

**BEFORE THE  
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**In the Matter of the First Amended  
Accusation Against:**

**Eugene Ralph Dorio, M.D.**

**Physician's & Surgeon's  
Certificate No. A 41829**

**Respondent.**

**Case No. 800-2018-047251**

**DECISION**

**The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.**

**This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 30, 2022.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED: August 31, 2022.**

**MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**



**Laurie Rose Lubiano, J.D., Chair  
Panel A**

1 ROB BONTA  
Attorney General of California  
2 ROBERT MCKIM BELL  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 CLAUDIA MOREHEAD  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 205340  
California Department of Justice  
5 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
6 Telephone: (213) 269-6482  
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
**MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation  
13 Against:

14 EUGENE RALPH DORIO, M.D.

15 23823 Valencia Boulevard, Suite 230  
16 Valencia, California 91355

17 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate A 41829,  
18 Respondent.

Case No. 800-2018-047251

OAH No. 2021080337

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND  
DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

19 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by and between the parties to the above-  
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of  
23 California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this  
24 matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Claudia Morehead, Deputy  
25 Attorney General.

26 2. Respondent Eugene Ralph Dorio, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this  
27 proceeding by attorney Peter R. Osinoff of Bonne Bridges Mueller O'Keefe & Nichols, 355  
28 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1750 Los Angeles, California, 90071-1562.

3. On or about June 26, 1985, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41829 to Respondent. That certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047251, and will expire on July 31, 2022, unless renewed.

## JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047251 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 4, 2022. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the First Amended Accusation.

5. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047251 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

## ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047251. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with his counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

## CULPABILITY

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047251, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline

1 upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

2 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could  
3 establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations in First Amended  
4 Accusation No. 800-2018-047251, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit  
5 A, and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 41829 to  
6 disciplinary action. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest the charges and allegations in  
7 First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047251.

8 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to  
9 discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the  
10 Disciplinary Order below.

11 **CONTINGENCY**

12 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California.  
13 Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical  
14 Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and  
15 settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the  
16 stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek  
17 to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails  
18 to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary  
19 Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal  
20 action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having  
21 considered this matter.

22 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile  
23 copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile  
24 signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

25 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that  
26 the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by the Respondent, issue and  
27 enter the following Disciplinary Order:

28 //

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

14

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25  
26  
27  
28

1 this Decision.

2 Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its  
3 designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later  
4 than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

5 2. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY. Respondent is  
6 hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of  
7 \$1,500 (one thousand five hundred dollars), payable within 90 calendar days of the effective date  
8 of this Decision. Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California. Failure to pay such  
9 costs shall be considered a violation of this Decision.

10 The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility  
11 to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

12 3. A violation of this order in any respect shall constitute unprofessional conduct within  
13 the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 2234.

14 ACCEPTANCE

15 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully  
16 discussed it with my attorney, Peter R. Osinoff. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will  
17 have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and  
18 Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the  
19 Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

20  
21 DATED: 3/22/2022

  
22 EUGENE RALPH DORIO, M.D.  
Respondent

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Eugene Ralph Dorio, M.D. the terms and  
2 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.  
3 I approve its form and content.

4  
5 DATED: 3/22/2022

  
PETER R. OSINOFF  
*Attorney for Respondent*

6  
7  
8 **ENDORSEMENT**

9 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully  
10 submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

11 DATED: 3/23/2022

Respectfully submitted,

12 ROB BONTA  
13 Attorney General of California  
14 ROBERT MCKIM BELL  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

15 

16 CLAUDIA MOREHEAD  
17 Deputy Attorney General  
18 *Attorneys for Complainant*

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 LA2020601058  
64985564.docx

**Exhibit A**

**First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-047251**



1 ROB BONTA  
Attorney General of California  
2 ROBERT MCKIM BELL  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 CLAUDIA MOREHEAD  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 205340  
California Department of Justice  
5 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, California 90013  
6 Telephone: (213) 269-6482  
Facsimile: (916) 731-2117  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
10 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
12 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation  
Against:

Case No. 800-2018-047251

13 EUGENE RALPH DORIO, M.D.

OAH No. 2021080337

14 23823 Valencia Boulevard, Suite 230  
15 Valencia, California 91355

**FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION**

16 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate  
No. A 41829,

17 Respondent.

18  
19 **PARTIES**

20 1. William Prasifka ("Complainant") brings this First Amended Accusation solely in his  
21 official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("Board").

22 2. On June 26, 1985, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A  
23 41829 to Eugene Ralph Dorio, M.D. ("Respondent"). That Certificate was in full force and effect  
24 at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2022, unless  
25 renewed.

26 **JURISDICTION**

27 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the  
28 following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless

otherwise indicated.

4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.

#### **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

(b) Gross negligence.

(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

(d) Incompetence.

(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

(f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

(g) The failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board.

6. Section 805, subdivision (a)(1)(B), of the Code states:

(B) 'Peer review body' includes:

(i) A medical or professional staff of any health care facility or clinic licensed under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or

1 of a facility certified to participate in the federal Medicare program as an ambulatory  
2 surgical center.

3 (ii) A health care service plan licensed under Chapter 2.2 (commencing with  
4 Section 1340) of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code or a disability insurer that  
contracts with licentiates to provide services at alternative rates of payment pursuant  
to Section 10133 of the Insurance Code.

5 (iii) Any medical, psychological, marriage and family therapy, social work,  
6 professional clinical counselor, dental, midwifery, or podiatric professional society  
7 having as members at least 25 percent of the eligible licentiates in the area in which it  
functions (which must include at least one county), which is not organized for profit  
8 and which has been determined to be exempt from taxes pursuant to Section 23701 of  
the Revenue and Taxation Code.

9 (iv) A committee organized by any entity consisting of or employing more than  
10 25 licentiates of the same class that functions for the purpose of reviewing the quality  
of professional care provided by members or employees of that entity.

11 7. Unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 2234 is conduct  
12 that breaches the rules or ethical conduct of the medical profession, or conduct which is  
13 unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession and which demonstrates an  
14 unfitness to practice medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564,  
15 575, 578.)

16 8. Civil Code section 56.10, subdivision (a), states:

17 (a) A provider of health care, health care service plan, or contractor shall not  
18 disclose medical information regarding a patient of the provider of health care or an  
enrollee or subscriber of a health care service plan without first obtaining an  
authorization, except as provided in subdivision (b) or (c).

19 9. Evidence Code section 1157, subdivision (a), states:

20 (a) Neither the proceedings nor the records of organized committees of medical,  
21 medical-dental, podiatric, registered dietitian, psychological, marriage and family  
22 therapist, licensed clinical social worker, professional clinical counselor,  
pharmacist, or veterinary staffs in hospitals, or of a peer review body, as defined in  
23 Section 805 of the Business and Professions Code, having the responsibility of  
evaluation and improvement of the quality of care rendered in the hospital, or for  
24 that peer review body, or medical or dental review or dental hygienist review or  
chiropractic review or podiatric review or registered dietitian review or pharmacist  
25 review or veterinary review or acupuncturist review or licensed midwife review  
committees of local medical, dental, dental hygienist, podiatric, dietetic, pharmacist,  
26 veterinary, acupuncture, or chiropractic societies, marriage and family therapist,  
licensed clinical social worker, professional clinical counselor, or psychological  
27 review committees of state or local marriage and family therapist, state or local  
licensed clinical social worker, state or local licensed professional clinical  
28 counselor, or state or local psychological associations or societies or licensed  
midwife associations or societies having the responsibility of evaluation and  
improvement of the quality of care, shall be subject to discovery.

1 REGULATORY PROVISIONS

2 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

3 For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or  
4 permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime  
5 or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or  
6 duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice  
7 Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person  
8 holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the  
9 license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or  
10 welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following:  
11 Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the  
12 violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act.

13 11. Code of Federal Regulations, title 45, part 164.508(a)(1), states:

14 (a) Standard: Authorizations for uses and disclosures

15 (1) Authorization required: General rule. Except as otherwise permitted or  
16 required by this subchapter, a covered entity may not use or disclose protected  
17 health information without an authorization that is valid under this section. When a  
18 covered entity obtains or receives a valid authorization for its use or disclosure of  
19 protected health information, such use or disclosure must be consistent with such  
20 authorization.

21 AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION PRINCIPLES OF MEDICAL ETHICS

22 12. Preamble

23 The medical profession has long subscribed to a body of ethical statements  
24 developed primarily for the benefit of the patient. As a member of this profession, a  
25 physician must recognize responsibility to patients first and foremost, as well as to  
26 society, to other health professionals, and to self. The following Principles adopted  
27 by the American Medical Association are not laws, but standards of conduct that  
28 define the essentials of honorable behavior for the physician.

...

II. A physician shall uphold the standards of professionalism, be honest in all  
professional interactions, and strive to report physicians deficient in character or  
competence, or engaging in fraud or deception, to appropriate entities.

...

IV. A physician shall respect the rights of patients, colleagues, and other health  
professionals, and shall safeguard patient confidences and privacy within the  
constraints of the law.

....

//

//

//

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## COST RECOVERY

13. Effective on January 1, 2022, section 125.3 of the Code was amended to provide as follows:

(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

(b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.

(c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

(d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).

(e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.

(f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

(g)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.

(h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

(i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

1 (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that  
2 board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary  
3 proceeding.<sup>1</sup>

### 4 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

5 14. Respondent was a member of a hospital's medical staff for approximately thirty  
6 years. From approximately 2011 to approximately 2015, he served on various medical staff  
7 committees of the hospital, including the Medical Executive Committee and Ethics Committee.  
8 He also served as Chief of Medicine in or around 2012, 2014, and 2015. In those positions, he  
9 had access to, and came into possession of, confidential documents concerning patients,  
10 practitioners, and policies, programs, and activities of the hospital and medical staff. He received  
11 directions, which he acknowledged, that he needed to maintain the documents and information  
12 confidential, such as patient identifiable information and practitioner-specific credential and peer  
13 review information.

14 15. Following his service on the Medical Executive Committee, Respondent kept the  
15 confidential documents in his possession, which included documents relating to patients, peer  
16 review information relating to other practitioners, and documents relating to the hospital's quality  
17 of patient care and patient safety. The documents were the property of the hospital, and did not  
18 belong to Respondent personally.

19 16. In or around June of 2017, in response to a subpoena issued in a lawsuit between a  
20 patient's daughter and the hospital, Respondent disclosed the confidential documents to the  
21 patient's daughter and her attorney. The subpoena sought, "Any and all personal files you have  
22 maintained pertaining to your former role as a member of the [hospital] Medical Executive  
23 Committee, including any notes, memoranda, or other writings." However, Respondent disclosed  
24 over 7,000 pages of confidential documents, including documents that contained identifiable  
25 patient information, information related to the peer review of identified practitioners, and  
26 information related to the quality of the hospital's patient care and patient safety. The documents

---

27 <sup>1</sup> Effective January 1, 2022, subdivision (k) of Section 125.3, which exempted physicians  
28 and surgeons from paying recovery of the costs of investigation and prosecution by the Board,  
was repealed.

1 included, but were not limited, to: (a) documents containing detailed information describing the  
2 medical conditions and treatment of patients; (b) credentialing information, peer-review  
3 evaluations, and complaints pertaining to members of the hospital's medical staff; and (d)  
4 meeting agenda packets for Medical Executive Committee meetings between 2010 and 2012.

5 17. Respondent disclosed the confidential documents without taking steps to protect  
6 patient or practitioner privacy and to protect medical staff confidentiality. Respondent failed to  
7 consult an attorney or consult with the hospital's medical staff before responding to the civil  
8 subpoena.

9 18. The patient's daughter and her attorney produced the confidential documents as part  
10 of discovery in the lawsuit against the hospital. On or about October 30, 2017, the hospital  
11 obtained a Protective Order Requiring the Destruction and/or Return of Confidential Documents  
12 from the Superior Court of California. The court order mandated that the patient's daughter and  
13 her attorney destroy and/or return all copies of the confidential documents transmitted to them by  
14 Respondent.

### 15 CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### 16 (Unprofessional Conduct)

17 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 of the Code in that he  
18 engaged in unprofessional conduct by maintaining and disclosing confidential documents,  
19 including but not limited to, credentialing and peer review information, protected patient health  
20 information, and confidential hospital information. The circumstances are as follows:

21 20. The facts and allegations in Paragraphs 14 through 18, above, are incorporated by  
22 reference and re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.

23 21. Respondent repeatedly failed to comply with the Health Insurance Portability and  
24 Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA") and repeatedly failed to appropriately dispose of  
25 documents containing patient health information.

26 22. Respondent repeatedly failed to appropriately dispose of documents containing  
27 confidential information on the hospital's quality of patient care and patient safety.

28 23. Respondent repeatedly failed to comply with the hospital's organizational rules by

1 failing to appropriately dispose of documents containing confidential medical personnel  
2 information, meeting minutes and agendas, and hospital protocols.

3 24. Respondent shared files that included confidential information regarding patients and  
4 organizational care quality to another party in response to a civil subpoena. He failed to notify a  
5 supervisor at the hospital or forward the subpoena to the appropriate individual or department at  
6 the hospital for review and handling as deemed appropriate.

7 25. Respondent's actions violated patient privacy, the privacy of medical staff members,  
8 Civil Code section 56.10, subdivision (a) [Confidentiality of Medical Information Act], HIPAA,  
9 the policy behind Evidence Code section 1157, the hospital's Medical Staff Bylaws, Rules,  
10 Regulations, and policies concerning confidentiality, and Principles II and IV of the American  
11 Medical Association Principles of Medical Ethics.

12 26. Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in Paragraphs 19 through 25,  
13 inclusive above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute  
14 unprofessional conduct pursuant to Code section 2234. Therefore, cause for discipline exists.

15 **PRAYER**

16 **WHEREFORE**, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,  
17 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

18 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 41829,  
19 issued to Respondent Eugene Ralph Dorio, M.D.;

20 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Eugene Ralph Dorio, M.D.'s authority  
21 to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

22 3. Ordering Eugene Ralph Dorio, M.D. to pay the Board reasonable costs of  
23 investigation and prosecution incurred after January 1, 2022.

24 4. If placed on probation, ordering Eugene Ralph Dorio, M.D. to pay the Board the costs  
25 of probation monitoring; and

26 //

27 //

28 //



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: MAR 04 2022



WILLIAM PRASIFKA  
Executive Director  
Medical Board of California  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California

*Complainant*

LA2020601058  
64870192.docx