11 (i) Respondent failed to adequately replace all blood product loss during the
12 surgery.

13 (ii) Respondent failed to administer FFP and platelets in response to the low
14 intra-operative laboratory values obtained at 17:00.

15 (iii) Respondent continued to use induced hypotension despite the lack of
16 continuous blood pressure monitoring data available from a functioning arterial line.

17 (iv) Respondent failed to adequately address the risk of postoperative vision
18 loss (POVL), including by (1) failing to ensure that adequate clotting factors were
19 present and (2) avoiding intra-operative hypotension given the presence of other risk
20 factors for POVL.

21 (v) Respondent failed to maintain adequate blood pressure and volume status
22 to ensure adequate kidney perfusion.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **PRAYER**

2 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
3 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:


4 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 70838, issued
5 to Respondent Eric Scott Bianchini, M.D.;

6 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Eric Scott Bianchini,
7 M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and
8 advanced practice nurses;

9 3. Ordering Respondent Eric Scott Bianchini, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the
10 investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation
11 monitoring; and

12 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

13
14 DATED: MAR 03 2022



WILLIAM PRASIFKA
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

15
16
17
18
19 SD2021800597
20 83217362.docx
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28