BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)
Leonor Alcaraz Ordnez, M.D.)) MBC File # 800-2015-016477
Physician's & Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353)))
Responde	nt.)

ORDER CORRECTING NUNC PRO TUNC CLERICAL ERROR IN "PAGE NUMBERING" OF DECISION

On its own motion, the Medical Board of California (hereafter "board") finds that there is a clerical error in the "page numbering" of the Decision in the above-entitled matter and that such clerical error should be corrected so that the page numbers are correct as shown.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that page 22 of the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order in the above-entitled matter be and hereby is amended and corrected nunc pro tunc as of the date of entry of the decision to read as page 21.

June 30, 2017

Michelle Anne Bholat,

Chair Panel B

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation)	•
Against:)	•
)	
)	
Leonor Alcaraz Ordonez, M.D.)	Case No. 800-2015-016477
)	
Physician's and Surgeon's)	
Certificate No. A 82353)	
)	
Respondent)	
<u>-</u>		·

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 28, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED: June 30, 2017.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Michelle Anne Bholat, M.D., Chair

Nucleally Anne Bart MAP

Panel B

1	Xavier Becerra		
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL J. YUN		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 292587		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9453 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant	•	
9			
10		RE THE	
11	DEPARTMENT OF C	O OF CALIFORNIA CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
12	STATE OF C	LALIFURNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2015-016477	
14	LEONOR ALCARAZ ORDONEZ, M.D.	OAH No. 2017020139	
15	1662 Picket Fence Drive Chula Vista, CA 91915	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
17	A 82353		
18	Respondent.	,	
19	,		
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE	REED by and between the parties to the above-	
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	Kimberly Kirchmeyer (complainant)	is the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
24	of California (Board). She brought this action so	olely in her official capacity and is represented in	
25	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General	of the State of California, by Michael J. Yun,	
26	Deputy Attorney General.		
27	111		
28	111		
		1	

- 2. Respondent Leonor Alcaraz Ordonez, M.D. (respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Robert W. Frank Esq., whose address is: 1010 Second Ave., Ste. 2500 San Diego, CA 92101-4959.
- 3. On or about March 19, 2003, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 to Leonor Alcaraz Ordonez, M.D. (respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2015-016477, and will expire on September 30, 2018, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2015-016477 was filed before the Medical Board of California, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 23, 2017. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2015-016477 is attached as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and fully understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2015-016477, and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order on her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353, and has fully reviewed and discussed same with her attorney of record, Robert W. Frank, Esq.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation No. 800-2015-016477; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, and other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by her attorney of record, Robert W. Frank, Esq. Respondent, having the benefit of

counsel, hereby voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every one of the rights set forth and/or referenced above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 800-2015-016477.
- 9. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, respondent fully understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time that the Board considers and acts upon it.
- and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the Board may receive oral and written communication from its staff and/or the Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Board, in its discretion, does not approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should the Board reject this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for any reason, respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was

prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may be used, including copies of the signatures of the parties, in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 issued to respondent Leonor Alcaraz Ordonez, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for six (6) years from the effective date of the Decision on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - TOTAL RESTRICTION</u> Respondent shall not order, prescribe, dispense, administer, furnish, or possess any controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act.

Respondent shall not issue an oral or written recommendation or approval to a patient or a patient's primary caregiver for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5.

If respondent forms the medical opinion, after an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, that a patient's medical condition may benefit from the use of marijuana, respondent shall so inform the patient and shall refer the patient to another physician who, following an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, may independently issue a

medically appropriate recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. In addition, respondent shall inform the patient or the patient's primary caregiver that respondent is prohibited from issuing a recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient and that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver may not rely on respondent's statements to legally possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient. Respondent shall fully document in the patient's chart that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver was so informed. Nothing in this condition prohibits respondent from providing the patient or the patient's primary caregiver information about the possible medical benefits resulting from the use of marijuana.

- 2. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES SURRENDER OF DEA PERMIT</u> Respondent is prohibited from practicing medicine until respondent provides documentary proof to the Board or its designee that respondent's DEA permit has been surrendered to the Drug Enforcement Administration for cancellation, together with any state prescription forms and all controlled substances order forms. Thereafter, respondent shall not reapply for a new DEA permit without the prior written consent of the Board or its designee.
- 3. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES ABSTAIN FROM USE</u> Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, dangerous drugs as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4022, and any drugs requiring a prescription. This prohibition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to respondent by another practitioner for a bona fide illness or condition.

Within 15 calendar days of receiving any lawfully prescribed medications, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee of the: issuing practitioner's name, address, and telephone number; medication name, strength, and quantity; and issuing pharmacy name, address, and telephone number.

- 4. <u>ALCOHOL ABSTAIN FROM USE</u> Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.
- 5. **PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE** Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in

addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

7. **PSYCHOTHERAPY** Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval the name and qualifications of a California-licensed board certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist who has a doctoral degree in psychology and at least five years of postgraduate experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders. Upon approval, respondent shall undergo and continue psychotherapy treatment, including any modifications to the frequency of psychotherapy, until the Board or its designee deems that no further psychotherapy is necessary.

The psychotherapist shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information the psychotherapist deems relevant and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Respondent shall cooperate in providing the psychotherapist any information and documents that the psychotherapist may deem pertinent.

Respondent shall have the treating psychotherapist submit quarterly status reports to the Board or its designee. The Board or its designee may require respondent to undergo psychiatric evaluations by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist. If, prior to the completion of probation, respondent is found to be mentally unfit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over respondent's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Board determines that respondent is mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions.

Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychotherapy and psychiatric evaluations.

8. MONITORING - PRACTICE Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation. Respondent shall make all records, including but not limited to treatment records from home health visits, available for immediate inspection and copying by the monitor at all times during business hours at Integrated Healthcare Alliance, located at 7801 Mission Center Dr., #250, San Diego, CA 92108. In case of a change in employer, respondent shall immediately communicate in writing to the Board or its designee the address for the office location of the new employer where respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying by the monitor during business hours.

If respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of respondent's performance, indicating whether respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether respondent is practicing medicine safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program approved in advance by the Board or its designee that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at respondent's expense during the term of probation.

25 | /// 26 | /// 27 | ///

2.1

28 ///

///

UNIFORM STANDARDS FOR SUBSTANCE-ABUSING LICENSEES

9. NOTICE OF EMPLOYER OR SUPERVISOR INFORMATION Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall provide to the Board the names, physical addresses, mailing addresses, and telephone numbers of any and all employers and supervisors. Respondent shall also provide specific, written consent for the Board, respondent's worksite monitor, and respondent's employers and supervisors to communicate regarding respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring.

For purposes of this section, "supervisors" shall include the Chief of Staff and Health or Well Being Committee Chair, or equivalent, if applicable, when respondent has medical staff privileges.

biological fluid testing, at respondent's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall make daily contact with the Board or its designee to determine whether biological fluid testing is required. Respondent shall be tested on the date of the notification as directed by the Board or its designee. The Board may order a respondent to undergo a biological fluid test on any day, at any time, including weekends and holidays. Except when testing on a specific date as ordered by the Board or its designee, the scheduling of biological fluid testing shall be done on a random basis. The cost of biological fluid testing shall be borne by respondent.

During the first year of probation, respondent shall be subject to 52 to 104 random tests. During the second year of probation and for the duration of the probationary term, up to five (5) years, respondent shall be subject to 36 to 104 random tests per year. Only if there has been no positive biological fluid tests in the previous five (5) consecutive years of probation, may testing be reduced to one (1) time per month. Nothing precludes the Board from increasing the number of random tests to the first-year level of frequency for any reason.

Prior to practicing medicine, respondent shall contract with a laboratory or service,

approved in advance by the Board or its designee, that will conduct random, unannounced, observed, biological fluid testing and meets all of the following standards:

- (a) Its specimen collectors are either certified by the Drug and Alcohol Testing Industry Association or have completed the training required to serve as a collector for the United States Department of Transportation.
- (b) Its specimen collectors conform to the current United States Department of Transportation Specimen Collection Guidelines.
- (c) Its testing locations comply with the Urine Specimen Collection Guidelines published by the United States Department of Transportation without regard to the type of test administered.
- (d) Its specimen collectors observe the collection of testing specimens.
- (e) Its laboratories are certified and accredited by the United States Department of Health and Human Services.
- (f) Its testing locations shall submit a specimen to a laboratory within one (1) business day of receipt and all specimens collected shall be handled pursuant to chain of custody procedures. The laboratory shall process and analyze the specimens and provide legally defensible test results to the Board within seven (7) business days of receipt of the specimen. The Board will be notified of non-negative results within one (1) business day and will be notified of negative test results within seven (7) business days.
- (g) Its testing locations possess all the materials, equipment, and technical expertise necessary in order to test respondent on any day of the week.
- (h) Its testing locations are able to scientifically test for urine, blood, and hair specimens for the detection of alcohol and illegal and controlled substances.
- (i) It maintains testing sites located throughout California.
- (j) It maintains an automated 24-hour toll-free telephone system and/or a secure on-line computer database that allows the Respondent to check in daily for testing.
- (k) It maintains a secure, HIPAA-compliant website or computer system that allows staff

///

access to drug test results and compliance reporting information that is available 24 hours a day.

- (1) It employs or contracts with toxicologists that are licensed physicians and have knowledge of substance abuse disorders and the appropriate medical training to interpret and evaluate laboratory biological fluid test results, medical histories, and any other information relevant to biomedical information.
- (m) It will not consider a toxicology screen to be negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if respondent holds a valid prescription for the substance.

Prior to changing testing locations for any reason, including during vacation or other travel, alternative testing locations must be approved by the Board and meet the requirements above.

The contract shall require that the laboratory directly notify the Board or its designee of non-negative results within one (1) business day and negative test results within seven (7) business days of the results becoming available. Respondent shall maintain this laboratory or service contract during the period of probation.

A certified copy of any laboratory test result may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and respondent.

If a biological fluid test result indicates respondent has used, consumed, ingested, or administered to herself a prohibited substance, the Board shall order respondent to cease practice and instruct respondent to leave any place of work where respondent is practicing medicine or providing medical services. The Board shall immediately notify all of respondent's employers, supervisors and work monitors, if any, that respondent may not practice medicine or provide medical services while the cease-practice order is in effect.

A biological fluid test will not be considered negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the practitioner holds a valid prescription for the substance. If no prohibited substance use exists, the Board shall lift the cease-practice order within one (1) business day.

After the issuance of a cease-practice order, the Board shall determine whether the positive biological fluid test is in fact evidence of prohibited substance use by consulting with the

specimen collector and the laboratory, communicating with the licensee, her treating physician(s), other health care provider, or group facilitator, as applicable.

For purposes of this condition, the terms "biological fluid testing" and "testing" mean the acquisition and chemical analysis of a respondent's urine, blood, breath, or hair.

For purposes of this condition, the term "prohibited substance" means an illegal drug, a lawful drug not prescribed or ordered by an appropriately licensed health care provider for use by respondent and approved by the Board, alcohol, or any other substance respondent has been instructed by the Board not to use, consume, ingest, or administer to herself.

If the Board confirms that a positive biological fluid test is evidence of use of a prohibited substance, respondent has committed a major violation, as defined in section 1361.52(a), and the Board shall impose any or all of the consequences set forth in section 1361.52(b), in addition to any other terms or conditions the Board determines are necessary for public protection or to enhance respondent's rehabilitation.

of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for its prior approval, the name of a substance abuse support group which he or she shall attend for the duration of probation. Respondent shall attend substance abuse support group meetings at least once per week, or as ordered by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall pay all substance abuse support group meeting costs.

The facilitator of the substance abuse support group meeting shall have a minimum of three (3) years experience in the treatment and rehabilitation of substance abuse, and shall be licensed or certified by the state or nationally certified organizations. The facilitator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with respondent within the last five (5) years. Respondent's previous participation in a substance abuse group support meeting led by the same facilitator does not constitute a prohibited current or former financial, personal, or business relationship.

The facilitator shall provide a signed document to the Board or its designee showing respondent's name, the group name, the date and location of the meeting, respondent's

attendance, and respondent's level of participation and progress. The facilitator shall report any unexcused absence by respondent from any substance abuse support group meeting to the Board, or its designee, within twenty-four (24) hours of the unexcused absence.

thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a worksite monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physician and surgeon, other licensed health care professional if no physician and surgeon is available, or, as approved by the Board or its designee, a person in a position of authority who is capable of monitoring respondent at work.

The worksite monitor shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or familial relationship with respondent, or any other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render impartial and unbiased reports to the Board or its designee. If it is impractical for anyone but respondent's employer to serve as the worksite monitor, this requirement may be waived by the Board or its designee, however, under no circumstances shall respondent's worksite monitor be an employee or supervisee of the licensee.

The worksite monitor shall have an active unrestricted license with no disciplinary action within the last five (5) years, and shall sign an affirmation that he or she has reviewed the terms and conditions of respondent's disciplinary order and agrees to monitor respondent as set forth by the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall pay all worksite monitoring costs.

The worksite monitor shall have face-to-face contact with respondent in the work environment on as frequent a basis as determined by the Board or its designee, but not less than once per week; interview other staff in the office regarding respondent's behavior, if requested by the Board or its designee; and review respondent's work attendance.

The worksite monitor shall verbally report any suspected substance abuse to the Board and respondent's employer or supervisor within one (1) business day of occurrence. If the suspected substance abuse does not occur during the Board's normal business hours, the verbal report shall be made to the Board or its designee within one (1) hour of the next business day. A written

report that includes the date, time, and location of the suspected abuse; respondent's actions; and any other information deemed important by the worksite monitor shall be submitted to the Board or its designee within 48 hours of the occurrence.

The worksite monitor shall complete and submit a written report monthly or as directed by the Board or its designee which shall include the following: (1) respondent's name and Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number; (2) the worksite monitor's name and signature; (3) the worksite monitor's license number, if applicable; (4) the location or location(s) of the worksite; (5) the dates respondent had face-to-face contact with the worksite monitor; (6) the names of worksite staff interviewed, if applicable; (7) a report of respondent's work attendance; (8) any change in respondent's behavior and/or personal habits; and (9) any indicators that can lead to suspected substance abuse by respondent. Respondent shall complete any required consent forms and execute agreements with the approved worksite monitor and the Board, or its designee, authorizing the Board, or its designee, and worksite monitor to exchange information.

If the worksite monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within five (5) calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within fifteen (15) calendar days. If respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within sixty (60) calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

///

///

 \parallel ///

///

///

///

///

13.	VI	OLATION OF PROBATION CONDITION FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSING
<u>LICENSE</u>	<u>ES</u>	Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of
probation.		

- A. If respondent commits a major violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (a), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:
- (1) Issue an immediate cease-practice order and order respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at respondent's expense. The cease-practice order issued by the Board or its designee shall state that respondent must test negative for at least a month of continuous biological fluid testing before being allowed to resume practice. For purposes of determining the length of time a respondent must test negative while undergoing continuous biological fluid testing following issuance of a cease-practice order, a month is defined as thirty calendar (30) days. Respondent may not resume the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Board or its designee that she may do so.
 - (2) Increase the frequency of biological fluid testing.
- (3) Refer respondent for further disciplinary action, such as suspension, revocation, or other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- B. If respondent commits a minor violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (c), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:
 - (1) Issue a cease-practice order;
 - (2) Order practice limitations;
 - (3) Order or increase supervision of respondent;
 - (4) Order increased documentation;
 - (5) Issue a citation and fine, or a warning letter;
 - (6) Order respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in

accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at respondent's expense;

- (7) Take any other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- C. Nothing in this Decision shall be considered a limitation on the Board's authority to revoke respondent's probation if she has violated any term or condition of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

STANDARD CONDITIONS

14. **NOTIFICATION** Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 15. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED</u>

 PRACTICE NURSES During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.
- 16. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

27 | ///

28 | ///

17. **QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS** Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

18. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

 \parallel ///

28 | ///

- 19. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Board's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a respondent residing outside of California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- 21. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 22. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 23. **LICENSE SURRENDER** Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request to surrender her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 24. **PROBATION MONITORING COSTS** Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

//.

28 | ///

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Robert W. Frank Esq. I fully understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 5/25/17 Seow a. Ordonez

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with respondent Leonor Alcaraz Ordonez, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

13 DATED: 5 26 - ()

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ROBERT W. FRANK ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: 5/26/17

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M, ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General

MICHAEL J. YUN
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2016702528 81687939.doc

22

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER (800-2015-016477)

Exhibit 1

Accusation No. 800-2015-016477

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 Kamala D. Harris MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA Attorney General of California SACRAMENTO Vec. 23 20 Ve 2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ R. Firdaus ANALYST Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 MICHAEL J. YUN Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 292587 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 5 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9453 7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 8 Attorneys for Complainant 9 10 BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 Case No. 800-2015-016477 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 14 LEONOR ALCARAZ ORDONEZ, M.D. ACCUSATION 1662 Picket Fence Drive 15 Chula Vista, CA 91915 16 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353, 17 18 Respondent. 19 Complainant alleges: 20 21 **PARTIES** Kimberly Kirchmeyer (complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official 22 1. capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer 23 Affairs, State of California. 24 On or about March 19, 2003, the Medical Board (Board) issued Physician's and 2. 25 Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 to Leonor Alcaraz Ordonez, M.D. (respondent). The 26 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 27 charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2018. 28 ACCUSATION (800-2015-016477)

1	li	
2		Į
3		I
4		S
5	 	t
6		I
7		J
8		5
9		1
0		ļ
ĺ]
2]
3	1	,

3. On or about December 5, 2016, a stipulated Interim Order Imposing License Restrictions on respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 was issued. The Interim Order required 1) that respondent abstain completely from unlawful use of controlled substances, 2) that she be completely prohibited from prescribing any controlled substances, 3) that she continue to submit to random biological fluid testing, 4) that she continue to enroll and participate in the University of California San Diego's Health Professional Program ("UCSD HPP") and continue to attend her therapy sessions with her psychiatrist and her therapist, 5) that she sign and deliver an authorization to UCSD HPP to release all documents and information related to her enrollment and participation in the monitoring and support services program to the Medical Board of California, 6) that respondent provide a copy of the Interim Order to UCSD HPP and all of her employers, and 7) that respondent sign and deliver an authorization to Affinity Lab to make available and/or provide written copies of all laboratory test results of all drug and/or alcohol testing pertaining to respondent.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Section 118 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

28 ///

6

13

11

14 15

16

17

18 · 19

. 20

21

22

2324

2526

27 28 8. Section 2234 of the Code, states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

. .

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

6 , 77 '* • •

- 9. Section 2236 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

٠.

- "(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."
 - 10. Section 2237 of the Code states:
- "(a) The conviction of a charge of violating any federal statutes or regulations or any statute or regulation of this state, regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section.
- "(b) Discipline may be ordered in accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of
 Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the
 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made

suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

11. Section 2238 of the Code states:

"A violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct."

12. Section 2239 of the Code states:

- "(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.
- "(b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Division of Medical Quality may order discipline of the licensee in accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

.19

III

///

- 13. Section 2242 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 14. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."
 - 15. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Crimes Substantially Related to Qualifications, Functions, or Duties of a Physician and Surgeon)

16. Respondent has subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2236, subdivision (a), of the Code, and under title 16, section 1360 of the California Code of Regulations, in that she has been convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

A. On or about September 2, 2015, Health Quality Investigations Unit (HQIU)'s Investigator T.C. received information over the phone from the San Diego Drug Enforcement Administration (the DEA) Diversion Investigator B.C. regarding respondent's use of her DEA number to prescribe controlled substances, including hydrocodone bitartrate¹ (hydrocodone), to her husband, J.G., and diverting the prescription medication for her personal use.

- B. DEA Investigator B.C. told Investigator T.C. over the phone that she received information from a pharmacy to which respondent was calling in prescriptions using her DEA number and issuing them to J.G. but picking them up herself.
- C. On or about September 2, 2015, Investigator T.C. received a copy of the Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) doctor's prescriber history report for respondent from September 2, 2012 to September 2, 2015. The 87 page report showed fifteen (15) prescriptions made to J.G. for Tylenol with codeine, diazepam and hydrocodone, issued from April 17, 2013 to July 14, 2015.
- D. On or about September 2, 2015, Investigator T.C. contacted and spoke with Medical Director E.L. (Director E.L.) of Imperial Beach Health Center (IBHC), respondent's place of employment. Director E.L. stated that respondent has been employed by IBHC since late 2006 and that she has been on a leave of absence since March 18, 2015.

¹ A Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

² A Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

³ A Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

⁴ A Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

23

24

25

26

27

- E. On or about September 3, 2015, Investigator T.C. received a copy of the CURES patient activity report for J.G. from September 3, 2012, to September 3, 2015. The CURES report revealed fifteen (15) of the seventeen (17) prescriptions issued for J.G., respondent's husband, were prescribed by respondent.
- On or about the morning of September 4, 2015, Investigator T.C. and HQIU Investigator M.P. spoke with respondent on the phone. Respondent stated she has been on medical leave since March 2015, due to depression and anxiety. When asked about her prescribing hydrocodone to her husband in 2015, respondent replied she prescribed it to him because he had suffered a rotator cuff injury in the past. Upon further questioning, respondent admitted that J.G.'s injury took place approximately thirteen (13) years ago and that his last visit to a physician for the same injury was approximately 13 years ago when J.G. and respondent used to live in Philadelphia. Respondent stated that since then J.G. has seen a medical provider in San Diego, but not for his shoulder injury. Respondent told the investigators that J.G. was complaining about pain in his shoulder and that it was the reason for her writing him a prescription for hydrocodone beginning in January 2015, consisting of 90 pills per prescription. Respondent further stated one (1) pill would be taken every six (6) hours. Respondent has prescribed her husband hydrocodone on a total of nine (9) occasions between January 2015 and July 2015, each of the nine (9) prescriptions consisting of 90 pills. In addition, respondent prescribed J.G. 90 pills of Diazepam at 10 mg strength on January 1, 2015. Respondent told the investigators that she renewed the prescription about one to two times a month and alleged the medication was finished at such intervals.
- G. When asked about her use of hydrocodone, respondent told the investigators that she began taking J.G.'s pills around April 2015, taking approximately two to three (2-3) pills a day. Respondent stated that she was suffering from migraines and that she used J.G.'s medication to help relieve them. Respondent further stated that she peaked using at about four (4) pills of hydrocodone a day. According to

respondent, her husband knew about her use of his medication and asked her to stop using it. Respondent stated she stopped prescribing the pills in July 2015.

Respondent stated she then took Clonidine⁵ to help her wean off of Norco.⁶

Respondent told the investigators that the DEA had contacted her and ordered her to surrender her hydrocodone pills by September 3, 2015. Respondent further stated that she continued to take the hydrocodone pills until the morning of September 3, 2015, just before surrendering them to the DEA. Finally, respondent told the investigators during the same telephone conversation that she had an appointment on the next day to see Dr. Y.C. for respondent's drug addiction. Respondent also stated she has seen multiple doctors at the time including: R.F., M.F.T. for her depression and anxiety; Dr. N.C. for depression and anxiety; and D.P., N.P. for her migraines.

H. Later that day on or about September 4, 2015, T.C., HQIU Investigator A.M., and Investigator M.P. met with respondent and J.G. at their residence located in Chula Vista, California. Respondent signed authorizations for release of records from D.P., N.P., Dr. N.C., Dr. Y.C., and R.F., M.F.T. Respondent also signed the Voluntary Agreement for Mental and Physical Examination. J.G. also signed a release for his medical records from respondent. Upon further questioning regarding J.G.'s medical records, respondent stated she has no records for J.G.

I. Prior to the end of the in-person interview, respondent consented to a voluntary urine sample. Investigator A.M. collected respondent's urine sample and Investigator M.P. filled out and completed the Alere Custody and Control Form. The sample was sent via FedEx to Alere Toxicology on the same day. After providing the urine

⁵ A sympatholytic medication used to treat high blood pressure, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, anxiety disorders, withdrawal (from either alcohol, opioids, or smoking), migraine, menopausal flushing, diarrhea, and certain pain conditions.

⁶ A brand name for acetaminophen and hydrocodone bitartrate, a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

sample, respondent stated her urine would test positive for opiates⁷ and benzodiazepines.⁸

J. Also on or about September 4, 2015, Investigators T.C. and M.P. went to CVS Pharmacy #9113 located at 645 East Palomar Road, Chula Vista, 91911. They spoke to a registered pharmacist, B.W., about respondent's prescription. B.W. said his pharmacy tech noticed the patient and physician had the same address. B.W. further said he contacted IBHC to verify J.G. as a patient and was told there was no record of J.G. as a patient with IBHC. B.W. stated he noticed something was wrong when he checked the chart and the insurance card of J.G., and verified that J.G. was the spouse of respondent. B.W. also said that, in July 2015, he personally saw respondent waiting for a prescription to be filled for J.G. at the same pharmacy. B.W. stated he confronted respondent at that time and asked if she was the prescribing physician for the medication she was picking up. Respondent replied no, took the prescription, walked out of the store and has not returned since. B.W. notified the San Diego County Sheriff's Department of his findings during the incident.

K. On or about September 4, 2015, B.W. provided Investigators T.C. and M.P. with a copy of J.G.'s CVS patient profile from May 2012 to August 2015 and a copy of respondent's CVS patient profile from July 2012 to August 2015. B.W. also provided them with five (5) copies of the prescriptions prescribed by respondent to J.G. The original prescriptions had already been seized by the DEA. The five (5) prescriptions revealed the following:

Date	Drug	Dosage	Prescriber	Pickup Date
3/16/2015	Norco 10/375	90	Leonor Ordonez	3/16/2015
5/8/2015	Norco 10/375	90	Leonor Ordonez	5/9/2015
6/19/2015	Norco 10/375	90	Leonor Ordonez	6/19/2015
7/1/2015	Norco 10/375	90	Leonor Ordonez	7/1/2015

⁷ Drugs with morphine like effects, derived from opium; they are analgesic alkaloid compounds found naturally in the opium poppy plant Papaver somniferum. The psychoactive compounds found in the opium plant include morphine, codeine, and thebaine.

⁸ A Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

.					·
	7/14/2015	Norco 10/375	90	Leonor Ordonez	7/14/2015
$1 \parallel$					
2	In addition to	the five (5) copies o	f the aforementi	oned prescriptions, Inve	estigator
3	T.C. seized t	wo (2) original prescr	iptions prescribe	ed by respondent to J.G	. They were
4	impounded a	s evidence. The addi	tional prescripti	ons revealed the follow	ing:
5			•		·
_	Date	Drug	Dosage	Prescriber	Pickup Date
6	1/5/2015	Norco 10/375	90	Leonor Ordonez	1/7/2015
7	2/23/2015	Norco 10/375	90	Leonor Ordonez	2/23/2015
8		-	_	r M.P. received a CURE en (18) prescriptions in	_
10	-		•	ribed by D.P., N.P. fron	
11		une 25, 2015.			
11					
12	M. On or about September 11, 2015, Investigator M.P. received the Alere Drug				ere Drug
13	Test Report	for respondent's urine	e sample. Accor	ding to the report, respo	ondent tested
14	positive for e	extended benzodiazep	oines ¹² (four type	es), extended opiates ¹³ a	and
15	oxycodone ¹⁴	and/or oxymorphone	e, ¹⁵ indicating the	ese drugs were above th	e cut off
16	levels. Base	d on the positive resu	lts, the drug clas	ss metabolites revealed	the
17				,	17.0.1
18	An opioid Code section 4022		t is a dangerous	drug pursuant to Busine	ess and Professions
19	¹⁰ A Sched	ule IV controlled sub	stance pursuant	to Health and Safety Co less and Professions Co	de section 11057,
20					
21	subdivision (d), an	ule IV controlled sub- id a dangerous drug p	stance pursuant ursuant to Busin	to Health and Safety Co less and Professions Co	de section 4022.
22	¹² A Sched	ule IV controlled sub	stance pursuant	to Health and Safety Co	de section 11057,
23				ness and Professions Co	
24	compounds found	naturally in the opiur	n poppy plant Pa	m opium; they are analg apaver somniferum. Th , codeine, and thebaine.	e psychoactive
25	compounds round	m me opium piam m	crade morbinie	, codenic, and incomic.	

¹⁴ A Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

26

27

28

¹⁵ A Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

///

benzodiazepine of clonazepam/temazepam and opiates of hydrocodone / hydromorphone / morphine / oxazepam / oxycodone / oxymorphone.

- N. On or about September 11, 2015, Investigator M.P. spoke to J.G. J.G. told the investigator that he spoke to respondent regarding his shoulder pain, that respondent gave him a range of motion exam and rotator cuff test at home, not in a medical office, and that no records were documented. J.G. stated respondent prescribed him hydrocodone and that the prescription was for ninety (90) pills where one (1) was to be taken, twice a day.
- O. On or about September 22, 2015, Investigator M.P. sent requests for records authorizations with releases signed by respondent to Dr. Y.C., Dr. N.C., D.P., N.P., and R.F., M.F.T. via certified mail.
- P. On or about September 21, 2015, Investigator M.P. received a letter stating that respondent is withdrawing her signatures from all forms she had previously signed. She alleged that she was forced to sign them, particularly a form regarding her DEA license. Respondent alleged she was under duress when she signed the forms and that she was interviewed through intimidation tactics by the DEA.
- Q. On or about September 21, 2015, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Leonor Ordonez*, Case No. SCD263738, the San Diego County District Attorney's Office charged respondent with twenty (20) Felony counts, consisting of six (6) counts of Penal Code section 550(a)(5) [Insurance Make Writing for False Claim], six (6) counts of Penal Code section 550(b)(1) [Insurance Presenting False Information Supporting Claim], seven (7) counts of Health and Safety Code section 11173(a) [Obtaining Prescription by Fraud/Deceit], and one (1) count of Health and Safety Code section 11368 [Obtaining a Narcotic by a Forged Prescription].
- R. On or about September 22, 2015, Investigator M.P. received certified copies of the CURES Patient Activity Reports for respondent and J.G.

S.	On or about November 17, 2015, Investigator M.P. received a copy of a minute
order	reflecting a Superior Court order in respondent's criminal case. The minute
order	stated that respondent cannot prescribe medication until her next readiness
heari	ng on December 14, 2015.

- T. On or about December 7, 2015, Investigator M.P. received a copy of the certification of records for patient J.G. from Attorney Frank on behalf of respondent. The certification was signed and dated by respondent on December 7, 2015, and reported there were no records kept for J.G.
- U. On or about December 14, 2015, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Leonor Ordonez*, Superior Court Case No. SCD263738, respondent pled Guilty and was convicted of counts two and eighteen, violating Penal Code Section 550, subdivision (b), subdivision (1) [Insurance Presenting False Information Supporting Claim] and Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) [Obtaining Prescription by Fraud/Deceit].
- V. On or about January 28, 2016, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Leonor Ordonez*, Superior Court Case No. SCD263738, respondent was sentenced to three (3) years Formal probation and was ordered as part of probation to waive her 4th amendment right.
- W. On or about March 9, 2016, respondent underwent a physical evaluation by Lee Ralph, M.D., who opined that respondent is physically able to safely practice medicine under the condition of respondent continuing to receive outpatient substance abuse treatment and psychiatric and psychological counseling.
- X. On or about June 21, 2016, respondent underwent a mental evaluation from David Sheffner, M.D., who opined the following, in summary:
 - "Dr. Ordonez suffered from Opioid Use Disorder. The nature and magnitude of this disorder would render her impaired/unsafe to practice medicine while under the influence of the abuse of such drugs.

Conversely, she is currently in remission, and when substance-free, she is not impaired/unsafe to engage in the practice of medicine. The same can be said of her past alcohol abuse.

"Dr. Ordonez requires continued treatment/a program and objective monitoring in order to prevent re-addiction, and her ability to practice medicine safely. Her quite substantial constructive efforts in this regard and her current appreciation of her past addiction and the necessity for a long-term program are favorable prognostic signs.

"While Dr. Ordonez has suffered from an anxiety and depressive order, these symptoms have not been of the nature or magnitude to render her impaired/unsafe to practice medicine safely (she noted in 2015 that depressive symptomatology made it 'very difficult' for her to do her work, but I have no data to indicate that she did not meet such a challenge. These psychiatric symptoms are now improved; she's been receiving the indicated psychiatric treatment)."

Y. On or about July 29, 2016, Dr. Sheffner provided the following opinion, in summary:

"Dr. Ordonez would be deemed safe to practice medicine if she continues to participate in programs to treat her addiction. Dr. Ordonez would need to provide objective confirmation that she is continuing in the programs to treat her addiction which would include monitoring and random drug testing. Dr. Ordonez's participation in these programs as well as monitoring and random drug testing will be a layer of safety which is needed for Dr. Ordonez to be considered safe to practice medicine.

"Dr. Ordonez has potential for re-addiction if she does not participate in the treatment programs which will make her unsafe to practice medicine. Dr. Ordonez needs to be in continued care and monitoring for a couple of years due to the potential of re-addiction. If Dr. Ordonez does not continue with the treatment programs and monitoring, Dr. Sheffner would consider Dr. Ordonez to be unsafe to practice medicine."

Z. Dr. Sheffner also stated that because of the psychoactive effects of abuse of alcohol or opiates, when respondent is abusing such substances, she is not safe to practice medicine. Conversely, in Dr. Sheffner's opinion, if respondent does not suffer any other mental disorder of the nature or magnitude to compromise her ability to practice medicine safely, if she is in remission (i.e. not abusing substances), it follows that respondent is safe to practice medicine. Dr. Sheffner also added that in order for respondent to maintain remission/sobriety, respondent requires continuation of her addiction treatment program. To objectively document that she remains in

remission, random drug/alcohol testing is necessary to ensure the safety of her patients.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Illegal Prescribing of Controlled Substances - Self-Prescribing)

17. Respondent has further subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2237, subdivision (a), and section 2238, of the Code, in that she has illegally prescribed controlled substances by self-prescribing, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16(A) through 16(Z), above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Self Use of Controlled Substances)

18. Respondent has further subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that she has unlawfully used a controlled substance, to wit: hydrocodone, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16(A) through 16(Z), above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest and Corrupt Acts)

19. Respondent has further subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (e), of the Code, and under title 16, section 1360 of the California Code of Regulations, in that she has committed an act or acts involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16(A) through 16(Z), above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

///

, 28

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

20. Respondent has further subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2266 of the Code, in that she has failed to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to her alleged patient J.G., as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16(A) through 16(Z), above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

21. Respondent has further subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 82353 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by sections 2234, subdivisions (a) and (e), 2236, 2237, subdivision (a), 2238, 2239, subdivision (a), and 2242, of the Code, and under title 16, section 1360 of the California Code of Regulations, in that she has engaged in unprofessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 16(A) through 16(Z), above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

/// ///

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 | /// 21 | /// 22 | ///

23 | /// 24 | /// 25 | ///

27 ///

26

PRAYER