BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)	
BRET ROBERT GERBER, M.D.	Case No. 10-2013-235201
Physician's and Surgeon's	
Certificate No. G 79213	
Respondent)	

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 27, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED: July 28, 2015.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Jamie Wylght, J.D., Chair

Panel A

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supermining Deputy Attorney General		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III Deputy Attorney General		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231195 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6 7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2997 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 10-2013-235201	
14	BRET ROBERT GERBER, M.D. 501 Washington Street, Suite 600	OAH No. 2014070975	
15	San Diego, ČA 92103-2239	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79213,		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19			
20 21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
21 22	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: PARTIES		
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
24	of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity as such and is represented in		
25	this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Joseph F.		
26	McKenna III, Deputy Attorney General.		
27	2. Respondent Bret Robert Gerber, M.D. (respondent) is represented in this proceeding		
28	by attorney Robert W. Frank, Esq., whose address is: 1010 Second Ave., Ste. 2500, San Diego,		
		1	

CA, 92101-4959.

3. On or about June 22, 1994, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79213 to Bret Robert Gerber, M.D. (respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2016, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. On April 24, 2014, Accusation No. 10-2013-235201 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against respondent. A true and correct copy of the Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on respondent on April 24, 2014. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 10-2013-235201 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and fully understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 10-2013-235201. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and fully understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 10-2013-235201; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by his attorney of record, Robert W. Frank, Esq.
- 7. Having the benefit of counsel, respondent hereby voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 10-2013-235201 and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79213 to disciplinary action.
- 9. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 10-2013-235201 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding, or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California, or elsewhere.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to approval of the Board. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, respondent fully understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it.
- and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Board does not, in its discretion, approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the

exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order be rejected for any reason by the Board, respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 13. The parties agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including copies of the signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, that such copies shall have the same force and effect as originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree the Board may, without further notice to or opportunity to be heard by respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79213 issued to respondent Bret Robert Gerber, M.D. (respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for two (2) years from the effective date of this Decision on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES – ABSTAIN FROM USE</u>. Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, dangerous drugs as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4022, and any drugs requiring a prescription. This prohibition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to respondent by another practitioner for a bona fide illness or condition.

Within 15 calendar days of receiving any lawfully prescribed medications, respondent shall

notify the Board or its designee of the: issuing practitioner's name, address, and telephone number; medication name, strength, and quantity; and issuing pharmacy name, address, and telephone number.

If respondent has a confirmed positive biological fluid test for any substance (whether or not legally prescribed) and has not reported the use to the Board or its designee, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 15 days of the notification to cease practice. If the respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the respondent stipulates to a later hearing. A decision shall be received from the Administrative Law Judge or the Board within 15 days unless good cause can be shown for the delay. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 15 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide respondent with a hearing within 30 days of a such a request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

2. <u>BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING.</u> Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Prior to practicing medicine, respondent shall contract with a laboratory or service approved in advance by the Board or its designee that will conduct random, unannounced, observed, biological fluid testing. The contract shall require results of the tests to be transmitted by the laboratory or service directly to the Board or its designee within four hours of the results becoming available. Respondent shall maintain this laboratory or service contract during the period of probation.

A certified copy of any laboratory test result may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and respondent.

 If respondent fails to cooperate in a random biological fluid testing program within the specified time frame, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 15 days of the notification to cease practice. If the respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the respondent stipulates to a later hearing. A decision shall be received from the Administrative Law Judge or the Board within 15 days unless good cause can be shown for the delay. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 15 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide respondent with a hearing within 30 days of a such a request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

3. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.

Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of

| | ///

this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. <u>NOTIFICATION</u>. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 5. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u>. During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 6. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 7. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

8. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE. Respondent shall be 9. available in person upon request for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month

in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 11. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 12. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 13. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy

the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request to surrender his or her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

14. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Robert W. Frank, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79213. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 11th June 2015

BRET ROBERT GERBER, M.D.

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with respondent Bret Robert Gerber, M.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

I approve its form and content.

DATED: 6-1/-/3

ROBERT W. FRANK, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. Dated: June 12, 2015 Respectfully submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2014706769 81074404.docx

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 10-2013-235201

1	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California		
2	THOMAS S. LAZAR Supervising Deputy Attorney General	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
3	JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III Deputy Attorney General	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
4	State Bar No. 231195 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	BY MALYST	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6 7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2001 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12]	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 10-2013-235201	
14	BRET ROBERT GERBER, M.D. 501 Washington Street, Suite 600		
15	San Diego, ČA 92103	ACCUSATION	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G79213		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19			
20	Complainant alleges:		
21	PARTIES		
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
23	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer		
24	Affairs, and not otherwise.		
25	2. On or about June 22, 1994, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and		
26	Surgeon's Certificate Number G79213 to Bret Robert Gerber, M.D. (respondent). The		
27	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the		
28	charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2016, unless renewed.		

28 ///

///

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:
 - "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
 - "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
 - "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
 - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
 - "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
 - "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

٠٠.

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

66 77

6. Unprofessional conduct under Code section 2234 is conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine.

(Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)

7. Section 2238 of the Code states:

"A violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct."

8. Section 2239 of the Code states:

"(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself ... of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022 ... to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.

///

9. Section 1360, title 16, of the California Code of Regulations states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Controlled Substances)

- 10. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G79213 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he used controlled substances, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
 - A. On or about August 24, 2013, at approximately 2016 hours, Mono County Sheriff's Department (MCSD) Deputy C.C. was on duty, in full uniform, and assigned to patrol in the City of Bridgeport, California. At that approximate time, Deputy C.C. observed a Winnebago drive past his location and noted that the front license plate was missing on the vehicle in violation of Vehicle Code section 5200, subdivision (a). Deputy C.C. initiated a traffic enforcement stop of the Winnebago and contacted the driver, who was positively identified by a California driver's license as the respondent. Respondent stated that he owned the Winnebago. Deputy C.C. then asked respondent for paperwork for the Winnebago, and he also asked for identification from the three (3) other occupants of the motor home. Deputy C.C. then checked the license status of respondent and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

also ran warrant status on all four (4) occupants. While Deputy C.C. waited for MCSD dispatch to check license and warrant status, a K-9 unit conducted an exterior sniff of the Winnebago at which point the K-9 alerted to the driver's side area near the driver's side door. Deputy C.C. advised respondent of the K-9's alert to the Winnebago and asked respondent if any illegal drugs were located inside the motor-home. Respondent admitted that he had some "ecstasy" inside a brown wooden box inside the Winnebago. Deputy C.C. then directed all occupants to exit the Winnebago and he conducted a search of the motor-home's interior. Deputy C.C. located the brown wooden box inside the Winnebago and inspected its contents, which included, a clear plastic vacuum sealed bag containing psilocybin mushrooms¹ and thirty-five (35) capsules containing a tan colored powder in various plastic bags. Deputy C.C. believed that the powder in the capsules was consistent with MDMA.² Deputy C.C. also searched the areas above the driver and passenger seats and discovered two (2) bags containing a powder he believed to be MDMA, and a "green military type bag." Inside the green bag, Deputy C.C. discovered two (2) small blue plastic baggies containing a powder he believed to be MDMA powder. Deputy C.C. also discovered a small amount of what he believed to be marijuana inside the green bag. Based upon the totality of the facts and circumstances, Deputy C.C. arrested respondent for violation of Health & Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), possession of a controlled substance. After being read his Miranda rights, respondent waived his rights and agreed to answer Deputy C.C.'s questions. Respondent confirmed that the brown

The plastic bag containing the mushrooms had "21 g" written on the exterior of the bag. Psilocybin is listed as a Schedule I controlled substance under Health & Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d), subsection (18). Possession of psilocybin mushrooms can be criminally charged under Health & Safety Code section 11377, subsection (a).

² 3,4-methylenedioxy-methamphetamine (MDMA / also known by the street names "ecstasy" and "molly") is not a controlled substance, but it is substantially similar to the chemical structure of 3,4-methylenedioxy-amphetamine (MDA), which is a Schedule I controlled substance. Possession of MDMA can be criminally charged as an analog of MDA or methamphetamine, under Health & Safety Code section 11377, subsection (a).

///

 wooded box belonged to him and identified its contents to Deputy C.C.

Respondent stated that the powdery substance was MDMA inside the thirty-five
(35) capsules, with the exception of one bag labeled "B 22-25." Respondent
identified this particular substance as "2C-B" and described it as being similar to
MDMA, but stated it had more hallucinogenic effects than MDMA. Respondent
also confirmed that the mushrooms were, in fact, psilocybin mushrooms.

Regarding the green bag, respondent denied ownership of the bag and stated that it
belonged to his friend, "Jimmy." Respondent stated that "Jimmy" had dropped off
the bag at his house for respondent to transport to the Burning Man Festival and
that he planned to return the bag to "Jimmy" when he saw him at the festival.

B. On or about August 29, 2013, the Mono County District Attorney's Office sent respondent a letter indicating that the Office had received a law enforcement complaint alleging respondent had violated Health & Safety Code section 11377, subsection (a), possession of a controlled substance. The letter stated that based upon a review of respondent's case, he was deemed eligible to participate in the Mono County District Attorney's Pre-Filing Diversion Program (PFDP) in lieu of his court appearance to answer to the criminal charge. The letter further stated that so long as respondent successfully completed the PFDP's six (6) month program and completed the terms and conditions, his case would be closed and there would be no conviction recorded on his criminal and/or driving record. On or about September 9, 2013, respondent returned the PFDP participation form to the Mono County District Attorney's Office indicating he would comply with the terms and conditions of the program and provide proof of completion within six (6) months.

³ The terms and conditions required respondent to enroll in a drug education/counseling program (minimum of twelve (12) sessions), twelve (12) hours of community service, and obey all laws.

2.1

|| ///

///

- C. On or about January 9, 2014, Investigator Holmes of the Medical Board of California interviewed respondent at the Board's district office in San Diego. During the interview, respondent acknowledged that he has a history of recreational drug use ("mushrooms" and "ecstasy") and that he currently uses "once or twice a year." Respondent further stated that when he does use recreational drugs he is not on call, and he does not have any patient contacts or responsibilities. When asked if he felt it was appropriate for a medical doctor to consume illegal narcotics during his personal time, respondent replied, "I would say no ... it could get you in a lot of trouble." However, respondent also stated that he was not "morally ... against the small use of narcotics in appropriate circumstances." Respondent also admitted during the interview that he had used "ecstasy" at the Burning Man Festival following his arrest in Mono County on August 24, 2013.
- D. On or about January 29, 2014, lab testing was conducted by the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services, and items seized from respondent's Winnebago following his arrest were analyzed. Lab testing confirmed the pills contained MDMA and the mushrooms contained psilocybin. Additional testing was done on a bag believed to contain MDMA powder. Lab testing of the powder found that it contained cocaine.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Statute Regulating Controlled Substances)

11. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G79213 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2238, of the Code, in that he violated the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 10, above, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(General Unprofessional Conduct)

12. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G79213 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, of the Code, in that he has engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 10, above, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G79213, issued to respondent Bret Robert Gerber, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of respondent Bret Robert Gerber, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- 3. Ordering respondent Bret Robert Gerber, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California the costs of probation monitoring, if placed on probation; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: April 24, 2014

KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28 | SD2014706769 Doc. No. 70848856