

**BEFORE THE  
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation  
Against:**

**Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D.**

**Physician's and Surgeon's  
Certificate No. G 45188**

**Respondent.**

**Case No. 800-2018-050232**


**DECISION**

**The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.**

**This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 11, 2022.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED: March 4, 2022.**

**MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**



**William Prasifka  
Executive Director**

1 ROB BONTA  
Attorney General of California  
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 KAROLYN M. WESTFALL  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 234540  
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800  
5 San Diego, CA 92101  
P.O. Box 85266  
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266  
Telephone: (619) 738-9465  
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9  
10 **BEFORE THE**  
11 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
12 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
13 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

13 In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation  
14 Against:

Case No. 800-2018-050232

15 **STEPHEN NICHOLS HORDYNSKI, M.D.**  
16 **Beaver Medical Group, LP**  
2 West Fern Avenue  
Redlands, CA 92373

OAH No. 2021050234

**STIPULATED SURRENDER OF  
LICENSE AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

17 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**  
18 **No. G 45188,**

19 Respondent.

20  
21 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-  
22 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

23 **PARTIES**

24 1. William Prasifka (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of  
25 California (Board). He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this  
26 matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Karolyn M. Westfall,  
27 Deputy Attorney General.

28 ///

1 2. Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D. (Respondent), is represented in this proceeding by  
2 attorney, Steven B. Goldstein, Esq., whose address is: Davis, Grass, Goldstein & Finlay, 3105  
3 Sedona Court, Ontario, CA 91764.

4 3. On or about July 2, 1981, the Board issued Physician’s and Surgeon’s Certificate No.  
5 G 45188 to Respondent. The Physician’s and Surgeon’s Certificate was in full force and effect at  
6 all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050232 and  
7 will expire on February 28, 2023, unless renewed.

8 **JURISDICTION**

9 4. First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050232, which superseded the Accusation  
10 filed on March 2, 2021, was filed before the Board on January 20, 2022, and is currently pending  
11 against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents  
12 were properly served on Respondent on January 20, 2022. Respondent timely filed his Notice of  
13 Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050232  
14 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

15 **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

16 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the  
17 charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050232. Respondent also  
18 has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated  
19 Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order.

20 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a  
21 hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to confront and  
22 cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own  
23 behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the  
24 production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision;  
25 and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable  
26 laws.

27 7. Having the benefit of counsel, Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently  
28 waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

1 **CULPABILITY**

2 8. Respondent admits that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a  
3 *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in First Amended  
4 Accusation No. 800-2018-050232, and agrees that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and  
5 Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188 to discipline, and hereby surrenders his Physician's and  
6 Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188 for the Board's formal acceptance.

7 9. Respondent further agrees that if he ever petitions for reinstatement of his Physician's  
8 and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188, all of the charges and allegations contained in First  
9 Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050232 shall be deemed true, correct, and fully admitted by  
10 Respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving  
11 Respondent in the State of California or elsewhere.

12 10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Executive  
13 Director of the Board to issue an order, on behalf of the Board, accepting the surrender of his  
14 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188 without further process.

15 **CONTINGENCY**

16 11. Business and Professions Code section 2224, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent  
17 part, that the Medical Board "shall delegate to its executive director the authority to adopt a ...  
18 stipulation for surrender of a license."

19 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to the  
20 approval of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board. The parties agree that this Stipulated  
21 Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Executive Director for his  
22 consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Executive Director shall have a  
23 reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Surrender of License and  
24 Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, Respondent fully understands  
25 and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the  
26 time the Executive Director, on behalf of the Board, considers and acts upon it.

27 13. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order  
28 shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the

1 Executive Director on behalf of the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full  
2 force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to  
3 approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, the Executive  
4 Director and/or the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the  
5 Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the  
6 Executive Director, the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future  
7 participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving Respondent. In the event that the  
8 Executive Director on behalf of the Board does not, in his discretion, approve and adopt this  
9 Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it  
10 shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied  
11 upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees  
12 that should this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order be rejected for any reason  
13 by the Executive Director on behalf of the Board, Respondent will assert no claim that the  
14 Executive Director, the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review,  
15 discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order or  
16 of any matter or matters related hereto.

17 **ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS**

18 14. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties  
19 herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of  
20 the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.

21 15. The parties agree that copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary  
22 Order, including copies of the signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents  
23 and signatures and, further, that such copies shall have the same force and effect as originals.

24 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree the  
25 Executive Director of the Board may, without further notice to or opportunity to be heard by  
26 Respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order on behalf of the Board:

27 ///

28 ///

**ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188, issued to Respondent Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Board.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a physician and surgeon in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked or surrendered license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050232 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.

5. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$7,248.75 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation, No. 800-2018-050232 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

///

///


///

///


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney Steven B. Goldstein, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.


DATED: March 3, 2022   
STEPHEN NICHOLAS HORDYNSKI, M.D.  
*Respondent*

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: March 3, 2022   
STEVEN B. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.  
*Attorney for Respondent*

**ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: March 3, 2022      Respectfully submitted,  
ROB BONTA  
Attorney General of California  
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
  
KAROLYN M. WESTFALL  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Complainant*

SD2021800185  
83295621.docx

**Exhibit A**

**First Amended Accusation No. 800-2018-050232**



1 ROB BONTA  
Attorney General of California  
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 KAROLYN M. WESTFALL  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 234540  
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800  
5 San Diego, CA 92101  
P.O. Box 85266  
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266  
Telephone: (619) 738-9465  
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**BEFORE THE  
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation  
Against:

Case No. 800-2018-050232

**STEPHEN NICHOLS HORDYNSKI, M.D.**  
**Beaver Medical Group LP**  
**2 West Fern Avenue**  
**Redlands, CA 92373**

**FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION**

**Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**  
**No. G 45188,**

Respondent.

**PARTIES**

1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).

2. On or about July 2, 1981, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188 to Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2023, unless renewed.

///

1 JURISDICTION

2 3. This First Amended Accusation, which supersedes the Accusation filed on March 2,  
3 2021, is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section  
4 references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

5 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the  
6 Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed  
7 one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other  
8 action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.

9 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states, in pertinent part:

10 The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with  
11 unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional  
12 conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

12 ...

13 (b) Gross negligence.

14 ...

15 COST RECOVERY

16 6. Section 125.3 of the Code states:

17 (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a  
18 disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the  
19 Osteopathic Medical Board upon request of the entity bringing the proceeding, the  
20 administrative law judge may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or  
21 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the  
22 investigation and enforcement of the case.

23 (b) In the case of a disciplined licentiate that is a corporation or a partnership,  
24 the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership.

25 (c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where  
26 actual costs are not available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding or its  
27 designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of  
28 investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of  
investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not  
limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.

(d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount  
of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested  
pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard  
to costs shall not be reviewable by the board to increase the cost award. The board  
may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge if  
the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subd. (a).

1 (e) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as  
2 directed in the board's decision, the board may enforce the order for repayment in any  
3 appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights  
4 the board may have as to any licensee to pay costs.

5 (f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be  
6 conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

7 (g)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or  
8 reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered  
9 under this section.

10 (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion,  
11 conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any  
12 licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement  
13 with the board to reimburse the board within that one-year period for the unpaid  
14 costs.

15 (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement  
16 for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the fund of the board recovering the costs  
17 to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

18 (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from including the recovery of  
19 the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

20 (j) This section does not apply to any board if a specific statutory provision in  
21 that board's licensing act provides for recovery of costs in an administrative  
22 disciplinary proceeding.

### 23 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### 24 (Gross Negligence)

25 7. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188 to  
26 disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of  
27 the Code, in that he was grossly negligent in his care and treatment of Patient A,<sup>1</sup> as more  
28 particularly alleged hereinafter:

8. On or about October 13, 2015, Patient A presented to Respondent to initiate prenatal  
care. Patient A was thirty-two years old at the time, with a past medical history that included  
chronic hypertension, obesity, and preeclampsia in her first pregnancy that led to a premature  
birth via cesarean section at 29 weeks. Patient A had previously been taking blood pressure  
medications but discontinued them upon learning of her current pregnancy. At this visit,  
Respondent confirmed the pregnancy with an estimated delivery date of April 30, 2016,

<sup>1</sup> To protect the privacy of the patient involved, the patient's name has not been included  
in this pleading. Respondent is aware of the identity of the patient referred to herein.

1 prescribed Aldomet<sup>2</sup> 250mg to be taken four times daily, and instructed the patient to monitor her  
2 blood pressure at home.

3 9. On or about October 30, 2015, Patient A presented to Respondent for a follow-up  
4 visit with complaints of headaches, recent flu, and diarrhea. The patient's blood pressure at this  
5 visit was measured to be 142/90, and a dipstick urinalysis revealed no protein. The patient was  
6 instructed to return in four weeks.

7 10. On or about January 5, 2016, Patient A contacted Respondent's office to report  
8 elevated blood pressure. The patient was informed that Respondent was out of the office until the  
9 following week.

10 11. On or about January 13, 2016, Respondent returned Patient A's call and left her a  
11 voice mail. Later that day, Patient A presented for a follow-up visit. At this visit Patient A's  
12 blood pressure was measured to be 170/110. Respondent did not perform an ultrasound on the  
13 fetus, did not send the patient to Labor and Delivery for additional monitoring, did not administer  
14 or order antenatal corticosteroids, and did not order serial blood pressures, laboratory draws, or  
15 continuous fetal monitoring. At the conclusion of this visit, Respondent increased Patient A's  
16 Aldomet to 500mg to be taken four times daily, and instructed her to call or return to his office if  
17 she experienced any problems with her blood pressure.

18 12. On or about January 22, 2016, Patient A presented to Respondent for a follow-up  
19 visit. The patient's blood pressure at this visit was measured to be 138/80.

20 13. On or about January 27, 2016, Patient A presented to Respondent for a follow-up  
21 visit. The patient's blood pressure at this visit was measured to be 132/80.

22 14. On or about February 1, 2016, at approximately 12:51 p.m., Patient A called  
23 Respondent's office to report she was taking her blood pressure medication and experiencing  
24 blurred vision. Respondent was out of the office that day, but the physician filling in for  
25 Respondent advised the patient to monitor her blood pressure and to be evaluated if it continued  
26 to be elevated.

27 <sup>2</sup> Aldomet (brand name for methyldopa) is an antihypertensive medication used to treat  
28 high blood pressure, and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section  
4022.

1           15. At approximately 5:36 p.m., Patient A's husband called the nurse triage line to report  
2 the patient was experiencing blurred vision for the past two to three days, swelling in both feet  
3 with pitting edema, and her recent blood pressure readings were 188/120 and 180/110 despite  
4 taking her prescribed medications. The triage call-taker instructed Patient A's husband to take the  
5 patient to Labor and Delivery to rule out preeclampsia.

6           16. At approximately 7:01 p.m., Patient A presented to Labor and Delivery at Redlands  
7 Community Hospital as instructed, with complaints of blurred vision and elevated blood pressure.  
8 Between approximately 7:01 p.m. and 8:16 p.m., the patient's blood pressure was measured to be  
9 159/98, 169/92, 106/85, 171/91, and 170/93. A dipstick urinalysis revealed no protein. The  
10 patient was then seen by B.B., M.D. (Dr. B.B.), who ordered labs and labetalol<sup>3</sup> 100mg. Between  
11 approximately 8:31 p.m. and 9:31 p.m., the patient's blood pressure was measured to be 170/96,  
12 162/79, 168/95, 164/87, and 157/76. At approximately 9:45 p.m., Dr. B.B. ordered the patient to  
13 be discharged with a prescription for labetalol 100mg once daily, and to follow-up with  
14 Respondent in four days.

15           17. On or about February 5, 2016, Patient A presented to Respondent for a follow-up  
16 visit. The patient's blood pressure at this visit was measured to be 178/100. Respondent did not  
17 perform an ultrasound of the fetus, did not admit the patient at Labor and Delivery for 24-48 hour  
18 monitoring, did not order or administer antenatal corticosteroids, and did not order serial blood  
19 pressures, laboratory draws, or continuous fetal monitoring. At the conclusion of this visit,  
20 Respondent instructed the patient to report to Labor and Delivery for further monitoring.

21           18. On or about February 5, 2016, at approximately 12:47 p.m., Patient A presented to  
22 Labor and Delivery at Redlands Community Hospital as instructed, and was seen by Dr. B.B.  
23 Shortly after arrival, her blood pressure was measured to be 173/87. A dipstick urinalysis  
24 revealed no protein. At approximately 2:18 p.m., Dr. B.B. ordered the patient to be discharged  
25 with a prescription for labetalol 100mg twice daily, and to follow-up with Respondent the  
26 following week.

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>3</sup> Labetalol is a beta blocker medication used to treat high blood pressure, and a dangerous  
drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

1           19. On or about February 10, 2016, Patient A presented to Respondent for a follow-up  
2 visit. The patient's blood pressure at this visit was measured to be 164/100, and a dipstick  
3 urinalysis revealed no protein. Respondent did not perform an ultrasound of the fetus, did not  
4 admit the patient at Labor and Delivery for 24-48 hour monitoring, did not order or administer  
5 antenatal corticosteroids, and did not order serial blood pressures, laboratory draws, or continuous  
6 fetal monitoring. At the conclusion of the visit, Respondent increased the patient's labetalol to  
7 200mg to be taken twice daily, continued her Aldomet 500mg four times daily, ordered a 24-hour  
8 urine protein collection and preeclampsia blood panel, and advised the patient to call or return if  
9 her blood pressure became too high or too low.

10           20. On or about February 12, 2016, Patient A called Respondent's office to report her  
11 blood pressure readings were 160/82 and 150/88 the night prior, and 150/90 that morning. When  
12 Respondent spoke with the patient, she denied headache, visual disturbances, or abdominal pain.  
13 At the conclusion of this call, Respondent did not instruct the patient to go to Labor and Delivery  
14 for observation or monitoring, did not order antenatal corticosteroids, and did not order serial  
15 blood pressures, laboratory draws, or continuous fetal monitoring.

16           21. On or about February 15, 2016, Patient A's 24-hour urine protein results submitted on  
17 or about February 12, 2016, revealed 350mg of protein in 24 hours.

18           22. On or about February 17, 2016, Patient A presented to Respondent for a follow-up  
19 visit. The patient's blood pressure at this visit was measured to be 176/112. Respondent noted  
20 the patient's nonstress test was reactive and her 24-hour urine protein results were 350mg.  
21 Respondent then diagnosed Patient A with chronic hypertension with superimposed preeclampsia  
22 and instructed her to report to Labor and Delivery.

23           23. At approximately 11:45 a.m., Patient A presented to Labor and Delivery as instructed  
24 and was seen by Dr. B.B. Upon arrival, Patient A was in no distress. At approximately 12:04  
25 p.m., the patient's blood pressure was measured to be 201/115. Within ten minutes, she  
26 complained of difficulty breathing, was extremely agitated, and had a cough productive of frothy  
27 clear sputum tinged with blood. Dr. B.B. noted Patient A's lungs sounded diffusely wet. Dr.  
28 B.B. then ordered an emergent cesarean section and Respondent was called in to assist. At

1 approximately 12:49 p.m., a baby girl was delivered, but minutes later Patient A went into cardiac  
2 arrest. The patient was eventually resuscitated and transferred to the intensive care unit, where  
3 she was diagnosed with an anoxic brain injury with a poor prognosis.

4 24. Between on or about October 30, 2015, and on or about February 17, 2016,  
5 Respondent did not order low-dose aspirin or corticosteroids to Patient A at any time.

6 25. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A by  
7 failing to appropriately manage and treat hypertension during pregnancy.

8 **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

9 26. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,  
10 Complainant alleges that on or about June 15, 2015, the Board issued a Decision and Order that  
11 became effective on or about July 15, 2015, in an action entitled, *In the Matter of the Accusation*  
12 *Against Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D.*, Medical Board of California Case No. 09-2012-  
13 224388. In that matter, and as a result of Respondent's negligent care and treatment of a single  
14 patient in or around 2009, Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188 was  
15 publicly reprimanded. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set  
16 forth herein.

17 ///  
18 ///  
19 ///  
20 ///  
21 ///  
22 ///  
23 ///  
24 ///  
25 ///  
26 ///  
27 ///  
28 ///


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 45188, issued to Respondent, Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D.;
2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent, Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
3. Ordering Respondent, Stephen Nicholes Hordynski, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: JAN 20 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM PRASIFKA  
Executive Director  
Medical Board of California  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
*Complainant*

SD2021800185  
83205200.docx