BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:))
CECIL A. BRADLEY, M.D.) File No. 12-2004-157064
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C34133)))
Respondent.))
	-
This Decision shall become effectiv	re at 5:00 p.m. on April 2, 2007
IT IS SO ORDERED March 1.	2007 •
	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Cesar A. Aristeiguieta, M.D., Chair

Panel_.A

Division of Medical Quality

1		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General	
2	of the State of California	
3	JOSE R. GUERRERO Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
4	LAWRENCE A. MERCER [SBN 111898] JANE ZACK SIMON [SBN 116564]	
	Deputy Attorneys General	
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102	
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5539 (Mercer) (415) 703-5544 (Simon)	
7	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE THE	OTIAT PEN
11	DIVISION OF MEDICAL MEDICAL BOARD OF CA	LIFORNIA
12	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUN STATE OF CALIFO	
13		
14	To the Metter of the Acquestion Against]
l	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No: 12-2004-157064
15	CECIL A. BRADLEY, M.D. 2512 Samaritan Court, Suite M	OAH No. N2006120096
16	San Jose, CA 95124	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT
17		AND DECISION
18	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate	·
19	No. C34133	
20		1
21	Respondent,	
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	GREED by and between the parties to
23	the above-entitled proceedings, the following:	
24		n, is the Executive Director of the
	•	
25	Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board") and is represented by	
26	Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California and by Lawrence A. Mercer	
27	and Jane Zack Simon, Deputy Attorneys General.	
28	2. Cecil A. Bradley, M.D. ("respond	ent") is represented by Arthur W. Curley

1 /

of Bradley, Curley, Asiano, Barrabee & Crawford, P.C., 1100 Larkspur Landing Circle, Suite 200, Larkspur, CA 94939. Respondent has been advised by his attorney, and is fully aware of the effect of this stipulation.

- 3. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under License No. C34133.
- 4. Accusation No. 12-2004-157064 (hereinafter the "Accusation") was filed before the Division and is currently pending against respondent. The Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents, was duly served on respondent, and respondent filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.
- 5. Respondent has carefully read, been fully advised by his counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the Accusation and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- and allegations in the Accusation, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his license. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights and that, but for this Stipulation, he would be entitled: to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; to be represented by counsel, at his own expense, in all proceedings in this matter; to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against his; to present evidence on his own behalf and to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; to reconsideration and appeal of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. With these rights in mind, respondent freely, voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.
- 8. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest the charges set forth in the Accusation, and agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
 - 9. The admissions made by respondent herein are only for the purposes of this

clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to respondent's specialty or sub-specialty, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Division or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program. Based on respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations. At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not respondent passed the examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding. Respondent shall complete the Program not later than nine months after respondent's initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the clinical training program outlined above is a violation of probation. If respondent fails to successfully complete the clinical training program within the designated time period, respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 72 hours after being notified by the Division or its designee that respondent failed to successfully complete the clinical training program.

In the alternative, respondent may satisfy this condition by a complete and successful re-certification by the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM), according to the standard procedures and protocols of that organization. Said re-certification shall be at respondent's expense, and shall be completed during the first two years of probation. Respondent hereby authorizes any communication deemed necessary between the Division or its designee and/or ASAM. Failure to complete successfully the re-certification outlined above is a violation of probation. If respondent fails to successfully complete the re-certification within the designated time period, respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 72 hours after

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

- 14. **Psychiatric Examination:** Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, the Division or its designee shall provide respondent with a list of 3 boardcertified psychiatrists, and within 30 days thereafter, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee which psychiatrist(s) is acceptable to him. Within 30 days of that notification, and on a whatever periodic basis thereafter may be required by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undergo and complete a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by the appointed psychiatrist, who shall consider any information provided by the Division or designee and any other information the psychiatrist deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Division or its designee. Psychiatric evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of the Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychiatric evaluations and psychological testing. Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating psychiatrist within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Division or its designee. In the event the evaluator recommends psychotherapy, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval the name and qualifications of a treating psychiatrist. Failure to undergo and complete a psychiatric evaluation and psychological testing, or comply with the required additional conditions or restrictions, is a violation of probation.
- 15. **Practice Monitoring**: Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision and Order, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for prior approval as a practice monitor the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Division, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in respondent's field of practice, and

The Division or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision and Accusation and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision and Order, Accusation, and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision and Order and Accusation, fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees with the proposed monitoring plan.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision and Order, and continuing throughout probation, respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Division or its designee which includes an evaluation of respondent's performance, indicating whether respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine and whether respondent is practicing medicine safely.

It shall be the sole responsibility of respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Division or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Division or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and prepared to assume immediate monitoring responsibility. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified by the Division or designee.

Failure to maintain all records, or to make all appropriate records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises, or to comply with this condition as outlined

STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

16. Notification: Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 17. <u>Supervision of Physician Assistants</u>: During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 18. Obey All Laws: Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 19. Quarterly Declarations: Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.
- 20. Probation Unit Compliance: Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b). Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license. Respondent shall immediately inform the Division or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than

22 |

21. <u>Interview with the Division or it's Designee</u>: Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Division or its designee upon request at various intervals and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

Residing or Practicing Out-of-State: In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and Cost Recovery. Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California totals two years. However, respondent's license shall not be canceled as long as respondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

23. <u>Failure to Practice Medicine - California Resident:</u> In the event respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason respondent stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within 30

calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- 24. <u>Completion of Probation</u>: Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 25. <u>Violation of Probation</u>: Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 26. <u>License Surrender</u>: Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntary surrender of respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its

APPROVAL I have fully discussed with respondent the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Decision, and approve its form and content. DATED: 1-30-07 W. CURLEY Bradley, Curley, Asiano, Barrabee & Crawford, P.C. Attorneys for Respondent **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Decision is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs. 1/30/2007 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California Deputy Attorneys General Attorneys for Complainant

1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California LAWRENCE MERCER, State Bar No. 111898	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	JANE ZACK SIMON, State Bar No. 116564 Deputy Attorneys General	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO Que la 2. 20 66
4	California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	BY La Lyis Mode, ANALYST
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5539 (Mercer)	
6	(415) 703-5544 (Simon) Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE T	
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CAL	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 12-2004-157064
12	CECIL A. BRADLEY, M.D.	0450110.12 2501 157001
13	2512 Samaritan Court, Suite M San Jose, CA 95124	ACCUSATION
14	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 34133	
15	Respondent.	
16	•	
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. David T. Thornton (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his	
20	official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California.	
21	2. On or about April 11, 1972, the	he Medical Board of California issued
22	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number C 34133 to Cecil A. Bradley, M.D. (Respondent).	
23	The Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was in full	force and effect at all times relevant to the
24	charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2007, unless renewed. Respondent's	
25	certificate was previously disciplined in 1992, and the	he resulting probation was completed on July
26	30, 2002.	
27	111	
28	111	

ì5

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

- "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence/Negligence/Incompetence)

- 6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 2234 in that respondent was grossly negligent and/or negligent and/or incompetent in the care and treatment of Patient G.D¹. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. At all relevant times, respondent was a licensed physician and surgeon with board certification in psychiatry and was practicing in the County of Santa Clara, California.
- B. On or before September 28, 2000, Patient G.D. came under respondent's care and treatment. G.D. was a 38 year old divorced woman, who had recently been hospitalized at Good Samaritan Hospital (where respondent was assigned as her attending psychiatrist) for treatment of dependency on pain medication and other opiates and who was seeking entry into the Registered Nursing Board Diversion Program. Good Samaritan admission notes indicate that the patient reported abuse of Valium, alcohol and opiates.
- C. Respondent's note of September 28, 2000, incorrectly states that alcohol and Valium had never been a problem for G.D. and he prescribed Valium, 10 mg., to be taken twice daily.
- D. On October 24, 2000, respondent indicated that the patient was taking Valium "3x/day on bad days." Respondent started the patient on Prozac and initiated a trial of Tagamet for medication related weight gain.
- E. On November 21, 2000, respondent reported that "someone called nursing board." He recommended that G.D. enroll in the Diversion Program. He also prescribed

^{1.} Patient and witness names are abbreviated to protect privacy.

F. On December 19, 2000, respondent wrote a letter stating that the patient was able to function safely as a nurse, stating that it would be "'child abuse by proxy', if she is

G.

unable to earn."

benzodiazepines (prescribed by respondent) and ephedrine/pseudoephedrine. Respondent noted that the ephedrine/pseudoephedrine was the result of decongestants and over-the-counter diet medications that the patient was taking.

H. On January 24, 2001, respondent reported that the patient had a "clean"

On December 26, 2000, G.D.'s urine analysis showed positive for

urine tox from 12/26" and did not comment on the patient's use of ephedrine/pseudoephedrine.

- I. In the ensuing months of 2001, respondent appeared to be working with the patient's diversion program, discontinuing her controlled substances and substituting over-the-counter and herbal medications for controlled substances. On June 27, 2000, however, he renewed the patient's Ambien prescription. When the patient's diversion program objected to her use of Ambien, respondent became antagonistic and, on July 24, 2001, wrote: "This is THEIR interference [with] the practice of medicine, and may be reportable by me."
- J. On August 21, 2001, respondent noted that the patient had recently undergone plastic surgery. Respondent's note states that the patient "used Percocet responsibly", but does not reflect any discussion with the patient whether elective cosmetic surgery, with the attendant medication by controlled substances, was appropriate given her participation in a diversion program.
- K. Respondent's chart note for September 12, 2001, records the patient's intent to "'transition' out of diversion," but does not document any encouragement by respondent to remain in diversion.
- L. On January 8, 2001, respondent charted the patient's recent treatment with another physician and the fact that she had been prescribed Vicodin by that practitioner.

 Respondent stated that: "I do not believe this constitutes a relapse."

1///

28 1///

- M. Although respondent was able to manage the patient's condition without controlled substances for the better part of 2002, his level of concern does not appear to acknowledge that the patient had ever had a problem with drug dependency or substance abuse. On April 23, 2002, he notes that the patient has had recent reconstructive surgery, but does not document any concern regarding what narcotics may have been involved in that procedure. On November 14, respondent states that the patient has decided to drop out of diversion, but does not otherwise discuss the patient's marked change. He added Naltrexone, an opiate antagonist, to the patient's medications but stated no reason for it. On December 3, the patient advised that she had received a letter from the Registered Nursing Board' Diversion Program and "[t]hey opine she is a 'danger.' I disagree." Respondent reverted to prescribing Ambien to G.D. without a documented rationale.
- N. On December 19, 2002, respondent prescribed phentermine for weight loss, without a medical indication -- as the patient's weight was within normal range -- and despite the patient's history of stimulant abuse. The phentermine was renewed by him on January 27, 2003, without documented justification. On January 29, respondent also renewed the patient's Ambien prescription.
- O. On January 30, 2003, respondent prescribed Concerta, stating only "[s]on has ADHD and so is she I think." There is no documented work up of the patient for a diagnosis of Attention Deficit Disorder. On February 13, 2003, the patient reported that her son had moved out and taken the Concerta with him. Respondent did not question whether the "lost" medications were possibly being abused by her, but wrote a new prescription at her request. On March 27, 2003, the patient's employer, Dr. B., advised respondent that he was concerned about G.D.'s weight loss and her paranoia. Respondent spoke with G.D., who denied taking phentermine and asserted that she was only taking over-the-counter diet medications. Respondent's record does not state whether he considered this a sign of relapse on her part. Respondent admonished her not to "take any OTC meds unless I clear them." Nevertheless, respondent renewed the patient's Concerta on that date.

- P. On April 22, 2003, respondent had a further report that "she is paranoid, is driving with a loaded gun in the car." He met with the patient "in [the] parking lot, being afraid I'd hospitalize her." Respondent told the patient to stop all medications and to see him again on the following day. The patient failed the next appointment, but was seen by respondent on April 24, 2003, at which time respondent made the determination that she could safely operate a motor vehicle and recommended that she stay off all medications and resume recovery meeting attendance. On April 26, 2003, G.D. was hospitalized for treatment of stimulant-induced psychosis.
- 7. Respondent's license is subject to discipline and respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct in violation of Business and Professions Code §2234(b) and/or (c) and/or (d) in that respondent was grossly negligent and/or repeatedly negligent and/or incompetent in his care and treatment of G.D., including but not limited to the following:
 - A. Respondent prescribed Valium to a patient with a history of substance abuse without conducting and/or documenting a risk/benefit analysis;
 - B. Respondent prescribed Ambien to a patient with a history of substance abuse without conducting and/or documenting a risk/benefit analysis;
 - C. Respondent failed to timely address the patient's resort to and use of overthe-counter medications, despite the patient's history of substance abuse;
 - D. Respondent failed to appropriately manage boundaries in that he developed an antagonism to the patient's diversion program;
 - E. Respondent prescribed Phentermine, a stimulant, without clinical indication to a patient with a history of stimulant abuse;
 - F. Respondent prescribed Concerta, a Schedule II stimulant, to Patient G.D. for a diagnosis of ADHD, without a documented work up for that condition and despite her history of stimulant abuse, and continued to prescribe that medication to her despite a suspect "loss" of the medication and despite a report of very significant weight loss and paranoia.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

_ 1	DISCH LINE CONSIDERATIONS
2	8. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on
3	Respondent, Complainant alleges that, in a prior disciplinary action entitled In the Matter of the
4	Accusation Against Cecil A. Bradley, M.D., Case Number: 031993029006, before the Medical
5	Board of California, respondent's license was revoked and the revocation stayed, subject to four
6	years probation with terms and conditions. Respondent completed his probation to the Board on
7	July 30, 2002. That decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
8	<u>PRAYER</u>
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
10	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:
11	Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number C
12	34133, issued to Cecil A. Bradley, M.D.;
13	2. If respondent is placed on probation, ordering him to pay the costs of
14	probation monitoring;
15	3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Cecil A. Bradley, M.D.'s
16	authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
17	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
18	
19	
20	DATED: July 3, 2006
21	
22	D-18 (Carl)
23	DAVID T. THORNTON Executive Director
24	Medical Board of California State of California
25	Complainant
26	

SF2006401421 40096882.wpd

27