Z

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation)	
Against:)	
) ັ	
EDWARD A. RIDGILL, M.D.)	File No. 06-1997-78021
)	
Physician's and Surgeon's)	
Certificate No. G-40690)	
Responde	nt)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on_		February	7,	2001	٠	
<u>-</u>		•				
IT IS SO ORDERED_	January 8,	2001	•			

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

IRA LUBELL, M.D.

Chair, Panel A

Division of Medical Quality

2. Respondent Edward Ridgill, M.D. ("Respondent") is represented in this proceeding by attorney William K. Hayes, whose address is 729 Mission Street, Suite 300, South Pasadena, Ca 91030.

27

28

3. On or about August 24, 1979, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G40690 to Edward Ridgill, M.D. ("Respondent"). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2000, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation, No. 06-97-78021, was filed before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs, ("Division"), and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents, was duly served on Respondent on June 20, 1999, and Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read and discussed with his counsel the nature of the charges and allegations in the Accusation and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation, the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him, the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf and to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision, and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in the Accusation, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
 - 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in the

Accusation No. 06-97-78021.

2 3

Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject 10. to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Order below.

4 5

CONTINGENCY

6 7

8

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. 11. Respondent understands and agrees that Medical Board of California's staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, except for this paragraph the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

11 12

10

13 14

The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and 12. Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as original Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and signatures.

16

17

18

15

In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties 13. agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

19

20

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

21

22

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G40690 issued to Respondent Edward Ridgill, M.D. is revoked. However the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and

23

conditions. 24

25

26

27

28

Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall 1. provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where

 privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

- 2. <u>PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE</u> Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Prescribing Practices approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.
- 3. <u>RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u> Within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Medical Records Keeping approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.
- 4. ETHICS COURSE Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.
- pass an oral clinical exam in a subject to be designated and administered by the Division, or its designee. This examination shall be taken within ninety (90) days after the effective date of this decision. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and second examinations shall be at least sixty (60) days. If respondent fails to pass the first and second examination, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of nine (9) months. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within eighteen (18) months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. The respondent shall pay the costs of these examinations within ninety (90) days of the administration of each exam. Failure to pay these costs shall constitute a violation of probation.

Respondent shall not practice medicine until respondent has passed the required examination and has been so notified by the Division or its designee in writing. This prohibition

shall not bar respondent from practicing in a clinical training program approved by the Division or its designee. Respondent's practice of medicine shall be restricted only to that which is required by the approved training program.

6. MONITORING Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports to the Division or its designee.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

Respondent is prohibited from engaging in solo practice.

- 7. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 8. QUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- 9. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE
 Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

10. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS

DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the

Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

STATE NON-PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

Any respondent disciplined under Business and Professions Code section 2305 (sister-state discipline) may petition for modification of penalty: 1) if the other state's discipline terms are modified, terminated or reduced; and 2) if at least one year has elapsed from the effective date of the California discipline.

- 12. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- Division the amount of \$4,000 within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation

and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

- 15. PROBATION COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- 16. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney William K. Hayes, I understand the effect this stipulation will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently and agree to be bound by the Disciplinary Order and Decision of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California. I further agree that a facsimile copy of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile copies of signatures, may be

,	used with the same force and effect as the originals.
2	DATED: 11/6/00
3	1 . 2
4	Alwal A Roby I m.D.
5	EDWARD RIDGILL, M.D. Respondent
6	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Edward Ridgill, M.D. the terms
7	and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
8	Order and approve its form and content.
9	
0	DATED: 11/6/00
ı	
2	WILLIAM K HAYES
.3	Attorney for Respondent
4	
5	
6	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
17	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
18	submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of
19	the Department of Consumer Affairs.
20	DATED: 1/29.00
21	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California
22	
23	lindy My
24	CINDY M. LOPEZ Deputy Attorney General
25	Attorneys for Complainant
26	
27	
28	

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California CINDY M. LOPEZ (State Bar No. 119988) Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212

Los Angeles, California 90013-1233

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOAR

Telephone: (213) 897-7373 Attorneys for Complainant

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation 11 Against: 12 EDWARD A. RIDGILL, M.D.

FIRST AMENDED

3737 East Century Boulevard #105 13 Lynwood, California 90262 14

Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G40690,

Respondent.

ACCUSATION

Case No. 06-97-78021

The Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

- Ron Joseph ("Complainant") brings this First 1.. Amended accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board"). This first amended accusation is in addition to and is numbered consecutively to the accusation filed on April 7, 1999.
- 2. On or about August 24, 1979, Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G40690 was issued by the Board to

Edward A. Ridgill, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"). At all times 1 relevant to the charges brought herein, this license has been in full force and effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on 3 September 30, 2000.

2

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

JURISDICTION

- This first amended accusation is brought before 3. the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
 - Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - Section 2234 of the Code provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
 - Gross negligence. (b)
 - Repeated negligent acts. (c)
 - (d) Incompetence.
 - The commission of any act involving dishonesty or (e)

 corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

- (f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- C. Section 2266 of the Code provides that the failure of a physician to maintain adequate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- D. Section 725 of the Code provides that repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon.
 - E. Section 2266 of the Code provides that failure of a physician to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.
 - F. Section 2261 of the Code provides that knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts constitutes unprofessional conduct.
 - G. Section 2262 of the Code provides that altering or modifying the medical record of any person, with fraudulent intent, or creating any false medical record,

with fraudulent intent, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

COST RECOVERY

H. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Division a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WELFARE & INSTITUTIONS CODE PROVISION

- I. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code provides, in pertinent part, that:
- (a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California. . .that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation. . .that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which [the Board] determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim. . . In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which

the licensee was placed on probation.

CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE

I

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 4. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234 and 2236 of the Code in that he has been convicted of a crime which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. On or about July 21, 1998, following trial by jury, respondent was found guilty of and convicted of seven counts of an indictment charging him with violations of 18 U.S.C. §§1341 (mail fraud) in case number CR98-037 of the United States District Court, Central District of California, entitled <u>United States of America v. Edward Allen Ridgill</u>.
 - B. The facts underlying this conviction are as follows: beginning no later than June 1995, and continuing through at least July 1997, respondent devised a scheme to defraud the Employment Development Department ("EDD") in which he would falsely certify that various individuals were disabled, and that he had medically examined them prior to reaching such determination, when in fact respondent knew full well those individuals were not disabled. Those individuals would thereafter be mailed disability payment checks by EDD. As a result of respondent's actions, EDD was

defrauded in the amount of approximately \$35,000. 1 Respondent was sentenced to eighteen (18) 2 C. months in prison, and was ordered to pay both restitution to 3 EDD and a fine. 4 II 5 (Dishonest or Corrupt Act) 6 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under 7 5. section 2234, subdivision (e) of the Code in that he has 8 committed dishonest or corrupt acts which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a 10 physician and surgeon. The circumstances are as follows: 11 Paragraph 4, subparagraphs (A)-(C), are D. 12 incorporated by reference as if set forth in full at this 13 - point. 14 III 15 (Excessive Prescribing) 16 Respondent Edward A. Ridgill, M.D., is subject to 17 6. disciplinary action under section 725 of the Business and 18 Professions Code in that he excessively prescribed controlled 19 substances and other dangerous drugs to patient J.C. 20 circumstances are as follows: 21 A. J.C. injured himself while working at a tow 22 truck company. These injuries occurred in June and 23 September, 1995. Respondent diagnosed thoracolumbar strain 24 (muscle strain in upper part of the spine), cervical strain, 25 and radiculopathy (basically, a pinched nerve). 26 On September 28, 1995, an orthopedist 27

evaluated the patient and diagnosed lumbar strain, but did not diagnose radiculopathy.

- C. In a report dated April 20, 1996, a second orthopedic specialist evaluated the patient and found no evidence of radiculopathy.
- D. In a report dated April 30, 1996, another physician examined the patient and diagnosed him with lumbar syndrome without radiculopathy.
- E. In a report of August 5, 1996, respondent describes the results of a Magnetic Resonnance Imaging examination. This examination is critical in diagnosing radiculopathy. However, there is no reference to an MRI in any of the orthopedic reports written by the other doctors, nor is there an MRI report contained in the patient's medical records.
- F. The patient's medical records contain 67 sign in sheets which were allegedly signed by the patient on the dates that he visited respondent's office. The signature pages indicate that the patient signed in on a total of 182 days, and covered the period of September 7, 1995 until March 7, 1996. However, a handwriting expert analyzed these signatures and determined that they were created in large batches and not on separate occasions.
- G. On or around the period of January 4, 1996 through June 10, 1996, respondent prescribed the following narcotics in the following amounts to patient J.C.:

1	<u>Date</u>	<u>Medication</u>	Quantity
2	1/4/96	Hydrocodone ES	100
3	1/4/96	Carisoprodol	100
4	1/10/96	Carisoprodol	100
5	1/11/96	Hydrocodone+APAP ES	100
6	1/23/96	Hydrocodone ES	100
7	1/23/96	Carisoprodol	100
8	2/08/96	Hydrocodone+APAP ES	100
9	2/08/96	Carisoprodol	100
10	2/21/96	Hydrocodone ES	100
11	2/21/96	Carisoprodol	100
12	3/8/96	Hydrocodone+APAP ES	100
13	3/8/96	Carisoprodol	100
14	3/15/96	Hydrocodone ES	100
15	3/15/96	Carisprodol	100
16	4/2/96	Hydrocodone+APAP ES	100
17	4/2/96	Carisprodol	100
18	5/1/96	Hydrocodone+APAP ES	100
19	5/1/96	Carisprodol	100
20	5/15/96	Hydrocodone+APAP ES	100
21	5/15/96	Carisprodol	100
22	6/10/96	Hydrocodone+APAP ES	100
23	6/10/96	Carisprodol	100
24	н.	According to the Physicia	an's Desk Reference,
25	the average ac	dult daily dosage of Vico	din (Hydrocodone)
26	should not exc	ceed 5 tablets per day.	•

I. The Physician's Desk Reference indicates that

 psychic and physical dependence and tolerance may develop upon repeated administration. Therefore, it should be administered for short periods of time only to relieve pain.

- J. According to the Physician's Desk Reference, the average adult daily dosage of Soma (Carisprodol) is one tablet three times a day, plus one at bedtime.
- K. Respondent prescribed 1,100 tablets of Vicodin over a 158 day period, which averages to 7 tablets per day. Respondent prescribed 1,100 tablets of Soma over the same 158 day period, which averages 7 tablets per day. Respondent prescribed these drugs in excessive dosages both individually and in combination with one another.

ΙV

(Gross Negligence)

- 7. Respondent Edward A. Ridgill, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b) of the Business and Professions Code in that he was grossly negligent in the care, treatment, and management of patient J.C. The following acts and omissions of respondent individually and collectively constitute extreme departures from the standard of care:
 - A. Complainant refers to and by this reference, incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraph 6 above, as though fully set forth.
 - B. Respondent should have prescribed nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents initially, and if that

failed, then he should have prescribed narcotics.

- C. Respondent prescribed excessive dosages of narcotics.
- D. Respondent diagnosed the patient with radiculopathy without the aid of an MRI report or respondent misrepresented the existence of the report.

v

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 8. Respondent Edward A. Ridgill, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c) of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent committed repeated negligent acts in the care, treatment and management of patient J.C. The following acts and omissions of respondent individually and collectively constitute departures from the standard of care:
 - A. Complainant refers to and by this reference, incorporate herein the factual allegations set forth in paragraph 6, subparagraphs A through F, above as though fully set forth.
 - B. Respondent should have prescribed nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents initially, and if that failed, then he should have prescribed narcotics.
 - C. Respondent prescribed excessive dosages of narcotics.
 - D. Respondent diagnosed the patient with radiculopathy without the aid of an MRI report or respondent misrepresented the existence of the report.

1	E. Respondent failed to re-evaluate the diagnosis
, 2	and/or therapy in a patient who was not improving.
3	F. Respondent failed to maintain complete and
4	accurate reçords.
5	G. Respondent failed to provide supportive
6	evidence for various diagnoses.
7	~
8	VI
9	(Incompetence)
10	9. Respondent Edward A. Ridgill, M.D., is subject to
11	disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d) of the
12	Business and Professions Code in that respondent has demonstrated
13	a lack of knowledge or inability to discharge the duties and
14	responsibilities of her license in the care, treatment, and
15	management of patient J.C. The circumstances are as follows:
16	A. Complainant refers to and by this reference,
17	incorporates herein the allegations set forth in paragraphs
18	6 thru 8.
19	VII
20	(Record Maintenance)
21	10. Respondent Edward A. Ridgill, M.D., is subject to
22	disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Business and
23	Professions Code in that he has failed to adequately and
24	accurately maintain patient records. The circumstances are as
25	follows:
26	A. In a report dated August 5, 1996, respondent
27	describes the results of a Magnetic Resonnance Imaging

("MRI") examination. This examination is critical in diagnosing radiculopathy. However there is no mention of an MRI by any other physician who examined patient J.C., nor do respondent's medical records of patient J.C. contain an MRI report.

VIII

(False Documents)

- 11. Respondent Edward A. Ridgill, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under section 2261 of the Business and Professions Code in that he knowingly made or signed documents related to the practice of medicine which falsely represented the existence of a state of facts. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. In a report dated August 5, 1996, respondent describes the results of a Magnetic Resonnance Imaging ("MRI") examination. This examination is critical in diagnosing radiculopathy. However there is no mention of an MRI by any other physician who examined patient J.C., nor do respondent's medical records of patient J.C. contain an MRI report.
 - B. Patient J.C.'s medical records contain 67 sign-in sheets which were allegedly signed by the patient on the dates that he visited respondent's office. The signature pages indicate that the patient signed in on a total of 182 days, and covered the period of September 7, 1995 until March 7, 1996. However, a handwriting expert analyzed these signatures and determined that they were created in large batches and not on separate occasions.

 (Altering, Modifying or Creating False Medical Record with Fraudulent Intent)

- 12. Respondent Edward A. Ridgill, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action under section 2262 of the Business and Professions Code in that he created false medical records. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. In a report dated August 5, 1996, respondent describes the results of a Magnetic Resonnance Imaging ("MRI") examination. This examination is critical in diagnosing radiculopathy. However there is no mention of an MRI by any other physician who examined patient J.C., nor do respondent's medical records of patient J.C. contain an MRI report.
 - B. Patient J.C.'s medical records contain 67 sign-in sheets which were allegedly signed by the patient on the dates that he visited respondent's office. The signature pages indicate that the patient signed in on a total of 182 days, and covered the period of September 7, 1995 until March 7, 1996. However, a handwriting expert analyzed these signatures and determined that they were created in large batches and not on separate occasions.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's
 Certificate Number G40690, heretofore issued to respondent Edward
 Ridgill, M.D.;

2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of respondent's authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;

- 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
- 4. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and proper.

DATED: <u>August 15, 2000</u>

Ròn Joseph

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant