BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended )
Accusation Against: )
) )
Mohammad Diab, M.D. ) )
Physician's and Surgeon's )
Certificate No. G 86439 )
) )
Respondent ) )
) Case No. 03-2011-215140

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the
Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs,
State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 1, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED: October 2, 2013.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

[Signature]
Barbara Yaroslavsky, Chair
Panel A
BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:

MOHAMMAD DIAB, M.D.

500 Parnassus Ave. MU 320 W
San Francisco, CA 94143-0728
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G86439

Respondent.

Case No. 03-2011-215140
STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Emily L. Brinkman, Deputy Attorney General.

2. Respondent Mohammad Diab, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Ivan Weinberg, whose address is: 700 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 252, Larkspur, CA 94939.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 03-2011-215140 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 24, 2012. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

5. A First Amended Accusation No. 03-2011-215140 was filed June 11, 2013 and properly served on Respondent through his attorney of record, Ivan Weinberg, Esq.

6. A copy of the First Amended Accusation No. 03-2011-215140 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

7. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 03-2011-215140. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

8. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

9. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

\[
\]

\[
\]
CULPABILITY

10. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in First Amended Accusation No. 03-2011-215140.

11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

12. Respondent Mohammad Diab, M.D. has never been the subject of any disciplinary action. He is admitting responsibility at an early stage in the proceedings.

CONTINGENCY

13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

14. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:
DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G86439 issued to Respondent Mohammad Diab, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for seven (7) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. **REVOCATION - MULTIPLE CAUSES.** Certificate No. G86439 issued to Respondent Mohammad Diab, M.D. is revoked pursuant to determination of the first and second causes of action, separately and for all of them.

2. **STANDARD STAY ORDER.** However, revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for seven years upon the following terms and conditions.

3. **ACTUAL SUSPENSION.** As part of probation, Respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 60 days beginning on the 30th day after the effective date of decision.

4. **EDUCATION COURSE.** Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent’s expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent’s knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

5. **MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE.** Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping equivalent to the Medical Record Keeping Course offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (Program), approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the program with any information and documents that the Program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and
successfully complete the classroom component of the course no later than six (6) months after Respondent’s initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent’s expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee no later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or no later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

6. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program no later than six (6) months after Respondent’s initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program no later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent’s expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.
Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee no later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or no later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

7. **PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES PROGRAM.** Within 60 calendar days from the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professional boundaries program equivalent to the Professional Boundaries Program offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine ("Program"). Respondent, at the Program's discretion, shall undergo and complete the Program's assessment of Respondent's competency, mental health and/or neuropsychological performance, and at minimum, a 24 hour program of interactive education and training in the area of boundaries, which takes into account data obtained from the assessment and from the Decision(s), Accusation(s) and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. The Program shall evaluate Respondent at the end of the training and the Program shall provide any data from the assessment and training as well as the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee.

Failure to complete the entire Program no later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment shall constitute a violation of probation unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Based on Respondent's performance in and evaluations from the assessment, education, and training, the Program shall advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for additional education, training, psychotherapy and other measures necessary to ensure that Respondent can practice medicine safely. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations. At the completion of the Program, Respondent shall submit to a final evaluation. The Program shall provide the results of the evaluation to the Board or its designee. The professional boundaries program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

The Program has the authority to determine whether or not Respondent successfully completed the Program.

A professional boundaries course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the
Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

8. **PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION.** Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on whatever periodic basis thereafter may be required by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall undergo and complete a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist, who shall consider any information provided by the Board or designee and any other information the psychiatrist deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Psychiatric evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychiatric evaluations and psychological testing.

   Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating psychiatrist within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

9. **PSYCHOTHERAPY.** Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval the name and qualifications of a California-licensed board certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist who has a doctoral degree in psychology and at least five years of postgraduate experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders. Upon approval, Respondent shall undergo and continue psychotherapy treatment, including any modifications to the frequency of psychotherapy, until the Board or its designee deems that no further psychotherapy is necessary.

   The psychotherapist shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information the psychotherapist deems relevant and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Respondent shall cooperate in providing the psychotherapist any information and documents that the psychotherapist may deem pertinent.

   Respondent shall have the treating psychotherapist submit quarterly status reports to the Board or its designee. The Board or its designee may require Respondent to undergo psychiatric
evaluations by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist. If, prior to the completion of
probation, Respondent is found to be mentally unfit to resume the practice of medicine without
restrictions, the Board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over Respondent’s license and the
period of probation shall be extended until the Board determines that Respondent is mentally fit
to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions.

Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychotherapy and psychiatric evaluations.

10. **MONITORING - PRACTICE.** Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this
Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice
monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose
licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical
Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal
relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to
compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including
but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent’s field of practice, and must agree
to serve as Respondent’s monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s)
and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the
Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed
statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role
of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees
with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the
signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout
probation, Respondent’s practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall
make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor
at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective
date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to
cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent’s performance, indicating whether Respondent’s practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent’s expense during the term of probation.

11. **THIRD PARTY CHAPERONE.** During probation, Respondent shall have a third party chaperone present while consulting, examining or treating all patients. Respondent shall, within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the Decision, submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval name(s) of persons who will act as the third party chaperone.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a third party chaperone within 60 calendar days of
the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its
designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified.
Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a chaperone is approved to provide
monitoring responsibility.

Each third party chaperone shall sign (in ink or electronically) and date each patient
medical record at the time the chaperone’s services are provided. Each third party chaperone
shall read the Decision(s) and the Accusation(s), and fully understand the role of the third party
chaperone.

Respondent shall maintain a log of all patients seen for whom a third party chaperone is
required. The log shall contain the: 1) patient initials, address and telephone number; 2) medical
record number; and 3) date of service. Respondent shall keep this log in a separate file or ledger,
in chronological order, shall make the log available for immediate inspection and copying on the
premises at all times during business hours by the Board or its designee, and shall retain the log
for the entire term of probation.

Respondent is prohibited from terminating employment of a Board-approved third party
chaperone solely because that person provided information as required to the Board or its
designee.

If the third party chaperone resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5
calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior
approval, the name of the person(s) who will act as the third party chaperone. If Respondent fails
to obtain approval of a replacement chaperone within 60 calendar days of the resignation or
unavailability of the chaperone, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its
designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified.
Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement chaperone is approved and
assumes monitoring responsibility.

12. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the
Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the
Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to
Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

13. **SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS.** During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.

14. **OBEY ALL LAWS.** Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

15. **QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS.** Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

16. **GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.**

**Compliance with Probation Unit**

Respondent shall comply with the Board’s probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

**Address Changes**

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent’s business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

**Place of Practice**

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent’s or patient’s place
of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

17. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent’s place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

18. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent’s return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent’s period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria.
of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board’s “Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and
Disciplinary Guidelines” prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent’s period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the
probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms
and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

19. COMPLETION OF PROBATION. Respondent shall comply with all financial
obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the
completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent’s certificate shall
be fully restored.

20. VIOLATION OF PROBATION. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition
of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the
Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and
carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation,
or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have
continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until
the matter is final.

21. LICENSE SURRENDER. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent’s request and to exercise its discretion in
determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent’s wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
22. **PROBATION MONITORING COSTS.** Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

**ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Ivan Weinberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 9.11.13

MOHAMMAD DIAB, M.D.
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Mohammad Diab, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 9.11.13

Ivan Weinberg
Attorney for Respondent
ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 9/12/2013

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California

JOSE R. GUERRERO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

EMILY L. BRINKMAN
Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant
Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 03-2011-215140
BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation
Against:

MOHAMMAD DIAB, M.D.
500 Parnassus Avenue MU 320W
San Francisco, CA 94143-0728

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.
G86439

Respondent.

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California.

2. On or about March 20, 2002, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G86439 to Mohammad Diab, M.D. (Respondent). Respondent's license is current and active with an expiration date of May 31, 2013.
3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board)\(^1\), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 2004 of the Code provides that the Board is responsible for the administration and hearing of disciplinary actions involving enforcement of the Medical Practice Act (section 2000 et seq.) and the carrying out of disciplinary action appropriate to findings made by the Board or an administrative law judge with respect to the quality of medical practice carried out by physician’s and surgeon’s certificate holders.

5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked or suspended for a period not to exceed one year; or the licensee may be placed on probation and may be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring; or may have any other such action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.

6. Section 2234 of the Code states in pertinent part:

   "The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

   "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].

   "(b) Gross negligence.

   "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

\(^1\) The term “Board” means the Medical Board of California. “Division of Medical Quality” shall also be deemed to refer to the Board.
"(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for
that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

"(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that
constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a
reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the
applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the
standard of care.

"(d) Incompetence.

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially
related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."

7. Section 726 of the Code states:

"The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client,
or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any
person licensed under this division, under any initiative act referred to in this division and under
Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 9000) of Division 3.

"This section shall not apply to sexual contact between a physician and surgeon and his or
her spouse or person in an equivalent domestic relationship when that physician and surgeon
provides medical treatment, other than psychotherapeutic treatment, to his or her spouse or person
in an equivalent domestic relationship."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

8. Respondent is 48 years old and a board certified orthopedic surgeon. He treated
patient CA\(^2\) and her two children through his affiliation with the University of California, San
Francisco.

\(^2\) Patient initials will be used to protect the identity of the patients. Respondent can learn
the identity of the patients through discovery.
9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 2234(b), in that Respondent engaged in a sexual relationship with patient CA. Respondent was also the doctor to CA’s two minor children. The circumstances are as follows:

10. CA and her family relocated from California to Europe in October 2004; however, CA elected to have her families major medical issues treated by doctors in the United States, including Respondent.

11. On or about April 20, 2005, CA and her husband were referred to Respondent in order to assess and treat their daughter’s (SA) possible hip dysplasia. SA was born in January 2005. Respondent treated SA with non-surgical methods for approximately two years; however, CA and her husband eventually elected to have Respondent perform surgery to treat SA’s hip dysplasia.

12. At some point during Respondent’s treatment of SA, CA mentioned to Respondent that she also had hip pain. On or about July 15, 2005, Respondent ordered a roentgenogram (a radiographic x-ray) for CA in order to diagnose hip dysplasia. That same day, Respondent reviewed the test results and determined that CA did in fact have hip dysplasia. Respondent suggested either a hip replacement or periacetabular osteotomy surgery. Respondent noted in CA’s medical file that she needed more time to process the information and he would see her at her daughter’s follow-up appointment in six months.

13. On or about September 29, 2006, Respondent noted in SA’s medical records that, along with treating SA, he also diagnosed CA as having hip dysplasia following a roentgenogram he viewed during SA’s previous appointment and that both patients needed the same surgery.

14. On or about February 6, 2007, Respondent performed surgery on SA’s left hip. SA continued to see Respondent for follow-up care.

15. During SA’s appointments with Respondent, he would focus more attention to CA, ask her questions about her life, and took a general interest in her. Respondent also began talking about his own personal and family life to CA. Respondent began calling CA himself to schedule appointments rather than having his medical staff call. Respondent also requested that CA try to
schedule her pre-operative and post-operative appointments for the end of the day on Fridays at
the Marin County office.

16. On or about March 30, 2007, Respondent met with CA to discuss her October 2005
x-rays and possible treatment options. CA indicated she needed additional time to consider her
options and would contact Respondent when her family returned from Europe.

17. On or about February 14, 2008, Respondent performed surgery on SA’s right hip.

18. On or about April 1, 2008, Respondent performed a periacetabular osteotomy\(^3\) on
CA.

19. On or about April 18, 2008, Respondent met with CA for a post-surgery follow-up.
Respondent noted no problems and requested another follow-up within six weeks.

20. On or about May 7, 2008, Respondent phoned CA at home and said that he could not
believe she was leaving to go back to Europe and that he was hoping to have more time with her.
CA was not able to speak with Respondent on the phone at that time, but later that day they texted
back and forth. Before CA left for Europe she gave Respondent her email address and they began
emailing one another.

21. On or about June 26, 2008, CA came back from Europe for a post-surgical follow-up
appointment with Respondent. Before CA came back to the United States she and Respondent
talked on the phone about the visit and that Respondent said he would arrange for his family to be
gone for the weekend. Immediately on entering the medical office, Respondent began hugging
and kissing CA. At one point, Respondent was only wearing underwear and CA was in her shirt
and underwear. While they were both partially clothed, Respondent examined CA’s range of
motion of her hips. Respondent indicated in CA’s medical record that while the x-rays showed
less bone than he expected, overall, her condition was improved. He ordered CA to continue
physical therapy and to follow-up in September/October 2008 with x-rays. At the end of the visit,
Respondent asked CA to go to his house later that afternoon. During Respondent’s April 30,

\(^3\) A periacetabular osteotomy is a hip preserving procedure performed to correct a
congenital deficiency of the acetabulum [hip ball socket] from acetabular dysplasia.
2012 interview with a Medical Board investigator, he admitted to kissing and hugging CA in his office.

22. Later that afternoon, CA went to Respondent’s house where they had sexual intercourse. Respondent then cooked dinner and they talked. CA and Respondent saw each other several more times over the weekend before she traveled back to Europe. Respondent requested to drive CA to the airport, but she refused. Respondent appeared at the airport on the day CA was scheduled to depart back to Europe. Respondent told CA that they were meant to be together and he imagined what it would be like if they were married.

23. Respondent and CA continued to talk via email daily while she was in Europe, including about her medical recovery. They planned a trip to meet in London in August 2008.

24. Approximately four weeks before CA was set to meet Respondent in London she told him that she was pregnant with her third child. Respondent met CA in London on August 20, 2008 through August 22, 2008. Respondent admits they engaged in sexual intercourse during this trip.

25. Because of complications during her pregnancy, CA came back to the United States, but she and Respondent did not speak for six to eight weeks.

26. CA’s son (JPA) was born in April 2009. Within three weeks of his birth, Respondent examined him to determine if he had any genetic hip dysplasia problems similar to his mother and sister. During this visit, Respondent and CA engaged in oral sex while her son was in his car seat in the hallway of the office.

27. Sometime in January 2010, CA became concerned that her son was suffering from developmental issues and asked Respondent to examine him. On or about January 11, 2010, Respondent examined JPA. JPA’s medical records indicate Respondent and CA discussed JPA’s condition at length, including a possible diagnosis of cerebral palsy. Respondent referred JPA to a neurologist for further testing.

28. Respondent and CA continued their romantic relationship until approximately March 12, 2010, when Respondent accidentally called his wife on his cell phone while he was meeting with CA. The relationship between Respondent and CA ended at or about this time.
29. Respondent's acts and/or omissions with respect to patient CA constitute cause for disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 2234(b) [gross negligence] of the Code in that: Respondent engaged in a sexual relationship with CA; Respondent engaged in a sexual relationship with a key third party (CA) of his patients SA and JPA.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
(Sexual Misconduct)

30. The allegations from Paragraphs 8 through 28 above, are herein incorporated by reference as fully set forth.

31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 726 of the Code in that Respondent engaged in sexual relations and/or sexual misconduct with patient CA.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G86439, issued to Mohammad Diab, M.D.

2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Mohammad Diab, M.D.'s authority to supervise physicians assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;

3. Ordering Mohammad Diab, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 6/10/2013

KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER
Interim Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant