BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)	
Mark Alan Turner, M.D.	)	Case No. 800-2018-042656
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 63763	)	
Respondent	)	

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 22, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED January 23, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By: Kristina Lawson

Kristina Lawson, Chair
Panel B

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: MARK ALAN TURNER, M.D., Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 63763 Respondent.	Case No. 800-2018-042656 OAH No. 2018080962

PROPOSED DECISION

Administrative Law Judge Melissa G. Crowell, State of California, Office of Administrative Hearings, heard this matter on December 6, 2018, in Oakland, California.

Deputy Attorney General Emily L. Brinkman represented complainant Kimberly Kirchmeyer, Executive Director, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.

Shannon Baker, Attorney at Law, represented respondent Mark Alan Turner, M.D., who was present throughout the administrative hearing.

The record closed and the matter was submitted for decision on December 6, 2018.

FACTUAL FINDINGS

1. On August 15, 1988, the Medical Board of California (Board) issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 63763 to respondent Mark Alan Turner, M.D. The license expired on March 31, 2016. Respondent has applied to renew the license. That application is pending.

2. On May 22, 2018, Kimberly Kirchmeyer issued an accusation against respondent in her capacity as the Executive Director of the Board. The accusation alleges that respondent's California license is subject to discipline because of respondent's conduct as a physician in Idaho and action taken by the Idaho State Board of Medicine (Idaho Board)

against his Idaho medical license. Respondent filed a notice of defense. This hearing followed.

Idaho Discipline

3. On March 29, 2018, the Idaho Board issued a Stipulation and Order regarding respondent's Idaho medical license. The Idaho Board and respondent stipulated and agreed to the following facts:

> The Respondent treated a patient who was also an employee and a friend. During the time that the patient was being treated by the Respondent, the Respondent would also stay at the patient's home or the patient would stay at the Respondent's home. The Respondent did not maintain professional boundaries.
>
> The Respondent had patients who had early fills for controlled substances but there was no clear documentation in the medical record to support the need for an early fill. The Respondent did not document if or when the prescribing monitoring report was accessed. The Respondent stated that there was a possibility that medical records may have been inadvertently shredded.

4. The Idaho Board and respondent stipulated and agreed that respondent's conduct constituted grounds for discipline under the Idaho Medical Practice Act and the Idaho Board's rules governing the practice of medicine as follows:

> a) Idaho Code § 54-1814 Idaho Code § 54-1814 (7) The provision of health care which fails to meet the standard of health care provided by other qualified physicians in the same community or similar communities, taking into account his training, experience and the degree of expertise to which he holds himself out to the public.
>
> b) Idaho Code § 54-1814(13) Failure to safeguard the confidentiality of medical records or other medical information pertaining to identifiable patients, except as required or authorized by law.
>
> c) IDAPA 22.01.01.101.03 Standard of Care. Providing health care which fails to meet the standard of health care provided by other qualified physicians in the same community or similar communities, includes but is not limited to:
>
> d) IDAPA 22.01.01.101.03.h. Failing to maintain adequate records. Adequate patient records means legible records that

contain, at a minimum, subjective information, an evaluation and report of objective findings, assessment or diagnosis, and the plan of care.

5. Respondent did not admit to any violation of the laws and rules governing his practice, but acknowledged that that Idaho Board had sufficient evidence from which it might conclude that violations occurred. The Idaho Board believed it had sufficient evidence to support disciplinary action, but rather that pursing formal disciplinary action, to enter into the Stipulation and Order in order to respond to the Idaho Board's concerns about, and address the alleged problems in, respondent's practice.

6. The Idaho Board and respondent stipulated and agreed that within six months respondent would take Board-approved courses in professional boundaries, prescribing, and medical record keeping, which would be followed by a record review within six months of completing the prescribing and medical record keeping courses.

7. The Idaho Board and respondent stipulated and agreed that respondent could request termination after completion of the three courses and the record review, and the Idaho Board could refuse termination if it determined, following the record review, respondent had not remediated its practice.

8. The Stipulation and Order is a public record and reportable to the National Practitioner Data Bank.

Respondent's Background

9. Respondent attended medical school at Oral Roberts University School of Medicine, graduating in 1987. He completed a family practice residency at the San Jose Medical Center in 1990. Respondent was board certified in family practice in 1990, and has recertified.

10. From 1990 to 1996, respondent worked as a staff physician in the Emergency Department at the Kaiser Permanente Medical Center in Santa Clara, California.

11. Respondent obtained his medical license in Idaho in 1996 and relocated to Boise. Respondent was an Urgent Care Clinic Physician at the Primary Health Medical Group from 1996 to 2001. In 2001, respondent moved to McMillan Urgent Care and Family Practice, were he served as a clinic physician until he separated from employment in January 2018.

12. In addition to working at McMillian, respondent has held various psychiatric medication management positions in Idaho. According to respondent because of the dearth of psychiatrists in Idaho, most medication management is performed by family medical practitioners or nurse practitioners. He learned from shadowing a psychiatrist and seeking clinical advice when needed.

From 2006 to the present, respondent has served as Clinic Physician and Medical Director at the Warm Springs Counseling Center in Boise. Respondent has held the same position with the Northwest Neurobehavioral Health in Meridian, Idaho, since 2010. Since 2010, respondent has been a consulting physician with Limitless Life Counseling in Meridian. Respondent holds a similar position with Teen Hope of Idaho.

13. Respondent was appointed to the Idaho Medicaid Drug Utilization Review Board in 2007. He was appointed Medical Director for Medicaid for the State of Idaho in January 1, 2009. He continues to serve in both capacities.

14. Respondent holds certifications from the National Institutes of Health and Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative: Good Clinical Practice, and is a Certified Principal Investigator. Since 1996, respondent has worked as a Principal Investigator for Advanced Clinical Research, performing testing on new pharmaceuticals. His curriculum vitae lists over 22 pages the research studies he has conducted.

15. Respondent no longer practices as a primary care physician at McMillan. He no longer manages pain or prescribes narcotics. Respondent's current practice is divided between research and outpatient care at mental health facilities. He estimates that research amounts to approximately 60 to 70 percent of his work hours, and patient care amounts 40 percent or less of his work hours.

Respondent's Evidence

16. Respondent explained that the recordkeeping violations stemmed from his practice of treating some of his medication management patients from McMillan at other facilities and not ensuring that his treatment was documented in their McMillan medical record. Some of his handwritten visit notes did not make it into the patient's chart. Respondent has stopped the practice of seeing patients at facilities other than where the medical record is maintained.

With respect to the early refill allegations, respondent admits his handwritten chart notes were not clear regarding the basis of his prescribing decision making.

With respect to the boundary violation, there is no allegation that this involved improper sexual activity. Respondent reports that the patient was an adult married female who was an inactive registered nurse. She requested to be allowed to shadow him in order to support her goal of reinstating her nursing license. He agreed she could shadow him, but believed he should terminate the physician-patient relationship. He did not maintain the severed relationship, and made referrals and ordered tests to treat a condition she had.

17. In April 2018, respondent completed a two-day PBI Medical Record Keeping Course through the University of California, Irvine School of Medicine. In May 2018, respondent completed a two-day PBI Prescribing Course: Opioids, Pain Management and

Addiction through the Irvine School of Medicine. In June 2018, respondent completed a three-day course in Professional Boundaries and Ethics through the Irvine School of Medicine. In October 2018, respondent completed a PBI Maintenance and Accountability Seminar through the Irvine School of Medicine.

18. The record review required by the Idaho Board's order has not been conducted.

19. Respondent submitted letters of reference from four Idaho physicians. Hamilton Warren-Sutton, M.D., is a child and adult psychiatrist, who has worked with respondent in multiple settings since 2008. Thomas R. Young, M.D., has worked with respondent since 1999. Dr. Young recommended respondent for the position of Medical Director of Idaho Medicaid, and Medical Director of Warm Springs Children Center, positions Dr. Young previously held. Scott A. Shappard, D.O., is a family practitioner who has known respondent 16 years, both as a colleague and as a personal friend. J. Lauren Chasin, M.D., is a family practitioner and has worked with respondent for five years. Each physician knows of respondent's discipline by the Idaho Board. Each attests to respondent's honesty and integrity, and to his compassion, professionalism, skill, and value as a medical practitioner in Idaho.

20. Respondent submitted a letter of reference from Pamela A. Gadd. Gadd has known respondent for 15 years in connection with the clinical research industry. Gadd also knows of respondent's discipline by the Idaho Board. She attests to respondent's good character, and to the ethical manner in which she had observed him work during clinical trials.

21. Respondent also holds licenses to practice medicine in Florida and Missouri. He reports that both licenses are in good standing.

22. Respondent plans to continue working in Idaho. He seeks, however, to keep his California license. He has an ailing mother who resides in Southern California, who he now visits on weekends. He would like the option to be able to work as a physician in California should he need to relocate to permanently care for her.

23. Respondent was a credible witness at hearing. He testified openly and in a manner consistent with one who is telling the truth.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

1. The burden of proof in this matter is on the Board and the standard of proof is clear and convincing evidence. (*Ettinger v. Bd. of Medical Quality Assurance* (1982) 135 Cal.App.3d 853.)

2. The Board has jurisdiction over respondent notwithstanding that his physician and surgeon license has expired (Finding 1). (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 118.)

3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2305, the Board is authorized to impose discipline on a licensee who has been disciplined in another state. Business and Professions Code section 2305 provides:

> The revocation, suspension, or other discipline, restriction, or limitation imposed by another state upon a license or certificate to practice medicine issued by that state, or the revocation, suspension, or restriction of the authority to practice medicine by any agency of the federal government, that would have been grounds for discipline in California of a licensee under this chapter, shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct against the licensee in this state.

The Board is authorized to impose discipline without a broad inquiry into the underlying facts. (*Marek v. Board of Podiatric Medicine* (1993) 16 Cal.App.4th 1089, 1096-1098.) This is true even where the respondent has not admitted to the truth of the allegations recited in a stipulation to a disciplinary order or consent decree. (*Ibid.*)

The Idaho Board has taken disciplinary action against respondent's license to practice medicine in Idaho for grounds that would constitute grounds for discipline in California under the Medical Practice Act.[1] (Findings 3 to 6.) The Idaho disciplinary action constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2305, and provides cause for license discipline in California.

4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 141, subdivision (a), the Board is authorized to impose discipline on a licensee who has been subject to disciplinary action by another state for any act substantially related to the practice of medicine. Section 141, subdivision (a), provides:

> For any licensee holding a license issued by a board under the jurisdiction of a department, a disciplinary action by another state, by any agency of the federal government, or by another country for any act substantially related to the practice regulated by the California license, may be a ground for disciplinary action by the respective state licensing board. A certified copy of the record of the disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or another country shall be conclusive evidence of the events related therein.

---

[1] Business and Professions Code sections 2000 through 2521.

The disciplinary action taken by the Idaho Board against respondent's license is for conduct directly related to the practice of medicine. (Findings 3 to 6.) The Idaho Board's disciplinary action provides cause for discipline against respondent's California license pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 141.

Disciplinary Considerations

5. The purpose of the Medical Practice Act is to assure the high quality of medical practice; in other words, to keep unqualified persons and those guilty of unprofessional conduct out of the medical profession. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 574.) The purpose of physician discipline is to protect the public and to aid in the rehabilitation of licensees. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2229.)

6. The Board has adopted guidelines to assist in the evaluation of physician discipline. (Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines (11th Ed).) The guidelines state that, in out-of-state discipline cases, the minimum level of discipline should be the same as that for a similar violation in California; the maximum is revocation. The minimum discipline for a similar violation in California is revocation, stayed during a five-year probationary period, with conditions recommended by the guidelines.

Complainant suggests that this is an appropriate case to deviate downward and impose a three-year reduced probationary period. Respondent suggests that probation is not necessary for public protection, and requests that a public letter of reprimand be issued pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4).

All agree that the circumstances involved here warrant a downward deviation from the guidelines. The Idaho Board ordered respondent to complete three courses addressing the shortcomings of his practice: boundaries, prescribing and recordkeeping. The Idaho Board, which had conducted an investigation of respondent's conduct and had a much fuller understanding of what took place than what is set forth in the Stipulation and Order, did not require respondent to undergo a period of probationary monitoring. Respondent has been fully compliant with that required by the Idaho Board, and he maintains an unrestricted license in that state.

All things considered, it is determined that the issuance of a public reprimand is sufficient to protect the public. A public reprimand will ensure that respondent's misconduct will remain a matter of public record, and doing so protects the public by serving as a continuing reminder to respondent of his responsibilities as a physician. But on this record, it has not been established that the protection of the public requires a period of probationary monitoring. While the Board has the authority to require respondent to complete relevant educational coursework in connection with the reprimand, respondent has recently completed the coursework that would be relevant in connection with the Stipulation and Order. For this reason, respondent shall be publicly reprimanded pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4), but not order to complete any additional coursework.

ORDER

Mark Alan Turner, M.D., holder of Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No G 63763, is publicly reprimanded.

DATED: January 2, 2019

DocuSigned by:
Melissa G. Crowell
ACEB74A338CE4C0...

MELISSA G. CROWELL
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
JANE ZACK SIMON
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
EMILY L. BRINKMAN
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 219400
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Telephone: (415) 510-3374
Facsimile: (415) 703-5843
E-mail: Emily.Brinkman@doj.ca.gov
Attorneys for Complainant

FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO May 22 2018
BY: Gary Wright ANALYST

BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: **Mark Alan Turner, M.D.** 11970 Combes Park Drive Boise, ID 83713 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 63763,** Respondent.	Case No. 800-2018-042656 **A C C U S A T I O N**

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).

2. On or about August 15, 1988, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 63763 to Mark Alan Turner, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate expired on March 31, 2016, and has not been renewed.

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.

5. Section 141 of the Code states:

"(a) For any licensee holding a license issued by a board under the jurisdiction of the department, a disciplinary action taken by another state, by any agency of the federal government, or by another country for any act substantially related to the practice regulated by the California license, may be a ground for disciplinary action by the respective state licensing board. A certified copy of the record of the disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or another country shall be conclusive evidence of the events related therein.

"(b) Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from applying a specific statutory provision in the licensing act administered by that board that provides for discipline based upon a disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or another country."

6. Section 2305 of the Code states:

"The revocation, suspension, or other discipline, restriction or limitation imposed by another state upon a license or certificate to practice medicine issued by that state, or the revocation, suspension, or restriction of the authority to practice medicine by any agency of the federal government, that would have been grounds for discipline in California of a licensee under this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act] shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct against the licensee in this state."

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Discipline, Restriction, Limitation Imposed by Another Jurisdiction)

7. On March 29, 2018, the Idaho State Board of Medicine (Idaho Board) entered into a Stipulation and Order (Order) with Respondent. According to the Order, Respondent treated a patient who was also his friend, stayed at the patient's home, and failed to maintain professional

boundaries. Additionally, Respondent provided patients with early refills of controlled substances without clearly documenting the reason in the medical record. Respondent also reported that there was a possibility that the medical records may have been inadvertently shredded. As part of the Order, Respondent was required to complete a professional boundaries course, prescribing practice course, and medical record keeping course, and to have a record review within six months after the completion of the course work. A copy of the Idaho Board Stipulation and Order is attached as Exhibit A.

8. Respondent's conduct and the action of the Idaho Board as set forth in paragraph 7, above, and within the Idaho Board documents attached as Exhibit A constitute unprofessional conduct within the meaning of section 2305, and conduct subject to discipline within the meaning of section 141.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 63763, issued to Mark Alan Turner, M.D.;

2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Mark Alan Turner, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

3. Ordering Mark Alan Turner, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of probation monitoring; and

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: May 22, 2018

KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SF2018200453
13082535

EXHIBIT A

Idaho State Board of Medicine Stipulation and Order

IDAHO BOARD OF MEDICINE
I certify that this document is a true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.
Felicia Kruck / INVESTIGATIVE SPECIALIST
Name/Title
April 9, 2018
Date

RECEIVED
MAR 29 2018
IDAHO STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

SHASTA KILMINSTER-HADLEY, ISB #7889
Board Attorney, Idaho Board of Medicine
P. O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0058
Telephone: (208) 327-7000
Facsimile: (208) 327-7005
Shasta.kilminster-hadley@bom.idaho.gov

BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

In the Matter of: Mark Alan Turner MD License No. M-7006 Respondent.	)))))	Case Nos. 2017-BOM-9128 and 2017-BOM-9175 **STIPULATION AND ORDER**

COMES NOW the Idaho State Board of Medicine ("Board"), and Mark Alan Turner MD ("Respondent"), and stipulate and agree as follows:

I

On June 1, 1996, the Board issued Idaho Medical License No. M-7006 to Respondent. Said license is subject to the provisions of Idaho Code Title 54, Chapter 18, commonly known as the Idaho Medical Practice Act (the "IMPA"), and to the Board's Administrative Rules.

II

The Respondent treated a patient who was also an employee and a friend. During the time that the patient was being treated by the Respondent, the Respondent would also stay at the patient's home or the patient would stay at the Respondent's home. The Respondent did not maintain professional boundaries.

The Respondent had patients who had early fills for controlled substances but there was no clear documentation in the medical record to support the need for the

early fill. The Respondent did not document if or when the prescribing monitoring program report was accessed. The Respondent stated that there was a possibility that medical records may have been inadvertently shredded.

III

Respondent's conduct constitutes grounds for discipline under the Medical Practice Act and the Board's rules governing the practice of medicine in Idaho as follows:

a) Idaho Code § 54-1814 Idaho Code § 54-1814 (7) The provision of health care which fails to meet the standard of health care provided by other qualified physicians in the same community or similar communities, taking into account his training, experience and the degree of expertise to which he holds himself out to the public.

b) Idaho Code § 54-1814(13) Failure to safeguard the confidentiality of medical records or other medical information pertaining to identifiable patients, except as required or authorized by law.

c) IDAPA 22.01.01.101.03. Standard of Care. Providing health care which fails to meet the standard of health care provided by other qualified physicians in the same community or similar communities, includes but is not limited to:

d) IDAPA 22.01.01.101.03.h. Failing to maintain adequate records. Adequate patient records means legible records that contain, at a minimum, subjective information, an evaluation and report of objective findings, assessment or diagnosis, and the plan of care.

IV

Respondent has read and understands the allegations pending before the Board as stated above and agrees that the Board has jurisdiction to proceed in this matter. While Respondent does not admit any violations of the laws and rules governing his

licensure, he acknowledges that the Board has sufficient evidence from which it might find and conclude that such violations occurred. The Board believes it has sufficient evidence to support disciplinary action based upon the allegations in Paragraph II, but rather than pursue a formal hearing, the Board agrees with the Respondent to voluntarily enter into this Stipulation and Order for the purpose of responding to the Board's concerns and addressing the alleged problems.

V

Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives any right to a formal hearing, to present evidence, to cross-examine witnesses, to move for reconsideration or appeal, and any other rights accorded to him pursuant to the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act and the IMPA, with respect to this Stipulation.

VI

The parties hereby stipulate and agree that:

1) Within six months of the effective date of this Stipulation, the Respondent shall take:
    a. a Board approved Professional Boundaries Course;
    b. a Board approved Physician Prescribing Course; and
    c. a Board approved Medical Record Keeping Course; and
2) A record review is to be conducted 6 months after Physician Prescribing and Medical Record Keeping Courses have been completed.

VII

The terms of this Stipulation and Order will become effective upon the date of the last signature below. The Respondent may request termination only after he has complied with the terms above and after the record review has taken place; the Board may refuse termination if it is determined, upon review of Respondent's patient records, that Respondent has not adequately remediated his practice. This Stipulation

and Order shall be considered a public record and shall be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.

VIII

Respondent agrees to execute the Release, attached hereto as Exhibit A, releasing the Board, the Committee on Professional Discipline of the Board, their members, employees, officers, agents, representatives, attorneys, consultants, and witnesses, jointly and severally, from any liability arising from their participation or involvement in the Board's investigation of Respondent and in the prosecution of this disciplinary proceeding.

IX

Respondent further agrees to execute the Release, attached hereto as Exhibit B, authorizing any person or entity having information relevant to Respondent's compliance with the provisions of this Stipulation and Order to release such information to the Board.

X

If, in the Board's discretion, Respondent appears to have violated or breached the terms or conditions herein, the Board reserves the right to institute formal disciplinary proceedings for any and all possible violations of the Stipulation, and/or for any and all possible violations of Idaho law and Board rule, including those occurring prior to this Stipulation's effective date.

XI

Any action initiated by the Board based on alleged violations of this Stipulation and Order shall comply with the IMPA, the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the Board, and the Idaho Administrative Procedure Act, Title 67, Chapter 52, Idaho Code. Respondent shall not be entitled to and hereby waives any

right or opportunity to appear before the Board, or the Board's Committee on Professional Discipline prior to the initiation of any enforcement proceedings.

DATED this 29 day of March, 2017~~8~~

IDAHO STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

By: Kathleen R. Sutherland MD
KATHLEEN R. SUTHERLAND, M.D.
Chairman

DATED this 23 day of JAN, 2017~~8~~

RESPONDENT

By: Mark Turner
Mark Alan Turner MD

ORDER

Pursuant to Idaho Code § 54-1806A, the Board hereby accepts the terms and conditions of the foregoing Stipulation and it is hereby ordered that Respondent comply with said terms and conditions. Based upon the foregoing, further formal proceedings will be waived. This Order supersedes and terminates any previously executed Stipulations entered into by Respondent and the Board.

DATED this 29 day of March, 2018

IDAHO STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

By: Kathleen R. Sutherland MD
KATHLEEN R. SUTHERLAND, M.D.
Chairman

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 30th, 2018 I forwarded a true and correct copy of the foregoing to the following via the method indicated:

Mark Alan Turner, MD
5580 Saguaro Hills Ave.
Meridian, ID 83646

- [ ] U.S. Mail
- [ ] Hand Delivery
- [x] Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested
- [ ] Electronic Mail:
- [ ] Facsimile:

Felicia Kruck
Discipline Assistant