BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Brian Peter First, M.D.

Physician's & Surgeon's Certificate No. A 33952

Respondent.

Case No. 800-2021-082668

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 29, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED: June 29, 2022.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Laurie Rose Lubiano, J.D, Chair

Panel A

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINE A. RHEE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 295656		
3			
4			
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9455 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12		1	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2021-082668	
14 15	BRIAN PETER FIRST, M.D. 4282 Genesee Ave., #103 San Diego, CA 92117	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 33952,		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	AND A CONTROL OF THE AND A CONTROL OF THE CONTROL O	EED to an it to the postion to the charge	
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
22	PARTIES		
23	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of		
24	California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this		
25	matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Christine A. Rhee, Deputy		
26	Attorney General.		
27	2. Respondent Brian Peter First, M.D. (Respondent) is representing himself in this		
28	proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his rigi	ht to be represented by counsel.	
	d .	_	

3. On or about June 11, 1979, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 33952 to Respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2021-082668, and will expire on June 30, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2021-082668 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on February 1, 2022. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 800-2021-082668 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2021-082668. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 800-2021-082668 and agrees that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 33952 to disciplinary action.

10. Respondent further agrees that if he ever petitions for modification or early termination of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2021-082668 shall be deemed true, correct, and fully admitted by Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California or elsewhere.

11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California.

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 10. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-listed matter.
- 11. The parties agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including copies of the signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, that such copies shall have the same force and effect as originals.

28 || ///

///

12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Brian Peter First, M.D.'s, Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 33952 shall be and is hereby Publicly Reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This Public Reprimand, which is issued in connection with Accusation No. 800-2021-082668, is as follows:

As more fully described in Accusation No. 800-2021-082668, you signed vaccination exemption letters for two pediatric patients on or about May 5, 2021 even though you had never properly examined or treated those patients. You went outside the scope of your practice in doing so and failed to maintain adequate and accurate records for these patients.

1. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

4 5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

111

111

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

Any failure to fully comply with this term and condition of the Disciplinary Order shall constitute unprofessional conduct and will subject Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate to further disciplinary action.

2. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement, including, but not limited to, expert review, amended accusations, legal reviews, joint investigations, and subpoena enforcement, in the amount of \$6,253.75 (six thousand, two hundred and fifty-three dollars and seventy-five cents). Costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation or enforcement costs.

Any failure to fully comply with this term and condition of the Disciplinary Order shall constitute unprofessional conduct and will subject Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate to further disciplinary action.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

Respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. Respectfully submitted, DATED: June 27, 2022 **ROB BONTA** Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINE A. RHEE Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2022800137 83422881.docx

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2021-082668

1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
2	ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	CHRISTINE A. RHEE	~	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 295656		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9455 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10			
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2021-082668	
14	BRIAN PETER FIRST, M.D. 4282 Genesee Ave., # 103	ACCUSATION	
15	San Diego, CA 92117		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 33952,		
17	Respondent.		
18	Respondent		
19			
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity		
22	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs		
23	(Board).		
24	2. On or about June 11, 1979, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
25	No. A 33952 to Brian Peter First, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
26	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on		
27	June 30, 2023, unless renewed.		
28	111		
	1		
	(BRIAN PETER FIRST, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2021-082668		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
 - (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
 - (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
 - (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
 - (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (b) Gross negligence.
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- (1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- (2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but

1	not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the		
2	licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.		
3	•••		
4	6. Section 2266 of the Code states that the failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain		
5	adequate and accurate medical records constitutes unprofessional conduct.		
6	COST RECOVERY		
7	7. Section 125.3 of the Code states:		
8	(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a		
9	disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the		
10	administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.		
11			
12	(b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.		
13	(c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where		
14	actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of		
15	investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.		
16			
17	(d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to		
18	costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if the		
19	proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a).		
20			
21	(e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights		
22	the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.		
23	(f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.		
24			
25	(g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.		
26	(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion,		
27	conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement		
28	tioning and demonstrates translat unranish and anto anoth the a return abtomost		

with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid costs.

- (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.
- (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.
- (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative disciplinary proceeding.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Gross Negligence)

- 8. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A33952 to disciplinary action under sections 2222 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A and Patient B,¹ as more particularly alleged hereafter:
- 9. On or about May 5, 2021, Respondent signed vaccination exemption letters pertaining to Patient A, an eight-year-old, and Patient B, a six-year-old. Respondent specializes in internal medicine and endocrinology and only treats adult patients. Other than identifying the name and date of birth of each patient, the letters are identical.
- 10. In these letters, Respondent stated that Patient A and Patient B should be exempt from all CDC-recommended vaccines "until more complete immunological testing can be completed," because of their family medical history. Respondent wrote that both patients have a "strong family history of autoimmune disease such as Multiple Sclerosis, [i]mmune cancer and a genetic mutation that makes severe vaccine reactions more likely." The letters were ultimately submitted to the California Department of Public Health for review.
- 11. On or about November 15, 2021, Board investigators interviewed Respondent about these vaccination exemption letters. Respondent stated that no medical records exist for his treatment and care of Patient A and Patient B because they were never his patients. Instead, Respondent explained that he signed the vaccination exemption letters at the request of a close

¹ Names of the patients have been omitted to protect their privacy.

family friend, the father of Patient A and Patient B. Respondent said that he used a vaccination
exemption letter previously written by another treatment provider for the patients, changed the
wording a little, and signed it. Respondent admitted that he never saw or examined Patient A or
Patient B.

- 12. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A and Patient B, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. Respondent failed to conduct a proper history and exam on each patient before writing a vaccination exemption letter;
- b. Respondent went outside the scope of his practice by writing vaccination exemption letters for Patient A and Patient B, who were pediatric patients whom Respondent would not normally treat; and
- c. Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate medical records for Patient A and Patient B.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Repeated Negligent Acts)

13. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate

No. A 33952 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234,
subdivision (c), of the Code, in that Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and
treatment of Patient A and Patient B, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 9 through 12,
above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

14. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate

No. A 33952 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2266 of
the Code, in that Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate records in his care and
treatment of Patient A and Patient B, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 9 through 13,
above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.

///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 33952, issued to Respondent Brian Peter First, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Brian Peter First, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering Respondent Brian Peter First, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: FEB 0 1 2022

WILLIAM PRASIP Executive Director

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California
Complainant

SD2022800137 83227226.docx