BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

MBC File # 800-2019-053476

Ranganath Pathak, M.D.

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 79498

Respondent.

ORDER CORRECTING NUNC PRO TUNC CLERICAL ERROR IN DECISION

On its own motion, the Medical Board of California (hereafter "Board") finds that there is a clerical error in the Decision in the above-entitled matter and that such clerical error should be corrected.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that page 3 of the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order in the above-entitled matter be and is hereby amended and corrected nunc pro tunc as of the date of entry of the Decision to include Paragraph 11-Reservation.

March 29, 2022

William Prasifka

Executive Director

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Ranganath Pathak, M.D.

Case No. 800-2019-053476

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 79498

Respondent.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 30, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED March 23, 2022.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

William Prasifica Executive Director

 ROB BONTA Attorney General of California STEVEN D. MUNI Supervising Deputy Attorney General MEGAN R. O'CARROLL Deputy Attorney General 	
 2 STEVEN D. MUNI Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 MEGAN R. O'CARROLL 	
3 MEGAN R. O'CARROLL	
Donutry Attomacy Concernal	
4 Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 215479	
1300 I Street, Suite 125 5 P.O. Box 944255	
6 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7543	
7 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 7 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	
9	
10	
BEFORE THE 11 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
12 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	
14In the Matter of the Accusation Against:Case No. 800-2019-053476	
15 RANGANATH PATHAK, M.D. OAH No. 2021070654	
8690 Sierra College Blvd, Ste 160-33516Roseville, CA 95661STIPULATED SURRENDERLICENSE AND ORDER	OF
17Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A79498	
18 Respondent.	
19	
20	
21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to	o the above-
22 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
23 PARTIES	
24 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medica	
25 California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is repre-	
26 matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Megan R. O'C	Carroll, Deputy
27 Attorney General.	
28 ///	
1	

•

.

2. Ranganath Pathak, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney 1 2 Ian A. Scharg, whose address is: 400 University Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95825-6502. 3. On or about June 14, 2002, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate 3 No. A 79498 to Ranganath Pathak, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's 4 Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation 5 No. 800-2019-053476 and will expire on September 30, 2023, unless renewed. 6 7 JURISDICTION 4. Accusation No. 800-2019-053476 was filed before the Board, and is currently 8 9 pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 4, 2021. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense 10 contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2019-053476 is attached as Exhibit A 11 and incorporated by reference. 12 ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS 13 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the 14 charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2019-053476. Respondent also has carefully read, 15 fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License 16 and Order. 17 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a 18 19 hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right 20 to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of 21 documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other 22 rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. 23 24 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and 25 every right set forth above. 26 111 27 111 111 28

CULPABILITY 1 2 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2019-053476, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and 3 Surgeon's Certificate. 4 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of 5 further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual 6 basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. 7 Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those 8 9 charges. Respondent understands and agrees that if he should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any health care licensing 10 agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 11 800-2019-053476 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the 12 purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure. 13 10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue 14 an order accepting the surrender of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate without further 15 process. 16 RESERVATION 17 The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this 11. 18 19 proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or 20 civil proceeding. 21 CONTINGENCY 22 23 12. Business and Professions Code section 2224, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent 24 part, that the Medical Board "shall delegate to its executive director the authority to adopt a ... stipulation for surrender of a license." 25 Respondent understands that, by signing this stipulation, he enables the Executive 13. 26 Director of the Board to issue an order, on behalf of the Board, accepting the surrender of his 27 28

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 79498 without further notice to, or opportunity to be heard by, Respondent.

1

2

This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to the 14. 3 approval of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board. The parties agree that this Stipulated 4 Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Executive Director for his 5 consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Executive Director shall have a 6 7 reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, Respondent fully understands 8 9 and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Executive Director, on behalf of the Medical Board, considers and acts upon it. 10

15. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order 11 shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the 12 Executive Director on behalf of the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full 13 force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to 14 approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, the Executive 15 Director and/or the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the 16 Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the 17 Executive Director, the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future 18 19 participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Executive Director on behalf of the Board does not, in his discretion, approve and adopt this 20 Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it 21 shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied 22 23 upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees 24 that should this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order be rejected for any reason by the Executive Director on behalf of the Board, Respondent will assert no claim that the 25 Executive Director, the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, 26 discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order or 27 of any matter or matters related hereto. 28

4

1 16. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
 2 copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures
 3 thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
the Executive Director on behalf of the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding,
issue and enter the following Order:

7

<u>ORDER</u>

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 79498, issued
9 to Respondent Ranganath Pathak, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Board.

The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and the
 acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline
 against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part
 of Respondent's license history with the Board.

Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Physician and Surgeon in
 California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was
issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in
 the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must
 comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked or
 surrendered license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations
 contained in Accusation No. 800-2019-053476 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted
 by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.

5. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or
petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of
California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 800-2019-053476 shall
be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of
Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

1	ACCEPTANCE
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully
3	discussed it with my attorney Ian A. Scharg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will
4	have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of
5	License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the
. 6	Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.
7	
8	DATED: 1/12/2022
9	RANGANATH PATHAK, M.D. Respondent
10	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Ranganath Pathak, M.D. the terms and
11	conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. 1
12	approve its form and content.
13	DATED: 2222
14	IAN A. SCHARG Attorney for Respondent
15	
16	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
17	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted
18	for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.
19	DATED: 2/4/2022 Respectfully submitted,
20	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
21	STEVEN D. MUNI Supervising Deputy Attorney General
22	
23	MeganKDCarroll
24	MEGAN R. O'CARROLL Deputy Attorney General
25	Attorneys for Complainant
26	
27	SA2021300817
28	35790268.docx
	6
l,	

Stipulated Surrender of License (Case No. 800-2019-053476)

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2019-053476

	1		-	1 -
		$\sim C_{\perp}$		
1	ROB BONTA	· ·		
2	Attorney General of California STEVEN D. MUNI			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General VERONICA VO			
`	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 230698			
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125		لر	
	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550			
, 6	Telephone: (916) 210-7508 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247	· ·	•	
7				
. 8	Attorneys for Complainant			
, 9	:	· ,	•	
10	BEFOR	•	·	
. 11	MEDICAL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CO			
12	STATE OF C.		· · ·	\ \
13		1	۰.	
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2019-053476	5	
15	Ranganath Pathak, M.D.	ACCUSATION		
16	1020 29th St. Ste. 350 Sacramento, CA 95816	· · ·	•	
· 17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate			
	No. A 79498,			
) 18	Respondent.			
19				
20				
21	PART			,
22	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in h	is official capacity	
. 23	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of	California, Department of C	Consumer Affairs	
24	(Board).	1		
25	2. On or about June 14, 2002, the Medic	al Board issued Physician's	and Surgeon's	
. 26	Certificate No. A 79498 to Ranganath Pathak, M.	D. (Respondent). The Physi	cian's and	
27	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect	at all times relevant to the ch	arges brought	
21	herein and will expire on September 30, 2021, un	·	,	
20		,		
28	· · ·		*	

	. 1	JURISDICTION	
	2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following	
	3	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise	
•	. 4	indicated.	
-	5	4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the	
	` 6	Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed	
	7	one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other	
	ำ 8	action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.	
	9	5. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
	10		
ı	11	unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:	
	12		
	13	abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.	
	14	(b) Gross negligence.	
	15	(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a	
·	16	separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute	
	17	(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically	
	18	appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single	
	19		
	20	omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the	
	21	licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.	
•	.22		
	· · 23	(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is	
	24	substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and	
1	25	(f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.	
	26		
	28		
	_0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		(RANGANATH PATHAK, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2019-053476	

6. Section 2228.1 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(a) On and after July 1, 2019, except as otherwise provided in subdivision (c), the board shall require a licensee to provide a separate disclosure that includes the licensee's probation status, the length of the probation, the probation end date, all practice restrictions placed on the licensee by the board, the board's telephone number, and an explanation of how the patient can find further information on the licensee's probation on the licensee's profile page on the board's online license information Internet Web site, to a patient or the patient's guardian or health care surrogate before the patient's first visit following the probationary order while the licensee is on probation pursuant to a probationary order made on and after July 1, 2019, in any of the following circumstances:

(1) A final adjudication by the board following an administrative hearing or admitted findings or prima facie showing in a stipulated settlement establishing any of the following:

(A) The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient or client as defined in Section 726 or 729.

(B) Drug or alcohol abuse directly resulting in harm to patients or the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice safely.

(C) Criminal conviction directly involving harm to patient health.

(D) Inappropriate prescribing resulting in harm to patients and a probationary period of five years or more.

(2) An accusation or statement of issues alleged that the licensee committed any of the acts described in subparagraphs (A) to (D), inclusive, of paragraph (1), and a stipulated settlement based upon a nolo contendre or other similar compromise that does not include any prima facie showing or admission of guilt or fact but does include an express acknowledgment that the disclosure requirements of this section would serve to protect the public interest.

(b) A licensee required to provide a disclosure pursuant to subdivision (a) shall obtain from the patient, or the patient's guardian or health care surrogate, a separate, signed copy of that disclosure.

(c) A licensee shall not be required to provide a disclosure pursuant to subdivision (a) if any of the following applies:

(1) The patient is unconscious or otherwise unable to comprehend the disclosure and sign the copy of the disclosure pursuant to subdivision (b) and a guardian or health care surrogate is unavailable to comprehend the disclosure and sign the copy.

(2) The visit occurs in an emergency room or an urgent care facility or the visit is unscheduled, including consultations in inpatient facilities.

(3) The licensee who will be treating the patient during the visit is not known to the patient until immediately prior to the start of the visit.

(4) The licensee does not have a direct treatment relationship with the patient.

3

(d) On and after July 1, 2019, the board shall provide the following 1 information, with respect to licensees on probation and licensees practicing under probationary licenses, in plain view on the licensee's profile page on the board's 2 online license information Internet Web site. 3 (1) For probation imposed pursuant to a stipulated settlement, the causes alleged in the operative accusation along with a designation identifying those causes 4 by which the licensee has expressly admitted guilt and a statement that acceptance of the settlement is not an admission of guilt. 5 (2) For probation imposed by an adjudicated decision of the board, the causes 6 for probation stated in the final probationary order. 7 (3) For a licensee granted a probationary license, the causes by which the probationary license was imposed. 8 (4) The length of the probation and end date. 9 (5) All practice restrictions placed on the license by the board. 10 (e) Section 2314 shall not apply to this section. 11 7. Section 726 of the Code states, in pertinent part: 12 (a) The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a 13 patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for 14 disciplinary action for any person licensed under this or under any initiative act referred to in this division. 15 (b) This section shall not apply to consensual sexual contact between a licensee and his or her spouse or person in an equivalent domestic relationship when that 16 licensee provides medical treatment, to his or her spouse or person in an equivalent 17 domestic relationship. 18 8. Section 2266 of the Code states, in pertinent part: The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records 19 relating to the provision of services to their patient constitutes unprofessional conduct. 20 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 21 Respondent is a board-certified general surgeon and colo-rectal surgeon. He is part of 9. 22 a medical group where he solely practices colo-rectal examinations and procedures. 23 111 :24 25 ./// 26 /// /// 27

28

///

Patient A: 1

1

2

3

4

10. On or about June 7, 2016, Patient A underwent a colonoscopy during which her doctor found a concerning rectal mass. Patient A was then referred to Respondent for further evaluation.

5 11. On or about June 16, 2016, Patient A met with Respondent for the first time.
6 Respondent performed a rectal examination and, consistent with the findings from the
7 colonoscopy, located a rectal mass. Respondent ordered a carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) test²
8 as well as an MRI.

9 12. On or about June 23, 2016, Respondent saw Patient A for a follow-up visit to discuss 10 the results of a pelvic MRI she had the day prior. Patient A was ultimately diagnosed with rectal 11 cancer. Respondent ordered a PET-CT scan to figure out Patient A's stage of cancer. Respondent 12 also recommended Patient A start chemoradiation therapy.

13 13. On or about July 1, 2016, Respondent discussed the PET-CT scan findings with
Patient A. Respondent recommended Patient A start with chemoradiation followed by a robotic
assisted low anterior resection and diverting ileostomy.³

16 14. On or about October 17, 2016, Patient A underwent a robotic-assisted low anterior
17 resection with coloanal anastomosis and diverting ileostomy. Subsequent to this surgery, Patient
18 A had no evidence of a residual tumor.

19 15. From on or about November 22, 2016 through September 19, 2019, Patient A
20 continued to visit with Respondent for follow-up care. Through on or about December 2017, the
21 visits would take place every 1-2 months. After that, the visits became less frequent with Patient
22 A visiting Respondent every six months. This pattern continued until her last visit on September
23 12, 2019.

24

25

¹ The Patient's names have been redacted to protect confidentiality. The names will be fully identified in discovery. ² CEA is an antigen that is found on the surface of many types of cancer cells. An

27

28

26

abnormal level of CEA could be indicative of cancer. ³ An ileostomy is a surgical operation in which a piece of the last part of the small intestine (ileum) is diverted to an artificial opening in the abdominal wall.

1 16. Prior to September 12, 2019, Respondent typically had a chaperone in the room while 2 examining Patient A. Respondent's custom and practice was to have Patient A pull her pants 3 down to her ankles and have the patient lie flat on her back so that he could examine her 4 abdomen. Respondent would then have Patient A lie on her stomach. The exam table had a hump 5 such that Patient A's rear was raised during the examination. Respondent would put a paper drape 6 over Patient A so that she was partially covered. Respondent would then examine Patient A's 7 rectum. Respondent never conducted vaginal examinations on Patient A.

17. Patient A's last visit with Respondent was on or about September 12, 2019. For 8 9 approximately one or two visits prior to the last one, Patient A noticed there was no chaperone during her examinations. While this made Patient A uncomfortable, she did not mention it to 10 Respondent. Patient A also noticed Respondent became friendlier towards her, hugging her when 11 she left his office. After the examinations were over, Patient A and Respondent would discuss 12 quality of life issues associated with her surgery. Patients with these surgeries often go through a 13 syndrome called low anterior resection syndrome (LARS).⁴ Respondent had knowledge of how 14 LARS affected Patient A's body and marriage. Patient A trusted and felt comforted by 15 Respondent. 16

18. On or about September 12, 2019, Patient A went to see Respondent for a routine 17 follow-up appointment. Prior to the appointment, Patient A had been having difficulty with her 18 19 LARS symptoms. At the appointment, Respondent asked Patient A whether she was experiencing 20 any issues during sexual intercourse. Patient A described an incident that was causing her stress. Respondent followed up by asking her whether her concerns were due to emotional or physical 2ŀ issues. Patient A was adamant she did not have any physical issues. Respondent then advised 22. Patient A to purchase an adult sex toy and practice having orgasms. He even recommended a 23 specific sex toy. Respondent told Patient A to report back to him how many orgasms she 24 achieved. Patient A was uncomfortable and nervous but she tried making light of the situation by 25 26

⁴ LARS is a collection of symptoms or issues patients have after undergoing a resection or removal of part of or the entire rectum. The symptoms can include fecal incontinency or urgency, frequent or fragmented bowel movements, emptying difficulties, and increased intestinal gas.

27

28

joking with Respondent. After that uncomfortable conversation, Respondent then told her he was going to perform an exam on her.

3 Respondent did not call for a chaperone during any point of the examination. Per their routine, Patient A pulled her pants down and Respondent examined her rectum. The Respondent 4 5 said, "Now I'm gonna do a vaginal exam, is that okay"? Even though Patient A was not okay 6 with the examination, she remained silent. Respondent did not have Patient A lie on her back. rather, he conducted a vaginal examination while Patient A was still lying on her stomach. 7 8 Respondent then inserted two fingers into her vagina and "probed" for about 5-10 seconds. 9 Patient A had never had a vaginal examination in that position before and reported that it felt "really different." After Respondent finished, he said something to the effect of "[e]verything 10 looks fine." 11

Patient A was so nervous and uncomfortable with the examination she just wanted to leave the room. As she began to walk out the door, Respondent reached over to hug her. As Patient A was trying to get to the door, Respondent moved quickly toward her right side. Respondent put one arm around Patient A's back and the other arm across her front. Respondent then placed his left hand on her breast and did not move it. Patient A looked at Respondent and noticed he was looking down at her breasts. Patient A pulled away and immediately realized that her treatment was not "legitimate."

On or about August 7, 2020, Stacie Barrera, an investigator with the Division of 19 19. Investigation (DOI), interviewed Respondent about his treatment of Patient A. Respondent stated 20 his practice's policy is to have a chaperone present when examining a female patient. Respondent 21 admitted that during the period in question, it was not his custom to document the name of the .22 chaperone present with him. However, Respondent insisted there was a chaperone present while 23 he examined Patient A on September 12, 2019. On that date, Respondent described conducting an 24 25 abdominal exam and a proctoscopy on Patient A. Respondent denied performing a vaginal examination on her but admitted he introduced lubrication into Patient A's anal canal to conduct a 26 27 proctoscopy.

7

(

28 ///

1

2

Respondent could not specifically remember having a conversation with Patient A about a brand of adult sex toy nor did he remember discussing orgasms with her. However, he admitted that if Patient A had discussed incontinence during sexual intercourse, he would have given advice about using a sex toy for her issues. Lastly, although Respondent admitted to occasionally hugging his patients, Respondent denied hugging Patient A. Respondent believed he may have put his hand on her shoulder to lead her out of the room.

20. On or about September 18, 2020, DOI investigator Barrera spoke with one of Respondent's medical assistants, L.T. L.T. was familiar with Patient A and knew that she was a patient at the clinic. L.T. could not recall being in the room during Patient A's final appointment because she could not remember the specific date of that appointment. Later, on or about September 24, 2020, DOI investigator Barrera confirmed that L.T. worked on the date of Patient A's appointment.

Patient B:

1

2

3

4

5

·6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 21. In or around 2019, Patient B sought advice from her primary care physician for issues
15 she was having with her bowels. The primary care physician referred her to Respondent for a
16 consultation. Patient B scheduled an appointment with Respondent on January 3, 2020 after she
17 could not schedule an appointment with her own doctor.

18 22. On or about January 3, 2020, Patient B went to her scheduled appointment with. Respondent. Patient B was taken into an examination room where her vitals were taken prior to 19 getting escorted into Respondent's office. Once Respondent came into the office, Respondent and 20 Patient B discussed her medical history. They then went into an exam room where Patient B was 21 told to pull her pants down to her ankles and put her knees on a bed with her buttocks facing 22 upwards. Patient B was not asked to put a robe on but Respondent walked out while she lowered 23 her pants and got into position. The medical assistant stayed in the room both before and during 24 25 the exam.

26 23. During the examination, Respondent did not explain what he was doing. Patient B
27 believed Respondent used an instrument inside her anus but she could not be sure. Patient B heard
28 a snap, felt some pain, and then Respondent announced he had completed the examination.

1

After the examination, Patient B got dressed and then went to Respondent's office
 where it was just the two of them. Respondent explained that the exam went well and suggested
 Patient B schedule a colonoscopy per her normal schedule. Respondent and Patient B discussed
 her diet after Patient B explained she had lost 42 pounds through intermittent fasting. Patient B
 thought her appointment was over.

25. Respondent continued the appointment by questioning Patient B about her history 6 with breast lumps. Patient B explained she had breast examinations every six months and 7 everything had been normal. Respondent then said, "Let me see." Respondent got out of his chair, 8 went over to where Patient B was standing, stood in front of her, and asked, "Where?" Patient B 9 pointed to the breast that previously had lumps. Respondent touched that same breast over Patient 10 B's clothes. Respondent told Patient B he could not feel anything. Respondent then pulled Patient 11 B's dress open at the neck and stuck his hand down into her bra. Patient B heard her collar rip as 12 Respondent's hand went down on her breast. Respondent apologized and then asked Patient B to 13 lift her dress and bra. Patient B lifted her dress and bra up above her breasts exposing both her 14 15 breasts. Respondent then put both his hands on her breasts and touched her nipples. Respondent 16 then went underneath Patient B's breasts and kept squeezing, cupping, touching her nipples and 17 pushing her breasts together repeatedly. Patient B noted there was no specific pattern to what 18 Respondent was doing. Respondent then said, "Oh yeah, they're really heavy, huh?" Patient B explained she intended on having a breast reduction. Respondent then bounced Patient B's breasts 19 and asked her about their size. When Patient B responded, he then said, "Yeah, you could stand to 20 lose some." Patient B estimated she was standing in front of Respondent for at least three minutes 21 while Respondent was feeling her breasts. 22

23 26. On or about August 7, 2020, Respondent was interviewed by Stacie Barrera 24 regarding his treatment of Patient B. Respondent admitted that during the time in question, he did 25 not routinely document the chaperone in a patient's chart, including Patient B. Respondent noted 26 he has since changed his practice. When Respondent was questioned as to whether he performed 27 a breast examination on Patient B, he denied performing such an examination and further denied 28 having any conversation with her about her breast size.

9

1 Patient C

2 27. Patient C was referred to Respondent to be evaluated and possibly treated for issues
3 with obstructed defecation. On or about August 27, 2018, Respondent performed a detailed
4 history and physical on Patient C, which included an anorectal examination. The results of that
5 examination demonstrated that Patient C had a moderate sized anterior rectocele. Respondent
6 described his findings and made suggestions for further testing.

7 28. On or about September 18, 2018, Respondent described his further findings with
8 Patient C. Respondent confirmed a finding of a small anterior rectocele⁵ as well as internal rectal
9 mucosal prolapse resulting in an intussusception.⁶ He then scheduled a future appointment for
10 Patient C where he would conduct a rubber band ligation (RBL) procedure of the intussuscepting
11 rectal mucosa.

29. On or about January 10, 2019, Respondent performed the RBL procedure on Patient 12 C. Patient C described the procedure as painful. Respondent did not explain the nature of the 13 procedure he would be conducting on Patient C. Thus, Patient C was confused and believed she 14 was going to have a procedure to treat her rectocele. Due to that confusion, on two occasions 15 during the procedure, Patient C asked Respondent to explain his actions. Patient C stated that 16 immediately after the procedure she did not feel well, hyperventilated, and was in a cold sweat. 17 18 Patient C waited for half an hour until she felt better to drive home. Patient C felt discomfort the following day as well as intermittently for the several weeks following the procedure. 19

30. On or about August 7, 2020, Respondent was by Stacie Barrera regarding his
treatment of Patient C. Respondent stated he explained the RBL procedure to Patient C prior to
her appointment on January 10, 2019. He stated he told Patient C that the procedure would be
simple, not painful, and result in some discomfort. He advised Patient C to get the procedure at
the end of the week to allow her the weekend to recover. In response to whether he obtained
consent from Patient C, Respondent said, "[S]o when they come back for follow-up, for the

26

27

28

⁵ An anterior rectocele is the name given to a pocket or bulge in the part of the bowel lying under the back wall of the vagina. It is a type of prolapse.

⁶ Internal rectal intussusception is a medical condition defined as a funnel shaped infolding of the rectal wall that can occur during defecation.

*'*10

procedure itself, they are informed that they are coming back voluntarily for the procedure."
 Overall, Respondent stated after he placed the rubber band, the procedure went well. He stated he
 injected Patient C with some lidocaine to numb the area and make it more comfortable.
 Respondent admits he likely did not make this notation in the patient's medical chart.
 31. Patient C's medical chart did not indicate Respondent obtained a written or oral
 informed consent from Patient C for the procedure performed on her. Further, the notes did not

mention a discussion about the risks and benefits of the RBL procedure; the possible outcomes;
and the usual postoperative course related to a RBL procedure. Respondent's note was fairly brief
and included the diagnosis being treated, a quick description of the procedure itself, a mention of
Patient C's postoperative "vasovagal reaction" and the appropriate postoperative instructions.

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Sexual Misconduct)

32. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 726 of the Code in that he committed sexual misconduct against two patients. The circumstances are as follows:

a. Paragraphs 10 through 26, above, are incorporated herein as if fully set forth.b. Respondent's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes sexual misconduct with a

17 patient in violation of section 726 of the Code, thus subjecting Respondent's license to discipline.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

33. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision
(b), of the Code, in that he committed gross negligence during the care and treatment of Patients
A and B, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 10 through 26, above, which is hereby
incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

34. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action because he committed gross
negligence during the care and treatment of Patients A and B in the following distinct and
separate ways:

a. Performing a vaginal examination on Patient A without a written or verbal consent;

11

Performing a vaginal examination on Patient A without medical reason: b. 1 Performing a vaginal examination on Patient A without a chaperone present; ç. 2 d. Placing his hand on Patient A's breast for an unacceptable period of time 3 making Patient A uncomfortable and feeling violated; 4 Giving Patient A insensitive and inappropriate advice to use a specific brand of e. 5 sex toy, making recommendations for the number of times to use the device, and reporting usage 6 7 to him; and f. Performing an unpermitted, unnecessary, and inappropriate breast exam on 8 Patient B. 9 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 10 (Repeated Negligent Acts) 11 35. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision 12 (c) of the Code, in that he committed repeated negligent acts during the care and treatment of 13 Patients A, B, and C, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 10 through 31, above, which is 14 hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein. 15 36. Respondent committed the following negligent acts during the care and treatment of 16 Patients A, B, and C in the following distinct and separate ways: 17 Respondent failed to have a chaperone in the examination room during Patient 18 a. A's anorectal or rectovaginal examination; 19 Respondent failed to have a chaperone present during Patient B's breast 20 b. examination; 21 Respondent failed to obtain informed consent from Patient C prior to c. 22 performing the RBL procedure; and 23 Respondent failed to have a chaperone present during Patient C's RBL d. 24 procedure. 25 /// 26 /// 27 28 /// ·12 (RANGANATH PATHAK, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2019-053476



.\				.
- 1		PRAYER		
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant r	equests that a hearing be h	eld on the matters herein	alleged,
3	and that following the hearing, the M			
4		Physician's and Surgeon's		issued
. 5	to Ranganath Pathak, M.D.;	•		
6	· ·	denying approval of Rang	ganath Pathak, M.D.'s aut	hority to
7	supervise physician assistants and ad	vanced practice nurses;		.
8	3. Ordering Ranganath Path	nak, M.D., if placed on pro	bation, to pay the Board t	he costs
9	of probation monitoring;			
10	4. Ordering Ranganath Path	nak, M.D., if placed on pro	bation to disclose the disc	plinary
	order to patients pursuant to section 2	2228.1 of the Code; and		
12	5. Taking such other and fu	rther action as deemed nee	cessary and proper.	
13			\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}	
14	DATED: MAY 0 4 2021	Millow	the	
15		WILLIAM PRAS Executive Directo		
16		Medical Board of Department of Co	nsumer Affairs	
17		State of California Complainant	-	
18		-	•	
19	SA2021300817	· ·	•	
20	Pathak Final Accusation.docx			-
- 21	· ·	· ·		,
22		· ·	•	
23			· .	
24				
25				
26		•	· .	
27		· · ·		
28			· ·	
28				1