BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended)	
Accusation Against:)	
)	
KEVIN PEZESHKI, M.D.)	
)	Case No. 22-2012-225236
)	
Physician's and Surgeon's)	
Certificate No. A 67148)	
)	
Petitioner)	
)	

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 21, 2014.

IT IS SO ORDERED March 14, 2014.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Loral Der Mo

Dev Gnanadev, M.D., Chair

Panel B

II				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General CINDY M. LOPEZ Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 119988 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7373 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE			
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
		1		
11	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. 22-2012-225236		
		OAH No. 2013040438		
13	KEVIN PEZESHKI, M.D. 7963 Van Nuys Blvd., Suite 101			
14	Panorama City, CA 91402	STIPULATED REVOCATION OF		
15	Physician and Surgeon's License No. A67148	LICENSE AND ORDER		
16	Respondent.			
17				
18				
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-			
20	20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
21	<u>PARTIES</u>			
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board			
23	of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this			
24	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Cindy M. Lopez,			
25	5 Deputy Attorney General.			
26	2. KEVIN PEZESHKI, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney			
27	7 David L. Rosner, whose address is 21781 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 516, Woodland Hills, CA			
28	91364.			
	1			

3. On or about December 11, 1998, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A67148 to Kevin Pezeshki, M.D. (Respondent). His license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 22-2012-225236, and will expire on April 30, 2014, unless renewed. On April 4, 2013, Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on an Interim Suspension Order.

JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation No. 22-2012-225236 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation was properly served on Respondent on November 5, 2013. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the original Accusation. A copy of the First Amended Accusation No. 22-2012-225236 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation No. 22-2012-225236. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits that he was convicted of sexual battery and is required to register as a sex offender, as alleged in the First Amended Accusation No. 22-2012-225236, and agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A67148 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the revocation of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation for revocation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Revocation and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A67148, issued to Respondent KEVIN PEZESHKI, M.D., is revoked and accepted by the Medical Board of California.

- 1. The revocation of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and the acceptance of the revoked license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Medical Board of California.
- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a physician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed. The charges and allegations contained in the First Amended Accusation No. 22-2012-225236, first and second causes of action, and paragraphs A-D of the third cause of action shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition. Respondent agrees that Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in paragraphs E-G in third cause of action of the First Amended Accusation.

<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>

-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Revocation of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, David L. Rosner. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 7 6 14 KEVIN PEZESHKI, M.D.

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent KEVIN PEZESHKI, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

Respondent

DATED: 2/6/2014

DAVID L. ROSNER Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Revocation of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 2.19.19

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General

CINDY M. LOPEZ
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

LA2012606685 61126300.docx

	4	1	
1	Kamala D. Harris	Pu ma	
2	Attorney General of California ROBERT MCKIM BELL	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CINDY M. LOPEZ	SACRAMENTO X	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 119988	BY P. F. EDAUS ANALYST	
5	California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702		
6	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7373		
7	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFOI	RE THE	
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. 22-2012-225236	
13	KEVIN PEZESHKI, M.D.	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
14	7963 Van Nuys Blvd., Suite 101 Panorama City, CA 91402		
15	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate A67148,		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer, (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in		
22	her official capacity as the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California		
23	(Board).		
24	2. On or about December 11, 1998, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
25	Certificate number A67148 to Kevin Pezeshki, M.D. (Respondent). At all times relevant to this		
26			
27	April 4, 2013, Respondent's license was suspended pursuant to an Interim Suspension Order.		
28			
	II	1	

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- "(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine. Section 2314 shall not apply to this subdivision. This subdivision shall become operative upon the implementation of the proposed registration program described in Section 2052.5.
- "(h) The repeated failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview scheduled by the mutual agreement of the certificate holder and the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board."
 - 6. Section 726 of the Code states:

"The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division, under any initiative act referred to in this division and under Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 9000) of Division 3.

"This section shall not apply to sexual contact between a physician and surgeon and his or her spouse or person in an equivalent domestic relationship when that physician and surgeon provides medical treatment, other than psychotherapeutic treatment, to his or her spouse or person in an equivalent domestic relationship."

- 7. Section 2232 of the Code states in pertinent part:
- "(a) Except as provided in subdivisions (b), (c), and (d), the board shall promptly revoke the license of any person who, at any time after January 1, 1947, has been required to register as a sex offender pursuant to the provisions of Section 290 of the Penal Code."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

CAUSE FOR MANDATORY REVOCATION OF LICENSE

(Registration as a Sex Offender)

8. Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A67148 is subject to mandatory revocation under section 2232, subdivision (a), of the Code in that he has been

required to register as a sex offender pursuant to the provisions of section 290 of the Penal Code.

The circumstances are as follows:

- A. In the case of *The People of the State of California v. Kevin Pezeshki*, Los Angeles County Superior Court case number LA071845, Respondent was ordered, as part of his sentence, to register as a sex offender pursuant to the provisions of Penal Code section 290.
- B. As a result of his being ordered to register as a sex offender, Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A67148 is subject to mandatory revocation pursuant to the provisions of Business and Professions Code Section 2232.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2236 and 2232 for conviction of a crime. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. On May 24, 2013, in the case of *The People of the State of California v. Kevin Pezeshki*, Los Angeles County Superior Court case number LA071845, in an Amended Information, Respondent was charged with three counts of sexual penetration by a foreign object against victims Carmen C. and Cindy T., and three counts of sexual battery by fraud against both victims, all violations of the Penal Code.
- B. On August 16, 2103, Respondent pled nolo contendere to one count of sexual battery by fraud. As a result of his plea, he now stands convicted of a sexual offense which requires him to register as a sex offender.
- C. On September 11, 2013, Respondent was placed on formal probation, and required to register as a sex offender pursuant to Penal Code section 290.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Sexual Exploitation of Patients C.C. and C.T.)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 726 of the Code because he sexually exploited two female patients. The circumstances are as follows:

Patient C.C.

- A. Patient C.C. was a patient in Respondent's practice in approximately 2008. She went to see him for problems with her bladder. In the summer of 2008, she had two visits with him in his medical office and nothing inappropriate occurred. Chaperones were present.
- B. In approximately August or September 2008, C.C. went to see Respondent for an office visit. She was seen in the exam room without a chaperone present. The Respondent told her to pull her pants down and underwear and directed her to lie on the exam table. He told her to turn over on her left side so her back was facing him. C.C. could feel his body against her bare buttocks. As the Respondent was hitting her on her back, she reached around to feel where it hurt and her hand felt his erect penis. When C.C. turned around, she saw the Respondent pull up the zipper of his pants.
- C. In September 2008, C.C. was admitted to Northridge Hospital for a surgery to be performed by the Respondent. The day after the surgery on September 26, 2008, a nurse came in and told C.C. to bathe so the Respondent could examine her. A few moments later the Respondent came into her room without a chaperone, and closed the door. Respondent turned her on her side, began hitting her and placed his fingers in her vagina, which was very painful for her. This seemed to last a long period of time and when Respondent was done he went into the bathroom to wash up. The victim reached for an area near her buttocks and felt what she thought was semen on her bed pad. She said it had a pungent odor. Having had the previous similar experience a few weeks earlier, the victim took the bed sheet and turned it into the police.
- D. The Scientific Investigation Division ("SID") of the Los Angeles Police Department analyzed the materials from the bedding and compared it to a sample taken from Respondent. The evidence on the bed pad (ejaculate) matched the DNA from the Defendant. SID analyzed the materials from the bedding and compared it to a sample taken from the patient C.C. The materials matched the DNA from C.C.

Patient C.T.

E. In June 2009, C.T. moved from Lancaster to the San Fernando Valley. She was about six months pregnant and on MediCal so it was difficult to find a physician who would take her on

as a patient. She was referred to Respondent. She took her husband to the first appointment, which she thought was in approximately June or July 2009. Her husband was positioned near her head while Respondent examined her on the table.

- F. When Respondent was performing a pelvic exam, he inserted two fingers in her vagina and moved them in a slow in and out motion, and then swirled them in a circular motion. This made C.T. feel uncomfortable especially since he was staring into her eyes as he was doing this.
- G. Then Respondent swirled his fingers in a circular motion around her clitoris, which actually made her jump. Without explanation or warning, Respondent inserted his finger into her anus. This entire time he stared into her eyes. Respondent told C.T. he needed to check her breasts to see if her milk came in, which of course it had not. What C.T. found most troubling is while Respondent was touching her breasts, he had an erection. During this entire visit, Respondent directly stared into C.T.'s eyes, and his breathing was heavy, which made her all the more uncomfortable. To make matters worse, Respondent did this in front of C.T.'s husband, who was sitting next to her while she was on the exam table.
- H. In August 2012, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office filed criminal charges against Respondent: two felony counts of sexual penetration on an unconscious victim, a violation of Penal Code section 289(d). On December 13, 2012, another count of sexual penetration was added. A Superior Court judge held Respondent to answer on all the charges.
- I. These office visits were turned into sexual encounters for defendant's own sexual gratification. There was absolutely no medical justification for the manner in which Respondent conducted these "examinations" on either patient. This behavior cannot be characterized as anything other than a clear abuse of Respondent's position as a physician.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

11. By reason of the facts set forth above in paragraph 10, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2234, subdivision (b) in that he was grossly negligent in his care and treatment of patients C.C. and C.T.

PRAYER 1 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 2 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision: 3 Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A67148, 1. 4 issued to Kevin Pezeshki, M.D.; 5 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent's authority to supervise 6 physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code; 7 Ordering Respondent to pay the Medical Board of California, if placed on probation, 3. 8 the costs of probation monitoring; and 9 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 10 11 12 DATED: November 8, 2013 13 Interim Executive Director 14 Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs 15 State of California 16 Complainant 17 LA2012605414 18 61111508.docx 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28